

# 21-127 Homework 7

Christian Broms

Section J

Thursday 8<sup>th</sup> March, 2018

Complete the following problems. Fully justify each response.

1. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq 1$ , and  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \equiv \ell \pmod{n}$  and  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ .

- (a) Is it true that  $a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n}$ ? If so, prove it. If not, provide a counterexample.

Yes.

*Proof.* We begin by proving that  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$  implies  $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$ . We can write  $a = kn + b$  and  $c = k'n + d$  for some  $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $ac = k'kn^2 + (bk' + dk)n + bd$ . Because this is in mod  $n$ , we can eliminate all factors of  $n$  so  $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$ .

Next, we will proceed with induction to show  $a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n}$ .

Base Case:  $k = 1$ , so  $a^1 = b^1 \pmod{n}$  is true by the given information.

Induction Hypothesis: Assume  $a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n}$ . We will show  $a^{k+1} \equiv b^{k+1} \pmod{n}$ . We know  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n}$  by IH, so we multiply these together using the proven fact above to get  $a^{k+1} \equiv b^{k+1} \pmod{n}$ , and the induction holds.

Thus, we can safely say that  $a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n}$ . ■

- (b) Is it true that  $a^k \equiv a^\ell \pmod{n}$ ? If so, prove it. If not, provide a counterexample.

False. Consider the following counterexample:  $a = 3, n = 5, k = 7, \ell = 2$ . So  $3^7 \not\equiv 3^2 \pmod{5}$

2. Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $a \perp n$ . Show that  $u, u'$  are both multiplicative inverses for  $a$  if and only if  $u$  is a multiplicative inverse for  $a$  and  $u \equiv u' \pmod{n}$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $u, u'$  are multiplicative inverses for  $a$ , such that  $au \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  and  $au' \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Then, since  $a$  and  $n$  are coprime, we manipulate then divide by  $a$  so  $a(u - u') \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  and  $u - u' \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ , so  $u \equiv u' \pmod{n}$  and we are done.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $u$  is a multiplicative inverse for  $a$  and  $u \equiv u' \pmod{n}$ , so we have  $au \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  and  $u \equiv u' \pmod{n}$ . We can multiply by  $a$  to get  $au \equiv au' \pmod{n}$ . Since we know  $au \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  and  $au \equiv au' \pmod{n}$ , we can say  $au' \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . This, taken with the fact  $au \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  implies that  $u, u'$  are multiplicative inverses for  $a$ .

Therefore, since we have shown both sides of implication, it follows that  $u, u'$  are both multiplicative inverses for  $a$  if and only if  $u$  is a multiplicative inverse for  $a$  and  $u \equiv u' \pmod{n}$ . ■

3. Let  $p$  be a positive prime, and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \geq 1$ . Prove that  $\varphi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1}$ .

*Proof.* The totient function is defined as  $\varphi(n)$  = the number of integers between 1 and  $n$  that are coprime to  $n$ . In this case, we are looking for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\gcd(m, p^k) = 1$ , so we need some  $m$  that does not divide  $p^k$ . We list the number of integers between 1 and  $p^k$  that are divisible by  $p$  as  $1p, 2p, 3p \dots p^{k-1}p$ . So there are  $p^{k-1}$  such numbers, and our set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots p^k\}$  has  $p^k - p^{k-1}$  numbers that are not divisible by  $p^k$ . Therefore, we conclude  $\varphi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1}$ . ■

4. Read the proof of Theorem 3.3.49 and Example 3.3.51. Then prove that for any  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $b \geq 2$ , and  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a$  is divisible by  $b - 1$  if and only if the sum of the base  $b$  digits of  $a$  is divisible by  $b - 1$ .

*Proof.* We can write  $a$  in its base  $b$  expansion as  $a = d_r d_{r-1} \dots d_1 d_0$  base  $b$ , such that  $a = \sum_{i=0}^r d_i b^i$ . So the sum of these digits can be written as

$s = \sum_{i=0}^r d_i$ . Because we defined  $a$  as  $\sum_{i=0}^r d_i b^i$ , we can say  $s \equiv \sum_{i=0}^r d_i b^i \pmod{b-1}$ . We can further reduce this  $s \equiv \sum_{i=0}^r d_i 1^i \pmod{b-1}$  because  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{b-1}$ . Finally, we can reduce to  $s \equiv \sum_{i=0}^r d_i \pmod{b-1}$  because  $1^i$  is always 1. So  $s \equiv a \pmod{b-1}$ . It therefore follows by definition of congruence that  $a$  is divisible by  $b-1$  if and only if the sum of the base  $b$  digits of  $a$  is divisible by  $b-1$ . ■

5. For each of the following functions, determine if it is injective, surjective, both, or neither. Prove that your answers are correct.

(a)  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f(x) = x^2$ .

Injective: No. Consider  $f(2) = f(-2)$ , but  $-2 \neq 2$ .

Surjective: No. Consider  $x = 3$ , but there is no  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $z^2 = 3$ , as  $\sqrt{3} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

(b)  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $g(x) = x^2$ .

Injective: Yes. Assume  $f(x) = f(y)$ , so  $x^2 = y^2$  and  $x = y$ .

Surjective: No. Consider  $x = 3$ , but there is no  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n^2 = 3$ , as  $\sqrt{3} \notin \mathbb{N}$ .

(c)  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $h(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor$

(note:  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the number you get by rounding  $x$  down to the nearest integer. Formally, we define

$$\lfloor x \rfloor = \max\{y \in \mathbb{Z} \mid y \leq x\}.$$

You may be reasonably skeptical that such a number exists, since we cannot apply the Well-Ordering Principle here.... so if you are skeptical, prove it.)

Injective: No. Consider  $h(\pi) = h(3)$ , but  $\pi \neq 3$ .

Surjective: Yes. Let  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $x = y + \frac{1}{2}$ . then  $y < x < y + 1$ , so  $\max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \leq x\} = y$ . Thus,  $f(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor = y$ .

(d)  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{2} & x \text{ is even} \\ -\frac{x+1}{2} & x \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$

Injective: Yes. Suppose  $f(x) = f(y)$ . So  $f(x)$  is either even or odd. In the first case, take  $f(x)$  to be odd. Then  $f(x) = -\frac{x+1}{2}$

and  $f(y) = -\frac{y+1}{2}$ , thus  $-\frac{x+1}{2} = -\frac{y+1}{2}$ , so clearly  $x = y$ . In the second case, take  $f(x)$  to be even. Then  $f(x) = \frac{x}{2}$  and  $f(y) = \frac{y}{2}$ , thus  $\frac{x}{2} = \frac{y}{2}$ , and therefore  $x = y$ .

Surjective: Let  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $y$  is odd, then  $x = -2y - 1$ , so  $f(-2y - 1) = -\frac{x+1}{2}$  and thus  $f(x) = y$ . If  $y$  is even, then  $x = 2y$ , so  $f(2y) = \frac{y}{2}$ , and clearly  $f(x) = y$ . So the function is surjective.

6. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  be bijective functions. Prove that  $g \circ f$  is also bijective. Is the converse true?

*Proof.* Since  $f$  and  $g$  are bijective, then by definition they are also surjective. So, for some  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ ,  $z \in Z$ , we can say  $f(x) = y$ ,  $g(y) = z$ . So, we know  $z = g(y) = g(f(x)) = g \circ f(x) = g \circ f$ . Hence,  $g \circ f$  is surjective.

Next, since we know  $f$  and  $g$  are bijective, then by definition they are also injective. So, for some  $x, y \in X$  we say  $g \circ f(x) = g \circ f(y)$ . Then,  $g(f(x)) = g(f(y))$ . Since  $g$  is injective, this implies  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Moreover,  $f$  is injective, so  $x = y$ . Hence,  $g \circ f$  is injective.

Thus, we can say that if  $g, f$  are bijective, then  $g \circ f$  is also bijective.

The converse is not true. The converse is let  $g \circ f$  be bijective, then  $g$  and  $f$  are both bijective. We can show this is not true by constructing two functions,  $g, f$  that are not bijective, but  $g \circ f$  is bijective. If we take  $g : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $g(x, y) = (x)$  and  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f(x) = (x, 0)$ , then  $g \circ f$  is bijective, while  $g$  is not bijective, because it is not injective, and  $f$  also not bijective, because it is not surjective. ■