

Lecture 3.1: Introduction to Second Quantization

February 3, 2020

1 The wavefunction is fully represented by a linear combination of Slater determinants

Recall that the set of all spin orbitals $\{\phi_p\}$ forms a basis for the one-particle space. They can be used to represent any single-particle state. These spin orbitals form a complete set; any single particle state ψ can be represented by a linear combination of spin orbitals:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_p |\phi_p\rangle \langle\phi_p|\psi\rangle$$

How many terms are in the summation over p ? In theory, we could have an infinite set of spin orbitals. For all practical purposes, however, we define a finite number of spin orbitals, known as the basis set.

A N-particle state Ψ can be expressed as a tensor product¹ of N spin orbitals multiplied by a coefficient:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} \cdots \sum_{p_N} |\phi_{p_1}\rangle \otimes |\phi_{p_2}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\phi_{p_N}\rangle \langle\phi_{p_N}| \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle\phi_{p_2}| \otimes \langle\phi_{p_1}|\Psi\rangle$$

Or to write it more succinctly:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{p_1 \cdots p_N} |\phi_{p_1 \cdots p_N}\rangle \langle\phi_{p_1 \cdots p_N}|\Psi\rangle \quad (1)$$

For a N-particle state made of fermions, our wavefunction is antisymmetric. Thus, the antisymmetrizer \hat{A} acting on state $|\Psi\rangle$ should not change it:

$$\hat{A}|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle$$

We will now replace $|\Psi\rangle$ with the expression in Equation 1:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi\rangle &= \hat{A}|\Psi\rangle \\ &= \sum_{p_1 \cdots p_N} \hat{A}|\phi_{p_1 \cdots p_N}\rangle \langle\phi_{p_1 \cdots p_N}|\Psi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

We can also replace $\langle\phi_{p_1 \cdots p_N}|\Psi\rangle$ with $\langle\phi_{p_1 \cdots p_N}|\hat{A}|\Psi\rangle$:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{p_1 \cdots p_N} \hat{A}|\phi_{p_1 \cdots p_N}\rangle \langle\phi_{p_1 \cdots p_N}|\hat{A}|\Psi\rangle$$

We can multiply this expression by a factor of one, expressed as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}}\sqrt{N!}$:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{p_1 \cdots p_N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sqrt{N!} \hat{A}|\phi_{p_1 \cdots p_N}\rangle \langle\phi_{p_1 \cdots p_N}|\hat{A}|\Psi\rangle$$

¹See <http://hitoshi.berkeley.edu/221A/tensorproduct.pdf> for a thorough explanation of tensor products

We see now that $\sqrt{N!}\hat{A}|\phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}\rangle$ is just what we've previously defined as our Slater determinant $|\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}\rangle$:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{p_1\cdots p_N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} |\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}\rangle \langle\phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}|\hat{A}|\Psi\rangle$$

Now, let's re-express the term $\langle\phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}|\hat{A}|\Psi\rangle$ by taking similar steps:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}|\hat{A}|\Psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sqrt{N!} \langle\phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}|\hat{A}|\Psi\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \langle\phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}|\hat{A}\sqrt{N!}|\Psi\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \langle\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}|\Psi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Putting this back into our expression for $|\Psi\rangle$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi\rangle &= \sum_{p_1\cdots p_N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} |\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}\rangle \langle\phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}|\hat{A}|\Psi\rangle \\ &= \sum_{p_1\cdots p_N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} |\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}\rangle \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \langle\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}|\Psi\rangle \\ &= \sum_{p_1\cdots p_N} \frac{1}{N!} |\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}\rangle \langle\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}|\Psi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

To simplify our expression further, we have to recognize two things. First, the indices $p_1\cdots p_N$ must all be unique in order to have a nonzero determinant. This is simply a manifestation of the antisymmetric properties of fermions. Thus, our sum goes from all $p_1\cdots p_N$ to $p_1 \neq \cdots \neq p_N$. Second, we recognize that since our determinant comes up in two places in the expression, any phase factor associated with a permutation of indices $p_1\cdots p_N$ will cancel out. Thus, all permutations of a set of indices $p_1\cdots p_N$ will give back the same expression. For a set with N members, there will be N! permutations for that set, and thus N! permutations that will give back the same expression. Thus, we can just reduce our summation to be over the set of unique permutations, represented by the ordered set $p_1 < \cdots < p_N$, and add a factor of N! to account for the other possible permutations of set $p_1\cdots p_N$ that give the same expression:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi\rangle &= N! \sum_{p_1 < \cdots < p_N} \frac{1}{N!} |\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}\rangle \langle\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}|\Psi\rangle \\ &= \sum_{p_1 < \cdots < p_N} N! \frac{1}{N!} |\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}\rangle \langle\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}|\Psi\rangle \\ &= \sum_{p_1 < \cdots < p_N} |\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}\rangle \langle\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}|\Psi\rangle \\ &= \sum_{p_1 < \cdots < p_N} c_p |\Phi_{p_1\cdots p_N}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

We have arrived at an expression that we know how to interpret! The Slater determinants form a basis in which we can expand a N-particle state $|\Psi\rangle$. $|\Psi\rangle$ can be completely expressed as a linear combination of all possible Slater determinants that can be formed from spin orbitals ϕ_p in a defined basis set, with a coefficient c_p . This expansion in terms of Slater determinants is often called the Configuration Interaction (CI) expansion.

There are some shortcomings to this way of expressing things. First, the description of the N-particle state $|\Psi\rangle$ is explicitly dependent on the particle number N. This means that a different expression has to be written for systems with different particle numbers N. Second, (talk about explicit bookkeeping of antisymmetry)

2 Representing Slater determinants with occupation numbers

We want to find a different way of representing our Slater determinant $\Phi_{p_1 \dots p_N}$. Let's think about what we actually need to define a Slater determinant. If we have a set of spin orbitals ϕ_p , all we really need to know in order to uniquely define a determinant is which ϕ_p is occupied, and thus included in our Slater determinant, and which ϕ_p is not. In other words, we only need the set of indices p . For the Slater determinant written as $\Phi_{p_1 \dots p_N}$, we need to know indices $p_1 \dots p_N$.

We can assign an “occupation number” to each ϕ_p in our basis set. If it is occupied and in our Slater determinant, we can assign a number 1, denoting that there is one electron in the spin-orbital. If it is unoccupied, we can assign a number 0. In this course, we are only dealing with electrons, which can only have occupation numbers 1 or 0, since two electrons cannot occupy the same spin orbital. For particles which are bosons, we do not have this limitation and the occupation numbers are only restricted by the total number of particles N (in that all occupation numbers must sum to N).

The general notation for representing a state in the occupation number (ON) representation is this:

$$|\mathbf{n}\rangle = |n_1 n_2 \dots n_p \dots n_M\rangle$$

where $n_p = 0$ if single-particle state p is unoccupied and $n_p = 1$ if single-particle state p is occupied. We see there are M occupation numbers, one for each spin-orbital in our basis.

The ON vectors can be manipulated as an ordinary inner-product vector space. We can define an inner product between two ON vectors \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{k} as:

$$\langle \mathbf{n} | \mathbf{k} \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{k}} = \prod_{p=1}^M \delta_{n_p k_p}$$

A general vector can be expressed as a linear combination of ON vectors

$$|\mathbf{c}\rangle = \sum_n c_n |\mathbf{n}\rangle$$

and an inner product of two general vectors as:

$$\langle \mathbf{c} | \mathbf{d} \rangle = \sum_n c_n^* d_n$$

We also have the usual resolution of the identity:

$$1 = \sum_n |\mathbf{n}\rangle \langle \mathbf{n}|$$

The sum n is over the **full** set of ON vectors for all numbers of electrons in a given basis with spin-orbitals M .

3 Relating the determinant and ON formalisms

For the set of ordered indices $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n$, a determinant $\Phi_{p_1 \dots p_N}$ is equivalent to the occupation vector $|\mathbf{n}_{p_1 \dots p_N}\rangle$:

$$|\Phi_{p_1 \dots p_N}\rangle = |\mathbf{n}_{p_1 \dots p_N}\rangle = |\dots n_p \dots\rangle$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} n_p &= 0 \text{ if } p \notin \{p_1 \dots p_N\} \\ n_p &= 1 \text{ if } p \in \{p_1 \dots p_N\} \end{aligned}$$

That is to say, n_p is zero if spin orbital ϕ_p is not part of the determinant $\Phi_{p_1 \dots p_N}$, and one if it is. Thus, in a given spin-orbital basis, there is a one-to-one mapping between Slater determinants with spin orbitals in canonical order and the ON vectors.

Let's go through an example. Say we have a 4 particle system. We choose to represent this system with a basis set of 10 spin orbitals. We form our first Slater determinant with particles in spin orbitals 1, 3, 4, and 7, and represent it as $|\Phi_{1347}\rangle$, or alternatively, $|\{\phi_1\phi_3\phi_4\phi_7\}\rangle$. How do we represent this in the occupation number (ON) representation? We simply use numbers 0 or 1 to represent all the spin orbitals in the basis set, putting a 1 when that spin orbital is occupied in the Slater determinant:

$$|\Phi_{1347}\rangle \rightarrow |1011001000\rangle$$

For a set of indices q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n that are not ordered,

$$|\Phi_{p_1 \dots p_N}\rangle = (-1)^R |\mathbf{n}_{p_1 \dots p_N}\rangle$$

where $R \in S_n$ represents the permutations such that $q_{R_1} < q_{R_2} < \dots < q_{R_n}$

Note that the choice to represent the Slater determinant in terms of occupation numbers is not much more abstract than our choice of representing a quantum state by a state vector $|\psi\rangle$. Just as the state vectors came with its own set of mathematical rules and physical interpretations, we now delve into the mathematics of the ON representation.

4 Creation and annihilation operators

Just as there were operators that acted on state vectors, there are operators that act on ON vectors. Can we come up with some operators that make sense for this representation? Remember that we are talking about performing actions on the numbers 1 or 0, corresponding to whether a spin-orbital is occupied or not. Realistically, there is not many basic actions that we can think of. In fact, there are just *two* basic operators that act in the ON representation: one that takes n_p from 1 to 0 (makes an occupied spin-orbital unoccupied) and one that takes n_p from 0 to 1 (makes an unoccupied spin-orbital occupied).

4.1 The Annihilation Operator

First, we can define an operator that takes n_p from 1 to 0. Formally, this is defined as:

$$a_p |\dots n_p \dots\rangle = (-1)^m n_p |\dots (1 - n_p) \dots\rangle \quad (2)$$

where

$$m = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} n_k$$

You can see that when n_p is 1, acting a_p on the ON state returns a phase factor times that state with $n_p=0$. Specifically, phase factor depends on the sum of all n before n_p . If n_p is already 0, we just get 0 for the final result.

We can represent this in determinant form, assuming an ordered set $\{p_1 < \dots < p_N\}$,

$$a_p |\Phi_{\dots p \dots}\rangle = (-1)^m |\Phi_{\dots \not{p} \dots}\rangle$$

where the \not{p} means spin orbital ϕ_p is not in the determinant Φ . In the language of determinants, a_p takes a determinant where spin orbital ϕ_p is occupied and makes it unoccupied. To say it another way, it decreases the number of particles in a state by one. If ϕ_p is already unoccupied, we get a 0 because you cannot take away a particle that is not there. We refer to a_p as the annihilation operator, because it annihilates a particle

from a particle state. What happens if we act a_p on a determinant with a single particle state p ? That is, where all n_k is 0 except for n_p ?

$$a_p |0 \cdots n_p \cdots 0\rangle = (-1)^m n_p |\cdots (1 - n_p) \cdots\rangle$$

We see that this gives us a state in which all occupation numbers are 0:

$$a_p |0 \cdots n_p \cdots 0\rangle = |0 \cdots 0 \cdots 0\rangle$$

We call this state the *true vacuum* state, and represent it as $|0\rangle$. It is an artificial state that we have defined so we know how the annihilation operator acts on single particle states, and it is normalized such that

$$\langle 0|0\rangle = 1$$

Using our definition of the annihilation operator in Equation 2, we know that

$$a_p |0\rangle = 0 \quad \forall p$$

4.2 The Creation Operator

We now need to define an operator that takes n_p from 0 to 1. To do this, let's start from a relation we have discussed in the previous section:

$$a_p |0 \cdots n_p \cdots 0\rangle = |0\rangle$$

We can take the adjoint of both sides:

$$\begin{aligned} (a_p |0 \cdots n_p \cdots 0\rangle)^\dagger &= (|0\rangle)^\dagger \\ \langle 0 \cdots n_p \cdots 0| a_p^\dagger &= \langle 0| \end{aligned}$$

We can now right project $|0\rangle$ on both sides:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 \cdots n_p \cdots 0| a_p^\dagger |0\rangle &= \langle 0|0\rangle \\ \langle 0 \cdots n_p \cdots 0| a_p^\dagger |0\rangle &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Remember that $\langle 0 \cdots n_p \cdots 0|$ represents a single particle state in which only spin orbital ϕ_p is occupied. We see that in order for the quantity $\langle 0 \cdots n_p \cdots 0| a_p^\dagger |0\rangle$ to equal 1, $a_p^\dagger |0\rangle$ has to also give a state in which just ϕ_p is occupied because of the orthonormality of the spin orbitals. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 \cdots n_p \cdots 0| a_p^\dagger |0\rangle &= 1 \\ \langle 0 \cdots n_p \cdots 0| 0 \cdots n_p \cdots 0\rangle &= 1 \\ a_p^\dagger |0\rangle &= |0 \cdots n_p \cdots 0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

We have arrived at something that gives us what we wanted: a way to take n_p from 0 to 1! We see that the desired operator on state ϕ_p is simply the adjoint of the annihilation operator on state ϕ_p .

Formally this is defined as:

$$a_p^\dagger |\cdots n_p \cdots\rangle = (-1)^m (1 - n_p) |\cdots (1 - n_p) \cdots\rangle \quad (3)$$

where

$$m = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} n_k$$

You can see that when n_p is 0, acting a_p^\dagger on the ON state returns a phase factor times that state with $n_p=1$. If n_p is already 1, we get a 0 for the final result.

We can represent this in determinant form, assuming an ordered set $\{p_1 < \dots < p_N\}$,

$$a_p^\dagger |\Phi \dots \cancel{p} \dots\rangle = (-1)^m |\Phi \dots p \dots\rangle$$

where the \cancel{p} means spin orbital ϕ_p is not in the determinant Φ . In the language of determinants, a_p^\dagger takes a determinant where spin orbital ϕ_p is unoccupied and makes it occupied. To say it another way, it increases the number of particles in a state by one. If ϕ_p is already occupied, we get a 0 because we cannot occupy the same spin state more than once. This is simply a reflection of the fermionic properties of the electron. We refer to a_p^\dagger as the creation operator, because it creates a particle and adds it to a state.

4.3 The number operator

The annihilation and creation operators are the building blocks for more complicated operators, which are represented by products of the two. If an operator is particle conserving, we expect the same number of creation and annihilation operators. The simplest particle conserving operator we can come up with is just the product of one creation and one annihilation operator:

$$a_p^\dagger a_p$$

There are two cases, applying the operator on a state in which $n_p = 1$ and a state in which $n_p = 0$. We now treat both cases to see the consequences of this operator:

Case 1: $n_p = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} a_p^\dagger a_p |\dots p \dots\rangle &= a_p^\dagger a_p |\dots 1 \dots\rangle \\ &= (-1)^m a_p^\dagger |\dots 0 \dots\rangle \\ &= (-1)^m (-1)^m |\dots 1 \dots\rangle \\ &= (1) |\dots n_p \dots\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $n_p = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} a_p^\dagger a_p |\dots p \dots\rangle &= a_p^\dagger a_p |\dots 0 \dots\rangle \\ &= (0) |\dots 0 \dots\rangle \end{aligned}$$

We see that generally,

$$a_p^\dagger a_p |\dots p \dots\rangle = n_p |\dots p \dots\rangle$$

Operating the operator $a_p^\dagger a_p$ on a state will give back the occupation number times that state. The occupation numbers thus are eigenvalues of the operator $a_p^\dagger a_p$. Because of this, we refer to this operator as the Number operator N_p . We can define a Total Number operator, $\hat{N} = \sum_p N_p$, which gives back the total numbers of particles in a state.

The number operator gives us some insight as to why we refer to this formalism as the occupation number occupation. Just as in the “energy representation”, basis states are eigenfunctions of an energy operator (Hamiltonian), in the “occupation number representation”, basis states are eigenfunctions of the number operator.

4.4 Anticommutation relations

Recall that the commutator of 2 operators \hat{A} and \hat{B} is defined as

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}$$

We can also defined an *anticommutator* for operators \hat{A} and \hat{B} :

$$\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\} = \hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A}$$

There are some key anticommutation relations that will be critical for mathematical manipulations for creation and annihilation operators:

1. $\{a_p, a_q\} = 0$
2. $\{a_p^\dagger, a_q^\dagger\} = 0$
3. $\{a_p^\dagger, a_q\} = \delta_{pq}$

Additionally, there are some properties that directly result from the anticommutation rules:

1. $(a_p)^2 = 0, (a_p^\dagger)^2 = 0$
2. $a_p a_q = -a_q a_p, a_p^\dagger a_q^\dagger = -a_q^\dagger a_p^\dagger$
3. $N_p^2 = N_p$
4. $[N_p, N_q] = 0$
5. $[a_p, N_q] = \delta_{pq} a_p$
6. $[a_p^\dagger, N_q] = -\delta_{pq} a_p^\dagger$

You will prove these anticommutation relations and properties in your homework.

5 The determinant can be represented by a string of creation operators

For an ordered set $p_1 < \dots < p_N$, we can see that

$$|\Phi_{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N}\rangle = a_{p_1}^\dagger |\Phi_{p_2, \dots, p_N}\rangle = a_{p_1}^\dagger a_{p_2}^\dagger |\Phi_{p_3, \dots, p_N}\rangle = a_{p_1}^\dagger a_{p_2}^\dagger \dots a_{p_N}^\dagger |0\rangle$$

In words, a Slater determinant can be represented by a string of creation operators acting on the vacuum in the same order as the spin states in the Slater determinant.

If we now consider a unordered set $q_1 \dots q_N$, we can conclude that

$$|\Phi_{q_1 \dots q_N}\rangle = a_{q_1}^\dagger \dots a_{q_N}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (4)$$

since in order to put $q_1 \dots q_N$ in order, the change of sign will be the same whether we permute the determinant or the string of operators. Since the change of sign is the same on both sides, we do not have to keep track of it. Thus, *any* string of creation operators acting on the vacuum is equivalent to the corresponding Slater determinant with the same ordering of states. The determinant can be directly represented as a string of creation operators without phase ambiguity. We have now arrived at the reason we refer to this formalism as “second quantization”. In “first quantization”, we formulate physical observables to be represented by operators. These operators act on a functional form of our wavefunction. In “second quantization”, our wavefunction itself is represented by a string of operators. The next task is to formulate our Hamiltonian in terms of creation and annihilation operators. Once that is done, everything will be in terms of these two operators, and we will see that this unified representation serves to greatly simplify our mathematics.

From here on out, we will go back and forth between the two forms in equation 4, without much reference to the occupation number formalism. It was useful for understanding the context of creation and annihilation operators, but for our purposes it will be easier to represent our wavefunction in the determinant representation and not with occupation numbers.

6 A note on spaces

We refer to the space of all possible Slater determinants for a system with N particles in M spin orbitals as the N -particle Hilbert space. In the ON formalism, the N -particle Hilbert space contains all ON vectors for which the sum of occupation numbers is N :

$$N = \sum_{P=1}^M n_P$$

The N -particle Hilbert space can be thought of as a subset of the *Fock space*. A 2^M -dimensional Fock space $F(M)$ is defined as a direct sum of subspaces $F(M, N)$:

$$F(M) = F(M, 0) \oplus F(M, 1) \oplus F(M, 2) \oplus \cdots \oplus F(M, N)$$

where each $F(M, N)$ is the just the N -particle Hilbert space defined above. The occupation vectors

$$|\mathbf{n}\rangle = |n_1 n_2 \cdots n_p \cdots n_M\rangle$$

form an orthonormal basis in the Fock space $F(M)$. We see that the creation and annihilation operators take us from one $F(M, N_1)$ to another $F(M, N_2)$. $F(M, 0)$ is the true vacuum state $|0\rangle$.

7 Recommended Resources

- Lecture notes of J. Paldus <http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~paldus/resources.html>
- Lectures of Piotr Piecuch (Search Piotr Piecuch CHEM 580/PHYSICS 580 on Youtube)
- “The Many-Body Problem in Quantum Mechanics” by March, Young, and Sampanthar
- “Molecular Electronic-Structure Theory” by Helgaker et al.