## 4 Diagram notation

**Notation 4.1.** *Diagram notation.* In diagram notation, particle-hole operators are written as oriented lines extending from a vertex. Particle annihilation operators enter the vertex from below, particle creation operators leave the vertex at the top, and single-excitation operators have both creation and annihilation lines. Contractions are represented by joining particle-hole lines with compatible position and orientation.

Quasiparticle operators are distinguished by the use of closed-circle vertices, with particle lines pointing upward and with hole lines pointing downward. Single-excitation operators split into four cases representing the virtual and occupied blocks of  $a_a^p$ . Internal contractions of single excitations (bubble contractions) are implicitly taken to be hole contractions.

Higher excitation operators are depicted by joining single-excitation operators with a solid line. Contracted operators are implicitly normal ordered together. Normal-ordered products of uncontracted operators are joined with a dotted line.

$$\underbrace{\underbrace{-\cdots +}_{m \text{ times}}}_{\text{times}} \equiv :a_{q_1}^{p_1} \cdots a_{q_m}^{p_m} := a_{q_1 \cdots q_m}^{p_1 \cdots p_m}$$

$$= :a_{q_1 \cdots q_m}^{p_1 \cdots p_m} a_{s_1 \cdots s_n}^{r_1 \cdots r_n} :$$

$$= :a_{q_1 \cdots q_m}^{p_1 \cdots p_m} a_{s_1 \cdots s_n}^{r_1 \cdots r_n} :$$

$$= :a_{q_1 \cdots q_m}^{p_1 \cdots p_m} a_{s_1 \cdots s_n}^{r_1 \cdots r_n} :$$

$$= :a_{q_1 \cdots q_m}^{p_1 \cdots p_m} a_{s_1 \cdots s_n}^{r_1 \cdots r_n} :$$

$$= :a_{q_1 \cdots q_m}^{p_1 \cdots p_m} a_{s_1 \cdots s_n}^{r_1 \cdots r_n} :$$

 $\Phi$ -normal-ordering is indicated by the use of double-circle vertices,  $\otimes$  and  $\bullet$  instead of  $\circ$  and  $\bullet$ . As we see in the second equation, diagram notation leads to a vertical arrangement of operators on the page. In general, a product of excitation diagrams is read from top to bottom, representing left-to-right ordering in the corresponding algebraic expression.

**Definition 4.1.** m-electron operators in diagram notation. The building blocks of a graph are m-electron operators, which can be represented in two equivalent ways. The Goldstone representation depicts an operator as a label attached to the corresponding excitation operator, whereas the Hugenholtz representation depicts the operator as a single vertex with m outgoing and incoming lines. Note that  $\left(\frac{1}{m!}\right)^2 \sum_{\text{Einstein}}$  is baked into the definition (see def 4.2 and axiom 4.1).

$$\boxed{\boldsymbol{v}} \stackrel{\uparrow}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\uparrow}{\longrightarrow} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{m!}\right)^2 \sum_{\text{Einstein}} \overline{v}_{p_1 \cdots p_m}^{q_1 \cdots q_m} a_{q_1 \cdots q_m}^{p_1 \cdots p_m} \equiv \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ v \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ q_1 \end{array} \qquad \stackrel{p_n}{\longleftarrow} = \overline{v}_{p_1 \cdots p_m}^{q_1 \cdots q_m} a_{q_1 \cdots q_m}^{p_1 \cdots p_m} a_{q_1 \cdots q_m}^{p_1 \cdots p_m} \end{array}$$

$$(4.4)$$

$$\underbrace{v} \longrightarrow \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{m!}\right)^2}_{\text{Einstein}} \underbrace{\sum_{\text{Pintein}}}_{p_1 \cdots p_m} \underbrace{\overline{a}_{q_1 \cdots q_m}^{p_1 \cdots p_m}}_{p_1 \cdots p_m} \underbrace{v}_{q_1 \cdots q_m} = \underbrace{v}_{q_1 \cdots q_m} \underbrace{v}_{q_1 \cdots q_m} \underbrace{v}_{q_1 \cdots q_m} \underbrace{v}_{q_m} \underbrace{v}_{q_1 \cdots q_m} \underbrace{v}_{q_2 \cdots q_m} \underbrace{v}_{q_2$$

The labeled diagrams on the right represent just the summand of the operator, which highlights the difference between representations. Both summands correspond to an excitation operator weighted by its antisymmetrized interaction tensor, but whereas the Goldstone summand specifies an ordering for the indices of its corresponding algebraic term, the Hugenholtz summand does not. Since the phases of  $\overline{v}_{p_1...p_m}^{q_1...q_m}$  and  $a_{q_1...q_m}^{p_1...p_m}$  cancel under index permutation, the two labeled diagrams are actually equal – a Hugenholtz summand can be expanded into a Goldstone summand by simply choosing an arbitrary ordering for the indices. In practice, the symmetry of the Hugenholtz operator simplifies the enumeration of Wick expansions whereas the Goldstone operator makes it easier to evaluate a graph's overall phase.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In the original paper [J. Goldstone, *P. Roy. Soc. A* **239**, (1957)], Goldstone's diagrams were actually defined in terms of non-antisymmetrized integrals. The *antisymmetrized Goldstone diagrams* used here are sometimes called *Brandow diagrams*.

**Definition 4.2.** Graph. A graph<sup>2</sup> G = (O, L, h, t) consists of a set of m-electron operators O, a set of lines L, and two mappings  $h, t: L \to O$  that return the head  $h(l) \in O$  and tail end  $t(l) \in O$  of each line in L. Two lines are considered equivalent if they share the same head and tail. Here, we allow for external lines in which one of the ends is e, the free end, which is formally considered a member of O. Lines with no free end are termed internal. A graph is termed closed if it contains no external lines, representing a scalar-valued algebraic term. Otherwise, the graph is open and represents an operator-valued algebraic term. The rules of interpretation for translating G into an algebraic expression are given below.

**Definition 4.3.** Equivalent subgraphs. Repeated copies of the same operator are formally distinguished as elements of a graph's operator set and are termed *identical operators*. Operators that can be interchanged without altering the graph are termed *interchangeable operators*. This occurs when they are connected to the same set of operators in the same way. When identical operators are also interchangeable, we call them *equivalent operators*. More generally, if G[O'] denotes the subgraph associated with<sup>3</sup> some subset  $O' \subset O$  of the operators in G, then two disjoint subgraphs<sup>4</sup> can also be classified as *identical*, *interchangeable*, or *equivalent*.

**Definition 4.4.** Connected and linked graphs. Two lines are adjacent if they share a non-free end. In a Goldstone diagram, two lines are termed Goldstone adjacent if they end on the same single-excitation vertex of an operator. In this context, the broader sense of the adjacency is called Hugenholtz adjacency. A path is a sequence of lines  $(l_1, \ldots, l_n)$  such that  $l_i$  is adjacent to  $l_{i+1}$  and no line is repeated. In a Goldstone diagram, one can distinguish between Goldstone and Hugenholtz paths depending on whether or not the lines are all Goldstone adjacent. A Goldstone cycle is a Goldstone path whose ends are either Goldstone adjacent or free. A Goldstone cycle with free ends is an open cycle. Otherwise, it forms a loop. A graph is considered connected if there is a Hugenholtz path connecting any two of its operators. A closed, disconnected subgraph is considered unlinked from the rest of the graph. Note this last distinction: A disconnected graph is still classified as linked, as long as none of its disconnected subgraphs are closed.

**Definition 4.5.** Summand graph. A summand graph<sup>5</sup>  $\Sigma(G) = (G, S, s)$  associates each line l in G with a symbol  $s(l) \in S$  through the label mapping  $s: L \to S$ . Pictorially, this corresponds to labeling each line in G with spin-orbital index, p, q, r, s, etc.  $\Sigma(G)$  translates directly into an algebraic summand according to Def 4.1 and Notation 4.1.

**Definition 4.6.** Degeneracy. The line degeneracy or simply degeneracy of G is the number of permutational symmetries in  $\Sigma(G)$ , a positive integer here denoted  $\mathrm{dg}(G)$ . Formally, this can be defined as follows. If  $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$  is the label set of  $\Sigma(G)$  and  $s(l_i) = s_i$  is its label map, we can define a new summand graph  $\Sigma_{\pi}(G) = (G, S, s_{\pi})$  with a permuted label map  $s_{\pi}$  given by  $s_{\pi}(l_i) = s_{\pi(i)}$ , where  $\pi$  is a permutation in  $S_n$ . Then  $\mathrm{dg}(G)$  is the number of  $\Sigma_{\pi}(G)$  that are equal to  $\Sigma(G)$ . Assuming no identical operators, the degeneracy of G is simply given by  $\mathrm{dg}(G) = |L_1|! \cdots |L_h|!$  where  $L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_h$  partitions its line set into subsets of equivalent lines and  $|L_i|$  denotes the number of elements in  $L_i$ . When the graph does contain identical operators, there is an additional factor of k! for each set  $\{G_1, \ldots, G_k\}$  of equivalent subgraphs.

**Axiom 4.1.** Rules of interpretation. The algebraic interpretation of a graph G is obtained from  $\Sigma(G)$  as follows.

- 1. Multiply  $\Sigma(G)$  by  $dg(G)^{-1}$ , the degeneracy factor.
- 2. Sum each index in  $\Sigma(G)$  over its range.

Symbolically, these rules can be stated as follows:  $G = \frac{1}{\deg(G)} \sum_{\text{Einstein}} \Sigma(G)$ .

**Definition 4.7.** Contraction. Formally, a graph contraction is a map  $G \mapsto c(G)$  joining one or more compatible external lines in G. For example, c might replace  $l_1$  and  $l_2$ , which have ends  $(t(l_1), h(l_1)) = (o_1, e)$  and  $(t(l_2), h(l_2)) = (e, o_2)$ , with  $l_{12}$ , which has ends  $(t(l_{12}), h(l_{12})) = (o_1, o_2)$ . Two contractions c and c' of G are equivalent if they are graphically indistinguishable, i.e. c(G) = c'(G). The number of equivalent ways of achieving a given contraction c is called its pattern degeneracy, which is denoted pat(c). The complete set of unique contraction patterns for a graph is here denoted Ctr(G). Combined with Wick's theorem, these concepts lead to the following statement

$$\sum_{\text{Einstein}} \Sigma(G) = \sum_{\text{Einstein}} i\Sigma(G)i + \sum_{c \in \text{Ctr}(G)} \text{pat}(c) \sum_{\text{Einstein}} i\Sigma(c(G))i$$
(4.6)

which will be used to prove a more elegant graphical formulation of Wick's theorem below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In graph theory jargon this is essentially a *directed multigraph*, except that the vertical ordering of operators matters.

 $<sup>^3\</sup>mathrm{See}\ \mathrm{https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Induced\_subgraph}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>That is,  $G[O_1]$  and  $G[O_2]$  with  $O_1 \cap O_2 = \emptyset$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>In graph theory jargon this is an edge-labeled directed multigraph.

**Remark 4.1.** The following proof assumes a graph with no identical operators to avoid consideration of equivalent subgraphs when counting line degeneracies, but the statement is actually true in general.

**Lemma 4.1.** The pattern degeneracy of a contraction c is  $pat(c) = \frac{dg(G)}{dg(c(G))}$ .

Proof, assuming no identical operators: Let L be the line set of G and U be the line set of the contraction c(G). If  $L = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_m$  partitions L into equivalent lines, let  $L_{i,j} = L_{j,i}$  denote the subset of lines in  $L_c$  that result from contracting lines from  $L_i$  with lines from  $L_j$ . Let  $L_{i,0}$  denote the subset of lines from  $L_i$  unchanged by C. Then  $L_c = \bigcup_{i>j} L_{i,j} = \bigcup_{i=1}^m (L_{i,0} \cup L_{i,1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{i,i-1})$  partitions  $L_c$  into equivalent lines. The number of equivalent ways of partitioning  $L_i$  for contraction is  $\frac{|L_i|!}{|L_{i,0}|!L_{i,1}|!\cdots|L_{i,m}|!}$ , and the number of ways of forming  $L_{i,j}$  from a given partition of  $L_i$  and  $L_j$  is  $|L_{i,j}|! = |L_{j,i}|!$ . Therefore, the total pattern degeneracy of C is given by the following.

$$\operatorname{pat}(c) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{|L_i|!}{|L_{i,0}|!|L_{i,1}|!\dots|L_{i,m}|!} \prod_{j=i+1}^{m} |L_{i,j}|! = \frac{|L_1|!\dots|L_m|!}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} |L_{i,0}|!|L_{i,1}|!\dots|L_{i,i-1}|!} = \frac{\operatorname{dg}(G)}{\operatorname{dg}(c(G))}$$

 $\textbf{Theorem 4.1. Wick's theorem for Graphs.} \quad G = \text{$:$G$:} + \sum_{c \in \operatorname{Ctr}(G)} \text{$:$c(G)$:}$ 

Proof: This follows by expanding G according to axiom 4.1 and then using equation 4.6 and lemma 4.1.

$$G = \frac{1}{\operatorname{dg}(G)} \sum_{\text{Einstein}} \Sigma(G) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{dg}(G)} \sum_{\text{Einstein}} \mathbf{i} \Sigma(G) \mathbf{i} + \sum_{c \in \operatorname{Ctr}(G)} \frac{1}{\operatorname{dg}(c(G))} \sum_{\text{Einstein}} \mathbf{i} \Sigma(c(G)) \mathbf{i} = \mathbf{i} G \mathbf{i} + \sum_{c \in \operatorname{Ctr}(G)} \mathbf{i} c(G) \mathbf{i}$$

In words, any graph is equal to its normal-ordering plus the sum over all unique graph contractions.

**Remark 4.2.** The practical advantage of the graphical form of Wick's theorem is that there are far fewer unique graph contractions than there are algebraic contractions. Effectively, graphical notation makes the permutational symmetries of *m*-electron operators obvious, which tends to make them easier to manipulate.

**Derivation 4.1.** Phase rule for graphs with contractions. The summand graph of a pair of m-electron operators with a single particle or hole contraction has the following form in the Goldstone representation

where d is a virtual index, k is an occupied index, and we are not using implicit summation. Using the permutational degrees of freedom of the normal-ordered product, we can bring together the contracted pair of single-excitation operators without changing the sign of the expression. The contracted pair of operators can then be eliminated as follows.

$$\mathbf{i} a_{d \bullet}^{p_i} a_{s_j}^{d \bullet} \mathbf{i} = -\mathbf{i} a_{d \bullet s_j}^{d \bullet} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{i} = -(-\eta_d^d) a_{s_j}^{p_i} = a_{s_j}^{p_i} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{i} a_{q_i}^{k \circ} a_{k \circ i}^{r_j} \mathbf{i} = -\mathbf{i} a_{k \circ q_i}^{k \circ r_j} \mathbf{i} = -(+\gamma_k^k) a_{q_i}^{r_j} = -a_{q_i}^{r_j} \qquad \qquad (4.8)$$

Repeatedly applying this rule to each internal line in an open cycle leaves  $(-)^h a_q^p$  where h is the number of holes in the cycle and p and q are indices of the free ends. Applying the same procedure to a loop gives  $(-)^h a_{d^{\bullet}}^{d^{\bullet}} = (-)^h (-\eta_d^d) = (-)^{h+1}$ . Since the lines of any Goldstone graph uniquely partition into Goldstone cycles, these rules provide a quick way to resolve the operator component of any diagram into a normal-ordered product of uncontracted operators times a phase factor.

Corollary 4.1. Phase rule for closed graphs. After eliminating all contracted operator pairs, the sign of a closed graph is  $(-)^{h+l}$ , where h is the total number of holes in the graph and l is the number of loops.

Proof: By definition, every Goldstone cycle in a closed graph is a loop. Let  $h_i$  be the number of holes in the i<sup>th</sup> loop. Then, by derivation 4.1, the fully contracted operator product evaluates to  $\prod_{i=1}^{l} (-)^{h_i+1} = (-)^{\sum_i h_i+l} = (-)^{h+l}$ .

**Definition 4.8.** Coefficient graph. A coefficient graph is a closed graph in which one of the interaction tensors is an antisymmetrized Kronecker delta,  $\bar{\delta}_{p'_1\cdots p'_m}^{q'_1\cdots q'_m} = \hat{P}_{(q_1/\cdots/q_m)}^{(p_1/\cdots/p_m)} \delta_{p'_1}^{p_1} \cdots \delta_{p'_m}^{p_m} \delta_{q_1}^{q'_1} \cdots \delta_{q_m}^{q'_m}$ . Using the identity

$$\tilde{a}_{q_{1}\dots q_{m}}^{p_{1}\dots p_{m}} = \left(\frac{1}{m!}\right)^{2} \overline{\delta}_{p'_{1}\dots p'_{m}}^{q'_{1}\dots q'_{m}} \tilde{a}_{q'_{1}\dots q'_{m}}^{p'_{1}\dots p'_{m}}$$

$$(4.9)$$

this extends the results derived above to allow for bare excitation operators in addition to m-electron operators. The contraction with  $\tilde{a}_{q_1...q_m}^{p_1...p_m}$  is depicted by capping the lines connected to it,  $\longrightarrow$ , and labelling these creation and annihilation lines with  $p_1, \ldots, p_m$  and  $q_1, \cdots, q_m$ , respectively. To simplify the algebraic interpretation of a coefficient graph (see rmk 4.3), it becomes convenient to treat coefficient lines as distinct from other internal lines. A closed graph with no coefficient lines is sometimes called an *energy graph*.

Remark 4.3. The algebraic interpretation of a coefficient graph with the operator in eq 4.9 has the form

$$\bar{\delta}_{p'_{1}\cdots p'_{m}}^{q'_{1}\cdots q'_{m}} T_{q'_{1}\cdots q'_{m}}^{p'_{1}\cdots p'_{m}} = \hat{P}_{(q_{1}/\cdots/q_{m})}^{(p_{1}/\cdots/p_{m})} T_{q_{1}\cdots q_{m}}^{p_{1}\cdots p_{m}}$$

$$(4.10)$$

where  $T_{q'_1\cdots q'_m}^{p'_1\cdots p'_m}$  is the product of interaction tensors contracted with  $\overline{\delta}_{p'_1\cdots p'_m}^{q'_1\cdots q'_m}$ , scaled by appropriate sign and degeneracy factors according to axiom 4.1. Suppose  $p_1,\ldots,p_m$  and  $q_1,\cdots,q_m$  can be partitioned as  $P_1\cup\cdots\cup P_h$  and  $Q_1\cup\cdots\cup Q_k$  where  $P_i=\{p_{i,1},\ldots,p_{i,m_i}\}$  and  $Q_i=\{q_{i,1},\ldots,q_{i,n_i}\}$  label equivalent coefficient lines. Then eq 4.10 simplifies as follows.

$$\hat{P}_{(q_{1,1}/\dots/q_{k,n_k})}^{(p_{1,1}/\dots/p_{h,m_h})} T_{q_{1,1}\dots q_{k,n_k}}^{p_{1,1}\dots p_{h,m_h}} = |P_1|!\dots|P_h|!|Q_1|!\dots|Q_k|! \, \hat{P}_{(Q_1/\dots/Q_k)}^{(P_1/\dots/P_h)} \, T_{q_{1,1}\dots q_{k,n_k}}^{p_{1,1}\dots p_{h,m_h}}$$

$$\tag{4.11}$$

Notice that the factorials on the right exactly cancel the contribution of the coefficient lines to the degeneracy factor. This equation defines a simplified rule for interpreting these kinds of graphs.

Remark 4.4. A few of the results from the preceding discussion are particularly useful for algebraically interpreting a graph according to axiom 4.1. For easy reference, let's summarize them here.

- 1. Each set of k equivalent internal lines<sup>8</sup> or equivalent subgraphs contributes a factor of k! to the degeneracy.
- 2. Each closed loop contributes  $(-)^{h_i+1}$  to the overall sign, where  $h_i$  is the number of hole lines.
- 3. Each open cycle contributes  $(-)^{h_i}a_q^p$  to the normal-ordered product, where and p and q label the free ends.
- 4. For a closed graph, the overall sign is  $(-)^{h+l}$  where h is the total number of hole lines and l is the number of loops.
- 5. For each bare excitation operator in a coefficient graph, the coefficient lines contribute an antisymmetrizer  $\hat{P}_{(Q_1/\cdots/Q_k)}^{(P_1/\cdots/P_h)}$ where the  $P_i$ 's and  $Q_i$ 's label subsets of equivalent creation and annihilation lines, respectively.

**Example 4.1.** The one- and two-electron components of  $H_e$  expand into occupied/virtual blocks as follows.

$$h_p^q a_q^p = h_a^b a_b^a + h_a^i a_i^a + h_i^a a_i^a + h_i^j a_i^i$$
(4.12)

$$\frac{1}{4}\overline{g}_{pq}^{rs}a_{rs}^{pq} = \frac{1}{4}\overline{g}_{ab}^{cd}a_{cd}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{g}_{ab}^{ci}a_{ci}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{g}_{ai}^{bc}a_{bc}^{ai} + \frac{1}{4}\overline{g}_{ab}^{ij}a_{ij}^{ab} + \overline{g}_{ia}^{bj}a_{bi}^{ia} + \frac{1}{4}\overline{g}_{ij}^{ab}a_{ab}^{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{g}_{ia}^{ja}a_{ik}^{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{g}_{ij}^{ka}a_{ka}^{ij} + \frac{1}{4}\overline{g}_{ij}^{ka}a_{kl}^{ij}$$

$$(4.13)$$

which, defining  $\boxtimes - \stackrel{\uparrow}{\searrow} \equiv h_p^q a_q^p$  and  $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\swarrow} \sim \sim \stackrel{\downarrow}{\searrow} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \overline{g}_{pq}^{rs} a_{rs}^{pq}$ , can be expressed in terms of Goldstone diagrams as

The degeneracy factors fall into three cases:  $\{l_1, l_2\} \cup \{l_3, l_4\} \implies \operatorname{dg}(G)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2}; \{l_1, l_2\} \cup \{l_3\} \cup \{l_4\} \implies \operatorname{dg}(G)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 1 \cdot 1};$  and  $\{l_1\} \cup \{l_2\} \cup \{l_3\} \cup \{l_4\} \implies \operatorname{dg}(G)^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1}.$  In terms of Hugenholtz diagrams, these are written as follows.

Note that in each case the correct scalar factor is built into the definition of the diagram.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>This is equivalent to plugging in a dummy interaction vertex  $\overline{v}_{p'_1 \cdots p'_m}^{q'_1 \cdots q'_m}$  and taking the derivative with respect to  $\overline{v}_{p_1 \cdots p_m}^{q_1 \cdots q_m}$ .

<sup>7</sup>If there are multiple bare excitation operators, this notation leads to ambiguities and is best avoided.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>If rule 5 is used, this excludes equivalent coefficient lines.

Example 4.2. The  $\Phi$ -normal Wick expansion of the one- and two-electron components of  $H_e$  are as follows.

$$h_p^q a_q^p = h_p^q \left( \tilde{a}_q^p + \tilde{a}_{q^{\circ}}^{p^{\circ}} \right) = h_p^q \tilde{a}_q^p + h_p^q \gamma_q^p \tag{4.17}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}\overline{g}_{pq}^{rs}a_{rs}^{pq} = \frac{1}{4}\overline{g}_{pq}^{rs}\left(\tilde{a}_{rs}^{pq} + \hat{P}_{(r/s)}^{(p/q)}\tilde{a}_{r\circ s}^{p\circ q} + \hat{P}_{(r/s)}\tilde{a}_{r\circ s}^{p\circ q\circ \circ}\right) = \frac{1}{4}\overline{g}_{pq}^{rs}\tilde{a}_{rs}^{pq} + \overline{g}_{pq}^{rs}\gamma_{r}^{p}\tilde{a}_{s}^{q} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{g}_{pq}^{rs}\gamma_{r}^{p}\gamma_{s}^{q} \tag{4.18}$$

In terms of Goldstone diagrams and Hugenholtz diagrams, these equations are written as follows.

Again each degeneracy factor is exactly equal to the scalar factor in front of the corresponding algebraic term, in accord with Wick's theorem for graphs. Using these results, the  $\Phi$ -normal Wick expansion of  $H_e$  in Goldstone representation is

where  $\otimes$  is the Fock operator,  $f_p^q \tilde{a}_q^p = h_p^q \tilde{a}_q^p + \overline{g}_{pr}^{qs} \gamma_s^r \tilde{a}_q^p$ .

Notation 4.2. The bra and ket of the reference state  $\Phi$  are graphically depicted by thick double lines  $\longrightarrow$  above and below the graph, respectively. Open graphs with a ket at the bottom are sometimes classified as wavefunction graphs.

**Example 4.3.** The first and second Slater rules take the following form in diagram notation.