

CS 407

Design Patterns

Design patterns:
Designing generic components

Dr. Chad Williams
Central Connecticut State University

Generic components

- Also known as reusable components
- Extended or reused in many different contexts without having to change the code
- Two basic techniques
 - Refactoring
 - Generalization

Mechanisms

- To build generic components use
 - Inheritance
 - Delegation
- Abstract classes and interfaces also play key role

Refactoring

- Identify recurring code - same logic in multiple different places
- Capture the logic in a single place on a generic component
- Restructure program so all existing code uses generic implementation (ie. Remove duplication)

Why refactor

- Faster implementation of new components that use the method
- Bug fixes must be made in all duplicate methods making it easy to fix in some places but not all
- Duplicate code can lead to drifting of implementations making understanding of code more difficult even though same task done
- **Be careful not all code that looks alike is alike!**

Function/method refactoring

```
class Computation{  
    void method1() {  
        computeStep1();  
        computeStep1();  
        computeStep1();  
    }  
    void method2() {  
        computeStep1();  
        computeStep1();  
        computeStep1();  
    }  
    ...  
}
```

```
class Computation{  
    void computeAll() {  
        computeStep1();  
        computeStep2();  
        computeStep3();  
    }  
    void method1() {  
        computeAll();  
    }  
    void method2() {  
        computeAll();  
    }  
    ...  
}
```

Method refactoring limitations

- Method refactoring is the easiest but has several limitations
 - Only effective when common code is contained in a single method, can become much more difficult when spread across multiple functions
 - Only possible when duplicate code is all within the same class

Refactoring across classes

- Commonalities in code across classes has several complications
 - Similar/duplicate code may refer to variables that are not accessible by other duplicate code
 - Strongly tying code together due to similarity of a function alone violates encapsulation
- Solution → refactoring by inheritance or delegation

Refactoring by inheritance

- If duplicate code appears across two different classes may be able to refactor by inheritance
- Common code pulled into either shared parent class or new parent class created
- Any variables used also pulled to parent class

inheritance refactoring

```
class Computation1{
    void method1() {
        computeStep1();
        computeStep2();
        computeStep3();
    }
}

class Computation2{
    void method2() {
        computeStep1();
        computeStep2();
        computeStep3();
    }
}
```

```
class Computation{
    void computeAll() {
        computeStep1();
        computeStep2();
        computeStep3();
    }
}

class Computation1 extends
    Computation {
    void method1() {
        computeAll();
    }
}

class Computation2 extends
    Computation {
    void method2() {
        computeAll();
    }
}

...
```

Refactoring by inheritance limitations

- Creates problems if the two classes are not related enough to justify inheriting from same class
- Due to limitation of single inheritance, if either class has a different parent its not possible to move code to parent

Refactoring by delegation

- Duplicate code pulled to different class and implementation of the common code is *delegated* to this class
- Requires original classes to have a reference to the delegated class
- Any non-visible variables need to be passed into helper class

delegation refactoring

```
class Computation1{
    void method1() {
        computeStep1();
        computeStep2();
        computeStep3();
    }
}

class Computation2{
    void method2() {
        computeStep1();
        computeStep2();
        computeStep3();
    }
}

...

class Helper{
    void computeAll(int length){
        computeStep1(length);
        computeStep2();
        computeStep3();
    }
}

class Computation1{
    Helper myHelper = new Helper();
    void method1(){
        myHelper.computeAll(this.length);
    }
}

class Computation2 {
    Helper myHelper = new Helper();
    void method2(){
        myHelper.computeAll(this.length);
    }
}

...
```

Refactoring decisions

- Refactoring by delegation can always be used and has the advantage of not putting inheritance restrictions (ie. Avoid problem with single inheritance limitation)
- Refactoring by delegation is most complex and most difficult to understand through reading the code. Therefore its often preferable to use refactoring by inheritance

Maximizing extensibility

- When code is refactored the goal is to better the chance the code can be reused
- One way this is done is making the code extensible
 - Allow calling component to alter context the code runs in
 - Extend functionality through making changes to parameters of execution

Extensibility

- Changing the parameters of execution
 - Allow calling component to set context of super class or helper class through accessors
 - Ex. `setNumberOfSidesShape`
 - Allow calling component to pass in parameters of execution
 - Ex. `drawShape(color)`

Inheritance complications

- Complications arise when duplicate code but different detailed implementations

- Ex.

- ```
public class Computation1
// this portion of Computation1 and
// Computation 2 are identical
void compute() {
 computeA();
 computeB(); // implementation differs
 computeC();
}
```

# Extensibility of methods

- Duplicate code may make same function calls but be implemented differently
- Extensibility can also be applied to methods
  - Option 1: Provide a default implementation of method in super class, which can then be overridden
  - Option 2: If no default implementation make super class abstract and the method in question

# Extensible methods ex.

```
public abstract class Shape{
 public void computeAll(){
 calculatePerimeter();
 calculateArea();
 }
 public void calculatePerimeter() {
 // add all sides
 }
 abstract public void calculateArea();
}
public class square extends Shape{
 public void calculate(){
 ...
 computeAll();
 }
 public void calculateArea(){ area = side * side;}
}
public class circle extends Shape{
 public void calcValue(){
 ...
 computeAll();
 }
 public void calculatePerimeter(){ perim = 2*pi*r;}
 public void calculateArea(){ area = pi * r^2;}
}
```

# Preventing misuse

- When extracting duplicate code to super class there are times when some portion of the duplicate code should not be overridden while some portion could be
  - Ex. `initialization`
- Solution is to introduce methods aimed at extensibility while limiting misuse
- Code that should not be overridden would then be marked `final`

# Avoiding misuse example

```
public abstract class Shape{
 public void drawShape(){
 init();
 initShapeImplementation();
 getCenter();
 getPerimeter();
 drawShape();
 }
 final public void init(){
 // initialize drawing canvas
 }
 abstract public void initShapeImplementation(){}
}
public class Square extends Shape{
 public void changeSize(){
 ...
 drawShape();
 }
 public void initShapeImplementation(){
 // initialize shape specific drawing features
 }
}
```

# Complications with delegation

- Complications arise when duplicate code but different detailed implementations
- Ex.

- ```
public class Helper
```
- ```
 // this portion of Computation1 and
 // Computation 2 are identical
 void compute(){
 computeA();
 computeB(); // implementation differs
 computeC();
 }
```

# Complications with delegation

- With inheritance refactoring different implementations of the same method pose little problem
- Method with common code simply calls parent method or abstract method
- With delegation refactoring different implementations are more complex
- Implementation of individual methods is not extracted

# Delegation solution

- With delegation, in order to support different implementations the calling class needs to pass itself to the Helper class
- Helper class then calls the method on the passed in object
- Because different objects do not have the same parent class (otherwise inheritance refactoring would have been used) implementing a common interface is needed



# Delegation example

```
public class Helper{
 public void computeAll(ShapeComputer passedInstance) {
 computeA();
 passedInstance.computeB();
 computeC();
 }
}

public interface ShapeComputer{
 public void computeB();
}

public class square implements ShapeComputer{
 public void calculate(){
 ...
 computeAll();
 }
 public void computeB(){ area = side * side;}
}

public class circle implements ShapeComputer{
 public void calcValue(){
 ...
 computeAll();
 }
 public void computeB(){ area = pi * r^2;}
}
```

- Result is delegation is more likely to become complex than inheritance refactoring