Connor Cowher

**CPSC 350** 

Prof. Rene German

12/19/2020

## Sorting Report

**Quick Sort -** Did not integrate runtime. Quick sort was easy to code, not too many lines, saving runtime.

**Merge Sort -** Did not integrate runtime. Inefficient when we talk about RAM. Merge makes temporary arrays which takes up the computer's RAM, inefficient.

**Selection Sort** - Did not integrate runtime. Selection finds the smallest index and puts it in its correct order. More efficient than bubble (i.e. less swapping) but doesn't mean it's fast.

**Insertion Sort -** Did not integrate runtime. Less swaps, better performance. I believe insertion would be the best fit for the majority of simple text input files.

**Bubble Sort -** Did not integrate runtime. Works (theoretically) by swapping positions with indexes next to each other. Performance is relatively quick but lots of swaps between elements when it could be inefficient.

**Overview** - I chose to use C++ to code because it has been fresh in my mind for this semester. I really enjoy coding in java, where I began, but I have had so many computer issues with Eclipse and other applications. Some tradeoffs to consider when using a sporting method is to value time or efficiency. There is usually only one and not the other. My program did not compile and I could not see all the algorithms in their glory. Time stamping was also not integrated into assignment 6. If I attempted this project in java, the results may have changed. I am willing to bet I would have procrastinated the same way I did with all the C++ assignments. Each algorithm has its perks and drawbacks. I enjoyed struggling and learning through failure. Unfortunately I did fail a lot during this project/semester and did not grow and reflect on these times.