1 Second Quantization

Definition 1.1. Slater determinant. A Slater determinant is a normalized antisymmetric product of spin-orbitals

$$\Phi_{(p_1\cdots p_n)}(1,\ldots,n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \varepsilon_{\pi} \psi_{p_{\pi(1)}}(1) \cdots \psi_{p_{\pi(n)}}(n)$$

$$\tag{1.1}$$

where $\pi \in S_n$ is a permutation of $1 \cdots n$ with signature ε_{π} .

1.1 Deriving the second-quantized Hamiltonian from first quantization

Let \mathcal{F}_n denote the span of n-electron determinants and consider the integral operator $\hat{a}_p: \mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ given by

$$(\hat{a}_p \Psi)(2, \cdots, n) \equiv \sqrt{n} \int d(1)\psi_p^*(1)\Psi(1, 2, \cdots, n).$$
 (1.2)

This operator acts on Slater determinants as follows.

its on Slater determinants as follows.
$$(\hat{a}_p \Phi_{(p_1 \cdots p_n)})(2, \cdots, n) = \begin{cases} (-)^{k-1} \Phi_{(p_1 \cdots p_k \cdots p_n)}(2, \dots, n) & p = p_k \in (p_1 \cdots p_n) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(1.3)$$

In words, it deletes ψ_p from $\Phi_{(p_1\cdots p_n)}$ if present, otherwise killing the determinant. The restriction to an antisymmetric space makes these operators anticommute, $\hat{a}_p\hat{a}_q=-\hat{a}_q\hat{a}_p$, since it can be shown that for $\Psi\in\mathcal{F}_n$

$$\int d(1)d(2)\psi_p^*(1)\psi_q^*(2)\Psi(1,2,\cdots,n) = -\int d(1)d(2)\psi_q^*(1)\psi_p^*(2)\Psi(1,2,\cdots,n)$$

by swapping integration variables. These operators can be used to generate the following decompositions.²

$$\Psi(1, \dots, n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p}^{\infty} \psi_{p}(1) (\hat{a}_{p} \Psi) (2, \dots, n)$$
(1.4)

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \sum_{pq}^{\infty} \psi_p(1)\psi_q(2)(\hat{a}_q \hat{a}_p \Psi)(3, \dots, n)$$
 (1.5)

Therefore, matrix elements of the electronic Hamiltonian with respect to $\Psi, \Psi' \in \mathcal{F}_n$ can be expressed as

$$\langle \Psi | \hat{H}_e \Psi' \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \Psi | \hat{h}(i) \Psi' \rangle + \sum_{i < j}^n \langle \Psi | \hat{g}(i,j) \Psi' \rangle = n \langle \Psi | \hat{h}(1) \Psi' \rangle + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \langle \Psi | \hat{g}(1,2) \Psi' \rangle$$

$$\infty$$

$$= \sum_{pq}^{\infty} h_{pq} \langle \hat{a}_p \Psi | \hat{a}_q \Psi' \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pqrs}^{\infty} \langle pq | rs \rangle \langle \hat{a}_q \hat{a}_p \Psi | \hat{a}_s \hat{a}_r \Psi' \rangle$$

in terms of the usual one- and two-electron integrals. Since Ψ and Ψ' are arbitrary elements of \mathcal{F}_n , this implies

$$\hat{H}_e \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_n} = \sum_{pq}^{\infty} h_{pq} \hat{a}_p^{\dagger} \hat{a}_q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pqrs}^{\infty} \langle pq|rs \rangle \hat{a}_p^{\dagger} \hat{a}_q^{\dagger} \hat{a}_s \hat{a}_r$$
(1.6)

which is the second quantized form of the Hamiltonian, as opposed to the first quantized form which is not restricted to antisymmetric functions. A defining feature of the second quantization formalism is that \hat{H}_e is independent of the number of electrons, because eq (1.6) holds for all n.

¹The signature of a permutation is $(-)^{\# \text{ transpositions}}$

²These follow from substituting in the definition of \hat{a}_p and applying resolution of the identity to each argument.

1.2 Formal treatment of second quantization

Definition 1.2. Direct sums and products. The direct sum, \oplus , and direct product³, \otimes , are operations defining two different ways of combining vector spaces. Each operation takes a vector from one space and a vector the other to form an ordered pair, but they behave differently under vector addition and scalar multiplication. In a direct sum space $V \oplus V' \equiv \{v \oplus v' \mid v \in V, v' \in V'\}$, vector addition and scalar multiplication are defined by

$$v_1 \oplus v_1' + v_2 \oplus v_2' = (v_1 + v_2) \oplus (v_1' + v_2') \qquad c(v \oplus v') = cv \oplus cv', \qquad (1.7)$$

whereas, in a direct product space $V \otimes V' \equiv \{\sum v \otimes v' \mid v \in V, v' \in V'\}$, they are defined as follows.

$$v_1 \otimes v' + v_2 \otimes v' = (v_1 + v_2) \otimes v' \qquad v \otimes v'_1 + v \otimes v'_2 = v \otimes (v'_1 + v'_2) \qquad c(v \otimes v') = (cv) \otimes v' = v \otimes (cv') \tag{1.8}$$

Note that \oplus behaves like addition and \otimes behaves like multiplication. If $\{e_i\}$ and $\{e'_{i'}\}$ are basis sets for V and V', respectively, then $\{e_i \oplus 0'\} \cup \{0 \oplus e'_{i'}\}$ is a basis for their direct sum and $\{e_i \otimes e'_{i'}\}$ is a basis for their direct product. The dimension of the direct sum space is the sum of their dimensions, dim V + dim V', and that of the direct product space is the product of their dimensions, dim V · dim V'. Finally, if $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{V'}$ are inner products on V and V', then the following are inner products on the combined spaces.

$$\langle v \oplus v' | w \oplus w' \rangle_{V \oplus V'} \equiv \langle v | w \rangle_{V} + \langle v' | w' \rangle_{V'} \qquad \qquad \langle v \otimes v' | w \otimes w' \rangle_{V \otimes V'} \equiv \langle v | w \rangle_{V} \cdot \langle v' | w' \rangle_{V'}$$
(1.9)

Definition 1.3. Hilbert space. If \mathcal{H} is a one-electron Hilbert space spanned by a set of spin-orbitals $\{\psi_p\}$, then $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} = \mathcal{H} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H} = \operatorname{span}\{\psi_{p_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_{p_n}\}$ is an n-electron Hilbert space.⁴

Definition 1.4. Fock space. Let $\mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{H})$ denote span $\{\Phi_{(p_1\cdots p_n)}\}$, the antisymmetric subspace of $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$. Fock space is the union of these spaces, $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{F}_0(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \mathcal{F}_2(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{F}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H})$, comprising all possible electronic wavefunctions.

Definition 1.5. Occupation vectors. In the occupation number formalism, Fock space basis states are represented as occupation vectors. These are denoted by a series of bits, $|\mathbf{n}\rangle \equiv |n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{\infty}\rangle$, where $n_p = 1$ when ψ_p is occupied and $n_p = 0$ when it isn't. The fully unoccupied state is called the vacuum, denoted $|\text{vac}\rangle$, which spans $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathcal{H})$.

Definition 1.6. Particle-hole operators. Particle-hole operators change the occupation numbers of one-particle states. The annihilation operator of ψ_p is a linear mapping $a_p : \mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{F}_{n-1}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$a_p|\cdots n_p\cdots\rangle = (-)^{n_1+\cdots+n_{p-1}}|\cdots n_p-1\cdots\rangle$$
 if $n_p=1$
$$a_p|\cdots n_p\cdots\rangle = 0$$
 if $n_p=0$ (1.10)

and the creation operator of ψ_p is a linear mapping $c_p: \mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{F}_{n+1}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$c_p|\cdots n_p\cdots\rangle = (-)^{n_1+\cdots+n_{p-1}}|\cdots n_p+1\cdots\rangle \quad \text{if } n_p=0 \qquad c_p|\cdots n_p\cdots\rangle = 0 \quad \text{if } n_p=1. \tag{1.11}$$

Proposition 1.1. $c_p = a_p^{\dagger}$. Creation and annihilation operators of the same state ψ_p are adjoints of each other. Proof: $\langle n'_1 n'_2 \cdots | a_p[n_1 n_2 \cdots] \rangle$ vanishes unless $n'_p = 0$, $n_p = 1$, and $n'_q = n_q \ \forall q \neq p$. Likewise for $\langle c_p[n'_1 n'_2 \cdots] | n_1 n_2 \cdots \rangle$. Therefore, $\langle \Psi | a_p \Psi' \rangle = \langle c_p \Psi | \Psi' \rangle$ for all $\Psi, \Psi' \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ and $c_p = a_p^{\dagger}$ by the definition of adjoint.

Proposition 1.2. $[q, q']_+ = \delta_{q'q^{\dagger}}$. Particle-hole operators q and q' anticommute unless $q' = q^{\dagger}$, for which $[q, q^{\dagger}]_+ = 1$. Proof: Let q and q' be arbitrary particle-hole operators acting on ψ_p and $\psi_{p'}$, respectively. First, suppose $p \neq p'$. Then

$$qq'|\cdots n_p \cdots n_{p'} \cdots\rangle = (-)^{n_p + \sum_{r=p+1}^{p'} n_r} |\cdots \overline{n_p} \cdots \overline{n_{p'}} \cdots\rangle$$
, and $q'q|\cdots n_p \cdots n_{p'} \cdots\rangle = (-)^{\overline{n_p} + \sum_{r=p+1}^{p'} n_r} |\cdots \overline{n_p} \cdots \overline{n_{p'}} \cdots\rangle$

where $\overline{n_p}$ and $\overline{n_{p'}}$ are the occupations after applying q and q'. Since n_p and $\overline{n_p}$ differ by one, qq' = -q'q. The second case, p = p', implies $q' \in \{q, q^{\dagger}\}$. If q' = q, then qq' = -q'q = 0. If $q' = q^{\dagger}$, either $n_p = 1 \implies (a_p^{\dagger} a_p + a_p a_p^{\dagger}) | \cdots n_p \cdots \rangle = (1+0) | \cdots n_p \cdots \rangle$ or $n_p = 0 \implies (a_p^{\dagger} a_p + a_p a_p^{\dagger}) | \cdots n_p \cdots \rangle = (0+1) | \cdots n_p \cdots \rangle$. Either way, $q' = q^{\dagger} \implies (qq' + q'q) = 1$.

³Also known as a tensor product

⁴These basis vectors are abstract representations spin-orbital product functions, $\langle 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes n | \psi_{p_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_{p_n} \rangle = \psi_{p_1}(1) \cdots \psi_{p_n}(n)$, which are known as *Hartree products*.

⁵These basis vectors are Slater determinants, abstracted from position space: $\Phi_{(p_1\cdots p_n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \psi_{p_{\pi(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_{p_{\pi(n)}}$. Equation 1.1 corresponds to $\langle 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes n | \Phi_{(p_1\cdots p_n)} \rangle = \Phi_{(p_1\cdots p_n)}(1,\ldots,n)$.

⁶These are anticommutator brackets, $[q, q']_+ \equiv qq' + q'q$.

Remark 1.1. Relating the determinant and occupation number formalisms. When $p_1 < \cdots < p_n$, $\Phi_{(p_1 \cdots p_n)}$ is equivalent to the occupation vector $|\mathbf{n}_{(p_1\cdots p_n)}\rangle$ with ones at p_1,\cdots,p_n . Otherwise, this determinant is equivalent to $\varepsilon_{\pi}|\mathbf{n}_{(p_1\cdots p_n)}\rangle$ for $\pi\in S_n$ such that $p_{\pi(1)}<\cdots< p_{\pi(n)}$. The actions of a_p and a_p^{\dagger} on $\Phi_{(p_1\cdots p_n)}$ are given by

$$a_{p}\Phi_{(p_{1}\cdots p_{n})} = (-)^{k-1}\Phi_{(p_{1}\cdots p_{k}\cdots p_{n})} \text{ if } p = p_{k} \in (p_{1}\cdots p_{n})$$

$$a_{p}\Phi_{(p_{1}\cdots p_{n})} = 0 \text{ if } p \notin (p_{1}\cdots p_{n})$$

$$a_{p}\Phi_{(p_{1}\cdots p_{n})} = 0 \text{ if } p \notin (p_{1}\cdots p_{n})$$

$$a_{p}^{\dagger}\Phi_{(p_{1}\cdots p_{n})} = 0 \text{ if } p \in (p_{1}\cdots p_{n})$$

$$(1.12)$$

$$a_p^{\dagger} \Phi_{(p_1 \cdots p_n)} = (-)^{k-1} \Phi_{(p_1 \cdots p_{k-1} p p_k \cdots p_n)} \text{ if } p \notin (p_1 \cdots p_n) \qquad a_p^{\dagger} \Phi_{(p_1 \cdots p_n)} = 0 \text{ if } p \in (p_1 \cdots p_n)$$

$$(1.13)$$

which follows directly from Eqs (1.10) and (1.11) when $p_1 < \cdots < p_n$. Other cases follow from the fact that any sign factors for permuting $(p_1 \cdots p_n)$ cancel on both sides of the equation, including the position of insertion or deletion, p_k , whose phase is tracked by $(-)^{k-1}$ on the right. That is, both sides of the equation are antisymmetric to permutations of $(1 \cdots n)$. Note that Eq (1.12) was also derived in Section 1.1 using the position-space representation of a_n . One advantage of the determinant basis is that, unlike occupation vectors, determinants translate directly into strings of creations operators

$$|\Phi_{(p_1\cdots p_n)}\rangle = a_{p_1}^{\dagger}\cdots a_{p_n}^{\dagger}|\text{vac}\rangle$$
 (1.14)

without any phase ambiguity. Together with the second quantized form of the electronic Hamiltonian, this boils much of the grunt work of electronic structure theory down to particle-hole operator algebra.

Definition 1.7. Excitation operators and excited determinants. Operator strings of the form $a_{p_1}^{\dagger} \cdots a_{p_m}^{\dagger} a_{q_m} \cdots a_{q_1}$ are called excitation operators. For a given reference determinant Φ , excited determinants can be constructed as

$$\Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_m}^{a_1 \cdots a_m} = a_{a_1}^{\dagger} \cdots a_{a_m}^{\dagger} a_{i_m} \cdots a_{i_1} \Phi = a_{a_1}^{\dagger} a_{i_1} \cdots a_{a_m}^{\dagger} a_{i_m} \Phi$$
(1.15)

where i_1, \dots, i_m are occupied and a_1, \dots, a_m are virtual indices with respect to Φ .

Definition 1.8. Particle-hole isomorphism. The particle-hole isomorphism with respect to the reference determinant $|\Phi\rangle = |1 \cdots 1 \ 0 \ 0 \cdots\rangle$ is a mapping $F(\mathcal{H}) \to F(\mathcal{H})$ that inverts the bits occupied in Φ :

$$|k_1 \cdots k_n k_{n+1} k_{n+2} \cdots\rangle \mapsto |\overline{k}_1 \cdots \overline{k}_n k_{n+1} k_{n+2} \cdots\rangle$$
 where $\overline{k}_i = 1 - k_i$.

Physically, this corresponds to shift in perspective from the particle frame to a quasiparticle frame, where the first n states are viewed as holes rather than particles. This makes $|\text{vac}\rangle \mapsto |\overline{1}\cdots\overline{1}00\cdots\rangle$ a state of n holes and no particles. $|\Phi\rangle\mapsto|\overline{0}\cdots\overline{0}\ 0\ 0\cdots\rangle$ becomes the *quasiparticle vacuum state*, in which all hole and particle states are unoccupied.

Definition 1.9. Quasiparticle creation and annihilation operators. If we apply Def 1.6 to the new quasiparticle Fock space, we end up with a new system of quasi-particle-hole operators $\{b_p\} \cup \{b_p^{\dagger}\}$, related to the old set via

$$a_i \mapsto b_i^{\dagger}$$
 $a_i^{\dagger} \mapsto b_i$ $a_a \mapsto b_a$ $a_a^{\dagger} \mapsto b_a^{\dagger}$ (1.16)

where i and a are occupied and virtual indices with respect to the reference determinant Φ . $\{a_i^{\dagger}\} \cup \{a_a\} \mapsto \{b_p\}$ are therefore quasiparticle annihilation operators and $\{a_i\} \cup \{a_a^{\dagger}\} \mapsto \{b_p^{\dagger}\}\$ are quasiparticle creation operators.

Remark 1.2. The standard expression for the second-quantized Hamiltonian is

$$H_e = \sum_{pq} h_{pq} a_p^{\dagger} a_q + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq | | rs \rangle a_p^{\dagger} a_q^{\dagger} a_s a_r$$

$$\tag{1.17}$$

where the summations run over the full set of spin-orbitals. Note that this matches Eq (1.6), except that we have rearranged the second term to express it in terms of antisymmetrized integrals. In terms of quasi-particle-hole operators,

$$H_{e} = \sum_{ab} h_{ab} b_{a}^{\dagger} b_{b} + \sum_{ai} h_{ai} b_{a}^{\dagger} b_{i}^{\dagger} + \sum_{ia} h_{ia} b_{i} b_{a} + \sum_{ij} h_{ij} b_{i} b_{j}^{\dagger}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{abcd} \langle ab||cd \rangle b_{a}^{\dagger} b_{b}^{\dagger} b_{d} b_{c} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{abci} \langle ab||ci \rangle b_{a}^{\dagger} b_{b}^{\dagger} b_{i}^{\dagger} b_{c} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{aibc} \langle ai||bc \rangle b_{a}^{\dagger} b_{i} b_{c} b_{b} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{abij} \langle ab||ij \rangle b_{a}^{\dagger} b_{b}^{\dagger} b_{j}^{\dagger} b_{i}^{\dagger} + \sum_{aibj} \langle ai||bj \rangle b_{a}^{\dagger} b_{i}^{\dagger} b_{j}^{\dagger} b_{b}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ijab} \langle ij||ab \rangle b_{i} b_{j} b_{b} b_{a} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} \langle ia||jk \rangle b_{i} b_{a}^{\dagger} b_{k}^{\dagger} b_{j}^{\dagger} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} \langle ij||ka \rangle b_{i} b_{j} b_{a} b_{k}^{\dagger} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ijkl} \langle ij||kl \rangle b_{i} b_{j} b_{l}^{\dagger} b_{k}^{\dagger}$$

$$(1.18)$$

where we have split the full summations above into summations over occupied and virtual orbitals and grouped like terms.

Definition 1.10. Normal order. A string $q_1 \cdots q_n$ of particle-hole operators is in normal order when all of its creation operators sit to the left of its annihilation operators. That is, when the string has the form $a_{p_1}^{\dagger} \cdots a_{p_m}^{\dagger} a_{r_1} \cdots q_{r_{m'}}$. This guarantees that its vacuum expectation value vanishes, $\langle \operatorname{vac}|q_1 \cdots q_n|\operatorname{vac} \rangle = 0$. More generally, we say that $q_1 \cdots q_n$ is in Φ -normal order if it maps into a string of the form $b_{p_1}^{\dagger} \cdots b_{p_m}^{\dagger} b_{r_1} \cdots b_{r_{m'}}$ under particle-hole isomorphism referenced to Φ , since this guarantees that $\langle \Phi|q_1 \cdots q_n|\Phi \rangle = 0$.

Example 1.1. In second quantization, any operator string can be expanded as a linear combination of strings which are in normal order. The expectation value of a string is always equal to the constant term in this expansion. For example:

$$a_{p}a_{q}^{\dagger} = -a_{q}^{\dagger}a_{p} + \delta_{pq} \implies \langle \operatorname{vac}|a_{p}a_{q}^{\dagger}|\operatorname{vac}\rangle = \delta_{pq}$$

$$a_{p}a_{q}a_{s}^{\dagger}a_{r}^{\dagger} = a_{r}^{\dagger}a_{s}^{\dagger}a_{q}a_{p} + \delta_{ps}a_{r}^{\dagger}a_{q} - \delta_{pr}a_{s}^{\dagger}a_{q} - \delta_{qs}a_{r}^{\dagger}a_{p} + \delta_{qr}a_{s}^{\dagger}a_{p} - \delta_{ps}\delta_{qr} + \delta_{pr}\delta_{qs} \implies \langle \operatorname{vac}|a_{p}a_{q}a_{s}^{\dagger}a_{r}^{\dagger}|\operatorname{vac}\rangle = \delta_{pr}\delta_{qs} - \delta_{ps}\delta_{qr}$$

where we have made repeated use of Prop 1.2 to arrive at these expansions. This strategy becomes unwieldy for expectation values of a reference determinant Φ , in which case it is more convenient to use particle-hole isomorphism. For example, consider the following matrix element of the core Hamiltonian.

$$\sum_{pq} h_{pq} \langle \Phi | a_p^\dagger a_q | \Phi_i^a \rangle = \sum_{bc} h_{bc} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_b^\dagger b_c b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{bj} h_{bj} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_b^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jb} h_{jb} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_j b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_b b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle} + \sum_{jk} h_{jk} \underbrace{\langle \Phi | b_b b_b^\dagger b_a^\dagger b_a^$$

Only the third term survives, because the others generate a ket state with a different number of quasi-particles from the bra state.