1. Prove the following identity.

$$\tilde{a}_{q_{1}\cdots q_{m}}^{p_{1}\cdots p_{m}} = (\frac{1}{m!})^{2} \, \overline{\delta}_{p'_{1}\cdots p'_{m}}^{q'_{1}\cdots q'_{m}} \, \tilde{a}_{q'_{1}\cdots q'_{m}}^{p'_{1}\cdots p'_{m}} \qquad \qquad \overline{\delta}_{p'_{1}\cdots p'_{m}}^{q'_{1}\cdots q'_{m}} \equiv \hat{P}_{(q_{1}/\cdots/q_{m})}^{(p_{1}/\cdots/p_{m})} \delta_{p'_{1}}^{p_{1}} \cdots \delta_{p'_{m}}^{p_{m}} \delta_{q_{1}}^{q'_{1}} \cdots \delta_{q_{m}}^{q'_{m}}$$

$$(1)$$

- 2. Derive each of the following rules in your own words.
  - (a) Each set of k equivalent internal lines<sup>1</sup> or equivalent subgraphs contributes a factor of k! to the degeneracy.
  - (b) Each open cycle contributes  $(-)^{h_i}a_a^p$  to the normal-ordered product, where and p and q label the free ends.
  - (c) Each closed loop contributes  $(-)^{h_i+1}$  to the overall sign, where  $h_i$  is the number of hole lines.
  - (d) The overall sign of a closed graph is  $(-)^{h+l}$ , where h and l denote the total number of hole lines and loops.
  - (e) For each bare excitation operator in a coefficient graph, the coefficient lines contribute an antisymmetrizer  $\hat{P}_{(Q_1/\cdots/Q_k)}^{(P_1/\cdots/P_h)}$  where the  $P_i$ 's and  $Q_i$ 's label subsets of equivalent creation and annihilation lines, respectively.
- 3. Assuming Lemma 4.1 holds true, prove Wick's Theorem for Graphs (WTG) in your own words.
- 4. Expand the full electronic Hamiltonian H in terms of  $\Phi$ -normal-ordered operators using WTG.
- 5. Using KM notation, split the Einstein summations in  $H_c$  into summations over occupied and virtual indices. After combining like terms in your expansion, translate each one into a graph.
- 6. Derive the CIS Hamiltonian matrix as a coefficient graph using WTG.
- 7. Derive the CID energy and coefficient equations using WTG.
- 8. Derive the CCD energy and coefficient ("amplitude") equations using WTG.
- 9. Compare the CID and CCD equations, and explain the cancellation of unlinked terms in the CCD amplitude equation.
- 10. Show that the CCD amplitude equation can be written as

$$t_{ab}^{ij} = (\mathcal{E}_{ab}^{ij})^{-1} \langle \Phi | (H_c - f_p^p \tilde{a}_p^p) \exp(T_2) | \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{E}_{ab}^{ij} \equiv f_i^i + f_j^j - f_a^a - f_b^b$$
 (2)

for a general, possibly non-canonical, reference determinant. Write this equation in graphical form using resolvent lines.

- 11. If  $\Phi$  is an excellent approximation to the wavefunction the coupled-cluster amplitudes will be very small, leading to  $T_2 \approx 0 \implies \exp(T_2) \approx 1$ . This is the so-called *first-order approximation* of equation 2. Write down graphical and algebraic expressions for these *first-order amplitudes*,  ${}^{(1)}t^{ij}_{ab}$ , assuming  $\Phi$  is a canonical Hartree-Fock reference function. Substitute these amplitudes into the CCD energy expression to derive the *second-order energy*,  $E_c^{(2)}$ . This is the MP2 energy expression.<sup>2</sup>
- 12. If the  $T_2$  amplitudes are small but not negligible, we can improve upon the first-order approximation using  $\exp(T_2) \approx 1 + T_2$ , which is known as linearized CCD or "CEPA<sub>0</sub>".<sup>3</sup> Write down the CEPA<sub>0</sub> amplitude equation in graphical form.
- 13. Whereas the MP2 energy can be determined in a single step, the CEPA<sub>0</sub> and CCD equations require iterative solution. Typically, this is achieved by starting from a guess of  $T_2 \approx 0$  and repeatedly substituting the amplitudes into the right-hand side of equation 2 until self-consistency is reached.<sup>4</sup>

$${}^{[n+1]}t_{ab}^{ij} = (\mathcal{E}_{ab}^{ij})^{-1} \langle \Phi | (H_c - f_p^p \tilde{a}_p^p) \exp({}^{[n]}T_2) | \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$$

$${}^{[0]}t_{ab}^{ij} \equiv 0$$

$$(3)$$

Write down graphical and algebraic expressions for the first- and second-iteration  $CEPA_0$  amplitudes, as well as the corresponding energies. This procedure can be carried out indefinitely, which shows that the exact  $CEPA_0$  and CCD amplitudes contain contributions to infinite order in perturbation theory.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If rule 2e is used, this excludes coefficient lines. Otherwise, replace rule 2e with the full antisymmetrizer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>MP2 stands for "second-order Møller-Plesset perturbation theory".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>CEPA stands for "coupled electron-pair approximation".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The numbers in square brackets refer to the iteration.