

## Homework for Lecture 3.3 Wick's Theorem

1. Show the following are true:

$$n[\overbrace{a_p a_q a_r^\dagger a_s a_t^\dagger a_u^\dagger}] = -\delta_{pr} \delta_{qt} a_u^\dagger a_s$$

$$n[\overbrace{a_p a_q a_r^\dagger a_s a_t^\dagger a_u^\dagger}] = \delta_{pr} \delta_{qt} \delta_{su}$$

2. Show that

$$\overbrace{a_p a_q^\dagger} = n[\overbrace{a_p a_q^\dagger}]$$

3. Show that  $a_p a_q^\dagger a_r^\dagger = \delta_{pq} a_r^\dagger - \delta_{pr} a_q^\dagger + a_q^\dagger a_r^\dagger a_p$  can be rewritten as:

$$a_p a_q^\dagger a_r^\dagger = n[a_p a_q^\dagger a_r^\dagger] + n[\overbrace{a_p a_q^\dagger a_r^\dagger}] + n[\overbrace{a_p a_q^\dagger a_r^\dagger}]$$

4. Explicitly write out Wick's theorem for a general product of 3 operators,  $x_1 x_2 x_3$ . If you want more practice, try writing out Wick's theorem for a general product of 5 operators.
5. Explicitly write out Wick's theorem for a general product of 6 operators,  $n[x_1 x_2 x_3] n[x_4 x_5 x_6]$  (use generalized Wick's theorem)
6. Evaluate

$$\langle \Phi_{pq} | \Phi_{rst} \rangle$$

using Wick's theorem. Compare the work with the work done for HW 3.2 Problem 1.

7. Evaluate

$$\langle \Phi_{pqr} | \Phi_{stu} \rangle$$

using Wick's theorem. (Hint: see Problem 5)

8. You showed in HW 3.1 Problem 4 that the overlap of a Slater determinant is a Slater determinant of overlaps for  $\langle \Phi_{pq} | \Phi_{rs} \rangle$ . Now, show this generally for a Slater determinant:

$$\langle \Phi_{p_1 \dots p_N} | \Phi_{q_1 \dots q_N} \rangle$$

9. For  $\langle \Phi_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_N} | H | \Phi_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_N} \rangle$  which differ by one spin-orbital such that  $p_1 \neq q_1, p_2 = q_2 \dots p_N = q_N$ , show that

$$\langle \Phi_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_N} | H | \Phi_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_N} \rangle = h_{p_1 q_1} + \sum_k \langle p_1 p_k || q_1 p_k \rangle$$

This is an example of Slater's second rule

10. For  $\langle \Phi_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_N} | H | \Phi_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_N} \rangle$  which differ by two spin-orbitals such that  $p_1 \neq q_1, p_2 \neq q_2, p_3 = q_3 \dots p_N = q_N$ , show that

$$\langle \Phi_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_N} | H | \Phi_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_N} \rangle = h_{p_1 q_1} + \langle p_1 p_2 || q_1 p_2 \rangle$$

This is an example of Slater's third rule

11. Apply Slater's first rule to explicit write out (i.e. without summation symbols) all terms for

$$\langle \Phi_{pqr} | H | \Phi_{stu} \rangle$$

(Hint: There should be six terms total)