2 Wick's theorem

Definition 2.1. Normal ordering. The normal ordering of a string $q_1 \cdots q_n$ of particle-hole operators is the mapping $q_1 \cdots q_n \mapsto :q_1 \cdots q_n :\equiv \varepsilon_{\pi} q_{\pi(1)} \cdots q_{\pi(n)}$ where $\pi \in S_n$ is a permutation that puts the string in normal order. More generally, Φ -normal ordering maps the string into $:q_1 \cdots q_n :\equiv \varepsilon_{\sigma} q_{\sigma(1)} \cdots q_{\sigma(n)}$ where σ puts the string in Φ -normal order.

Definition 2.2. Contraction. A contraction of two particle particle-hole operators q_1 and q_2 is the difference between their product and its normal-ordering, $: q_1 q_2 : \equiv q_1 q_2 - : q_1 q_2 :$. This associates a scalar value with every pair in $\{a_p\} \cup \{a_p^{\dagger}\}$.

More generally, we can define a Φ -normal contraction of two operators by subtracting their Φ -normal-ordering instead, $\sharp q_1 q_2 \colon \equiv q_1 q_2 - \sharp q_1 q_2 \colon$. In this case, contractions of like operators still vanish but the mixed cases are more complicated.

$$\mathbf{i} \overline{a_p^\dagger} \overline{a_q} \mathbf{i} = \gamma_{pq} \qquad \mathbf{i} \overline{a_p} \overline{a_q^\dagger} \mathbf{i} = \eta_{pq} \qquad \gamma_{pq} \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \delta_{pq} & p,q \text{ occupied in } \Phi \\ 0 & p,q \text{ virtual} \end{array} \right. \qquad \eta_{pq} \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & p,q \text{ occupied in } \Phi \\ \delta_{pq} & p,q \text{ virtual} \end{array} \right.$$

In words, dagger-on-the-left contractions ("hole contractions") are elements of a matrix γ which is zero everywhere but its occupied block, where $\gamma_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, whereas daggers-on-the-right contractions ("particle contractions") are elements of a matrix η which is zero everywhere but its virtual block, $\eta_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$. Noting that $\mathbf{i}[q_1,q_2] = \langle \Phi|q_1q_2 - \mathbf{i}[q_1q_2] \Phi \rangle = \langle \Phi|q_1q_2|\Phi \rangle$, these matrices can be identified as $\gamma_{pq} = \langle \Phi|a_p^{\dagger}a_q|\Phi \rangle$ and $\eta_{pq} = \langle \Phi|a_p^{\dagger}a_q^{\dagger}|\Phi \rangle$, known as the *one-particle* and *one-hole density matrices* of Φ , respectively.

Notation 2.1. Normal-ordered strings with contractions. Let the notation $q_1 \cdots q_i \cdots q_j \cdots q_n$: stand for

where the phase factor corresponds to the signature of the permutation required to bring q_i and q_j together. The same rule applies for normal-ordered strings with multiple contraction lines.

Problem 2.1. Show that the expansion of $a_p a_q a_s^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger}$ in terms of strings that are in normal order

$$a_p a_q a_s^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger} = a_s^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger} a_p a_q + \delta_{ps} a_r^{\dagger} a_q - \delta_{pr} a_s^{\dagger} a_q - \delta_{qs} a_r^{\dagger} a_p + \delta_{qr} a_s^{\dagger} a_p - \delta_{ps} \delta_{qr} + \delta_{pr} \delta_{qs}$$

$$(2.4)$$

can be expressed as follows, using notation 2.1.

$$a_p a_q a_s^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger} = : a_p a_q a_s^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger} : + : a_p a_$$

That is, the string equals its normal-ordering plus all possible contractions. This is one example of a general a result known as Wick's theorem, which will be proven below after we introduce some convenient notation.

Notation 2.2. For a particle-hole operator string $Q = q_1 \cdots q_n$, let the $Q(q_i q_j)$ denote $q_1 \cdots q_i \cdots q_j \cdots q_n$. This is well-defined as long as i < j. Let Q stand for the sum of all unique single, double, triple, etc. contractions of Q

$$i\overline{Q}i \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sum_{(i_1j_1)\cdots(i_kj_k)}^{\operatorname{Ctr}_k(Q)} iQ(\overline{q_{i_1}q_{j_1}}\cdots\overline{q_{i_k}q_{j_k}})i$$

where $\operatorname{Ctr}_k(Q)$ runs over all unique sets $\{(i_1j_2)\cdots(i_kj_k)\,|\,i_p< j_p\}$ of k pairs of operator indices in Q and $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$ is the floor function. Let $\overline{\overline{Q}}$; denote the sum of all complete contractions: the terms from $\overline{\overline{Q}}$; in which every operator in Q is involved in a contraction. Finally, let $\overline{\overline{Q}}Q'$; denote the sum of all single, double, etc. cross contractions: those in which all contractions have an operator from Q on the left and one from Q' on the right. In this context, contractions involving two operators from Q or two operators from Q' are called internal contractions of Q or Q'. To review, in this notation equation 2.5 would be written as $a_p a_q a_s^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger} = :a_p a_q a_s^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger} : + :\overline{a_p a_q a_s^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger}}:$ and the last two terms on the right equal $:\overline{a_p a_q a_s^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger}}:$

Lemma 2.1. $Q:q = Q:Q:+\sum_k Q(q_k)q:$. Proof: Let n be the number of operators in Q and assume, without loss of generality, that Q is already in normal order so that Q:=Q. If q is a quasiparticle annihilation operator then Q:=Q and all cross-contractions vanish, so the statement holds trivially. If q is a quasiparticle creation operator then $Qq := (-)^n qQ$ and, using an anticommutator relation derived in the appendix (prop A.1),

$$Qq = (-)^n qQ + \sum_{k=1}^n (-)^{n-k} q_1 \cdots [q_k, q]_+ \cdots q_n = \mathbf{i} Qq\mathbf{i} + \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{i} Q(q_k)q\mathbf{i}$$

since $Q(q_k)q_k = (-)^{n-k}$; $q_1 \cdots q_k q \cdots q_n$; and $q_k q = [q_k, q]_+$ when q is a quasiparticle creation operator (see def 2.2).

Theorem 2.1. Wick's theorem. $Q = \{Q\} + \{\overline{Q}\}$

Proof: Let n be the length of Q. The result holds for n=2 since $q_1q_2= iq_1q_2i+q_1q_2$ by the definition of contraction. Now, assume it holds for n operators and consider Qq. By our inductive assumption, $Qq = \mathbf{i}Q\mathbf{i}q + \mathbf{i}\overline{Q}\mathbf{i}q$. Applying lemma 2.1 to :Q:q gives $:Q:q = :Qq: + \sum_{i} :Q(q_{i})q$: Expanding $:\overline{Q}:q$ and applying lemma 2.1 to each term gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor} \sum_{(i_1j_1)\cdots(i_kj_k)}^{\operatorname{Ctr}_k(Q)} \mathbf{i}_{Q}(\overline{q_{i_1}q_{j_1}q_{i_k}q_{j_k}}) \mathbf{i}_{q} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor} \sum_{(i_1j_1)\cdots(i_kj_k)}^{\operatorname{Ctr}_k(Q)} \mathbf{i}_{Q}(\overline{q_{i_1}q_{j_1}q_{i_k}q_{j_k}}) q \mathbf{i}_{q} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor} \sum_{(i_1j_1)\cdots(i_kj_k)}^{\operatorname{Ctr}_k(Q)} \sum_{i \in \{i_1,j_1,\cdots,i_k,j_k\}} \mathbf{i}_{Q}(\overline{q_{i_1}q_{j_1}q_{i_k}q_{j_k}}) q \mathbf{i}_{q} \mathbf{i}_{q} \mathbf{i}_{q} \mathbf{j}_{q} \mathbf{j}$$

and, combining these results, we find

$$\begin{split} Qq &= \mathbf{i} Q \mathbf{i} q + \mathbf{i} \overline{Q} \mathbf{i} q = \quad \mathbf{i} Qq \mathbf{i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{i} Q(\overline{q_i}) \overline{q} \mathbf{i} + \sum_{(i_1 j_1)}^{\operatorname{Ctr}_1(Q)} \mathbf{i} Q(\overline{q_{i_1}} \overline{q}_{j_1}) q \mathbf{i} + \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} \sum_{(i_1 j_2) \cdots (i_k j_k)}^{\operatorname{Ctr}_k(Qq)} \mathbf{i} Qq(\overline{q_{i_1}} \overline{q}_{j_1} \cdots \overline{q_{i_k}} \overline{q}_{j_k}) \mathbf{i} \\ &= \quad \mathbf{i} Qq \mathbf{i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} \sum_{(i_1 j_2) \cdots (i_k j_k)}^{\operatorname{Ctr}_k(Qq)} \mathbf{i} Qq(\overline{q_{i_1}} \overline{q}_{j_1} \cdots \overline{q_{i_k}} \overline{q}_{j_k}) \mathbf{i} \end{split}$$

which is $Q_i : \overline{Q_i} : \overline{Q_i}$. So if the statement holds for strings of length n it must also hold for strings of length n+1. By induction, the theorem holds for Q of arbitrary length.

Corollary 2.1. Wick's theorem for operator products. Q:Q':=QQ':+QQ':

Proof: By Wick's theorem Q:Q': + Q': + Qvanish, leaving $: (\overline{:Q::Q':}) := \overline{:QQ':}$.

Corollary 2.2. Wick's theorem for expectation values. $\langle \Phi | Q | \Phi \rangle = \overline{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$:

Proof: From Wick's theorem $\langle \Phi | Q | \Phi \rangle = \langle \Phi | \mathbf{i} Q \mathbf{i} | \Phi \rangle + \langle \Phi | \mathbf{i} \overline{Q} \mathbf{i} | \Phi \rangle$ and any incompletely contracted terms have vanishing expectation values. Therefore, $\langle \Phi | \mathbf{i} Q \mathbf{i} | \Phi \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \Phi | \mathbf{i} \overline{Q} \mathbf{i} | \Phi \rangle = \mathbf{i} \overline{Q} \mathbf{i}$.

Remark 2.1. To recap, let's state Wick's theorem and its corollaries in words as the following three rules.

- 1. An operator string equals its normal ordering plus all contractions.
- 2. A product of normal-ordered operators equals the normal ordering of the product plus all cross-contractions.
- 3. The reference expectation value of a string equals the sum of its complete contractions.

The next proposition proves a convenient rule for evaluating completely contracted operator strings, relating the overall sign of the term to the number of times its contraction lines cross.

Proposition 2.1. The sign of a completely contracted string is $(-)^c$ where c is the number of contraction line intersections.

Proof: Let ε_{π} : $q_{\pi(1)}q_{\pi(2)}\cdots q_{\pi(n-1)}q_{\pi(n)}$: be the disentangled form of a complete contraction of $q_1\cdots q_n$, where n is an even integer. The phase factor for the contraction, ε_{π} , is equal to the signature of the disentangling permutation, which is equal to the signature of the inverse permutation π^{-1} , restoring the original ordering of the operators. π^{-1} can be expressed as a series of transpositions swapping pairs of operators not connected by a contraction line. Since every operator has a contraction line overhead, each of these transpositions changes the number of line intersections by exactly ± 1 , so $\varepsilon_{\pi} = (-)^c$ where c is the number of intersections in the original contraction pattern.

Definition 2.3. Correlation component of the Hamiltonian. Using Wick's theorem, we can expand vac-normal one- and two-particle excitations as linear combinations of Φ-normal-ordered ones.

$$a_p^{\dagger}a_q = \mathbf{i}a_p^{\dagger}a_q\mathbf{i} + \gamma_{pq} \qquad a_p^{\dagger}a_q^{\dagger}a_sa_r = \mathbf{i}a_p^{\dagger}a_q^{\dagger}a_sa_r\mathbf{i} - \gamma_{ps}\mathbf{i}a_q^{\dagger}a_r\mathbf{i} + \gamma_{pr}\mathbf{i}a_q^{\dagger}a_s\mathbf{i} + \gamma_{qs}\mathbf{i}a_p^{\dagger}a_r\mathbf{i} - \gamma_{qr}\mathbf{i}a_p^{\dagger}a_s\mathbf{i} + \gamma_{pr}\gamma_{qs} - \gamma_{ps}\gamma_{qr} \qquad (2.6)$$

Substituting these into the electronic Hamiltonian leads to an expression for H in terms of Φ -normal operators.

$$H = E_{\text{ref}} + \sum_{pq}^{\infty} f_{pq} \mathbf{i} a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{q} \mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs}^{\infty} \langle pq | | rs \rangle \mathbf{i} a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{q}^{\dagger} a_{s} a_{r} \mathbf{i}$$

$$E_{\text{ref}} \equiv \sum_{pq}^{\infty} h_{pq} \gamma_{pq} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pqrs}^{\infty} \langle pq | | rs \rangle \gamma_{pr} \gamma_{qs}$$

$$f_{pq} \equiv h_{pq} + \sum_{rs}^{\infty} \langle pr | | qs \rangle \gamma_{rs}$$

$$(2.7)$$

Note that E_{ref} is another expression for the Hartree-Fock energy, $E_{\text{ref}} = \langle \Phi | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle$, and f_{pq} is the matrix representation of the Fock operator in the spin-orbital basis, $\mathbf{f} = [f_{pq}]$ where $f_{pq} = \langle \psi_p | \hat{f} | \psi_q \rangle$. The second and third terms in this expression together make up the *correlation component* of the electronic Hamiltonian, $H_c \equiv H - \langle \Phi | H | \Phi \rangle$.

Example 2.1. Derivation of CIS equations. The configuration interaction singles (CIS) equations take the form

$$\sum_{jb} \langle \Phi_i^a | H - E_{\text{ref}} | \Phi_j^b \rangle (\mathbf{c}_k)_b^j = \omega_k (\mathbf{c}_k)_a^i$$
(2.8)

$$\begin{split} \langle \Phi | & \vdots a_i^\dagger a_a \vdots \vdots a_p^\dagger a_q \vdots \vdots a_b^\dagger a_j \vdots | \Phi \rangle = \vdots a_i^\dagger \overline{a_a} \overline{a_p^\dagger} \overline{a_q^\dagger} \overline{a_j} \vdots + \vdots a_i^\dagger \overline{a_a} \overline{a_p^\dagger} \overline{a_q} \overline{a_b^\dagger} \overline{a_j} \vdots = \gamma_{ij} \eta_{ap} \eta_{qb} - \gamma_{iq} \gamma_{pj} \eta_{ab} \\ \langle \Phi | & \vdots a_i^\dagger a_a \vdots \vdots a_p^\dagger a_q^\dagger a_s a_r \vdots \vdots a_b^\dagger a_j \vdots | \Phi \rangle = \vdots \overline{a_i^\dagger} \overline{a_a} \overline{a_p^\dagger} \overline{a_q^\dagger} \overline{a_s} \overline{a_r} \overline{a_b^\dagger} \overline{a_j} \vdots + \vdots \overline{a_i^\dagger} \overline{a_a} \overline{a_p^\dagger} \overline{a_q^\dagger} \overline{a_s} \overline{a_r} \overline{a_b^\dagger} \overline{a_j} \vdots \\ & + \vdots \overline{a_i^\dagger} \overline{a_a} \overline{a_p^\dagger} \overline{a_q^\dagger} \overline{a_s} \overline{a_r} \overline{a_b^\dagger} \overline{a_j} \vdots + \vdots \overline{a_i^\dagger} \overline{a_a} \overline{a_p^\dagger} \overline{a_q^\dagger} \overline{a_s} \overline{a_r} \overline{a_b^\dagger} \overline{a_j} \vdots \\ & = - \gamma_{is} \eta_{ap} \gamma_{qj} \eta_{rb} + \gamma_{is} \eta_{aq} \gamma_{pj} \eta_{rb} + \gamma_{ir} \eta_{ap} \gamma_{qj} \eta_{sb} - \gamma_{ir} \eta_{aq} \gamma_{pj} \eta_{sb} \end{split}$$

Multiplying these by f_{pq} and $\frac{1}{4}\langle pq||rs\rangle$ and summing over Hamiltonian indices yields the following.

$$\langle \Phi_i^a | H_c | \Phi_i^b \rangle = f_{ab} \gamma_{ij} - f_{ji} \eta_{ab} - \langle aj | | bi \rangle$$

A The pull-through relation

Proposition A.1. Pull-through relation. For any non-commuting x_1, \ldots, x_n , and y for which addition, subtraction and multiplication are defined, $x_1 \cdots x_n y = (\mp)^n y x_1 \cdots x_n + \sum_{k=1}^n (\mp)^{n-k} x_1 \cdots [x_k, y]_{\pm} \cdots x_n$, where $[x, y]_{\pm} \equiv xy \pm yx$. Proof: The n = 1 case follows from the definition of the commutator brackets: $xy = \mp yx + [x, y]_{\pm}$. Now, assume it holds for n and consider the n + 1 case. Since $x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} y = x_1 \cdots x_n (\mp y x_{n+1} + [x_{n+1}, y]_{\pm})$, we find

$$x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} y = \mp \left((\mp)^n y x_1 \cdots x_n + \sum_{k=1}^n (\mp)^{n-k} x_1 \cdots [x_k, y]_{\pm} \cdots x_n \right) x_{n+1} + x_1 \cdots x_n [x_{n+1}, y]_{\pm}$$
$$= (\mp)^{n+1} y x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (\mp)^{n+1-k} x_1 \cdots [x_k, y]_{\pm} \cdots x_{n+1}$$

and, by induction, the result holds for all n.