

02_MASTER_PLAN.md

BAT Integration - Complete Execution Plan
Single Source of Truth for Timeline & Tasks

Source: BAT_MASTER_PLAN_INTEGRATED.md (enhanced)
Created: November 10, 2025
Last Updated: November 10, 2025
Version: 2.0
Status: Active - Primary Execution Guide

⚙ EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Purpose

Integrate Richmond American Homes and Holt Homes Builder Acceleration Tools (BATS) into a unified, standardized, database-driven platform ahead of the **March 2026 merger**. This is not just data migration—it's building competitive advantage through expertise preservation and system unification.

Project Scope

- **Timeline:** 12 weeks (November 11 - February 28, 2026)
- **Investment:** 148 hours (12.3 hours/week average)
- **Team:** Corey (lead), William (Richmond), Alicia (Holt), Claude (AI architect)
- **Outcome:** Unified system with 8 weeks production testing before merger

Current Reality (November 10, 2025)

☑ What You Have:

- Holt pricing updater (Python) - **PRODUCTION READY**
- Plan Index structures in both BATS
- Material databases: 44 Richmond plans + 47 Holt plans
- Monday's analysis complete (45 pages documentation)
- 64,977 total material line items ready for migration

⚠ What Needs Work:

- No standardized coding system across builders
- Architecture decisions not yet made (Tuesday's work)
- Richmond BAT incomplete (9 of 44 plans active)
- No table naming conventions
- No database structure designed

Success Vision (March 2026)

- ☑ Single unified BAT system operational
- ☑ 100% plan coverage (both builders)
- ☑ Zero pricing errors through database validation
- ☑ Material orders: 15 minutes → 2 minutes

- Team trained and productive
- 8 weeks of production testing complete

GROUND TRUTH - CURRENT STATE

Richmond BAT Status

```
File: RICHMOND_3BAT_NOVEMBER_2025_10-17-25_Updated_11-07-25.xlsm
Sheets: 38 total
Plans Active: 9 of 44 (20%)
Plans Ready: 44 total (CONFIRMED in RAH_MaterialDatabase.xlsx)
Material Items: 55,604 line items total
Unique SKUs: 581
Status: Ready for automated import
Critical Issue: Triple-encoded elevation data (|10.82BCD)
```

Holt BAT Status

```
File: HOLT_BAT_NOVEMBER_2025_10-28-25.xlsm
Sheets: 103 total
Plans Active: 47 of 50 (94%)
Material Items: 9,373 line items
Communities: 5 active (GG, CR, HH, HA, WR)
Python Updater: PRODUCTION READY
Status: Nearly complete, stable system
```

Python Pricing Updater Status

```
Tool: holt_updater.py (390 lines)
Status:  PRODUCTION READY
Features:
 Updates 15,000+ rows in seconds
 Column-specific targeting (PL01-PL12)
 One-click batch file operation
 Timestamped backups
 Visual change highlighting
 Professional error handling
```

⚠ Needs enhancements:

- Price change log (1 hour)
- Preview mode (0.5 hours)
- Richmond adaptation (2 hours)

Monday's Completed Work

Date: November 10, 2025

Time: 4 hours

Completed:

- Item numbering audit (746 items analyzed)
- Richmond structure audit (pricing infrastructure mapped)
- 45 pages documentation created
- item_numbering_patterns.txt
- richmond_structure.txt
- WEEK1_MONDAY_SUMMARY.txt

Key Findings:

- Richmond: Hierarchical 6-digit codes (confirmed)
- Holt: Descriptive 4-6 character codes (confirmed)
- Community is job-level, not item-level attribute
- Both systems have 64,977 line items total
- Triple-encoding problem identified and documented
- Translation table strategy defined

📈 12-WEEK INTEGRATED ROADMAP

PHASE 1: FOUNDATION (Weeks 1-4) - 52 hours

WEEK 1 (Nov 11-15): Standards + Architecture * CURRENT WEEK
Total: 18 hours (Enhanced from original 14 hours)

Monday (Nov 11) - 4 hours COMPLETE

Item Numbering Audit (2 hours)

- Richmond "Item Pricing" sheet analysis
- Holt IWP and RL sheet analysis
- Document prefixes, ranges, patterns
- Identify inconsistencies

Richmond Structure Audit (2 hours)

- Document pricing sheet structure
- Map columns for update adaptation
- Identify price levels (L1-L5)
- Plan/elevation/option relationships
- Output: richmond_structure.txt

Status: COMPLETE

Deliverables: item_numbering_patterns.txt, richmond_structure.txt

Tuesday (Nov 12) - 6 hours 🚨 CRITICAL DAY

SESSION 1: Map Hierarchies (2 hours)

- Richmond hierarchy analysis
 - How plans encode (G603, G603B, LE93 G603B?)
 - How elevations work (variant or dimension?)
 - How options relate to plans
 - Current table relationships
- Holt hierarchy analysis
 - How plans encode (1670, 1670ABCD?)
 - How communities fit (CR, GG, WR?)
 - How pack system works (10.82, 12.x5?)
 - Current table relationships
- Outputs: richmond_hierarchy_map.txt, holt_hierarchy_map.txt

SESSION 2: Make Architecture Decisions (2 hours)

- DECISION 1: Plan-Pack Relationship (30 min)
Question: Can pack "12.x5" work on multiple plans with same materials?
Options: Universal Pack vs Plan-Specific Pack
Impact: Determines primary keys, table structure
Output: DECISION_1_Plan_Pack_Relationship.md
- DECISION 2: Plan-Elevation Model (30 min)
Question: Is "G603B" one plan or Plan G603 + Elevation B?
Options: Elevation as Variant vs Elevation as Dimension
Impact: Determines how you query and join tables
Solves: Triple-encoding problem (|10.82BCD)
Output: DECISION_2_Plan_Elevation_Model.md
- DECISION 3: Internal Option Codes (60 min)
Question: What's YOUR internal standard?
Options: Keep Richmond, Keep Holt, Create Hybrid, Create New
Impact: User interface, database design, translation needs
Output: DECISION_3_Internal_Option_Codes.md

SESSION 3: Database Schema Design (2 hours)

- Design 10 core tables based on decisions
 - builders (Richmond, Holt)
 - plans (plan_id, builder_id)
 - plan_elevations (elevation_id, plan_id)
 - packs (pack_id, pack_name, phase)
 - materials (material_id, plan_id, pack_id, item_number)
 - items (item_id, description, category)
 - pricing (price_id, item_id, price_level, effective_date)
 - communities (community_id, name, builder_id)
 - option_translation (richmond_code, holt_code, description)
 - pack_hierarchy (pack_id, parent_pack_id, order)
- Solve triple-encoding problem
Example: |10.82BCD becomes:

- pack_id: "10.82"
 - elevation_mappings: B, C, D (separate table)
 - Single source of truth
- Add Prism SQL migration notes
 - SQLite type → Prism type conversions
 - Migration script template
 - Data export/import procedures
- Outputs: schema_design_v1.sql, import_mapping_rules.md

Deliverables:

- ☒ richmond_hierarchy_map.txt
- ☒ holt_hierarchy_map.txt
- ☒ DECISION_1_Plan_Pack_Relationship.md
- ☒ DECISION_2_Plan_Elevation_Model.md
- ☒ DECISION_3_Internal_Option_Codes.md
- ☒ schema_design_v1.sql (with Prism notes)
- ☒ import_mapping_rules.md

Why This Day is Critical:

- ! These decisions lock in the foundation for all future work
- ! Wrong choices = 4-6 weeks of rework later
- ! Right choices = smooth execution Weeks 2-12
- ! Can't start Week 5 imports without clear target structure

Wednesday-Thursday (Nov 13-14) - 8 hours

- Wednesday (4 hours): Draft Coding Standards
- Plan coding (based on Decision 2)
 - Format: [PREFIX][NUMBER][VARIANT?]
 - Examples: G603, G603B, 1670
 - Validation rules: 4-6 characters, alphanumeric
 - Pack coding (MindFlow structure)
 - Format: |[PHASE].[VARIANT] [DESCRIPTION] - [OPTION_CODE]
 - Examples: |10.82 OPT DEN FOUNDATION
 - Hierarchy: Phase groups related packs
 - Internal option codes (based on Decision 3)
 - Richmond: XGREAT, 2CAR5XA, FPSING01
 - Holt: 167010100, 164910105
 - Standard: [Choose based on Decision 3]
 - Material item numbering
 - Richmond: 6-digit hierarchical
 - Holt: 4-6 character descriptive
 - Both valid, document translation

Thursday (4 hours): Refine Documentation

- Create BAT_Coding_Standards.docx
 - Section 1: Philosophy (WHY we have standards)
 - Section 2: Plan Coding (with examples)
 - Section 3: Pack Coding (with hierarchy)
 - Section 4: Option Codes (with translation)
 - Section 5: Material Items (both systems)
 - Section 6: Validation Rules
 - Section 7: Examples (good and bad)
- Prepare team review materials
 - Summary slide/doc
 - Key decisions highlighted
 - Examples from real data
 - Questions for validation
- Test examples with real BAT data

Deliverables:

- ☒ BAT_Coding_Standards.docx (comprehensive)
 - ☒ Team review materials
 - ☒ Test validation complete
- ```

Friday (Nov 15) - 2 hours

Team Validation Session (2 hours)

- Present to William Hatley (Richmond expert)
 - Review Richmond plan structure
 - Validate item numbering decisions
 - Confirm price level structure
 - Get feedback on coding standards
- Present to Alicia Vandehey (Holt expert)
 - Review Holt community structure
 - Validate pack hierarchy
 - Confirm plan-elevation relationships
 - Get feedback on coding standards
- Incorporate feedback
 - Update decisions if needed
 - Revise schema if necessary
 - Adjust coding standards
 - Document changes
- Finalize Week 1
 - Create Phase_1_Foundation_Summary.md
 - Lock coding standards (formal review process for changes)
 - Add reference sheets to both BATs
 - Ready for Week 2

Deliverables:

- ☒ Team validation complete
- ☒ All feedback incorporated
- ☒ Coding standards finalized
- ☒ Reference sheets in BAT files
- ☒ Week 1 complete! 🎉

Week 1 Success Criteria:

- ✓ Three architecture decisions made and documented
 - ✓ Database schema designed (10 core tables)
 - ✓ Import mapping rules defined
 - ✓ Triple-encoding problem solved
 - ✓ Coding standards documented
 - ✓ William validated (Richmond perspective)
 - ✓ Alicia validated (Holt perspective)
 - ✓ Team understands and agrees
 - ✓ Foundation locked and approved
- ```

**WEEK 2 (Nov 18-22): Pricing Infrastructure**

****Total: 10 hours**** (Reduced from 16 - updater already works!)

**Monday (Nov 18) - 2 hours**

```

**Current Updater Validation**

- Test holt\_updater.py on November 2025 BATs
- Verify all features work correctly
  - Price updates apply correctly
  - Backups create successfully
  - Change highlighting works
  - Error handling catches issues
- Document any issues found
- Create test results report

Output: Updater validation report

```

**Tuesday (Nov 19) - 1.5 hours**

```

**Add Enhancements**

- Price change log sheet (1 hour)
  - Create "PriceChangeLog" sheet
  - Columns: Date, Item, Old Price, New Price, Level, User
  - Auto-populate on update
  - Test logging functionality
- Preview mode (0.5 hours)

- └─ Add --preview flag
- └─ Show changes without applying
- └─ Generate preview report
- └─ Test preview functionality

Output: Enhanced holt\_updater.py v2.0

```

Wednesday (Nov 20) - 2 hours

```

#### Richmond Version Creation

- └─ Copy holt\_updater.py → richmond\_updater.py
- └─ Update column mappings from richmond\_structure.txt
  - └─ Price level columns (L1-L5 vs PL01-PL12)
  - └─ Sheet name patterns
  - └─ Table identification logic
  - └─ Backup naming convention
- └─ Update price level structure
  - └─ Richmond uses L1, L2, L3, L4, L5
  - └─ Column positions different
  - └─ Validation rules
- └─ Test on Richmond BAT copy
  - └─ Test with small CSV first
  - └─ Verify updates apply correctly
  - └─ Check backup creation
  - └─ Validate highlighting

Output: richmond\_updater.py (fully functional)

```

Thursday (Nov 21) - 3.5 hours

```

#### Price Schedule Integration

- └─ Add Richmond price schedule sheet (2 hours)
  - └─ Create "PriceSchedule" sheet
  - └─ Columns: Item, Description, L1, L2, L3, L4, L5, Last Updated
  - └─ Import from current price data
  - └─ Add lookup formulas
  - └─ Link to updater tool
- └─ Verify Holt price schedule structure (1 hour)
  - └─ Review existing sheet
  - └─ Confirm PL01-PL12 structure
  - └─ Check formulas
  - └─ Document any issues
- └─ Link to updater tools (0.5 hours)
  - └─ Update both updaters to reference schedule

- └─ Add validation against schedule
- └─ Test end-to-end workflow

Output: Integrated price schedules

```  
Friday (Nov 22) - 1 hour

Documentation & Training

- └─ Update README files (0.5 hours)
 - └─ Holt updater documentation
 - └─ Richmond updater documentation
 - └─ Price schedule usage
 - └─ Troubleshooting guide
- └─ Create batch files (0.25 hours)
 - └─ RUN_HOLT_UPDATE.bat
 - └─ RUN_RICHMOND_UPDATE.bat
 - └─ Test both launchers
- └─ Team demo (0.25 hours)
 - └─ Show price update workflow
 - └─ Demonstrate preview mode
 - └─ Explain price change log
 - └─ Answer questions

Deliverables:

- Enhanced holt_updater.py (with logging & preview)
- richmond_updater.py (full functionality)
- RUN_HOLT_UPDATE.bat
- RUN_RICHMOND_UPDATE.bat
- Richmond price schedule integrated
- Holt price schedule verified
- Documentation updated
- Team trained on tools
- Week 2 complete! 🎉

WEEK 3 (Nov 25-29): Standardization

Total: 14 hours

Monday (Nov 25) - 4 hours

```

#### Table Inventory

- └─ Map all tables in Richmond BAT (2 hours)
  - └─ Use Table\_Inventory\_Template.md
  - └─ Document: Table name, Type, Plan, Purpose
  - └─ Count: ~38 sheets

- └ Output: richmond\_table\_inventory.xlsx
- └ Map all tables in Holt BAT (2 hours)
  - ├ Use same template
  - ├ Document: Table name, Type, Plan, Community, Purpose
  - ├ Count: ~103 sheets
  - └ Output: holt\_table\_inventory.xlsx

Deliverable: Complete table inventories

```

Tuesday (Nov 26) - 4 hours

```

#### Table Naming Convention

- └ Define convention (2 hours)
  - Format: tableType\_planNumber\_community\_elevation
  - Examples:
    - materialist\_G603\_A (Richmond with elevation)
    - materialist\_G603 (Richmond no elevation)
    - bidtotals\_1649\_GG\_A (Holt with community)
    - pricing\_base (shared resources)
    - schedule\_PriceSchedule (master schedules)

#### Special cases:

- Plan Index: "PlanIndex"
- Item Pricing: "ItemPricing"
- Reference sheets: "ref\_[topic]"
- Lookup tables: "lookup\_[type]"

- └ Document in Table\_Naming\_Convention.docx (1 hour)
  - ├ Format rules
  - ├ Examples (good and bad)
  - ├ Special cases
  - ├ Validation rules
  - └ Migration checklist

- └ Create rename automation VBA (1 hour)
  - ├ Read inventory sheet
  - ├ Generate new names
  - ├ Batch rename with formula preservation
  - ├ Log results
  - └ Test on 5 sheets first

Deliverable: Naming convention + automation tool

```

Wednesday (Nov 27) - 4 hours

```

#### Apply Renaming

- └ Batch rename Richmond tables (1.5 hours)

- └─ Backup file first
- └─ Run automation on all sheets
- └─ Review rename log
- └─ Spot-check formulas
  
- └─ Batch rename Holt tables (2 hours)
  - └─ Backup file first
  - └─ Run automation on all sheets
  - └─ Review rename log
  - └─ Spot-check formulas
  
- └─ Validate all formulas still work (0.5 hours)
  - └─ Open both files
  - └─ Check for #REF! errors
  - └─ Test key formulas
  - └─ Document any issues
  - └─ Fix if needed

Deliverable: All tables renamed and validated

```

Thursday (Nov 28) - 2 hours (Thanksgiving - may adjust)

```

#### Cross-Reference Sheets

- └─ Create Holt community cross-reference (1 hour)
  - └─ Sheet: "ref\_Communities"
  - └─ Columns: Community Code, Name, Active Plans, Notes
  - └─ Data: CR, GG, HA, HH, WR
  - └─ Add lookup formulas
  
- └─ Create Holt plan-to-elevation mapping (1 hour)
  - └─ Sheet: "ref\_PlanElevations"
  - └─ Columns: Plan, Elevations Available, Community
  - └─ Data: All 47 plans
  - └─ Add lookup formulas

Deliverables:

- All tables renamed per convention
- Table\_Naming\_Convention.docx
- Holt cross-reference sheets
- Validation complete
- Week 3 complete! 🎉

```

WEEK 4 (Dec 2-6): Plan Details
Total: 8 hours

Monday-Tuesday (Dec 2-3) - 4 hours

```

- Add Arch/Eng Date Columns
  - Add columns to Plan Index (both BATs) (2 hours)
    - Richmond Plan Index
      - Add "Arch Date" column
      - Add "Eng Date" column
      - Format as Date type
    - Holt Plan Index
      - Add "Arch Date" column
      - Add "Eng Date" column
      - Format as Date type
  - Research date sources (2 hours)
    - Check plan files
    - Review design documents
    - Ask William/Alicia
    - Document date retrieval process

Deliverable: Date columns added to both Plan Indexes

```  
Wednesday-Thursday (Dec 4-5) - 3 hours

- Populate Dates
 - Richmond plans (1.5 hours)
 - 9 active plans first
 - Research dates for each
 - Enter in Plan Index
 - Flag any TBD/missing
 - Holt plans (1.5 hours)
 - 47 active plans
 - Research dates for each
 - Enter in Plan Index
 - Flag any TBD/missing

Get team validation:

- William verifies Richmond dates
- Alicia verifies Holt dates
- Document any corrections

Deliverable: Complete Plan Indexes with dates

```  
#### \*\*Friday (Dec 6) - 1 hour\*\*

- Foundation Checkpoint
  - Review all Week 1-4 deliverables (0.5 hours)
    - Week 1: Architecture decisions ✓

- └ Week 2: Pricing tools ✓
  - └ Week 3: Standardization ✓
  - └ Week 4: Plan details ✓
- Validate everything working (0.25 hours)
  - └ Test pricing updaters
  - └ Check table names
  - └ Verify formulas
  - └ Review Plan Indexes
- Document any issues (0.125 hours)
  - └ Create issues list if needed
- Prepare for content phase (0.125 hours)
  - └ Review import script
  - └ Prepare test data
  - └ Schedule Week 5 work

**Deliverables:**

- Complete Plan Index with dates
- Foundation Phase complete
- Ready for Richmond plan imports
- Phase 1 complete! 🎉

**Phase 1 Success Criteria:**

- ✓ Coding standards finalized and approved
- ✓ Database schema designed
- ✓ Pricing tools operational (both builders)
- ✓ Tables renamed with convention
- ✓ Plan Indexes complete
- ✓ Team trained and confident
- ✓ Ready to import 35 Richmond plans

---

## ## PHASE 2: CONTENT IMPORT (Weeks 5-8) - 32 hours

### ### Richmond Plan Import Strategy

\*\*Source Data:\*\*

---

File: RAH\_MaterialDatabase.xlsx

Location: /mnt/project/ (uploaded)

Sheet: Combined\_A\_to\_G

Total Plans: 44 confirmed

Total Materials: 43,952 line items

Unique SKUs: 581

Import Method: Python automated script (import\_richmond\_materials.py)

---

```
Import Groupings:
````  
Small Plans (<500 materials): 8 plans - Week 5  
Medium Plans (500-1500): 12 plans - Week 6  
Large Plans (1500-3000): 15 plans - Week 7  
Largest Plans (3000+): 9 plans - Week 8  
  
Total: 44 plans over 4 weeks  
Strategy: Start small, scale up as confidence grows  
```
```

---

```
WEEK 5 (Dec 9-13): Small Plans
Total: 8 hours
```

```
Monday (Dec 9) - 2 hours
```
```

Setup & Test

- Copy import_richmond_materials.py script (0.5 hours)
 - From template or create new
 - Update file paths
 - Configure for Richmond format
- Update configuration (0.5 hours)
 - Source: RAH_MaterialDatabase.xlsx
 - Target: RICHMOND_3BAT.xlsm
 - Sheet name format: materialist_[PLAN]
 - Column mapping per coding standards
 - Validation rules
- Test on ONE plan (1 hour)
 - Choose: G18L (smallest at ~200 materials)
 - Run import script
 - Verify output format
 - Check formulas
 - Validate data
 - Fix any issues

Output: Import script tested and working

```

```
Tuesday-Wednesday (Dec 10-11) - 4 hours
```
```

```
Import Small Plans  
Plans: G18L, G19E, G21D, G31H, G33H, G44H, G48H, G148  
(All <500 materials each)
```

Batch 1 (Tuesday - 2 hours): G18L, G19E, G21D, G31H

- Run import for each plan
- Validate material counts match source
- Check table formatting
- Update Plan Index
- Document any issues

Batch 2 (Wednesday - 2 hours): G33H, G44H, G48H, G148

- Run import for each plan
- Validate material counts match source
- Check table formatting
- Update Plan Index
- Document any issues

Output: 8 small plans imported

...

Thursday-Friday (Dec 12-13) - 2 hours

...

Validation & Review

- Material counts verification (0.5 hours)
 - Compare to source database
 - Document any discrepancies
 - Investigate issues
- Table naming check (0.25 hours)
 - All follow materialist_PLAN format
 - Consistent with standards
- Formula validation (0.5 hours)
 - No #REF! errors
 - Pricing formulas correct
 - Totals calculate properly
- Plan Index update (0.25 hours)
 - All 8 plans marked active
 - Material counts updated
 - Status = "Complete"
- Team review (0.5 hours)
 - Show imported plans to William
 - Get feedback
 - Document for next week

Milestone: 17 of 44 plans complete (38%)

Deliverables:

- 8 small Richmond plans imported
- Material counts validated
- Tables properly formatted
- Plan Index updated

Team review complete
 Week 5 complete! 🎉
```

---

### \*\*WEEK 6 (Dec 16-20): Medium Plans\*\*

\*\*Total: 10 hours\*\*

\*\*Plans:\*\* G591, G592, G593, G600, G625, G626, G654, G712, G713, G720, G742, G753

\*\*Materials:\*\* 500-1,000 each

#### \*\*Monday-Tuesday (Dec 16-17) - 4 hours\*\*

```

Batch 1: 6 Plans

Plans: G591, G592, G593, G600, G625, G626

Process:

- └ Run import script for each (3 hours)
- └ Validate as importing (0.5 hours)
- └ Quick spot-checks (0.5 hours)

Output: 6 medium plans imported

```

#### \*\*Wednesday-Thursday (Dec 18-19) - 4 hours\*\*

```

Batch 2: 6 Plans

Plans: G654, G712, G713, G720, G742, G753

Process:

- └ Run import script for each (3 hours)
- └ Validate as importing (0.5 hours)
- └ Quick spot-checks (0.5 hours)

Output: 6 more medium plans imported

```

#### \*\*Friday (Dec 20) - 2 hours\*\*

```

Validation & Performance Check

- └ Material counts verification (0.5 hours)
- └ Performance check (0.5 hours)
 - └ File size growth
 - └ Load time
 - └ Calculation speed
 - └ Document if concerns
- └ Plan Index update (0.5 hours)
 - └ All 12 plans marked active

- └─ Status updated
- └─ Week 6 checkpoint (0.5 hours)
 - ├─ Review progress
 - └─ Document any issues
- └─ Prepare for Week 7

Milestone: 29 of 44 plans complete (66%)

Deliverables:

- 12 medium Richmond plans imported
- Performance validated
- Plan Index updated
- Week 6 complete! 🎉

WEEK 7 (Dec 23-27): Large Plans

Total: 10 hours (Holiday week - adjust as needed)

Plans: 15 large plans (1500-3000 materials each)

Including: G250, G260, G639, G698, G730, G760, and others

Monday-Wednesday (Dec 23-25) - 6 hours

Note: Christmas week - flexible scheduling

Batch Import: 15 Large Plans

- └─ Group into 3 batches of 5 plans
- └─ Run imports (5 hours)
- └─ Basic validation during import (1 hour)
- └─ Document any issues

Output: 15 large plans imported

Thursday-Friday (Dec 26-27) - 4 hours

Validation & Review

- └─ Material counts verification (1 hour)
- └─ Performance assessment (1 hour)
 - ├─ File size now significant
 - └─ Load time monitoring
- └─ Document concerns
- └─ Plan Index update (1 hour)
 - └─ All 15 plans marked active
- └─ Week 7 checkpoint (1 hour)

- └─ Review progress
- └─ Assess Week 8 strategy
- └─ Plan database migration timing

Milestone: 44 of 44 plans imported (100%)!

Wait - that's only 29 + 12 + 15 = 56 plans?

CORRECTION: Let me recount from source

- Week 5: 8 plans
- Week 6: 12 plans
- Week 7: 8 plans (large)
- Week 8: 7 plans (largest)

Total: 35 NEW plans + 9 existing = 44 total

Adjusted Week 7:

8 Large Plans (1500-3000 materials)

Plans: G250, G260, G639, G654, G698, G712, G730, G760

Milestone: 29 of 44 plans complete (66%)

Deliverables:

- 8 large Richmond plans imported
- Performance monitored
- Plan Index updated
- Week 7 complete! 🎉

WEEK 8 (Dec 30-Jan 3): Final Plans + Validation

Total: 8 hours (New Year week)

Plans: 7 largest plans (3000+ materials each)

Including: G603, G914, LE01, LE91, LE92, LE93, LE95

Monday-Tuesday (Dec 30-31) - 4 hours

Final Import: 7 Largest Plans

- └─ These are the biggest plans
- └─ Import one at a time (3 hours)
- └─ Validate each carefully (1 hour)
- └─ Document file size impact

Output: Final 7 plans imported

Status: ALL 44 RICHMOND PLANS COMPLETE! 🎉

Wednesday-Friday (Jan 1-3) - 4 hours

- ```
- Complete Dataset Validation
 - Comprehensive material count check (1 hour)
 - Source: 43,952 materials
 - Imported: Verify match
 - Document any discrepancies
 - Performance final assessment (1 hour)
 - File size: Expect 50-80 MB
 - Load time: Measure
 - Calculation speed: Test
 - Recommend database migration timing
 - Plan Index final update (0.5 hours)
 - All 44 plans marked active
 - All material counts verified
 - Status: "Complete"
 - Create validation report (1 hour)
 - Import statistics
 - Data quality metrics
 - Performance metrics
 - Issues encountered
 - Recommendations
 - Phase 2 checkpoint (0.5 hours)
 - Celebrate completion!
 - Review next phase
 - Plan database migration

Milestone: 44 of 44 plans complete (100%)! 🎉

Deliverables:

- Final 7 Richmond plans imported
- Complete dataset validation
- Validation report created
- 100% plan coverage achieved
- Phase 2 complete! 🌟

Phase 2 Success Criteria:

- ✓ All 44 Richmond plans imported
- ✓ All 43,952 materials in BAT
- ✓ Data quality validated
- ✓ Performance acceptable
- ✓ Team can use all plans
- ✓ Ready for database phase

```

---

```
PHASE 3: INFRASTRUCTURE & TESTING (Weeks 9-12) - 64 hours
```

```
WEEK 9-10 (Jan 6-17): Database & Tools
Total: 40 hours over 2 weeks
```

```
Database Strategy Decision (Week 9 Day 1 - 4 hours)
```

```
...
```

#### Critical Decision Point

Options:

A) SQLite + ODBC

Pros: Robust, queryable, scalable, standards-based  
Cons: Technical setup, ODBC drivers, team training  
Best for: Long-term, sophisticated queries

B) SharePoint Lists

Pros: Multi-user, auto-sync, version control, familiar  
Cons: Network dependency, SharePoint setup  
Best for: Collaboration, always-updated

C) Keep Excel Embedded

Pros: No change, self-contained  
Cons: Size limits, single-user, backup issues  
Best for: Status quo (not recommended)

Process:

- Evaluate based on March merger needs
- Consider Prism SQL migration path
- Test with sample data
- Get team input
- Make decision and document

Output: Database\_Strategy\_Decision.md

Recommended: Option A (SQLite → Prism SQL)

- Develop in SQLite (Week 9-10)
- Migrate to Prism SQL (Week 11-12)
- Use schema\_design\_v1.sql with Prism notes
- Export CSV → Import to Prism with type conversion

```
...
```

```
Database Creation & Population (Week 9-10 - 20 hours)
```

```
...
```

IF SQLite chosen:

Create Database (4 hours)

- Run schema\_design\_v1.sql
- Create bat\_master.db
- Verify table structure
- Add indexes

Population Scripts (8 hours)

- └── export\_to\_csv.py (export from Excel)
- └── import\_to\_sqlite.py (import to database)
- └── validate\_data.py (check integrity)
- └── Test with sample data

Full Import (4 hours)

- └── Export Richmond BAT to CSV
- └── Export Holt BAT to CSV
- └── Import both to database
- └── Validate counts and relationships
- └── Test queries

Database Testing (4 hours)

- └── Test all major queries
- └── Performance benchmarks
- └── Data integrity checks
- └── Document results

Output: bat\_master.db (fully populated)

```

Excel Tool Development (Week 9-10 - 12 hours)

```

Material Order Generator (4 hours)

- └── Create BAT\_Material\_Order\_Generator.xlsm
- └── ODBC connection to database
- └── Plan selection interface
- └── Query builder
- └── Export to formatted order
- └── Test thoroughly

Price Lookup Tool (3 hours)

- └── Create BAT\_Price\_Lookup.xlsm
- └── ODBC connection to database
- └── Item search interface
- └── Price level selection
- └── Display results
- └── Test thoroughly

Plan Comparison Tool (3 hours)

- └── Create BAT\_Plan\_Comparison.xlsm
- └── ODBC connection to database
- └── Multi-plan selection
- └── Side-by-side comparison
- └── Cost analysis
- └── Test thoroughly

Formatting Automation (2 hours)

- └─ Apply consistent theme to all sheets
- └─ Colors, fonts, borders
- └─ Batch processing macro
- └─ Document theme standard

Output: 3 Excel tools operational + formatted BATs

```

User Testing & Refinement (Week 10 - 4 hours)

```

#### User Testing

- └─ William tests Richmond workflows (2 hours)
- └─ Alicia tests Holt workflows (2 hours)
- └─ Collect feedback

Refinements based on feedback

- └─ Fix bugs identified
- └─ Improve usability
- └─ Add requested features (if quick)
- └─ Document limitations

Deliverables:

- Database created and populated
- Excel tools operational
- Formatting complete
- User testing complete
- Weeks 9-10 complete! 🎉

```

WEEK 11 (Jan 20-24): Enhancements

Total: 12 hours

Monday-Tuesday (Jan 20-21) - 6 hours

```

#### Data Extraction Tools

- └─ Export to Excel (2 hours)
  - └─ Query builder interface
  - └─ Export results to new workbook
  - └─ Formatted for analysis
- └─ Export to CSV (2 hours)
  - └─ Batch export functionality
  - └─ Custom query support
  - └─ Schedule exports
- └─ Report Generator (2 hours)
  - └─ Pre-built report templates
  - └─ Cost summaries by plan

- └ Material usage reports
- └ Price comparison reports

Output: Full export toolkit

```  
Wednesday-Thursday (Jan 22-23) - 4 hours

Enhanced Documentation

- └ User Guide (2 hours)
 - └ How to use each tool
 - └ Common workflows
 - └ Troubleshooting
 - └ FAQ
- └ Technical Documentation (2 hours)
 - └ Database schema explained
 - └ Table relationships diagram
 - └ Coding standards reference
 - └ Import procedures
 - └ Maintenance guide

Output: Comprehensive documentation

```  
#### \*\*Friday (Jan 24) - 2 hours\*\*

Additional Features (based on feedback)

- └ Priority enhancements (1.5 hours)
  - └ From user testing feedback
- └ Week 11 checkpoint (0.5 hours)
  - └ Review all tools
  - └ Prepare for testing phase
  - └ Create testing checklist

Deliverables:

- Export tools functional
- Documentation complete
- Additional features added
- Week 11 complete! 🎉

---  
### \*\*WEEK 12 (Jan 27-31): Testing & Sign-Off\*\*  
\*\*Total: 12 hours\*\*

```  
Monday-Tuesday (Jan 27-28) - 6 hours

- Comprehensive Testing
 - Functional Testing (2 hours)
 - Test all tools end-to-end
 - Verify all workflows
 - Check error handling
 - Document test results
 - Data Validation Testing (2 hours)
 - Verify data integrity
 - Check all counts
 - Test pricing accuracy
 - Validate relationships
 - Performance Testing (2 hours)
 - Query response times
 - Tool load times
 - Large dataset handling
 - Document benchmarks

Output: Complete test results

```

##### \*\*Wednesday (Jan 29) - 3 hours\*\*

```

- User Acceptance Testing (UAT)
 - William UAT - Richmond (1.5 hours)
 - Test real workflows
 - Validate accuracy
 - Sign-off or feedback
 - Alicia UAT - Holt (1.5 hours)
 - Test real workflows
 - Validate accuracy
 - Sign-off or feedback

Output: UAT sign-off or issue list

```

##### \*\*Thursday (Jan 30) - 2 hours\*\*

```

- Bug Fixes & Final Adjustments
 - Fix any critical bugs (1 hour)
 - Make final adjustments (0.5 hours)
 - Retest if needed (0.5 hours)

Output: All critical issues resolved

```

##### \*\*Friday (Jan 31) - 1 hour\*\*

```

- Production Sign-Off
 - Final review (0.25 hours)
 - All deliverables complete
 - All tests passed
 - Team trained
 - Create handoff documentation (0.5 hours)
 - System overview
 - Support procedures
 - Maintenance schedule
 - Contact information
 - Project completion (0.25 hours)
 - Celebrate success! 🎉
 - Document lessons learned
 - Plan ongoing support

Deliverables:

- All tests passed
- UAT sign-off complete
- Bugs fixed
- Documentation finalized
- Team trained
- Production-ready system
- Week 12 complete! 🎉
- PROJECT COMPLETE! 🎉

Project Success Criteria:

- ✓ All 44 Richmond plans + 47 Holt plans active
 - ✓ 65,000+ materials in unified system
 - ✓ Database operational
 - ✓ Excel tools working
 - ✓ Pricing data current
 - ✓ Zero critical bugs
 - ✓ <5% data error rate
 - ✓ Team trained and confident
 - ✓ Documentation complete
 - ✓ MERGER READY! 🎉
-

📈 PROGRESS TRACKING

Weekly Checkpoint Template

```
```markdown
Week [X] Checkpoint - [Date]
```

### ## Planned vs Actual

- Hours Planned: [X]
- Hours Actual: [X]
- Variance: [X]

#### ## Deliverables Status

- [ ] Deliverable 1
- [ ] Deliverable 2
- [ ] Deliverable 3

#### ## Accomplishments

- 
- 
- 

#### ## Challenges Encountered

- 
- 

#### ## Next Week Preview

- 
- 

#### ## Risk Updates

- 

#### ## Team Feedback

- 
- 

### ### Overall Project Metrics

#### \*\*Time Investment:\*\*

```

Phase 1 (Weeks 1-4): 52 hours
Phase 2 (Weeks 5-8): 32 hours
Phase 3 (Weeks 9-12): 64 hours
Total: 148 hours (12.3 hours/week)

```

#### \*\*Content Metrics:\*\*

```

Richmond Plans: 44 total
Holt Plans: 50 total
Total Plans: 94
Material Line Items: 64,977
Unique SKUs: ~700+
Database Tables: 10 core + 11 supporting = 21 total

```

#### \*\*Quality Targets:\*\*

```  
Data Accuracy: >95%
Query Response: <1 second
Tool Load Time: <5 seconds
User Satisfaction: >80% positive
Pricing Errors: 0 (zero tolerance)
```

---

## ## ⚠ RISK MANAGEMENT

### ### Top Risks & Mitigation

#### \*\*1. Architecture Decisions Wrong\*\*

```  
Impact: HIGH (4-6 weeks rework)
Probability: LOW (with Week 1 process)
Status: Mitigated by:
└ Tuesday's thorough decision process
└ Real data testing
└ Team validation Friday
└ Small pilot in Week 2
└ Buffer time in schedule
```

#### \*\*2. File Size Growth\*\*

```  
Impact: MEDIUM (performance issues)
Probability: HIGH (inevitable with data growth)
Current Status: Monitored
Mitigation:
└ Database migration (Weeks 9-10)
└ Weekly file size monitoring
└ Compress/archive old data
└ SharePoint or SQL fallback
Trigger: File >100 MB
```

#### \*\*3. Team Adoption\*\*

```  
Impact: HIGH (wasted effort if unused)
Probability: LOW (team involved throughout)
Mitigation:
└ Weekly involvement (Week 1 validation, etc.)
└ Regular demos of new features
└ Make new way easier than old
└ Celebrate wins publicly
└ Manager support secured
```

#### \*\*4. Data Quality Issues\*\*

Impact: MEDIUM (errors in database)

Probability: MEDIUM (65K records)

Mitigation:

- |- Validation scripts (Week 2)
- |- Small batch testing first
- |- Incremental imports with validation
- |- Manual spot-checks
- |- Team data review
- |- Rollback procedures ready

#### \*\*5. Merger Timeline Acceleration\*\*

Impact: HIGH (incomplete system)

Probability: MEDIUM (corporate changes)

Mitigation:

- |- Prioritize Weeks 1-8 (core functionality)
- |- MVP checkpoint at Week 8 (100% plans)
- |- Weeks 9-12 can compress if needed
- |- Communicate progress regularly
- |- Document MVP vs nice-to-have

#### \*\*6. Richmond Structure Unknown\*\*

Impact: MEDIUM (updater rework)

Probability: LOW (Week 1 Day 1 audit)

Status: Mitigated

Mitigation:

- |- Monday audit complete
- |- Document actual vs assumed
- |- Build updater incrementally
- |- Test on copy first
- |- Buffer time in Week 2

---

### ## ☎ SUPPORT & ESCALATION

#### ### Team Contacts

##### \*\*Richmond Expertise:\*\*

Contact: William Hatley (Inside Sales)

Topics:

- |- Item numbering decisions

- └─ Plan usage priorities
- └─ Price level validation
- └─ Richmond workflows

Availability: Week 1 Friday, ongoing as needed

```

Holt Expertise:

Contact: Alicia Vandehey (Administrative Support)

Topics:

- └─ Community mappings
- └─ Plan Index accuracy
- └─ Holt workflows
- └─ Current processes

Availability: Week 1 Friday, ongoing as needed

```

\*\*Manager:\*\*

```

Contact: Dave Templeton (assumed)

Topics:

- └─ Resource allocation
- └─ Timeline approvals
- └─ Strategic decisions
- └─ Merger readiness

Escalation: As needed for major decisions

```

### ### Decision Authority Matrix

\*\*Corey Decides:\*\*

- Technical implementation details
- Tool feature priorities
- Testing procedures
- Daily work schedule
- Database design specifics

\*\*Team Input Required:\*\*

- Coding standards (Week 1 Friday)
- Table naming convention (Week 3)
- Formatting theme (Week 9)
- UAT validation (Week 12)
- Feature prioritization

\*\*Manager Approval Required:\*\*

- Database strategy (Week 9)
- Major timeline changes (>1 week)
- Additional resource needs
- Merger readiness sign-off (Week 12)

---

## ## SUCCESS CRITERIA

### ### Weekly Success Indicators

\*\*Week 1:\*\* Architecture locked, team aligned  
\*\*Week 2:\*\* Pricing tools operational both builders  
\*\*Week 3:\*\* Standardization complete  
\*\*Week 4:\*\* Foundation solid, ready for imports  
\*\*Week 5:\*\* First imports successful  
\*\*Week 6:\*\* Import momentum building  
\*\*Week 7:\*\* Most plans complete  
\*\*Week 8:\*\* 100% plan coverage achieved  
\*\*Week 9-10:\*\* Database operational, tools working  
\*\*Week 11:\*\* Documentation complete, enhancements done  
\*\*Week 12:\*\* All tests passed, production ready

### ### Final Success Criteria

#### \*\*Technical:\*\*

- ```  
✓ All systems standardized  
✓ All tools working correctly  
✓ All plans imported (100%)  
✓ Database operational  
✓ All tests passed  
✓ Zero critical bugs  
✓ <5% data error rate  
✓ Performance meets targets  
```

Operational:

- ```
✓ Team trained on new system
✓ Material orders <2 minutes (from 15-20)
✓ Price lookups instant (from 5-10 min)
✓ Both builders using daily
✓ Documentation complete and accessible
✓ Backup procedures in place
✓ Support process defined
```

#### \*\*Strategic:\*\*

- ```  
✓ Merger-ready system delivered  
✓ 8 weeks production testing window  
✓ Scalable for Manor Homes  
✓ Knowledge transfer complete  
✓ No single points of failure

✓ Sustainable long-term  
✓ Competitive advantage realized  
```

⚙ RETURN ON INVESTMENT

Time Savings Analysis

Current Manual Process Times:

```

Material order creation: 15-20 minutes  
Price lookup: 5-10 minutes per item  
Plan comparison: 30-60 minutes  
Price update: 30 minutes per update  
Cross-plan queries: 1-2 hours (or impossible)  
Data inconsistency fixes: 2-4 hours/week  
```

New Database System Times:

```

Material order creation: 2-3 minutes (85% reduction)  
Price lookup: <10 seconds (95% reduction)  
Plan comparison: 2 minutes (95% reduction)  
Price update: 5 minutes (85% reduction)  
Cross-plan queries: Seconds (new capability)  
Data inconsistency: Eliminated (single source)  
```

Annual Value Calculation:

```

Material orders: 2-3/day × 17 min saved × 250 days = 213 hours/year  
Price lookups: 10/day × 9 min saved × 250 days = 375 hours/year  
Plan comparisons: 2/week × 43 min saved × 50 weeks = 72 hours/year  
Price updates: 12/year × 25 min saved = 5 hours/year  
Data fixes: 3 hours/week × 50 weeks = 150 hours/year  
TOTAL: 815 hours/year saved

At \$40/hour blended rate: \$32,600/year

Over 3 years: \$97,800

```

Project Investment:

```

148 hours × \$40/hour = \$5,920

Payback Period: 2 weeks

3-Year ROI: 1,552%

```

****Intangible Benefits:****

- - Merger readiness (priceless)
 - Knowledge preservation
 - Team capability growth
 - Competitive advantage
 - Reduced errors and rework
 - Faster decision-making
 - Better customer service
 - Scalability for growth
-

📄 DOCUMENT HIERARCHY

This Master Plan is Authoritative For:

- Overall timeline and phases
- Week-by-week task breakdown
- Hour estimates and resource allocation
- Success criteria and metrics
- Risk management strategy
- Team roles and responsibilities

Supporting Documents:

****Daily Execution:****

- 03_FOUNDATION_GUIDE.md (Week 1 detailed guide)
- Richmond_Import_Checklist.md (Weeks 5-8 daily tasks)
- Table_Inventory_Template.md (Week 3 work)

****Technical Reference:****

- 04_CODING_STANDARDS.md (Architecture decisions)
- 05_REFERENCE_DATA.md (Analysis findings)
- schema_design_v1.sql (Database structure)

****Quick Reference:****

- 01_PROJECT_OVERVIEW.md (Project context)
- README.md (Navigation entry point)
- MIGRATION_MAP.md (What changed in v2.0)

When Documents Conflict:

****Precedence Order:****

1. This Master Plan (02_MASTER_PLAN.md)
2. Your direct observations of BAT files
3. Team knowledge (William/Alicia input)
4. Supporting technical documentation

****If conflict found:** Note in weekly checkpoint, update this Master Plan**

📜 VERSION HISTORY

Version 2.0 - November 10, 2025

- Enhanced Week 1 with architecture decision day (Tuesday)
- Added Monday's completed work
- Clarified import groupings (35 new + 9 existing = 44 total)
- Added Prism SQL migration strategy
- Improved task breakdowns with time estimates
- Added detailed deliverables for each day
- Enhanced risk mitigation strategies
- Consolidated from BAT_MASTER_PLAN_INTEGRATED.md

Version 1.0 - November 9, 2025

- Original integrated master plan
- 12-week timeline established
- Phase structure defined

⏱ IMMEDIATE NEXT STEPS

Current Status: Week 1, Tuesday (Nov 12) 🌚

Today's Priority: Architecture Decisions (6 hours)

What You Need:

- Monday's analysis complete (item_numbering_patterns.txt, richmond_structure.txt)
- Both BAT files accessible
- Material databases available
- 6 hours blocked on calendar
- William available for questions
- Ready to make critical decisions

Today's Agenda:

1. **Session 1 (2 hours):** Map Richmond & Holt hierarchies
2. **Session 2 (2 hours):** Make 3 architecture decisions
3. **Session 3 (2 hours):** Design database schema

Critical: These decisions determine project success. Take time to get them right.

Tomorrow: Draft coding standards based on today's decisions

Friday: Team validation with William and Alicia

🎉 FINAL MOTIVATION

Why This Will Succeed

Strong Foundation:

- Monday's 45-page analysis complete
- Real data examined (746 items, 94 plans)
- Problems identified and documented
- Tuesday's architecture process designed

Clear Execution Plan:

- 12-week timeline with 8-week buffer
- Weekly deliverables defined
- Success criteria at every checkpoint
- Risks identified and mitigated

Right Technology:

- SQLite → Prism SQL migration path
- Python for automation
- Excel for familiar interface
- Standard SQL for queries

Team Commitment:

- William & Alicia involved (Week 1 Friday)
- Addresses real pain points
- Significant time savings proven
- March 2026 merger creates urgency

You've Got This! 🎉

This Master Plan is your roadmap.

Follow it week by week.

Adjust as needed, but keep the end goal clear.

By February 28, you'll have a unified, merger-ready system.

Let's build something amazing! 🎉

Document Owner: Corey Boser

Last Updated: November 10, 2025

Next Review: After Week 1 completion

Status: Active - Primary Execution Guide

Ready? Today is Tuesday. Time for architecture decisions. →

See 03_FOUNDATION_GUIDE.md for detailed Tuesday session guide