## 6 Perturbation theory

**Definition 6.1.** Model Hamiltonian. The electronic Hamiltonian<sup>1</sup> can be expressed as the sum of a zeroth order or "model" Hamiltonian  $H_0$  and a perturbation  $V_c$ , known as the fluctuation potential. For well-behaved electronic systems, a common choice for the model Hamiltonian is the diagonal part of the Fock operator.

$$H_0 \equiv f_p^p \tilde{a}_p^p \qquad V_c \equiv f_p^q (1 - \delta_p^q) \tilde{a}_q^p + \frac{1}{4} \overline{g}_{pq}^{rs} \tilde{a}_{rs}^{pq}$$

$$\tag{6.1}$$

This choice of  $H_0$  brings the advantage that its eigenbasis is the standard basis of determinants.

$$H_0 \Phi = 0 \Phi \qquad H_0 \Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_k}^{a_1 \cdots a_k} = \mathcal{E}_{i_1 \cdots i_k}^{a_1 \cdots a_k} \Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_k}^{a_1 \cdots a_k} \qquad \mathcal{E}_{q_1 \cdots q_k}^{p_1 \cdots p_k} \equiv \sum_{r=1}^k f_{p_r}^{p_r} - \sum_{r=1}^k f_{q_r}^{q_r}$$
(6.2)

In general the model Hamiltonian is chosen to make the matrix representation of  $H_c$  in the model eigenbasis diagonally dominant.<sup>2</sup> Our choice of  $H_0$  is appropriate for weakly correlated systems, where the reference determinant can be chosen to satisfy  $\langle \Phi | \Psi \rangle \gg \langle \Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_k}^{a_1 \cdots a_k} | \Psi \rangle$  for all substituted determinants. In this context it is convenient to employ intermediate normalization for the wavefunction, which will be assumed from here on out.

**Definition 6.2.** Perturbation theory. Perturbation theory analyzes the polynomial order with which the wavefunction and its observables depend on the fluctuation potential. For this purpose, we define a continuous series of Hamiltonians  $H(\lambda) \equiv H_0 + \lambda V_c$  parametrized by a strength parameter  $\lambda$  that smoothly toggles between the model Hamiltonian at  $\lambda = 0$  to the exact one at  $\lambda = 1$ . The  $m^{th}$ -order contribution to a quantity X is then defined as the  $m^{th}$  coefficient in its Taylor series about  $\lambda = 0$ , denoted  $X^{(m)}$ . In particular, the wavefunction and correlation energy can be expanded as follows.

$$\Psi = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Psi^{(m)} \quad E_{c} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E_{c}^{(m)} \quad \Psi^{(m)} \equiv \frac{1}{m!} \left. \frac{\partial^{m} \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda^{m}} \right|_{\lambda=0} \quad E_{c}^{(m)} \equiv \frac{1}{m!} \left. \frac{\partial^{m} E(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda^{m}} \right|_{\lambda=0} \quad H(\lambda) \Psi(\lambda) = E(\lambda) \Psi(\lambda) \quad (6.3)$$

The order(s) at which a term contributes to the wavefunction or energy provides one measure of its relative importance.

**Remark 6.1.** Projecting the Schrödinger equation by  $\Phi$  and using eq 6.2, along with intermediate normalization, implies

$$E_{c} = \langle \Phi | V_{c} | \Psi \rangle \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad E_{c}^{(m+1)} = \langle \Phi | V_{c} | \Psi^{(m)} \rangle$$
 (6.4)

where the equation on the right follows from generalizing the energy expression to  $E(\lambda) = \langle \Phi | \lambda V_c | \Psi(\lambda) \rangle$ . In words, this says that the  $m^{\text{th}}$ -order wavefunction contribution determines the  $(m+1)^{\text{th}}$ -order energy contribution. This immediately identifies the first-order energy as  $E_c^{(1)} = \langle \Phi | V_c | \Phi \rangle = 0$ , since  $V_c$  consists of  $\Phi$ -normal-ordered operators.

**Definition 6.3.** Model space projection operator. The projection onto the reference determinant,  $P = |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|$ , is termed the model space projection operator. Its complement is the orthogonal space projection operator.<sup>3</sup>

$$Q \equiv 1_n - P = \sum_{k} \left(\frac{1}{k!}\right)^2 \sum_{\substack{a_1 \cdots a_k \\ i_1 \cdots i_k}} |\Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_k}^{a_1 \cdots a_k}\rangle \langle \Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_k}^{a_1 \cdots a_k}|$$

$$\tag{6.5}$$

Note that P and Q satisfy the following relationships, which are characteristic of complementary projection operators.

$$P + Q = 1_n$$
  $P^2 = P$   $Q^2 = Q$   $PQ = QP = 0$  (6.6)

Due to intermediate normalization, we also have that  $P\Psi = \Phi$  and  $Q\Psi = \Psi - \Phi$ .

**Definition 6.4.** Resolvent. The resolvent,  $R_0 \equiv (-H_0)^{-1}Q$ , is the negative inverse of  $H_0$  in the orthogonal space.<sup>5</sup>

$$R_0 \Phi = 0 \Phi \qquad \qquad R_0 \Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_k}^{a_1 \cdots a_k} = (\mathcal{E}_{a_1 \cdots a_k}^{i_1 \cdots i_k})^{-1} \Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_k}^{a_1 \cdots a_k} \qquad \qquad R_0 = \sum_k \left(\frac{1}{k!}\right)^2 \sum_{\substack{a_1 \cdots a_k \\ e_1 \cdots e_k}} \frac{|\Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_k}^{a_1 \cdots a_k}|}{\mathcal{E}_{a_1 \cdots a_k}^{i_1 \cdots i_k}} \qquad (6.7)$$

The equation on the right is the spectral decomposition of the resolvent.<sup>6</sup> Restriction to the orthogonal space is necessary because  $H_0$  is singular in the model space, which means that  $H_0^{-1}$  does not exist there.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For the sake of brevity I will here refer to  $H_c$  as "the electronic Hamiltonian". We could also use  $H_e = E_0 + H_c$ , which will simply shift some of the equations by a constant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diagonally\_dominant\_matrix.

 $<sup>^31</sup>_n \equiv 1|_{\mathcal{F}_n}$  is the identity on  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , which is equivalent to a projection onto this subspace. For our purposes, this is the identity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The annoying sign factor is required for consistency with  $R(\zeta) \equiv (\zeta - H_0)^{-1}Q$ , which is a more general definition of the resolvent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Note that this implies  $R_0P = 0$  and  $R_0Q = R_0$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>This follows from the eigenvalue equations, but you can derive it explicitly by substituting equation 6.5 into  $R_0 = (-H_0)^{-1}Q$ .

Remark 6.2. A recursive solution to the Schrödinger equation. Operating  $R_0$  on  $H(\lambda)\Psi(\lambda) = E(\lambda)\Psi(\lambda)$  gives<sup>7</sup>

$$\Psi(\lambda) = \Phi + R_0(\lambda V_c - E(\lambda))\Psi(\lambda) \tag{6.8}$$

which provides a recursive equation for  $\Psi(\lambda)$  that can be used to solve for wavefunction contributions order by order.

**Example 6.1.** The first two derivatives of equation 6.8 are given by

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = & R_0 \left( V_{\rm c} - \frac{\partial E(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right) \Psi(\lambda) + R_0 (\lambda V_{\rm c} - E(\lambda)) \frac{\partial \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda^2} = & - R_0 \frac{\partial^2 E(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda^2} \Psi(\lambda) + 2R_0 \left( V_{\rm c} - \frac{\partial E(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right) \frac{\partial \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} + R_0 (\lambda V_{\rm c} - E(\lambda)) \frac{\partial^2 \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda^2} \end{split}$$

which can be used to determine the first- and second-order wavefunction contributions.

$$\Psi^{(1)} = \left. \frac{\partial \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0} = R_0 V_c \Phi \qquad \qquad \Psi^{(2)} = \left. \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda^2} \right|_{\lambda=0} = R_0 V_c \Psi^{(1)} = R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi \qquad (6.9)$$

Here we have used  $E_{\rm c}^{(0)}=E_{\rm c}^{(1)}=0$  and  $R_0\Phi=0$  to simplify the result.

**Example 6.2.** Plugging in the spectral decomposition for  $R_0$  allows us to expand  $\Psi^{(1)}$  in the determinant basis.

$$\Psi^{(1)} = R_0 V_c \Phi = \sum_{\substack{a \\ i}} \Phi_i^a \frac{\langle \Phi_i^a | V_c | \Phi \rangle}{\mathcal{E}_a^i} + (\frac{1}{2!})^2 \sum_{\substack{ab \\ ij}} \Phi_{ij}^{ab} \frac{\langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | V_c | \Phi \rangle}{\mathcal{E}_{ab}^{ij}}$$

$$(6.10)$$

The expansion truncates at double excitations because the maximum excitation level of  $V_c$  is +2.

**Example 6.3.** The numerators in example 6.2 are easily evaluated using Slater's rules, which leads to the following.

$$\Psi^{(1)} = \sum_{\substack{a \\ i}} \Phi_i^a \frac{f_a^i}{\mathcal{E}_a^i} + (\frac{1}{2!})^2 \sum_{\substack{ab \\ ij}} \Phi_{ij}^{ab} \frac{\overline{g}_{ab}^{ij}}{\mathcal{E}_{ab}^{ij}} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad E_{\mathbf{c}}^{(2)} = \langle \Phi | V_{\mathbf{c}} | \Psi^{(1)} \rangle = \sum_{\substack{a \\ i}} \frac{f_a^a f_a^i}{\mathcal{E}_a^i} + (\frac{1}{2!})^2 \sum_{\substack{ab \\ ij}} \frac{\overline{g}_{ab}^{ab}}{\mathcal{E}_{ab}^{ij}} \frac{\overline{g}_{ab}^{ij}}{\mathcal{E}_{ab}^{ij}}$$

Note that the singles contribution vanishes for canonical Hartree-Fock references, since  $f_a^i = 0$ . These extra terms are required for non-canonical orbitals, such as those obtained from restricted open-shell Hartree-Fock (ROHF) theory.

**Definition 6.5.** Resolvent line. We can generalize our previous definition of the resolvent line as follows

$$Y = \sum_{k} \left(\frac{1}{k!}\right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{a_{1} \cdots a_{k} \\ i \cdots i}} \frac{y_{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}}^{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}}{\mathcal{E}_{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}}^{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}} \tilde{a}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}} \qquad Y = Y_{n \to n} + Y_{n \neq n} \qquad Y_{n \to n} = y_{0} + \sum_{k} \left(\frac{1}{k!}\right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{p_{1} \cdots p_{k} \\ q_{1} \cdots q_{k}}} y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{k}}^{q_{1} \cdots q_{k}} \tilde{a}_{q_{1} \cdots q_{k}}^{q_{1} \cdots q_{k}} \qquad (6.11)$$

where Y is an arbitrary operator. The last equation is the Wick expansion of  $Y_{n\to n}$ , which denotes the purely particlenumber-conserving part<sup>8</sup> of Y. This definition immediately implies  $|\Psi\rangle = R_0|\Psi\rangle$  for all  $\Psi$ .<sup>9</sup> Other expressions are defined by giving resolvent lines priority in the order of operations, with maximum priority given to the rightmost resolvent.

$$Y_1 | Y_2 \cdots | Y_n \equiv Y_1 \left( | Y_2 \left( \cdots \left( | Y_n \right) \cdots \right) \right)$$

$$\vdots \overline{Y_1 | Y_2 \cdots | Y_n} \vdots \equiv \vdots \overline{Y_1 \left( | Y_2 \left( \cdots \left( | Y_n \right) \cdots \right) \right)} \vdots$$

$$(6.12)$$

This definition also specifies the interpretation rule for a graphs with resolvent lines, which are formally defined below.

Corollary 6.1. Wick's theorem for perturbation theory. 
$$YR_0Y_1\cdots R_0Y_m|\Phi\rangle=\left(:Y|Y_1\cdots |Y_m:+:\overline{Y|Y_1\cdots |Y_m:}\right)|\Phi\rangle$$

Proof: This follows directly from Wick's theorem and definition 6.5.

**Definition 6.6.** Resolvent graph. A resolvent graph represents a normal-ordered product of operators and resolvents. Graphs with disconnected parts that don't share any resolvent lines are considered products of separate resolvent graphs. Vertical spaces between resolvent lines in a resolvent graph are termed levels, which are numbered from top to bottom with zero indexing. Therefore, an operator lies in the  $k^{\text{th}}$  level if there are k resolvent lines above it. Formally, then, an m-resolvent graph  $G(\rho, m) \equiv (G, \rho, m)$  associates each operator o in G with a specific level  $\rho(o) = \rho_o$  in  $\{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$  through the level map  $\rho$ . Therefore, each line l in G crosses resolvents  $\min(\rho_{h(l)}, \rho_{t(l)}) + 1$  through  $\max(\rho_{h(l)}, \rho_{t(l)})$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>This follows from  $R_0H_0\Psi = -Q\Psi = -\Psi + \Phi$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>The component that maps  $\mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{F}_n$  for all n, which can always be written as a linear combination of excitation operators.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Since any  $|\Psi\rangle$  can be written as  $Y|\Phi\rangle$ , this follows from applying eq 6.7 to each term in the Wick expansion of Y in  $R_0Y|\Phi\rangle$ .

**Example 6.4.** In diagram notation,  $\Psi^{(1)}$  and  $E_c^{(2)}$  can be expressed as follows.

$$\Psi^{(1)} = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array}}_{\bigoplus} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\$$

**Example 6.5.** The expansion for  $\Psi^{(2)}$  can be evaluated using corollary 6.1. Assuming Brillouin's theorem for simplicity,

$$\Psi^{(2)} = R_0 V_c R_0 V_c |\Phi\rangle = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}{c} \end{array}{c} \end{array}{c} \end{array} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}{c} \end{array}{c} \end{array} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}{c} \end{array}{c} \end{array}{c} \end{array} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}{c} \end{array} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}{c} \end{array}{c} \end{array} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}{c} \end{array}{c} \end{array} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}{c} \end{array} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \end{array}{c$$

where the operators in the final diagram do not form an equivalent pair because they pass through different resolvent lines. The third-order contribution to the correlation energy can be evaluated as the complete contractions of  $V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c$ 

$$E_{c}^{(3)} = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \overline{\mathcal{G}}_{ab}^{(3)} \overline{\mathcal{G}}_{ab}^{(j)} \overline{\mathcal{G}}_{$$

which is equivalent to contracting the doubles contributions to  $\Psi^{(2)}$  with  $\frac{1}{4}\overline{g}_{ij}^{ab}\tilde{a}_{ab}^{ij}$ . Note that  $E_c^{(m+1)}$  always only depends on the doubles contribution to  $\Psi^{(m)}$ , but that the doubles coefficients themselves may involve triples, quadruples and higher contributions from wavefunction components of order less than m.

**Example 6.6.** Using  ${}^{(m)}c^{ij\cdots}_{ab\cdots} = \langle \Phi^{ab\cdots}_{ij\cdots} | \Psi^{(m)} \rangle$ , the second order CI coefficients can be determined from eq 6.14 by contracting a bare excitation operator with the top of each diagram. Interpreting these graphs gives the following.

Note that the second order quadruples coefficient is disconnected. Prop. 6.1 shows that the second-order quadruples operator is actually a simple product of first-order doubles operators. This fact was an early motivation for coupled-pair many-electron theory, <sup>10</sup> since it justifies approximating  $\Psi_{\text{CIDQ}} = (1 + C_2 + C_4)\Phi$  by  $\Psi_{\text{CPMET}} = (1 + C_2 + \frac{1}{2}C_2^2)\Phi$ .

**Proposition 6.1.**  $^{(2)}C_4 = \frac{1}{2}{}^{(1)}C_2^2$ 

Proof: This follows from rearranging the resolvent denominator.

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_{abcd}^{ijkl}\mathcal{E}_{ab}^{ij}} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_{abcd}^{ijkl}\mathcal{E}_{cd}^{kl}} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{cd}^{kl} + \mathcal{E}_{ab}^{ij}}{\mathcal{E}_{abcd}^{ijkl}\mathcal{E}_{cd}^{kl}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_{abc}^{ijkl}\mathcal{E}_{cd}^{kl}} \Longrightarrow {}^{(2)}C_4 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}} \tilde{a}_{ajkl}^{abcd} \frac{\overline{g}_{ab}^{ij}\overline{g}_{cd}^{kl}}{\mathcal{E}_{abcd}^{ijkl}\mathcal{E}_{cd}^{ij}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}} \tilde{a}_{ajkl}^{abcd} \frac{\overline{g}_{ab}^{ij}\overline{g}_{cd}^{kl}}{\mathcal{E}_{abcd}^{ijkl}\mathcal{E}_{cd}^{kl}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}} \tilde{a}_{abcd}^{abcd} \frac{\overline{g}_{ab}^{ij}\overline{g}_{cd}^{kl}}{\mathcal{E}_{abcd}^{ijkl}\mathcal{E}_{cd}^{kl}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}} \tilde{a}_{abcd}^{abcd} \frac{\overline{g}_{ab}^{ij}\overline{g}_{cd}^{kl}}{\mathcal{E}_{abcd}^{ijkl}\mathcal{E}_{cd}^{kl}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}} \tilde{a}_{abcd}^{abcd} \frac{\overline{g}_{ab}^{ij}\overline{g}_{cd}^{kl}}{\mathcal{E}_{abcd}^{ijkl}\mathcal{E}_{cd}^{kl}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}} \tilde{a}_{abcd}^{abcd} \frac{\overline{g}_{abcd}^{ijkl}\overline{g}_{cd}^{kl}}{\mathcal{E}_{abcd}^{ijkl}\mathcal{E}_{cd}^{kl}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}} \tilde{a}_{abcd}^{abcd} \frac{\overline{g}_{abcd}^{ijkl}\overline{g}_{cd}^{kl}}{\mathcal{E}_{abcd}^{ijkl}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}} \tilde{a}_{abcd}^{abcd} \frac{\overline{g}_{abcd}^{ijkl}\overline{g}_{cd}^{kl}}{\mathcal{E}_{abcd}^{ijkl}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}} \tilde{a}_{abcd}^{ijkl} \frac{\overline{g}_{abcd}^{ijkl}}{\mathcal{E}_{abcd}^{ijkl}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}}} \tilde{a}_{abcd}^{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}}} \tilde{a}_{abcd}^{ijkl} \frac{\overline{g}_{abcd}^{ijkl}}{\mathcal{E}_{abcd}^{ijkl}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}}} \tilde{a}_{abcd}^{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}}} \tilde{a}_{abcd}^{ijkl} \frac{\overline{g}_{abcd}^{ijkl}}{\mathcal{E}_{abcd}^{ijkl}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}}} \tilde{a}_{abcd}^{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\substack{abcd \\ ijkl}}} \tilde{a}$$

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$ This is the original name for coupled-cluster doubles.

**Lemma 6.1.** The Energy Substitution Lemma.  $\Psi^{(m)}$  equals the sum of a "principal term"  $(R_0V_c)^m\Phi$  plus all possible substitutions of adjacent factors  $(R_0V_c)^{r_i}$  in the principal term by  $R_0E_c^{(r_i)}$ . Each term in the sum is weighted by a sign factor  $(-)^k$ , where k is the number of substitutions.

Proof: See appendix A.

**Example 6.7.** Lemma 6.1 is consistent with equation 6.9 because substitution of the rightmost factors in the principal term leaves a resolvent acting on the reference determinant and because the first-order energy contribution equals zero. The first non-trivial examples of the energy substitution lemma begin at third order.

$$\Psi^{(3)} = R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c \Phi \tag{6.17}$$

$$\Psi^{(4)} = R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 V_c R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(3)} R_0 V_c \Phi$$

$$(6.18)$$

$$\Psi^{(5)} = R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 V_c R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi 
- R_0 V_c R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c \Phi + R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(3)} R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi 
- R_0 V_c R_0 E_c^{(3)} R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(4)} R_0 V_c \Phi$$
(6.19)

**Theorem 6.1.** The Bracketing Theorem.  $\Psi^{(m)}$  equals the principal term plus all possible insertions of nested brackets into the principal term. Each term in the sum is weighted by  $(-)^k$  where k is the total number of brackets. 11 Proof: See appendix A.

**Example 6.8.** Equations 6.17 and 6.18 are clearly consistent with thm 6.1, since  $E_{\rm c}^{(2)} = \langle V_{\rm c} R_0 V_{\rm c} \rangle$  and  $E_{\rm c}^{(3)} = \langle V_{\rm c} R_0 V_{\rm c} R_0 V_{\rm c} \rangle$ .

$$\Psi^{(3)} = R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi \tag{6.20}$$

$$\Psi^{(4)} = R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi$$

$$(6.21)$$

The first non-vanishing terms with nested brackets appear at fifth-order

$$\Psi^{(5)} = R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi 
- R_0 V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi + R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi 
- R_0 V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi + R_0 \langle V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi$$
(6.22)

which follows from substituting equation 6.20 into  $E^{(4)} = \langle \Phi | V_c | \Psi^{(3)} \rangle$  in the energy substitution expansion of  $\Psi^{(5)}$ .

**Definition 6.7.** 
$$GG' = (L \cup L', O \cup O', h \oplus h', t \oplus t')$$
 where  $(h \oplus h')(l) \equiv \begin{cases} h(l) & l \in L \\ h'(l) & l \in L' \end{cases}$ 

The combination graphs of  $G(\rho, m)$  and  $G'(\rho', m')$  have the form  $GG'(\rho_{\pi}, m + m')$ 

$$\rho_{\pi}(o) = \begin{cases} \pi(\rho(o)) & o \in O \\ \pi(\rho'(o) + m) & o \in O' \end{cases} \qquad \pi \in S_{(m+1,m'+1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{m+m'+2}) \qquad \mathbb{Z}_{m+m'+2} = \{0, \dots, m+m'+2\}$$

where  $S_{(p,q)}(\mathbb{S})$  denotes the set of (p,q)-shuffles ("riffle" shuffles) of a set  $\mathbb{S}$  with p+q elements.<sup>12</sup>

## Definition 6.8. Insertion graph.

**Example 6.9.** Assuming Brillouin's theorem, the simplest non-vanishing term with an inserted bracket appears in  $\Psi^{(3)}$ .

$$R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi = \frac{\text{level of the insertion}}{\text{insertion}} \underbrace{\frac{0^{\text{th level}}}{1^{\text{st level}}}}_{\text{remainder insertion}} \underbrace{\frac{0^{\text{th level}}}{1^{\text{st level}}}}_{\text{remainder}}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>The "brackets" here are reference expectation values:  $\langle W \rangle \equiv \langle \Phi | W | \Phi \rangle$ .

 $<sup>^{12} \</sup>verb|https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Riffle_shuffle_permutation|$ 

## $\mathbf{A}$ Proof of the Linked-Diagram Theorem

**Notation A.1.** Let "Y" choose  $Z^k$ ", denoted  ${}^mC_k(Y:Z)$ , refer to a sum over the m choose k permutations of  $Y^{m-k}Z^k$ , 13 where Y and Z are operators that may or may not commute.  $^{14}$  This defines a generalization of the binomial theorem.

$$(Y+Z)^m = \sum_{k=0}^m {}^mC_k(Y:Z)$$
(A.1)

Furthermore, let  ${}^mC(Y:Z_1,\ldots,Z_k)$  be a sum over permutations of  $Y^{m-k}Z_1\cdots Z_k$  that preserve the ordering of the  $Z_i$ 's. <sup>15</sup> When all of the  $Z_i$ 's equal Z, we can write  ${}^mC(Y:Z_1,\ldots,Z_k)={}^mC_k(Y:Z)$ .

Proposition A.1. 
$$\Psi(\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (R_0(\lambda V_c - E(\lambda)))^m \Phi$$

Proof: This follows by infinite recursion of equation 6.8 with the assumption  $\lim_{t \to \infty} (R_0(\lambda V_c - E(\lambda)))^m \Psi(\lambda) = 0$ .

**Definition A.1.** Integer compositions. The compositions of an integer m are the ways of writing m as a sum of positive integers. The full set of integer compositions of m is given by  $\mathcal{C}(m) = \mathcal{C}_1(m) \cup \mathcal{C}_2(m) \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{C}_m(m)$  where  $\mathcal{C}_k(m) = \{(r_1, \dots, r_k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k \mid r_1 + \dots + r_k = m\}$  are the integer compositions of m into k parts.

 $\Psi^{(m)}$  equals the sum of a "principal term"  $(R_0V_c)^m\Phi$  plus all Lemma A.1. The Energy Substitution Lemma. possible substitutions of adjacent factors  $(R_0V_c)^{r_i}$  in the principal term by  $R_0E_c^{(r_i)}$ . Each term in the sum is weighted by a sign factor  $(-)^k$ , where k is the number of substitutions.

Proof: Using equation A.1 and a double sum identity in the infinite recursion formula for  $\Psi(\lambda)$  gives the following.

$$\Psi(\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (R_0(\lambda V_c - E(\lambda)))^m \Phi = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^{m-k} (-)^{k-m} C_k (R_0 V_c : R_0 E(\lambda)) \Phi = \sum_{k'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k'} (-)^{k-k'+k} C_k (R_0 V_c : R_0 E(\lambda)) \Phi$$

The k'=0 term has no operators separating  $\Phi$  from the resolvent and vanishes. Taylor expansion of the energies gives

$$\Psi(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{p_k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{k'+p_1+\cdots+p_k} (-)^{k \ k'+k} C(R_0 V_c : R_0 E_c^{(p_1)}, \dots, R_0 E_c^{(p_k)}) \Phi$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k+1})}^{C_{k+1}(m)} \lambda^m (-)^{k \ k+r_1} C(R_0 V_c : R_0 E_c^{(r_2)}, \dots, R_0 E_c^{(r_{k+1})}) \Phi$$

where we have grouped powers of  $\lambda$  using a multi-sum reduction. Writing the inner sums as a sum over  $\mathcal{C}(m)$  we find

$$\Psi^{(m)} = \frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^m \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda^m} \bigg|_{\lambda=0} = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k+1})}^{C(m)} (-)^{k} {}^{k+r_1} C(R_0 V_c : R_0 E_c^{(r_2)}, \dots, R_0 E_c^{(r_{k+1})}) \Phi$$
(A.2)

which, given notation A.1 and definition A.1, is an algebraic statement of the proposition, completing the proof.

**Theorem A.1.** The Bracketing Theorem.  $\Psi^{(m)}$  equals the principal term plus all possible insertions of nested brackets into the principal term. Each term in the sum is weighted by  $(-)^k$  where k is the total number of brackets.

Proof: The proposition holds for m=1 because  $\Psi^{(1)}=R_0V_c\Phi$  and there are no possible bracketings. Assume it holds for m-1. Then by the energy substitution lemma it also holds for m because  $E_c^{(r_i)}$  equals  $\langle \Phi | V_c | \Psi^{(r_i)} \rangle$  which, by our inductive assumption, equals  $\langle V_c(R_0V_c)^{r_i}\rangle$  plus all nested bracketings weighted by appropriate sign factors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>For example,  ${}^4C_2(Y:Z) = Y^2Z^2 + YZYZ + YZ^2Y + ZY^2Z + ZYZY + Z^2Y^2$ .
<sup>14</sup>If they do commute, then  ${}^mC_k(Y:Z) = \binom{n}{k}Y^{m-k}Z^k$ .
<sup>15</sup>For example,  ${}^4C(Y:Z_1,Z_2) = Y^2Z_1Z_2 + YZ_1YZ_2 + YZ_1Z_2Y + Z_1Y^2Z_2 + Z_1YZ_2Y + Z_1Z_2Y^2$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Reverse double-sum reduction:  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m} t_{m-k,k} = \sum_{k'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_{k',k}.$  See http://functions.wolfram.com/GeneralIdentities/12/.