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**CCT College Dublin Continuous Assessment**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Programme Title:** | Data Analytics / AI Higher Diploma | | |
| **Cohort:** | Sep 2022 PT | | |
| **Module Title(s)**: | Strategic Thinking | | |
| **Assignment Type:** | Group | **Weighting(s)**: | 60 % |
| **Assignment Title:** | CA 2 Presentation | | |
| **Lecturer(s)**: | James Garza [james@cct.ie](mailto:james@cct.ie) | | |
| **Issue Date:** | 10th March 2023 | | |
| **Submission Deadline Date:** | 7th May 2023 @ 23:59 | | |
| **Late Submission Penalty:** | Late submissions will be accepted up to **5** calendar days after the deadline. All late submissions are subject to a penalty of **10%** of the mark awarded.  Submissions received more than 5 calendar days after the deadline above **will not** be accepted, and a mark of 0% will be awarded. | | |
| **Method of Submission:** | **Moodle** | | |
| **Instructions for Submission:** | Your work must be uploaded to Moodle.   * Report paper in Word or PDF format ONLY. Word count: ~5,000. * Slides used in the presentation in PDF format. * Every group member must submit Individual Reflective Report. Word count ~750. * Jupyter notebook artefact. | | |
| **Feedback Method:** | **Results posted in Moodle grade book** | | |
| **Feedback Date:** |  | | |

**Learning Outcomes:**

Please note this is not the assessment task. The task to be completed is detailed on the next page.

This CA will assess student attainment of the following minimum intended learning outcomes:

1. Critically evaluate the relationship between information technology infrastructure and organisational

competitive advantage.

2. Critically analyse and select open source and proprietary software with a view to developing IT

solutions for business and business-related IT problems.

3. Utilise tools of strategic business analysis to evaluate the current macro and micro business

environment with a view to formulating future action plans.

4. Research emerging technologies and critically evaluate their impact on business and business information systems in general.

5. Understand the relationship between data gathering / utilisation and business intelligence and its impact on industry policy.

Attainment of the learning outcomes is the minimum requirement to achieve a Pass mark (40%). Higher marks are awarded where there is evidence of achievement beyond this, in accordance with QQI *Assessment and Standards, Revised 2013*, and summarised in the following table:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Percentage Range** | **CCT Performance Description** | **QQI Description of Attainment** | |
| **Level 6, 7 & 8 awards** | **Level 9 awards** |
| 90% + | Exceptional | Achievement includes that required for a Pass and in **most** respects is significantly and consistently beyond this | Achievement includes that required for a Pass and in **most** respects is significantly and consistently beyond this |
| 80 – 89% | Outstanding |
| 70 – 79% | Excellent |
| 60 – 69% | Very Good | Achievement includes that required for a Pass and in **many** respects is significantly beyond this | Achievement includes that required for a Pass and in **many** respects is significantly beyond this |
| 50 – 59% | Good | Achievement includes that required for a Pass and in **some** respects is significantly beyond this | Attains all the minimum intended programme learning outcomes |
| 40 – 49% | Acceptable | Attains all the minimum intended programme learning outcomes |
| 35 – 39% | Fail | Nearly (but not quite) attains the relevant minimum intended learning outcomes | Nearly (but not quite) attains the relevant minimum intended learning outcomes |
| 0 – 34% | Fail | Does not attain some or all of the minimum intended learning outcomes | Does not attain some or all of the minimum intended learning outcomes |

Please review the CCT Grade Descriptor available on the module Moodle page for a detailed description of the standard of work required for each grade band.

The grading system in CCT is the QQI percentage grading system and is in common use in higher education institutions in Ireland. The pass mark and thresholds for different grade bands may be different from what you have experience of in the higher education system in other countries. CCT grades must be considered in the context of the grading system in Irish higher education and not assumed to represent the same standard the percentage grade reflects when awarded in an international context.

**Assessment Task**

This assessment aims to evaluate the group's ability to apply project management methodology to evaluate and enhance the capstone project developed in semester one. The group should be able to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the capstone project from semester one and make improvements based on additional knowledge. Additionally, machine learning results should improve based on understanding the dataset, which machine learning models are best used, and why. Improving the model’s performance with hyper-tuning parameters with an understanding of the performance metrics and dataset. Finally, validate the results and report on your findings.

The final report should build on from semester one and include your findings of machine learning implementation, results validation, model comparison, and any future recommendations. The report should be presented in a clear and concise manner, and it should demonstrate the group's ability to work collaboratively using a project management methodology.

The presentation should present your findings in a clear way for stakeholders.

You are now required to present your findings and initial results with the aid of 4 elements: a presentation, report paper, artefact, and individual reflective report.

You will create a **maximum 15-minute** presentation where you will include the following items. Remember that this is a guideline. You can include other items not mentioned below or remove items irrelevant to your project as long as you are within the allocated 15 minutes.

* + Introduction.
  + Business description.
    - Hypothesis.
    - General goal.
    - Success criteria/indicators.
  + Technologies used.
    - Models
    - Libraries
    - Machine Learning Algorithm **(at least 3 used and compared is required).**
    - **Hyperparameter tuning and cross-validation (required).**
    - etc...
  + What has been accomplished so far?
    - **Data: datasets, source, attributes, dimensions, descriptive statistics, data visualisation, data preparation (required).**
    - Models.
  + Challenges encountered.
    - Including strategies used to overcome them.
  + Results and analysis… next steps.
  + Conclusion.

**\*\* Note that there is NO code on slides, and every team member must present in the presentation.**

* You will also have to finalise the **group** report that includes the following:
  + All the elements that apply from the presentation described above.
  + Citations and references where necessary (i.e., where you make claims or statements about technology and its performance).
  + Professional structure, clear, easy to follow, with coherent flow between sections.

Students are advised to review and adhere to the submission requirements documented after the assessment task.

**Individual Reflective Report:**

* Regarding the individual reflective report, what were your contributions to all aspects of the project, from selecting the dataset to the final presentation and report? What did you particularly enjoy working on the project? Finally, what was your contribution to the creation of a PowerPoint presentation and report?
* In terms of team dynamics, how did you work well within the team and were able to leverage each other's strengths to deliver a high-quality project? How did you contribute to the communication and were able to communicate effectively, share ideas and provide constructive feedback to improve the quality of the group work? However, if there were some challenges in coordinating schedules and balancing workload, how were you flexible and adapting to changing circumstances?
* In terms of the learning journey, how did this project help you develop a deeper understanding of data analytics / AI?
* Overall, how did this project help you develop valuable technical and collaboration skills that can be applied in future projects?
* Minimum of 750 words.

Further details of the assessment:

1. **No one can leave a group or be kicked out of a group. If there is an issue in the group all group members will need to meet with the programme lead or dean of CCT. Your lecturer cannot approve group changes. The maximum group size is 3.**
2. **Support your analysis with references and properly reference ALL sources that you have used. WARNING – If you do not support your work, you will not receive a high mark!**
3. **Order of presentations will be decided by your lecturer.**
4. WORD COUNT: 5,000 words. If your report is too short or long, you may *lose up to 10% of marks*!
5. If you go over the allotted time, you may lose up to 10% of marks.

**Marking Scheme Summary**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Description** | **Weighting** |
| Artefact.   * Data has been properly pre-processed. * Data visualisation tools have been used. * Algorithms used are suitable for the task. * Models have been fined tuned to increment accuracy. * Code is well structured and commented. * The code runs properly. | 10 |
| Presentation.   * Slide set is comprehensive and includes all the relevant technical information. * Data/data processing/feature engineering has been explained correctly with the aid of visualisation tools and metrics. * Methodology and results have been explained properly. * The team has been able to handle questions. * Presentation covers all relevant topics of the project. | 30 |
| Report Paper   * Professional group report is comprehensive and includes all the relevant technical information. * Data/data processing/feature engineering has been explained correctly with the aid of visualisation tools and metrics. * Methodology is sensible and explained appropriately. * Multiple models have been discussed, compared, and contrasted. * Analysis of results and conclusions are sensible. * Referencing and citations have been done correctly. | 20 |
| Individual Reflective Report   * What was your contribution to the project? What did you particularly enjoy working on the project? * How did you work well within your team? How did you contribute to the communication and share ideas with the team? * What was your learning journey? How did you develop a deeper understanding of data analytics / AI? * What collaboration skills did you learn? How can these skills be applied to future projects? | 40 |
| **TOTAL** | **100** |

**Submission Requirements**

All assessment submissions must meet the minimum requirements listed below. Failure to do so may have implications for the mark awarded.

* Submissions must be completed by 7th May 2023 @ 23:59.
* Include a professional group report paper of about 5,000 words in PDF or word format.
* Slides used in the presentation in PDF format,
* Jupyter Notebook(s) and dataset(s).
* You must submit your work by the deadline date specified. Otherwise, you will be subject to late submission penalties.
* Use [Harvard Referencing](http://40.115.124.2/sp/subjects/guide.php?subject=harvardref) when citing third-party material.
* Make sure you submit your own work**. No plagiarism will be tolerated.**
* Include the CCT assessment cover page.

**Additional Information**

* Avoid having slides that are heavy on text or code. Remember that slides are meant to be a tool for the presenter and the audience as a guide for the presentation.
* In any situation, the lecturers are entitled to call you in for further explanation of your code/report/slides.
* Lecturers are not required to review draft assessment submissions. This may be offered at the lecturer’s discretion.
* In accordance with CCT policy, feedback to learners may be provided in written, audio or video format and can be provided as individual learner feedback, small group feedback or whole class feedback.
* Results and feedback will only be issued when assessments have been marked and moderated / reviewed by a second examiner.
* Additional feedback may be provided as individual, small group or whole class feedback. Lecturers are not obliged to respond to email requests for additional feedback where this is not the specified process or to respond to further requests for feedback following the additional feedback.
* Following receipt of feedback, where a student believes there has been an error in the marks or feedback received, they should avail of the recheck and review process and should not attempt to get a revised mark / feedback by directly approaching the lecturer. Lecturers are not authorised to amend published marks outside of the recheck and review process or the Board of Examiners process.
* Students are advised that disagreement with an academic judgement is not grounds for review.
* For additional support with academic writing and referencing students are advised to contact the CCT Library Service or access the [CCT Learning Space](http://learningspace.cct.ie/subjects/index.php).
* For additional support with subject matter content students are advised to contact the [CCT Student Mentoring Academy](https://moodle.cct.ie/mod/forum/view.php?id=55148)
* For additional support with IT subject content, students are advised to access the [CCT Support Hub](https://moodle.cct.ie/course/view.php?id=1861).