1. Evaluate each of the following using the definition of contraction.

$$\begin{array}{lllll} & :\overline{a_p}a_q : = ? & :\overline{a_p}a_q^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_p}a_q^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_a}a_b : = ? & :\overline{a_a}a_b^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_a}a_b^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_a}a_i : = ? & :\overline{a_a}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_a}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_a}a_i : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_a : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_a^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_a^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? \\ & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger} : = ? & :\overline{a_i}a_i^{\dagger}$$

- 2. Assuming Wick's theorem, prove the following corollaries in your own words.
 - (a) A product of normal-ordered operators equals the normal-ordering of their product plus all cross-contractions.
 - (b) The vacuum expectation value of an operator is the sum of its complete contractions.
- 3. Visually illustrate the proof of the phase factor for completely contracted products with a non-trivial example something with more than two line intersections.
- 4. Derive the expansion of H_e in terms of Φ -normal-ordered excitations.
- 5. Derive Slater's rules using Wick's theorem.

$$\langle \Phi | H_e | \Phi \rangle = \sum_i h_{ii} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \langle ij | | ij \rangle \qquad \langle \Phi | H_e | \Phi^a_i \rangle = h_{ia} + \sum_j \langle ij | | aj \rangle \qquad \langle \Phi | H_e | \Phi^{ab}_{ij} \rangle = \langle ij | | ab \rangle$$

Also, explain why the second equation evaluates to zero for canonical Hartree-Fock orbitals.¹ This is known as *Brillouin's theorem*.

6. Derive the CIS matrix elements using Wick's theorem.

¹Hint: Project the canonical Hartree-Fock equation by another spin-orbital and expand $f_{pq} = \langle \psi_p | \hat{f} \psi_q \rangle$ in terms of one- and two-electron integrals.