Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

### Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

MIEI SDLE 2021

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Iniversidade do Minho

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho A graph G(V, E) can be defined by a set of vertices, and a set of edges than connect pairs of vertices. For instance, a path  $G(V = \{a, b, c, d\}, E = \{(a, b), (b, c), (c, d)\})$  can be made into a ring by adding one edge connecting its ends  $E = E \cup \{(d, a)\}$ .

 Graphs can be directed or undirected (in which case edges are bi-directional).

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

- Graphs can be directed or undirected (in which case edges are bi-directional).
- A Simple Graph is an undirected graph with no loops and no more than one edge between any two different vertices.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

- Graphs can be directed or undirected (in which case edges are bi-directional).
- A Simple Graph is an undirected graph with no loops and no more than one edge between any two different vertices.
- Having an edge (x, y), we say that those vertices are adjacent (or neighbours).

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

- Graphs can be directed or undirected (in which case edges are bi-directional).
- A Simple Graph is an undirected graph with no loops and no more than one edge between any two different vertices.
- Having an edge (x, y), we say that those vertices are adjacent (or neighbours).
- A Weighted Graph is obtained by assigning a weight to each edge.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

- Graphs can be directed or undirected (in which case edges are bi-directional).
- A Simple Graph is an undirected graph with no loops and no more than one edge between any two different vertices.
- Having an edge (x, y), we say that those vertices are adjacent (or neighbours).
- A Weighted Graph is obtained by assigning a weight to each edge.
- Path is a sequence of vertices, ...,  $v_i$ ,  $v_{i+1}$ , ..., with edges connecting them  $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$ .



Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

- Graphs can be directed or undirected (in which case edges are bi-directional).
- A Simple Graph is an undirected graph with no loops and no more than one edge between any two different vertices.
- Having an edge (x, y), we say that those vertices are adjacent (or neighbours).
- A Weighted Graph is obtained by assigning a weight to each edge.
- Path is a sequence of vertices, ...,  $v_i$ ,  $v_{i+1}$ , ..., with edges connecting them  $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$ .



### Graphs Walk, Trail, Path

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

Walk Edges and vertices can be repeated
Trail Only vertices can be repeated
Path No repeated vertices or edges

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho • Complete Graph. Each pair of vertices has an edge connecting them.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- Complete Graph. Each pair of vertices has an edge connecting them.
- Connected Graph. There is a path between any two nodes.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- Complete Graph. Each pair of vertices has an edge connecting them.
- Connected Graph. There is a path between any two nodes.
- Star. A "central" vertice and many leaf nodes connected to center

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- Complete Graph. Each pair of vertices has an edge connecting them.
- Connected Graph. There is a path between any two nodes.
- Star. A "central" vertice and many leaf nodes connected to center
- Tree. A connected graph with no cycles.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- Complete Graph. Each pair of vertices has an edge connecting them.
- Connected Graph. There is a path between any two nodes.
- Star. A "central" vertice and many leaf nodes connected to center
- Tree. A connected graph with no cycles.
- Planar Graph. Vertices and edges can be drawn in a plane and no two edges intersect. E.g. Rings and Trees are planar.

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

- Complete Graph. Each pair of vertices has an edge connecting them.
- Connected Graph. There is a path between any two nodes.
- Star. A "central" vertice and many leaf nodes connected to center
- Tree. A connected graph with no cycles.
- Planar Graph. Vertices and edges can be drawn in a plane and no two edges intersect. E.g. Rings and Trees are planar.

In a network context, graphs with cycles allow multi-path routing. This can be more robust but data handling can become more complex. Thus, distributed algorithms often construct trees to avoid cycles, while others try to work under multi-path.

## Graphs More concepts

Scalable Distributed Topologies

arlos Baquero niversidade do Minho

■ Connected Component. Maximal connected subgraph of G.

# Graphs More concepts

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- Connected Component. Maximal connected subgraph of G.
- Degree of  $v_i$ . Number of adjacent vertices to  $v_i$ . In directed graphs there is in-degree and out-degree.

# Graphs More concepts

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- Connected Component. Maximal connected subgraph of G.
- Degree of  $v_i$ . Number of adjacent vertices to  $v_i$ . In directed graphs there is in-degree and out-degree.
- Distance  $d(v_i, v_j)$ . Length of the shortest path connecting those nodes.

- Connected Component. Maximal connected subgraph of G.
- Degree of  $v_i$ . Number of adjacent vertices to  $v_i$ . In directed graphs there is in-degree and out-degree.
- Distance  $d(v_i, v_j)$ . Length of the shortest path connecting those nodes.
- Eccentricity of  $v_i$ .  $ecc(v_i) = max(\{d(v_i, v_i) | v_i \in V\})$ .
- Diameter.  $D = max(\{ecc(v_i)|v_i \in V\})$
- Radius.  $R = min(\{ecc(v_i)|v_i \in V\})$

- Connected Component. Maximal connected subgraph of G.
- Degree of  $v_i$ . Number of adjacent vertices to  $v_i$ . In directed graphs there is in-degree and out-degree.
- Distance  $d(v_i, v_j)$ . Length of the shortest path connecting those nodes.
- Eccentricity of  $v_i$ .  $ecc(v_i) = max(\{d(v_i, v_i)|v_i \in V\})$ .
- Diameter.  $D = max(\{ecc(v_i)|v_i \in V\})$
- Radius.  $R = min(\{ecc(v_i)|v_i \in V\})$
- Center.  $\{v_i|ecc(v_i) == R\}$
- Periphery.  $\{v_i|ecc(v_i) == D\}$

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

■ How big is the center of a tree?

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- How big is the center of a tree?
- How big is the center of a path?

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- How big is the center of a tree?
- How big is the center of a path?
- How big is the periphery of a ring? and the center?

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- How big is the center of a tree?
- How big is the center of a path?
- How big is the periphery of a ring? and the center?
- How to compute eccentricities?

## Graphs More complex topologies

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Iniversidade do Minho

Random geometric. Vertices are dropped randomly uniformly into a unit square and adding edges to connect any two points within a given euclidean distance.

- Random geometric. Vertices are dropped randomly uniformly into a unit square and adding edges to connect any two points within a given euclidean distance. Is it planar?
- Random Erdos-Renyi. G(n, p) model, n nodes are connected randomly. Each edge is included with independent probability p.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- Random geometric. Vertices are dropped randomly uniformly into a unit square and adding edges to connect any two points within a given euclidean distance. Is it planar?
- Random Erdos-Renyi. G(n, p) model, n nodes are connected randomly. Each edge is included with independent probability p.
- Watts-Strogatz model. (Seen later on small world constructions).

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

- Random geometric. Vertices are dropped randomly uniformly into a unit square and adding edges to connect any two points within a given euclidean distance. Is it planar?
- Random Erdos-Renyi. G(n, p) model, n nodes are connected randomly. Each edge is included with independent probability p.
- Watts-Strogatz model. (Seen later on small world constructions).
- Barabasi-Albert model. Preferential attachment: the more connected a node is, the more likely it is to receive new links.
   Degree Distribution follows a power law.

(http://barabasilab.neu.edu/networksciencebook/download/network\_science\_december\_ch5\_2013.pdf)

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

#### Definitions:

■ A directed graph is called strongly connected if for every pair of vertices *u* and *v* there is a path from *u* to *v* and a path from *v* to *u*.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

#### Definitions:

- A directed graph is called strongly connected if for every pair of vertices u and v there is a path from u to v and a path from v to u.
- Distance from *u* to *v* is the length of the shortest path from *u* to *v*.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

#### Definitions:

- A directed graph is called strongly connected if for every pair of vertices u and v there is a path from u to v and a path from v to u.
- Distance from *u* to *v* is the length of the shortest path from *u* to *v*.
- A directed spanning tree with root node *i* is breadth first provided that each node at distance *d* from *i* in the graph appears at depth *d* in the tree.

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

#### Definitions:

- A directed graph is called strongly connected if for every pair of vertices u and v there is a path from u to v and a path from v to u.
- Distance from *u* to *v* is the length of the shortest path from *u* to *v*.
- A directed spanning tree with root node i is breadth first provided that each node at distance d from i in the graph appears at depth d in the tree.
- Every strongly connected graph has a breadth-first directed spanning tree.

Scalable
Distributed
Topologies
arlos Baquero

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho Processes communicate over directed edges. Unique UIDs are available, but network diameter and size is unknown.

### Initial state in SyncBFS

- parent = nil
- $\blacksquare$  marked = False (True in root node  $i_0$ )

Scalable
Distributed
Topologies

Processes communicate over directed edges. Unique UIDs are available, but network diameter and size is unknown.

#### Initial state in *SyncBFS*

- parent = nil
- $\blacksquare$  marked = False (True in root node  $i_0$ )

#### SyncBFS algorithm

- Process  $i_0$  sends a search message in round 1.
- Unmarked processes receiving a search message from x do marked = True and set parent = x, in the next round search messages are sent from these processes.

Scalable
Distributed
Topologies

Carlos Baquero Iniversidade do Minho

### Complexity

- Time: At most *diam* rounds (depending on *i*<sub>0</sub> eccentricity).
- Message: |E|. Messages are sent across all edges E.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

#### Complexity

- Time: At most *diam* rounds (depending on  $i_0$  eccentricity).
- Message: |E|. Messages are sent across all edges E.

#### Child Pointers

If parents need to know their offspring, processes must reply to search messages with either parent or nonparent.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

#### Complexity

- Time: At most *diam* rounds (depending on  $i_0$  eccentricity).
- Message: |E|. Messages are sent across all edges E.

#### Child Pointers

If parents need to know their offspring, processes must reply to *search* messages with either *parent* or *nonparent*. This is only easy if the graph is undirected, but is achievable in general strongly connected graphs.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

### Complexity

- Time: At most *diam* rounds (depending on  $i_0$  eccentricity).
- Message: |E|. Messages are sent across all edges E.

#### Child Pointers

If parents need to know their offspring, processes must reply to *search* messages with either *parent* or *nonparent*. This is only easy if the graph is undirected, but is achievable in general strongly connected graphs.

#### Termination: Making $i_0$ know that the tree is constructed

All processes respond with *parent* or *nonparent*. Parent terminates when all children terminate. Responses are collected from leaves to tree root.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

Applications of Breath First Spanning Trees.

#### Aggregation (Global Computation)

Input values in each process can be aggregated towards a sync node. Each value only contributes once, many functions can be used: Sums, Averages, Max, Voting.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

Applications of Breath First Spanning Trees.

#### Aggregation (Global Computation)

Input values in each process can be aggregated towards a sync node. Each value only contributes once, many functions can be used: Sums, Averages, Max, Voting.

#### Leader Election

Largest UID wins. All process become root of their own tree and aggregate a Max(UID). Each decide by comparing their own UID with Max(UID).

Scalable
Distributed
Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho Applications of Breath First Spanning Trees.

#### Broadcast

Message payload m can be attached to SyncBFS construction (m|E| message load) or broadcasted once tree is formed (m|V| message load).

Scalable
Distributed
Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho Applications of Breath First Spanning Trees.

#### **Broadcast**

Message payload m can be attached to SyncBFS construction (m|E| message load) or broadcasted once tree is formed (m|V| message load).

#### Computing Diameter

Each process constructs a *SyncBFS*. Then determines *maxdist*, longest tree path. Afterwards, all processes use their trees to aggregate max(maxdist) from all roots/nodes. Complexity: Time O(diam) and messages  $O(diam \times |E|)$ .

### Asynchronous setup

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

We will now work on a "bare" asynchronous network model, avoiding, for now, useful abstractions like logical time and global snapshots.

### System Model

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

The lack of helping tools is compensated by a "generous" system model:

#### **Faults**

No faults.

#### Channels

Reliable FIFO send/receive channels.

Automaton

```
Scalable
Distributed
Topologies
arlos Baquero
```

```
Signature:
Input: send(m)_{i,j}, m \in M
Output: receive(m)_{i,j}, m \in M
States:
queue = \langle \rangle
Transitions:
send(m)_{i,i}
Effect:
 queue := queue + \langle m \rangle
receive(m)_{i,i}
Precondition:
 queue.head = m
Effect:
 queue.pophead()
```

Allowed trace behaviour

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

Let  $\beta$  be a sequence of actions, and cause() a function mapping each receive event  $e \in \beta \mid_{receive}$  to a preceding send event  $s \in \beta \mid_{send}$  such that:

■  $\forall receive(x) \in \beta \mid_{receive}$ :  $cause(receive(x)) = send(y) \Rightarrow x = y$ . Messages don't come out of the blue.

Allowed trace behaviour

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

Let  $\beta$  be a sequence of actions, and cause() a function mapping each receive event  $e \in \beta \mid_{receive}$  to a preceding send event  $s \in \beta \mid_{send}$  such that:

- If  $\forall receive(x) \in \beta \mid_{receive}$ :  $cause(receive(x)) = send(y) \Rightarrow x = y$ . Messages don't come out of the blue.
- cause() is surjective. For every send there is a mapped receive. Messages are not lost.

Allowed trace behaviour

Scalable
Distributed
Topologies
Carlos Baquero

Let  $\beta$  be a sequence of actions, and cause() a function mapping each receive event  $e \in \beta \mid_{receive}$  to a preceding send event  $s \in \beta \mid_{send}$  such that:

- If  $\forall receive(x) \in \beta \mid_{receive}$ :  $cause(receive(x)) = send(y) \Rightarrow x = y$ . Messages don't come out of the blue.
- cause() is surjective. For every send there is a mapped receive. Messages are not lost.
- **3** cause() is injective. For every receive there is a distinct send. Messages are not duplicated.

Allowed trace behaviour

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Let  $\beta$  be a sequence of actions, and cause() a function mapping each receive event  $e \in \beta \mid_{receive}$  to a preceding send event  $s \in \beta \mid_{send}$  such that:

- If  $\forall receive(x) \in \beta \mid_{receive}$ :  $cause(receive(x)) = send(y) \Rightarrow x = y$ . Messages don't come out of the blue.
- cause() is surjective. For every send there is a mapped receive. Messages are not lost.
- **3** *cause*() is injective. For every *receive* there is a distinct *send*. Messages are not duplicated.
- 4 receive  $<_{\beta}$  receive'  $\Rightarrow$  cause(receive)  $<_{\beta}$  cause(receive'). Order is preserved.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

```
Signature:

Input: receive("search")_{i,j}

Output: send("search")_{i,j}

Transitions:

send("search")_{i,j}

Precondition: sendto(j) = yes

Effect: sendto(j) := no

receive("search")_{j,i}

Effect:

if i \neq i_0 and parent = null then parent := j
```

for all  $k \in nbrs \setminus \{j\}$  do sendto(k) := ves

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

```
Signature:
```

```
Input: receive("search");;
Output: send("search")<sub>i,i</sub>
Transitions:
send(" search");;
Precondition: sendto(i) = yes
Effect: sendto(i) := no
receive(" search");,i
Fffect:
 if i \neq i_0 and parent = null then
  parent := i
  for all k \in nbrs \setminus \{i\} do
   sendto(k) := ves
```

#### Channel automaton

Consumes  $send("search")_{f,t}$  and produces  $receive("search")_{f,t}$  in reliable FIFO order.

 $i \in nbrs$ 

 $i \in nbrs$ 

## Spanning Trees AsynchSpanningTree vs SynchBFS

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

While AsynchSpanningTree looks like an asynchronous translation of SynchBFS, the former does not necessarily produce a breadth first spanning tree.

# Spanning Trees AsynchSpanningTree vs SynchBFS

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

While AsynchSpanningTree looks like an asynchronous translation of SynchBFS, the former does not necessarily produce a breadth first spanning tree.

Faster longer paths will win over a slower direct path when setting up parent.

# Spanning Trees AsynchSpanningTree vs SynchBFS

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

While *AsynchSpanningTree* looks like an asynchronous translation of *SynchBFS*, the former does not necessarily produce a breadth first spanning tree.

Faster longer paths will win over a slower direct path when setting up *parent*.

One can however show that a spanning tree is constructed.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

#### Invariant: A tree is gradually formed

In any reachable state, the edges defined by all *parent* variables form a spanning tree of a subgraph of G, containing  $i_0$ ; moreover, if there is a message in any channel  $C_{i,j}$  then i is in this spanning tree.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

#### Invariant: A tree is gradually formed

In any reachable state, the edges defined by all *parent* variables form a spanning tree of a subgraph of G, containing  $i_0$ ; moreover, if there is a message in any channel  $C_{i,j}$  then i is in this spanning tree.

#### Invariant: All contacts are searched

In any reachable state, if  $i=i_0$  or  $parent \neq null$ , and if  $j \in nbrs_i \setminus \{i_0\}$ , then either  $parent_j \neq null$  or  $C_{i,j}$  contains a search message or  $sendto(j)_i$  is yes.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

#### Invariant: A tree is gradually formed

In any reachable state, the edges defined by all *parent* variables form a spanning tree of a subgraph of G, containing  $i_0$ ; moreover, if there is a message in any channel  $C_{i,j}$  then i is in this spanning tree.

#### Invariant: All contacts are searched

In any reachable state, if  $i=i_0$  or  $parent \neq null$ , and if  $j \in nbrs_i \setminus \{i_0\}$ , then either  $parent_j \neq null$  or  $C_{i,j}$  contains a search message or  $sendto(j)_i$  is yes.

Leading to:

#### **Theorem**

The AsynchSpanningTree algorithm constructs a spanning tree in the undirected graph G.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

In asynchronous systems although time is unbounded it is practical to assume a upper bound on time taken to execute a process effect, time l, and time taken to deliver a message in channel, time d.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

In asynchronous systems although time is unbounded it is practical to assume a upper bound on time taken to execute a process effect, time l, and time taken to deliver a message in channel, time d.

### Complexity

- Messages are O(|E|).
- Time is O(diam(l+d)).

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

In asynchronous systems although time is unbounded it is practical to assume a upper bound on time taken to execute a process effect, time I, and time taken to deliver a message in channel, time d.

### Complexity

- Messages are O(|E|).
- Time is O(diam(I+d)).

Altough a tree with height h, such that h > diam, can occur it only occurs if it does not take more time than a tree with h = diam.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

In asynchronous systems although time is unbounded it is practical to assume a upper bound on time taken to execute a process effect, time I, and time taken to deliver a message in channel, time d.

### Complexity

- Messages are O(|E|).
- Time is O(diam(l+d)).

Altough a tree with height h, such that h > diam, can occur it only occurs if it does not take more time than a tree with h = diam. Faster long paths must be faster!

## Spanning Trees

AsynchSpanningTree

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Iniversidade do Minho

### Child pointers and Broadcast

If nodes report *parent* or *nonparent* one can build a tree that broadcasts.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

### Child pointers and Broadcast

If nodes report *parent* or *nonparent* one can build a tree that broadcasts.

Is the time complexity of this tree still O(diam(l+d))?

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

#### Child pointers and Broadcast

If nodes report *parent* or *nonparent* one can build a tree that broadcasts.

Is the time complexity of this tree still O(diam(l+d))? No, because a fast path is not always fast. Complexity is O(h(l+d)), at most O(n(l+d)), where n=|V|.

#### Broadcast with Acks

Its is possible to build a *AsynchBcastAck* algorithm that collects acknowledgements as the tree is constructed. Upon incoming broadcast messages each node Acks if they already know the broadcast and Acks to parent once when all neighbours Ack to them.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

### Child pointers and Broadcast

If nodes report *parent* or *nonparent* one can build a tree that broadcasts.

Is the time complexity of this tree still O(diam(l+d))? No, because a fast path is not always fast. Complexity is O(h(l+d)), at most O(n(l+d)), where n=|V|.

#### Broadcast with Acks

Its is possible to build a *AsynchBcastAck* algorithm that collects acknowledgements as the tree is constructed. Upon incoming broadcast messages each node Acks if they already know the broadcast and Acks to parent once when all neighbours Ack to them.

### Leader Election with AsynchBcastAck

This algorithm includes termination and if all nodes initiate it and report their UIDs it can be used for Leader Election with unknown diameter and number of nodes.

## Epidemic Broadcast Trees Plumtree protocol

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

- Gossip broadcast
  - + highly scalable and resilient
    - excessive message overhead
- Tree-based broadcast
  - + small message complexity
    - fragile in the presence of failures

Can we get the best of both worlds?

## Epidemic Broadcast Trees Gossip strategies

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

- Eager push. Nodes immediately forward new messages
- Pull. Nodes periodically query for new messages
- Lazy push. Nodes push new message ids and accept pulls

In lazy push, there is a separation among payload and metadata

## Epidemic Broadcast Trees

Gossiping into tree

Scalable
Distributed
Topologies
Carlos Baquero

- Nodes sample random peers into a eagerPush set
- Neighbours should be stable and TCP can be used
- Links are kept reciprocal (towards undirected graph)
- First message reception puts origin in eagerPush
- Further duplicate receptions moves source to lazyPush
- Eager push of payload and lazy push of metadata

### **Epidemic Broadcast Trees**

Repairing a broken tree

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Universidade do Minho

If the tree breaks, and graph stays connected, nodes get metadata but not payloads. This is detected by timer expiration and the metadata source in lazyPush is moved to eagerPush. Potential redundancy (due to cycles) is cleared later by the standard algorithm.

### Small Worlds

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Iniversidade do Minho

- Milgram experiment "Six degrees of separation".
- Path lengths were calculated on sequences of letter forwardings.

### Small Worlds

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

- Milgram experiment "Six degrees of separation".
- Path lengths were calculated on sequences of letter forwardings.
- In theory, letters would be send from random senders and progressively forwarded to random recipients.
- At each point the letter was forwarded to an address and recipient more likely to know the final destination recipient.

### Small Worlds

Scalable Distributed Topologies

Carlos Baquero Jniversidade do Minho

- Milgram experiment "Six degrees of separation".
- Path lengths were calculated on sequences of letter forwardings.
- In theory, letters would be send from random senders and progressively forwarded to random recipients.
- At each point the letter was forwarded to an address and recipient more likely to know the final destination recipient.
- An average path length close to 6 hops was found in the results.

#### Small Worlds

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- Milgram experiment "Six degrees of separation".
- Path lengths were calculated on sequences of letter forwardings.
- In theory, letters would be send from random senders and progressively forwarded to random recipients.
- At each point the letter was forwarded to an address and recipient more likely to know the final destination recipient.
- An average path length close to 6 hops was found in the results.
- The question was: "Why should there exist short chains of acquaintances linking together arbitrary pairs of strangers?"

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- Consider a graph where a given number of edges is created uniformely at random among the graph vertices. See Erdős–Rényi model.
- The resulting random graph is known to depict a low diameter and thus could support small paths.  $O(\log n)$ .

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- Consider a graph where a given number of edges is created uniformely at random among the graph vertices. See Erdős–Rényi model.
- The resulting random graph is known to depict a low diameter and thus could support small paths.  $O(\log n)$ .
- Are random graphs a good model for people acquaintances?

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- Consider a graph where a given number of edges is created uniformely at random among the graph vertices. See Erdős–Rényi model.
- The resulting random graph is known to depict a low diameter and thus could support small paths.  $O(\log n)$ .
- Are random graphs a good model for people acquaintances?
- Not so, since people's graphs have more clustering. If A is friend to B and C, then it is likely that B and C are also friends.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- Consider a graph where a given number of edges is created uniformely at random among the graph vertices. See Erdős–Rényi model.
- The resulting random graph is known to depict a low diameter and thus could support small paths.  $O(\log n)$ .
- Are random graphs a good model for people acquaintances?
- Not so, since people's graphs have more clustering. If A is friend to B and C, then it is likely that B and C are also friends.
- Watts and Strogatz proposed a model that mixes short range and long range contacts.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- Consider a graph where a given number of edges is created uniformely at random among the graph vertices. See Erdős–Rényi model.
- The resulting random graph is known to depict a low diameter and thus could support small paths.  $O(\log n)$ .
- Are random graphs a good model for people acquaintances?
- Not so, since people's graphs have more clustering. If A is friend to B and C, then it is likely that B and C are also friends.
- Watts and Strogatz proposed a model that mixes short range and long range contacts.
- Nodes establish k local contacts using some distance metric among vertices (say in a ring or lattice) and then a few long range contacts uniformly at random.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- Consider a graph where a given number of edges is created uniformely at random among the graph vertices. See Erdős–Rényi model.
- The resulting random graph is known to depict a low diameter and thus could support small paths.  $O(\log n)$ .
- Are random graphs a good model for people acquaintances?
- Not so, since people's graphs have more clustering. If A is friend to B and C, then it is likely that B and C are also friends.
- Watts and Strogatz proposed a model that mixes short range and long range contacts.
- Nodes establish k local contacts using some distance metric among vertices (say in a ring or lattice) and then a few long range contacts uniformly at random.
- Resulting in low diameter and high clustering.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- If we flood a Watts and Strogatz graph we will stumble on a short route between to arbitrary points. A global observer could also pinpoint a  $O(\log N)$  path.
- But, can we pick a path with local knowledge and a distance metric?

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- If we flood a Watts and Strogatz graph we will stumble on a short route between to arbitrary points. A global observer could also pinpoint a  $O(\log N)$  path.
- But, can we pick a path with local knowledge and a distance metric?
- Not so easy. Going to the next nearest point does not home in the target, we can keep jumping and only achieve  $O(\sqrt{N})$  paths. These paths lack locallity.

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- If we flood a Watts and Strogatz graph we will stumble on a short route between to arbitrary points. A global observer could also pinpoint a  $O(\log N)$  path.
- But, can we pick a path with local knowledge and a distance metric?
- Not so easy. Going to the next nearest point does not home in the target, we can keep jumping and only achieve  $O(\sqrt{N})$  paths. These paths lack locallity.
- Kleinberg solved this issue by choosing a probability function that can restore locality to long links. (Check in R: n=10; s = exp(log(n)\* (runif(1000) -1)); hist(s,100).)

Scalable Distributed Topologies

- If we flood a Watts and Strogatz graph we will stumble on a short route between to arbitrary points. A global observer could also pinpoint a  $O(\log N)$  path.
- But, can we pick a path with local knowledge and a distance metric?
- Not so easy. Going to the next nearest point does not home in the target, we can keep jumping and only achieve  $O(\sqrt{N})$  paths. These paths lack locallity.
- Kleinberg solved this issue by choosing a probability function that can restore locality to long links. (Check in R: n=10; s = exp(log(n)\* (runif(1000) -1)); hist(s,100).)
- Long range contacts can be tuned to become more clustered in the vicinity. The target is to have uniformity across all distance scales, a property found in DHT designs like Chord, and locally find  $O(\log^2 N)$  routes.

#### Uniformity across distance scales

Pieter Bruegel the Elder - The Hunters in the Snow

Scalable Distributed Topologies

