## M2DK, exam: datawarehouses.

07/11/2017

## \* Answer exercices 1, 2, 3, 4 directly on these exam sheet \*

Bareme is indicative only and remains subject to change.

#### (1.5+1+1+1.5+1+1 = 7 pts)**Exercise 1 (Short questions)** grade can go negative 1. Answer what type of index is (best) used in each of the following cases (no justification, but +.5 /-.75 per correct/wrong answer ): (a) to speedup range queries over a numeric attribute (arbitrary; e.g., sales.amount): ...... (b) to maintain a primary key: (c) to speedup queries on a low-cardinality (and scarcely updated) attribute: ..... 2. Answer one — and only one; the one you like better — of the following two questions: (a) Discuss briefly the chief difference between a datawarehouse and mediator-wrapper architecture. Explain which architecture you would adopt for a mashup application combining a few services, like an application combining rss newsfeeds with Google Map and wikipedia (trying to add localization/description information to a few newsfeed)? (b) What kind of metadata are typically recorded in datawarehouses regarding the ETL processes? ...... ..... 3. Assume that table t(date\_arrival : date, date\_departure : date, category: int) in an Oracle database is partitioned on date\_arrival. Could the partitioning be a reason why a statement like UPDATE t SET date\_arrival= date\_arrival+1 would fail? Explain in detail why (or why not). ...... ....... 4. +.25 per correct answer (no justification), but total is 0 if 2 wrong answers, -.75 if $\geq 3$ wrong answers False True Reads are more frequent than writes in OLAP П Dimension tables are generally normalized in star schemas DRAM is faster than SRAM because it uses fewer transistors Operation 'TRUNCATE PARTITION t21' is generally slower than 'DELETE FROM t21' Bloom filters are generally used to record dictionaries (key-value pairs seachable by key) П To speedup insertions, bulk loading tools generally bypass integrity constraints 5. +.25 per correct answer (no justification), but total is -.25 if $\geq 2$ wrong answer... Assume table t is hash-partitioned into 8 partitions, on some integer attribute a: True False The risk of skew is higher when a is unique than when it has few possible values The optimizer may perform partition pruning for queries like 'SELECT ... WHERE a < 100' (idem) for queries like 'SELECT ... WHERE a = 100' (idem) for queries like 'SELECT ... WHERE a < 100 AND a > 50' 6. Give the assets and drawbacks of column storage with respect to row storage

.....

#### estates

id_estate	id_program	surface	price
101	1	30,04	180,000
201	1	30,04	185,000
391	2	40,04	145,000

Primary Key: id\_estate

Foreign Key: estates.id\_program  $\rightarrow$  program.id

#### program

id	nature	launch_year	nb_housing	manager		
1	family housing	2016	14	Arnold		
2	student housing	2010	Hamilton			
3	family housing	2015	Arnold			
4	family housing	2016	15	Arnold		
5	family housing	2014	20	Gates		
6	student housing	2012	Hamilton			

Primary Key: id

Figure 1: Database on housing projects

## Exercise 2 (Understanding and fixing SQL queries)

(1+1+2=4pts)

For each query below

- If the query is correct and well written, provide its result on the instance in Figure 1 (assuming in that case that the tables contain no tuple but those displayed)
- If the query is correct but poorly written, (can be simplified), simplify it. (the alternative query must of course return the same result)
- if the query is incorrect, point out all the mistakes that prevent its proper execution

The queries are on the tables from Figure 1: you must take into account keys and foreign keys (if relevant).

```
-- (Illustrative example)
SELECT a, b, SUM(c) FROM t t1, t t2 GROUP BY a;
-- Answer: incorrect because b is not a 'GROUP BY' expression, and column 'a'
-- in SELECT and GROUP BY is ambiguous: it may come from t1 or t2
SELECT COUNT(*), SUM(surface) FROM estates ;
-- Answer: 3 | 100,12
SELECT id_estate, id_program,
 SUM(SUM(price)) OVER(PARTITION BY id_estate, id_program)
FROM estates
GROUP BY id_estate, id_program
                                           .....
ORDER BY id_estate, id_program;
                                           ......
SELECT nature, launch_year, SUM(SUM(nb_housing))
                                    .....
 OVER(PARTITION BY nature ORDER BY launch_year DESC).....
FROM program
                                        GROUP BY nature, launch_year
                                    ORDER BY nature, launch_year;
SELECT REGEXP_REPLACE(nature,
                              (this query is correct, don't try to simplify)
'^([[:alpha:]]*[[:space:]])(.)(.*)',
 '\1.\2'), launch_year, COUNT(*)
FROM program
GROUP BY nature, ROLLUP(launch_year)
                              ......
ORDER BY nature, launch_year;
```

Exercise 3 (	SQL	queries (	(again),	modelization,	indexing )	)

(1.5+2+1.5=5pts)

1. Modify the query below so that the result displayed satisfies the following order: all the lines corresponding to a total over the same kind of group are displayed together<sup>1</sup>. You will observe that this is a partial order (you may choose to put the "GROUP BY b" results before or after "GROUP BY a" results, as you prefer, and there is no order among the "GROUP BY a" results)

	What we want to avoid:	What we want to see:
SELECT a,b,c, SUM(d) S	A B S	A B S
FROM t		
GROUP BY CUBE(a,b,c)	Q1 2010 mar10 400	Q1 2010 mar10 400
	Q1 2010 jan10 800	Q1 2010 jan10 800
	Q1 2010 1200	Q4 2010 dec10 253
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Q4 2010 dec10 253	Q1 2010 1200
	Q4 2010 253	Q4 2010 253
	• • •	

2. Suppose the manager of a program (on Figure 1) may change (possibly several times) over time. We want to track these variations.

Assume that

- on 20/01/2017 the manager of program 1 is fired from program 1 and replaced with Cornwallis (Arnold remains the manager of programs 3&4).
- on 22/01/2017 (we don't care about the exact date, but after 20/01), a new estate is added to the program 1. The id\_estate of this new estate is 403, its surface is 45,02, its price is 150,000.

How would a bitmap index on program.manager look like? How would a bitmap join index of program.manager
over estates look like? (just give the bitvectors, I don't care whether horizontally or vertically)

## Exercise 4 (Bloom filters)

(1+1+1=3pts)

We wish to build a Bloom filter on estate prices. This filter will use m = 10 bits for the array A, and the k = 3 hash functions  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$  and  $h_3$  below:

$180,000 \to 0$	$180,000 \rightarrow 1$	$180,000 \to 1$
$185,\!000 \rightarrow 5$	$185,\!000 \rightarrow 5$	$185{,}000 \rightarrow 1$
$h_1: 145,000 \to 2$	$h_2: 145,000 \to 3$	$h_3: 145,000 \to 8$
$120,\!000 \rightarrow 1$	$120,\!000 \rightarrow 4$	$120,\!000 \rightarrow 5$
$160,\!000 \rightarrow 8$	$160,\!000 \rightarrow 2$	$160,\!000 \rightarrow 3$

	show how array A would look like if we register in the filter the set S of cities from the table, i.e, $S = \{180,000185,000; 145,000\}$ ?
2	What will the filter answer (explain briefly why i.e. what makes you say the answer is that) if we use the filter

to test whether 120,000 belongs to	0 0, ,	say the answer is that) if we use the filter 160,000?

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ We will consider there may be NULL in the data, and will not accept an answer relying on CASE ... WHEN ... IS NULL

3.	Designing good hash functions is som	etimes tricky. Here a	re a 3 propositions	(% is the modulo	operator =
	remainder of euclidean division). Disc	uss which you consider	r best and explain p	recisely why:	

1	: m	=	100	000	, k	= :	3, 7	$i_1$ :	: <i>x</i>	$\mapsto$	$\cdot x$	%1	100	00,	,		1	$l_2$	: a	c -	<b>→</b> (	(2 :	* <i>x</i>	;)%	610	000	),		h	3 :	x	<del></del>	;)	3 *	$\cdot x$	)%	í1(	000	)						
2	: m	=	100	00,	k =	= 3,	$h_{1}$	ı : .	x +	$\rightarrow$	x%	610	000	),			$h_2$	2:	x	$\mapsto$	(2	*	x)	%:	100	00,			$h_3$	::	<i>x</i> +	$\rightarrow$	(3)	*:	$(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	%1	100	00							
3	: m	=	100	), k	=	3,	$h_1$	: <i>x</i>	<u> </u>	$\rightarrow x$	%!	97,			h	2	: x	-	<b>→</b> (	$(h_1)$	$(\alpha$	;) -	+ 2	r%	89	)%	10	0,			$h_3$	: :	<i>r</i> +	$\rightarrow$	(h	1(:	x)	+	2 >	k (	x%	689	));	%1	.00
	• • •	• • •	• • •	•		• • •		• • •		• •	• • •	• •	• • •		• •	•	•	• •	• •	• •		••	• •	• • •	• •		• •	•	• • •	• •		• •	• •	• •			• •	• •	• • •	•	• •		•	• • •	• • •
	• • •		• • •	• •				• • •		• •		• •					٠.	٠.	٠.	• •		• •	• •		• •		• •	• •		٠.		٠.	٠.	• •			• •	• •	• • •		• •	• • •	• •		• • •

# Exercise 5 (Column store)

(3pts

We consider a table Sales (employee, amount)² in column-major storage according to the Sanssouci(≃SAP Hana ≃ Delta-with-main) architecture discussed in the lectures. Suppose the differential buffer is merged into the main while the database is in the state illustrated below. How will the database look like after the merge operation if operations INSERT INTO Sales VALUES ('Drake',3.3 ), INSERT INTO Sales VALUES ('de Monteil', 4.3 ) are performed while the merge operation is running? BONUS if you also consider the operation DELETE FROM Sales WHERE amount=12.5 in addition to (say, after) the 2 inserts above.

#### Main Store for table Sales

Widin Stor	e loi table bales	•		
Dictionary	y	Attribute vector (employ	yee) Attr. vect. (sales)	Validity vector
IDValue	employee	IDLine IDValue	IDLine Value	IDLine valid
0	de Grasse	0 0	0 10.5	0 0
1	Hood	1 0	1   4.5	1   1
2	Rochambeau	2   2	2   11.5	2 0
		3   1	3   8	3   1
		$4 \mid 0$	4   12.5	4   1
		5   1	5   1.5	5   1

## Differential Buffer

Dictionary	y	Attribut	e vector (employ	ee) Attr. vect. (sales)	Validity vector
Dictionary IDValue 0 1 2	employee de Grasse Graves Barras	Attribut IDLine 0 1 2 3	IDValue 0 1 2 0	ee) Attr. vect. (sales)  IDLine Value 0 8.75 1 3.75 2 12.75 3 11.75	Validity vector  IDLine   valid   0   1   1   1   2   1   3   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1

\* Return this exam sheet together with the other answer sheet and your draft \* (I will throw away the draft without evaluating it)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The amount column is not dictionary encoded but stored 'as is'.