Lecture 7: Estimation Methods Maximum Likelihood & Bayesian Estimation

Big Data and Machine Learning for Applied Economics Econ 4676

Ignacio Sarmiento-Barbieri

Universidad de los Andes

September 1, 2020

Recap

- Computation
- QR decomposition
- MapReduce and Spark
- Demo Scraping
- ▶ Message: web scraping involves as much art as it does science

Agenda

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Maximum Likelihood Estimation
- 3 Conditional Likelihood Estimation
- 4 Bayesian Estimation
- 5 Further Readings

Motivation

- Maximum Likelihood is, by far, the most popular technique for deriving estimators
- ▶ Developed by Roanld A. Fisher (1890-1962)
- "If Fisher had lived in the era of "apps," maximum likelihood estimation might have made him a billionaire" (Efron and Tibshiriani, 2016)
- ▶ Why? MLE gives "automatically"
 - Unbiasedness
 - Minimum variance

Let $X_1, ..., X_n \sim_{iid} f(x|\theta)$, the likelihood function is defined by

$$L(\theta|x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i|\theta)$$
 (1)

A maximum likelihood estimator of the parameter θ :

$$\hat{\theta}^{MLE} = \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} L(\theta, x) \tag{2}$$

- ▶ Intuitively, MLE is a reasonable choice for an estimator.
- MLE is the parameter point for which the observed sample is most likely
- It is kind of a 'reverse engineering' process: to generate random numbers for a certain distribution you first set parameter values and then get realizations. This is doing the reverse process: first set the realizations and try to get the parameters that are 'most likely' to have generated them

Note that maximizing (1) is the same as maximizing

$$l(\theta|x) = \ln L(\theta|x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i(x|\theta)$$
 (3)

Advantages of (3)

- ► It is easy to see that the **contribution** of observation *i* to the likelihood is given by $l_i(x|\theta) = \ln f(x_i|\theta)$
- ▶ Eq. (1) is also prone to underflow; can be very large or very small number that it cannot easily be represented in a computer.

If the likelihood function is differentiable (in θ) a possible candidate for the MLE are the values of θ that solve

$$\frac{\partial L(\theta|x)}{\partial \theta} = 0 \tag{4}$$

- ► These are only *possible candidates*, this is a necessary condition for a max
- Need to check SOC

Let $X_1, ..., X_n \sim N(\mu, 1)$. We want to estimate $\theta = \mu$ Here

$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}$$
 (5)

taking logs

$$l(\theta) = -\frac{n}{2}log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \mu)^2$$
 (6)

FOC

$$\frac{\partial l\left(\theta\right)}{\partial u} = 0\tag{7}$$

7/25

$$\frac{\partial l\left(\theta\right)}{\partial \mu} = 2\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i - \mu\right)}{2} = 0\tag{8}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \hat{\mu}) = 0 \tag{9}$$

then

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n} = \bar{x} \tag{10}$$

The MLE is the sample mean. Next we check the SOC

$$\frac{\partial^2 L(\theta|x)}{\partial \theta^2} = -n < 0 \tag{11}$$

We are in a global maximum



8/25

Conditional Likelihood

Suppose now, that $f(y, x|\eta)$ is the joint density function of two variables X and Y. Then, it can be decomposed as

$$f(y,x|\eta) = f(y|X,\theta)f(x|\phi)$$
 (12)

- \triangleright θ , $\phi \subset \eta$
- ▶ The parameter vector of interest is θ
- Maximizing the joint likelihood is achieved through maximizing separately the conditional and the marginal likelihood
- \blacktriangleright The MLE of θ also maximizes the conditional likelihood
- We can obtain ML estimates by specifying the conditional likelihood only

Example 1

Let $y_i|X_i \sim_{iid} Bernoulli(p)$, where $p = PR(y = 1|X) = F(X\beta)$ and F(.) normal cdf. Then the conditional likelihood is

$$L(\beta, Y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i^n (1 - p_i)^{1 - y_i}$$
(13)

The log likelihood is then

$$l(\beta, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i \ln F(X_i \beta) + (1 - y_i) \ln(1 - F(X_i \beta)))$$
 (14)

Example 1

FOC

$$\frac{\partial l(\beta|y,X)}{\partial \beta} = 0 \tag{15}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \frac{1}{F(X_{i}\beta)} f(X_{i}'\beta) X_{i}' + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - y_{i}) \frac{1}{(1 - F(x_{i}'\beta))} - f(X_{i}'\beta) X_{i}' = 0 \quad (16)$$

:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(y_i - F(X_i'\beta))f(X_i'\beta)x_i}{F(X_i'\beta)(1 - F(X_i'\beta))} = 0$$
 (17)

Note:

- ► This is a system of *K* non linear equations with *K* unknown parameters.
- We cannot explicitly solve for $\hat{\beta}$

Now consider the following linear model

$$y = X\beta + u \ u \sim_{iid} N(0, \sigma^2 I)$$
 (18)

Note that $y_i|X_i \sim N(X_i\beta, \sigma^2)$ thus the pdf of $y_i|X$

$$f_i(y_i|\beta,\sigma,X_i) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2})} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i - X_i\beta)^2}$$
 (19)

The contribution to the log likelihood from observation *i*

$$l_i(y_i|\beta,\sigma,X_i) = -\frac{1}{2}log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2}log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i - X_i\beta)^2$$
 (20)

Since we assumed that obs are iid, then the log likelihood

$$l(y|\beta,\sigma,X) = -\frac{n}{2}log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2}log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - X_i \beta)^2$$
 (21)

$$= -\frac{n}{2}log2\pi - \frac{n}{2}log\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - X\beta)'y - X\beta)$$
 (22)

The ML estimators for β and σ result from maximizing this last line

The first step in maximizing $l(y|\beta,\sigma,X)$ is to **concentrate** it with respect to σ

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma} - \frac{1}{\sigma^3} (y - X\beta)' y - X\beta) = 0$$
 (23)

Solving for σ^2

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} (y - X\beta)' y - X\beta) \tag{24}$$

Replacing this in the log likelihood we get the concentrated (profile) likelihood

$$l^{c}(y|\beta,X) = -\frac{n}{2}log2\pi - \frac{n}{2}log\left(\frac{1}{n}(y - X\beta)'y - X\beta\right) - \frac{n}{2}$$
 (25)

- 1 Get $\hat{\beta}$
- 2 Replace β in $\hat{\sigma}^2(\beta) = \frac{1}{n}(y X\beta)'y X\beta) \rightarrow \text{get } \hat{\sigma}^2$

This is not the only way, you could concentrate relative to β first and solve for σ^2

Bayesian Estimation

- ► The Bayesian approach to stats is fundamentally different from the classical approach we have been taking
- ► In the classical approach, the parameter θ is thought to be an unknown, but fixed quantity, e.g., $X_i \sim f(\theta)$
- ▶ In the Bayesian approach θ is considered to be a quantity whose variation can be described by a probability distribution (*prior distribution*)
- ► Then a sample is taken from a population indexed by θ and the prior is updated with this sample
- ▶ The resulting updated prior is the *posterior distribution*

Bayesian Estimation

For this updating we use Bayes Theorem

$$\pi(\theta|X) = \frac{f(X|\theta)p(\theta)}{m(X)}$$
 (26)

with m(X) is the marginal distribution of X, i.e.

$$m(X) = \int f(X|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta \tag{27}$$

Bayesian Linear Regression

Consider

$$y_i = \beta x_i + u_i \ u_i \sim_{iid} N(0, \sigma^2 I)$$
 (28)

The likelihood function is

$$f(y|\beta,\sigma,x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2})} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i - \beta x_i)^2}$$
 (29)

Now consider that the prior for β is $N(\beta_0, \tau^2)$

$$p(\beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\tau^2}(\beta - \beta_0)^2}$$
 (30)

Bayesian Linear Regression

The Posterior distribution then

$$\pi(\beta|y,x) = \frac{f(y,x|\beta)p(\beta)}{m(y,x)}$$
(31)

$$=\frac{f(y|x,\beta)f(x|\beta)p(\beta)}{m(y,x)}$$
(32)

by assumption $f(x|\beta) = f(x)$

$$= f(y|x,\beta)p(\beta)\frac{f(x)}{m(y,x)}$$
(33)

$$\propto f(y|x,\beta)p(\beta)$$
 (34)

Bayesian Linear Regression (Detour)

Useful Result:

Suppose a density of a random variable θ is proportional to

$$exp\left(\frac{-1}{2}(A\theta^2 + B\theta)\right) \tag{35}$$

Then $\theta \sim N(m, V)$ where

$$m = \frac{-1B}{2A} \quad V = \frac{1}{A} \tag{36}$$

Bayesian Linear Regression (we are back)

$$P(\beta|y,X) \propto \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^n exp\left(\frac{-1}{2\sigma^2}\sum (y_i - \beta x_i)^2\right) exp\left(\frac{-1}{2\tau^2}(\beta - \beta_0)^2\right)$$
(37)

$$\propto exp\left[\frac{-1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\sum(y_i-\beta x_i)^2+\frac{-1}{\tau^2}(\beta-\beta_0)^2\right)\right]$$
(38)

Bayesian Linear Regression (we are back)

Using the previous detour

$$A = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum x_i^2 + \frac{1}{\tau^2}$$
 (39)

$$B = -2\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum y_i x_i + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \beta_0 \tag{40}$$

Then $\beta \sim N(m, V)$ with

$$m = \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum y_i x_i + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \beta_0}{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum x_i^2 + \frac{1}{\tau^2}\right)}$$
(41)

$$V = \frac{1}{A} \tag{42}$$

Bayesian Linear Regression (we are back)

$$m = \left(\frac{\frac{\sum x_i^2}{\sigma^2}}{\frac{\sum x_i^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}}\right) \frac{\sum x_i y_i}{\sum x_i^2} + \left(\frac{\frac{1}{\tau^2}}{\frac{\sum x_i^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}}\right) \beta_0$$
(43)

$$m = \omega \hat{\beta}_{MLE} + (1 - \omega)\beta_0 \tag{44}$$

Remarks

- ▶ If prior belief is strong $\tau \downarrow 0 \rightarrow \omega \downarrow 0 \implies m = \beta_0$
- ▶ If prior belief is weak $\tau \uparrow \infty \to \omega \uparrow 1 \implies m = \beta_{MLE}$

Review & Next Steps

- ► Maximum Likelihood Estimation
- ► Conditional Maximum Likelihood Estimation
- Bayesian Estimation
- ► **Next Class:** Cont. Bayesian Stats.
- ▶ Questions? Questions about software?

Further Readings

- Casella, G., & Berger, R. L. (2002). Statistical inference (Vol. 2, pp. 337-472). Pacific Grove, CA: Duxbury.
- Davidson, R., & MacKinnon, J. G. (2004). Econometric theory and methods (Vol. 5). New York: Oxford University Press.
- ► Efron, B., & Hastie, T. (2016). Computer age statistical inference (Vol. 5). Cambridge University Press.
- Friedman, J., Hastie, T., & Tibshirani, R. (2001). The elements of statistical learning (Vol. 1, No. 10). New York: Springer series in statistics.
- Hayashi, F. (2000). Econometrics. 2000. Princeton University Press. Section, 1, 60-69.