## Practice 6

The following data were obtained from a study of coronary heart disease, where N is the total number of subjects in each group and Y is the number diagnosed with coronary heart disease. The factor CHOL refers to serum cholesterol in mg/100cc where:

$$1 = \langle 200, 2 = 200 - 219, 3 = 220 - 259, 4 = 260 +$$

while the factor BP refers to blood pressure in mm of mercury where:

$$1 = \langle 127, 2 = 127 - 146, 3 = 147 - 166, 4 = 167 +$$

	ВР				
CHOL		1	2	3	4
1	Y	2	3	3	4
	N	119	124	50	26
2	Y N	3 88	2	0	3
	N	88	100	43	23
3	Y	8	11	6	6
	N	8 127	220	74	49
4	Y	$7 \\ 74$	12	11	11
	N	74	111	57	44

Four models have been fitted to these data, R output for which is given below.

```
> Y \leftarrow c(2, 3, 3, 4, 3, 2, 0, 3, 8, 11, 6, 6, 7, 12, 11, 11)
> N \leftarrow c(119, 124, 50, 26, 88, 100, 43, 23, 127, 220, 74, 49, 74,
      111, 57, 44)
> BP <- factor(rep(1:4, 4))
> CHOL <- factor(rep(1:4, rep(4, 4)))
> fit.1 <- glm(Y/N ~ 1, weights = N, family = "binomial")</pre>
> summary(fit.1)
Call:
glm(formula = Y/N ~ 1, family = "binomial", weights = N)
Deviance Residuals:
     Min
                1Q
                       Median
                                     3Q
                                               Max
-2.67546 -1.63956
                      0.06465
                                1.37102
                                           3.74137
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -2.5987
                      0.1081 -24.05
                                            <2e-16 ***
```

```
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 58.726 on 15 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 58.726 on 15 degrees of freedom
AIC: 111.83
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
> fit.2 <- glm(Y/N \sim CHOL, weights = N, family = "binomial")
> summary(fit.2)
Call:
glm(formula = Y/N ~ CHOL, family = "binomial", weights = N)
Deviance Residuals:
      Min
                   1Q
                           Median
                                           3Q
                                                     Max
-1.6589861 -1.0203129
                        0.0009951
                                    1.1270950
                                               2.3674007
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -3.2419 0.2943 -11.017 < 2e-16 ***
CHOL2
            -0.1839
                        0.4644 -0.396 0.6920
CHOL3
             0.5914
                        0.3480 1.699
                                         0.0893 .
             1.4543
                        0.3392
                                 4.287 1.81e-05 ***
CHOL4
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 58.726 on 15 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 26.805 on 12 degrees of freedom
AIC: 85.909
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
> fit.3 <- glm(Y/N \sim BP, weights = N, family = "binomial")
> summary(fit.3)
Call:
glm(formula = Y/N ~ BP, family = "binomial", weights = N)
Deviance Residuals:
             1Q Median
                               3Q
                                       Max
-2.8361 -1.0499 -0.3808 0.8645
                                    2.4265
Coefficients:
```

```
Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
0.03028
                 0.30032
BP2
                         0.101
                              0.9197
BP3
         0.64289
                 0.32784
                         1.961
                               0.0499 *
BP4
                         4.283 1.85e-05 ***
         1.37264
                 0.32050
---
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
  Null deviance: 58.726 on 15 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 35.163 on 12 degrees of freedom
AIC: 94.267
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
> fit.4 <- glm(Y/N \sim CHOL + BP, weights = N, family = "binomial")
> summary(fit.4)
Call:
glm(formula = Y/N ~ CHOL + BP, family = "binomial", weights = N)
Deviance Residuals:
           1Q
                Median
                          3Q
   Min
                                 Max
-1.89259 -0.34946 -0.02072 0.52307
                              0.99198
Coefficients:
        Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
CHOL2
        CHOL3
         CHOL4
         BP2
BP3
         BP4
         ___
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
  Null deviance: 58.7262 on 15 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 8.0762 on 9 degrees of freedom
AIC: 73.18
```

1. Which of the four models is "best"? Give details of any formal tests that you use in reaching your decision.

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

- 2. Describe briefly (no calculations required) what your chosen model says, if anything, about the relationships between:
  - (a) coronary heart disease and serum cholesterol levels;
  - (b) coronary heart disease and blood pressure;
  - (c) serum cholesterol levels and blood pressure.
- 3. The model with CHOL and BP included as variables, rather than as factors, was fitted to the data and resulted in a scaled deviance of 14.847. What conclusions do you draw from this? [Give details of any formal tests that you use.]
- 4. Use R to fit the logistic model specified in question 3. Verify the conclusions drawn in the previous question. Also use the Pearson deviance to test the adequacy of this model.
- 5. List both the deviance residuals and Pearson residuals of the model in 3 in a matrix form. Then comment on these residuals.
- 6. Fit a logistic regression model for coronary heart disease (CHD) which includes CHOL and BP, both as variables, plus their interaction term. Test the significance of this interaction term in the model by both the Wald test and the likelihood ratio test. Then compare this model with the linear trend model in question 3, and draw a conclusion.
- 7. Using the model in 3, estimate the odds ratio of CHD when CHOL increases by one level and BP is kept unchanged. Also find an approximately 95% confidence interval for this odds ratio.
- 8. Using the model in 3, estimate the odds ratio of CHD when BP increases by two levels and CHOL is kept unchanged. Also find an approximately 95% confidence interval for this odds ratio.
- 9. Using the model in 3, estimate the probability of CHD when CHOL is at level 4 and BP is at level 3. Also find an approximately 95% confidence interval for this probability.
- 10. Two people A and B were included in this study. People A had his CHOL at level 3 and BP at level 1, while people B had his CHOL at level 1 and BP at level 3. Estimate the odds ratio in regard to CHD for people A versus B based on using the model in 3. Also calculate an approximate 95% confidence interval for this odds ratio.