Present: Mayor Mitchell (Participating Electronically)

Councillor Drumm (Participating Electronically)
Councillor Leahy (Participating Electronically)
Councillor Lee (Participating Electronically)
Councillor Mulcahy (Participating Electronically)

Councillor Newman

Councillor Roy (Participating Electronically)
Councillor Shahid (Participating Electronically)
Councillor Yamada (Participating Electronically)

Also Present: M. Gaskell, Chief Administrative Officer

J. Romano, Commissioner of Community Services

R. Saunders, Commissioner of Planning and Development

D. Speed, Head of Operations & Fire Chief

F. Wong, Commissioner of Financial Services/Treasurer

S. Klein, Director of Strategic Initiatives H. Ellis, Executive Advisor to the Mayor

C. Harris, Town Clerk

K. Narraway, Manager of Legislative Services/Deputy Clerk
L. MacDougall, Council and Committee Coordinator (Recording

Secretary)

Regrets: None noted

Call to Order

Call of the Roll: The Clerk

Declarations of Pecuniary Interest

There were no declarations of pecuniary interest.

Planning and Development

Councillor Newman assumed the Chair.

Presentations

1.1 There were no presentations.

2. Delegations

2.1 Billy Tung representing Madison Brooklin Developments Limited Re: Planning and Development (Planning Services) Department Report, PDP 10-22

Site Plan Application, Madison Brooklin, Southeast Corner of Roybrook Avenue and Chelmsford Drive, File Number: DEV-28-20 (SP-16-20)

Refer to Item 4.1, PDP 10-22

Billy Tung, representing Madison Brooklin Developments Limited, advised that he and his client had read and were in support of the Staff recommendation, and that he was available to answer questions.

A brief question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Mr. Tung regarding the details preventing the project from achieving Tier 2 of the Whitby Green Standard.

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 4.1, PL 10-22, at this time.

2.2 George Gadanidas

Re: Planning and Development (Engineering Services) Department Report PDE 07-22

Stormwater Management Review - Dryden Culvert

Refer to Item 4.4, PDE 07-22

George Gadanidas, 3540 Anderson Street, provided a PowerPoint presentation in response to the Stormwater Management Review - Dryden Culvert. Highlights of the presentation included:

- the discrepancies in the information provided in the 2021 Bridge and Culvert Study versus the Study appendix about the risk of failure of the culvert design, and the differences between the 2006 Ministry of Transportation Design Standards versus the 2021 Bridge and Culvert Study with respect to design standards for culverts greater than 6 metres and the regulatory flow for regulated water courses such as Pringle Creek;
- a request for the consultant's data and calculations used to determine zero risk of the Dryden Boulevard culvert failing and the refusal to share the information;

- the consultant's finding that there was no evidence of the Dryden Culvert having any blockage issues;
- the likelihood of tree limbs breaking and flowing into the culvert during a large storm event due to the location of the culvert being in the most densely wooded area in Pringle Creek, a large willow tree leaning at a 45 degree angle right at the entrance of the culvert, and large trees lying on the ground in proximity to the culvert:
- the lack of a response to the inquiry about the effect of Pringle Creek overtopping Dryden Boulevard, the incorrect information provided about the probability of one, two or all three ponds failing, and fixing damage to Dryden Boulevard following a storm not being a solution to a flooding problem;
- the appropriate upgrade to the Dryden Culvert to a single opening of 2.8 metres by 10 metres as noted in the 2018 Pringle Creek Master Drainage Plan; and,
- the responsibility of the Town to upgrade the Dryden Boulevard culvert at the same time as the Anderson Street culvert due to the two culverts working together.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Mr. Gadanidas regarding:

- the locations of the ponds referenced in the presentation;
- details about the questions that were not answered by Town Staff; and,
- the amount of time spent consulting with Town Staff during the past week.

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 4.4, PDE 07-22, at this time.

2.3 Terry Rudkins

Re: Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review

Refer to Item 5.2, Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review

Terry Rudkins, 4 Thistledown Crescent, stated that he resides at the northwest corner of Taunton Road and Garrard Road where the retirement home was being constructed. He advised that he was opposed to the proposal that the emergency access to his neighbourhood from Taunton Road to be turned into a street or road. Mr. Rudkins advised that he was not opposed to development or having his neighbourhood changed in a way that would be good for the Town, but that he was unable to find any benefit in turning the emergency access into a road. He stated the neighbourhood consists of a couple of

crescents with one entrance onto Garrard Road, Mr. Rudkins noted that should the road be constructed it would only give access to his neighbourhood to the residents of the new apartment building, and that they would already have right-in/right-out access to and from the apartment complex via Taunton Road with quick access to Thickson Road allowing them to access Highway 401 or to travel north to Highway 407. He stated that access to his neighbourhood was of no benefit to the future residents. He advised that the neighbourhood was surrounded by conservation area that could not be developed, that a new road would not improve safety for the existing residents, and that the new road would not benefit anyone else in Whitby. Mr. Rudkins advised that his neighbourhood already has access in and out of the neighbourhood via Garrard Road. He stated that he and many residents in the neighbourhood contacted the Town and inquired about whether the emergency access would ever be turned into a road prior to purchasing their homes and were informed that it was only designed to be an emergency access. He advised that the residents purchased their homes believing that they would reside in a fairly isolated area. Mr. Rudkins stated that the new road would dramatically change the neighbourhood that they previously bought into. He stated that he was hopeful that any changes in Whitby would always benefit the majority, but that the new road would only benefit the owner of 850 Taunton Road East noting that the owner would be able to sell or rent the condos/apartments without a problem due to their location along a major thoroughfare.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Mr. Rudkins regarding:

- clarification about whether Town Staff or the builder advised the residents purchasing their properties that there would only be an emergency access and not a road;
- the circumstances that precipitated residents to delegate at the meeting; and,
- whether discussions have taken place about measures that could be put in place to alleviate the concerns of the community should the road be constructed.

2.4 Paul Hoffmann

Re: Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review

Refer to Item 5.2, Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review

Paul Hoffmann, 17 Thistledown Crescent, stated that he was opposed to the proposed road connecting Taunton Road and Thistledown Crescent and opening it to vehicular traffic as a throughway. He advised that his property was located on a quiet crescent where children could play safely. Mr. Hoffmann raised concerns about the impact of the proposed through road on the safety of children in the area due to the increase in the number of vehicles passing through the neighbourhood. He stated that the subdivision has been used as a shortcut for vehicles that wish to by-pass the lengthy red light at the intersection of Taunton Road and Garrard Road. He stated that he has repeatedly witnessed vehicles entering the subdivision just to turn around and travel back to Garrard Road, noting that there was not a no exit sign posted at the entrance to the subdivision. Mr. Hoffmann stated that the intersection of Taunton Road and Garrard Road does not have a turning lane southbound to travel westbound at Taunton Road and that should one vehicle travel south on Garrard Road every vehicle turning right on Taunton Road has to wait for the duration of the southbound red light which occurs on a regular basis. He advised that opening the emergency access to rightin/right-out traffic from Taunton Road, enables Birchpark Drive, and Thistledown Crescent to become a convenient short cut. Mr. Hoffman stated that the current construction taking place at Garrard Road and Conlin Road has already and would continue to increase the amount of tractor trailer traffic travelling in the area. He advised that the construction of the apartment building adjacent to the proposed road would not only increase the amount of traffic in the subdivision, but would result in on-street parking on the proposed road and on Thistledown Crescent. Mr. Hoffman stated that over the past few years residents in the area have dealt with the construction of a six-floor retirement building right in front of their bedroom windows. He noted that the construction workers parked their vehicles up and down Thistledown Crescent which has created difficulty in accessing/exiting his driveway. Mr. Hoffman stated that parking would become an issue during and after construction at 850 Taunton Road East. He advised that when he purchased his property he was led to believe that the emergency access would always be left as such and that it was an emergency access only and was required by Fire and Emergency Services allowing two access points in and out of the neighbourhood in an emergency situation only. Mr. Hoffman stated that he disagreed with the position of Fire and Emergency Services with respect to the emergency access road, noting that if the neighbourhood requires two ways to enter/exit, then every culde-sac in Whitby was unsafe due to being serviced with only one entry/exit road. He requested that Council deny the proposed road connection from Taunton Road to Thistledown Crescent.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Mr. Hoffman regarding:

 the source of the information that suggested the existing emergency access road would be maintained;

- whether the delegate registered as a delegate or signed the petition in 2019; and,
- additional concerns during the construction of the retirement home that could be included in the construction management plan for the proposed apartment building at 850 Taunton Road East.

2.5 Ursula Lovering

Re: Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review

Refer to Item 5.2, Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review

Ursula Lovering, 19 Thistledown Crescent, stated that she has resided on Thistledown Crescent since 2006, and that she was an original owner. Ms. Lovering advised that she moved into this neighbourhood because it was located near the marsh area, and that it was a quiet and secluded neighbourhood. She stated Thistledown Crescent was not an avenue or street, and that opening the roadway would completely change the essence of the neighbourhood. She advised that she carried out due diligence when purchasing the property and was informed by Town Staff that the emergency access would not be turned into a road. Ms. Lovering commented on the number of children who have learned how to ride a bicycle on the crescent, noting that should the emergency access be turned into a road that children would not be able to play in front of her property. She stated that the residents were in the neighbourhood first and that they have already endured two to three years of construction for the retirement home. She advised that the neighbourhood welcomed the seniors from the retirement home who walk through the neighbourhood, noting that the construction of the road would also endanger the seniors who would be threatened by the increased traffic. She raised concerns about the increased traffic and creation of a bottleneck of traffic at Taunton Road and Garrard Road.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Ms. Lovering regarding;

- confirmation that the delegate's property was purchased through the builder; and,
- whether there was any indication upon purchasing the property that the emergency access would change.

2.6 Terence Hopman

Re: Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review

Refer to Item 5.2, Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review

When called upon, Mr. Hopman was not in attendance to make a delegation to the Committee.

2.7 Fadi Eid

Re: Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review

Refer to Item 5.2, Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review

Fadi Eid, 15 Thistledown Crescent, stated that he was a builder and that he had inquired with Town Staff about the area prior to moving in November 2019. Mr. Eid advised that he had contacted Town Staff a few weeks ago and was informed about approval to open the road and that it would no longer be an emergency access only. He raised concerns about the safety of his children as a result of the additional traffic and on-street parking in the neighbourhood by construction workers and visitors pre and post construction at 850 Taunton Road East. He raised concerns about the nearby pond noting that the owner plans to increase the size of his land by draining the pond through the removal of underground water and purchase the land to expand the project. Mr. Eid raised further concerns about damage to the structure of the houses in the neighbourhood from vibration that would be created from the construction of the underground parking and building foundation.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Mr. Eid regarding:

- confirmation about when the delegate moved into his residence and when the delegate was informed about the approval of the emergency access road; and,
- clarification on the delegate's comment about the owner of 850 Taunton Road East increasing the size of his land.
- 2.8 Rodger Miller representing 2349500 Ontario Inc.Re: Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review

Refer to Item 5.2, Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review

Rodger Miller, representing 2349500 Ontario Inc., stated that he was representing the owner of 850 Taunton Road East. Mr. Miller referenced correspondence dated and submitted on February 14, 2022 regarding the owner's concerns about reconsidering the road connection matter. Mr. Miller advised that his client has spent considerable time and resources moving forward with the Site Plan approval process for this development, that they were in the final weeks of completing the

process, and that he was hoping to have Site Plan approval in March 2022. He further advised that his client was concerned that the matter was being reopened at this time after it was fully reviewed and vetted during the public process in 2019. Mr. Miller noted the dates of the Public Meeting, the Committee of Whole meeting to consider the Staff recommendation report, and Council meeting wherein the Staff report was approved by Council. He advised that during the April meetings Town Staff responded to a number of guestions about the future access from Taunton Road to Thistledown Crescent, noting Staff concerns about potential U-turns at Taunton Road as a result of the restricted right-in/right-out access for 850 Taunton Road East. He advised of discussion about the extension of medians on Taunton Road and the fear that there would be a right-in/right-out at the intersections of Taunton Road and Garrard Road and Taunton Road and Tom Edwards Drive. Mr. Miller stated that Staff raised further concerns about the effectiveness of the current access giving the inability to provide snowplowing on the connection due to it being chained off. He noted that a full municipal road connection was the preferred option for Fire and Emergency Services. Mr. Miller stated that his client believes that a full road connection would be the best solution for the local neighbourhood and the development. He noted that any traffic from the residential apartment development was expected to have a negligible impact on the existing neighbourhood to the north and that it would also afford an alternative access and emergency services access to the homes located there. Mr. Miller advised that his client feels that if the full municipal road connection was deferred now only to be required at a later date, the road construction would create significant management and logistical issues for the future tenants of the apartment building and the existing community. He stated that his client has agreed to accept the financial obligation for implementing a full road concurrent with the construction of their building. Mr. Miller advised that his client was requesting that the Committee not reopen the access matter as removing a future full road connection to the north would not be in the best interests of the community. In response to some of the concerns raised by delegates Mr. Miller advised that retaining the trees along the north limit of the property was part of the development proposal and that they have been retained. He noted that those conifer trees were intended to provide a visual screen to the future construction of the apartment building. Mr. Miller advised that the remaining trees were impacted by the footprint of the development and that this was part of the presentation to Council in April 2019. He stated that the construction management plan would be developed and submitted to the Town prior to the issuance of building permits, noting that the location for the construction employees parking would be addressed in that plan.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Mr. Miller regarding:

- whether communication would be open between the proponent and the neighbourhood residents to address concerns promptly;
- the willingness of the proponent to install traffic calming measures such as speed humps on the new road and throughout the existing street to control vehicle access and speed in the neighbourhood;
- the rationale for not keeping the road closed given that the impact of the road extension would be negligible on the existing neighbourhood;
- confirmation that the Site Plan Application was approved by the Commissioner of Planning and Development in October 2019 and that the finalization of the Site Plan Agreement would be complete soon;
- confirmation that the development that was moving forward with the new owner of the property was what Council approved in 2019; and,
- confirmation on the details about the history of this project.

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 5.2, Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review, at this time.

3. Correspondence

3.1 There was no correspondence.

4. Staff Reports

4.1 Planning and Development (Planning Services) Department Report, PDP 10-22

Re: Site Plan Application, Madison Brooklin, Southeast Corner of Roybrook Avenue and Chelmsford Drive, File Number: DEV-28-20 (SP-16-20)

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding:

- the rationale for the Ministry of Transportation refusing acceptance of stormwater drainage into an existing drainage swale within their right-of-way and the alternate location for a stormwater management outlet to the site; and,
- whether the pedestrian access would be through a street or through existing open space around the stormwater pond.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Mulcahy

- 1. That Council approve the proposed Site Plan for the Medium Density Block (Block 1) of approved Draft Plan of Subdivision SW-2019-01 (File Number: SP-16-20), subject to the comments included in Report PDP 10-22; and,
- 2. That the proponent enter into a Site Plan Agreement with the Town and be responsible for any related fees.

Carried

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 2.2, Delegation by George Gadanidas, at this time.

4.2 Planning and Development (Planning Services) Department Report, PDP 11-22

Re: Sign By-law Variance to Permanent Sign By-law for 185 Brock Street North, File Number: SB-10-21

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Mulcahy

That Council approve the request for a variance to the Town of Whitby Permanent Sign By-law # 7379-18 for 185 Brock Street North.

Carried later in the meeting (See following motion)

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Drumm

That the main motion be amended by including the words 'subject to' following 185 Brock Street North and the inclusion of 1. a. and b. as follows:

 The wall sign is intended to be used as a directory for businesses located within the commercial building therefore reducing the amount of signage that currently exists within the main doorway; and, b. The proposed sign not be obtrusive and is in keeping with the business façade and is complementary to the spirit of our Downtown area and in keeping with the building facade.

Carried

The main motion, as amended, was then carried as follows:

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Mulcahy

- That Council approve the request for a variance to the Town of Whitby Permanent Sign By-law # 7379-18 for 185 Brock Street North subject to:
 - a. The wall sign is intended to be used as a directory for businesses located within the commercial building therefore reducing the amount of signage that currently exists within the main doorway; and,
 - b. The proposed sign not be obtrusive and is in keeping with the business façade and is complementary to the spirit of our Downtown area and in keeping with the building facade.

Carried

4.3 Planning and Development (Planning Services) Department Report, PDP 12-22

Re: Signage Review

Discussion ensued between Members of Committee regarding:

- staff reporting back on methods to manage digital signage consistent with the Accessible Signage and Wayfinding Study recently approved by Council;
- managing non-municipal signage by having consistent branding and design, addressing sign clutter, and avoiding of the use of digital signage;
- concerns about existing businesses that have their own branding and signage, and discouraging businesses from locating in Whitby; and,
- the intent to manage digital signage and control the impact of digital signage Town-wide.

A brief question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding consultation taking place with the Whitby

Chamber of Commerce, the business community, and institutions that have existing digit signage.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Roy

- 1. That Report PDP 12-22 be received for information; and,
- 2. That MD-5553 be removed from the New and Unfinished Business List.

Carried later in the meeting (See following motion)

Recommendation:

Moved By Mayor Mitchell

That the main motion be amended by including Item 3 as follows:

 That Staff report back on ways to manage digital signage consistent with the Council approved wayfinding and signage strategy.

Carried

The main motion, as amended, was then carried as follows:

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Roy

- 1. That Report PDP 12-22 be received for information;
- 2. That MD-5553 be removed from the New and Unfinished Business List; and,
- That Staff report back on ways to manage digital signage consistent with the Council approved wayfinding and signage strategy.

Carried

4.4 Planning and Development (Engineering Services) Department Report PDE 07-22

Re: Stormwater Management Review - Dryden Culvert - Revised

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding:

- the rationale for determining that there was no need to upgrade the Dryden Boulevard culvert at this time;
- the cost and risks associated with upgrading the Dryden Boulevard culvert;
- the possibility that upgrading the Dryden Boulevard culvert would pose a flooding risk to residents downstream;
- the age of the Dryden Boulevard culvert, and the timeline for the culvert to deteriorate to a condition that it would be required to be upgraded or replaced;
- the possibility of clogging or blocking of the Dryden Boulevard culvert and flooding the houses through a 50 or a 100-year storm;
- whether the Dryden Boulevard culvert would be part of continuous Town inspections and whether an evaluation of the Dryden Boulevard culvert would be undertaken in the spring;
- confirmation that the identification of any concerns with the Dryden Boulevard culvert would be brought to Council's attention;
- the Town's liability should the culvert clog or fail causing damage to property or residents;
- whether the Central Lake Ontario Conservation Authority was in agreement with the Staff report;
- the age of and rationale for the upgrade to the Anderson Street culvert; and,
- the number of existing culverts in the Town comparable to the Anderson Street and Dryden Boulevard culverts.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Shahid

That Report PDE 07-22 be received for information.

Carried later in the meeting (See following motion)

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Shahid

That the main motion be amended by including Item 2 as follows:

2. That Staff be directed to upgrade Dryden Culvert at the same time as the Anderson Culvert.

Motion Lost

The main motion was then carried.

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 2.3, Delegation by Terry Rudkins, at this time.

- 5. New and Unfinished Business Planning and Development
 - **5.1** New and Unfinished Business Planning and Development

There was no discussion about the new and unfinished business list.

5.2 Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review

Councillor Leahy introduced a motion regarding the Thistledown Crescent Emergency Access Review. He provided background information surrounding the concerns raised by residents and Staff.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding:

- confirmation that the preferred option was for the existing emergency access becoming a full municipal road connecting Taunton Road East to Thistledown Crescent;
- whether circumstances have changed related to the need for the emergency access route since Council's approval in 2019, and whether the construction of the seniors building affects the preferred option;
- Fire and Emergency Services additional response time to the neighbourhood should the emergency access to Thistledown Crescent not be upgraded to a road;
- the Town's liability for a reversal on the approval of opening the road at this stage;
- whether the requirement for the developer of 850 Taunton Road to finance the construction of the road to Town of Whitby Design Criteria and Engineering Standards for a local municipal road was a condition of approval of the Site Plan Agreement;
- whether the traffic calming measures would need to be included in Site Plan Agreement approval;
- whether the details contained within the amendment could be dealt with through the Site Plan approval process;
- the timeline for a report from Staff on the construction management plan and traffic calming measures;
- the timeline for a memorandum from Staff on the existing emergency access from Taunton Road to Thistledown Crescent

- and reviewing opportunities for traffic calming measures should a full road connection proceed;
- the impact of the emergency access on the residents of Tom Edwards Drive and whether those residents would be notified of any changes;
- confirmation that Taunton Road was a Regional road, that any changes would require Regional approval, and that the existing median was open at the location of Taunton Road at Tom Edwards Drive to provide full movement; and,
- details about traffic and safety concerns should the road connection to Thistledown Crescent not proceed.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Leahy

 That staff prepare a memo on the existing emergency access road from Taunton Road to Thistledown Crescent and the opportunity for traffic calming measures if the extension of Thistledown Crescent proceeds for discussion and review the Council meeting of March 7, 2022.

Carried later in the meeting. (See following motion)

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Mulcahy

That the main motion be amended to read as follows:

- That staff prepare a memo on the existing emergency access road from Taunton Road to Thistledown Crescent and consideration of the municipal road being opened as previously decided by Council in April 2019 for discussion and review at the Council meeting of March 7, 2022
- 2. That Staff be directed to report on the following issues;
 - undertake consultation with residents on the development of the construction management plan, including preventing access to Thistledown Crescent for the duration of construction at 850 Taunton Road save and except for emergency services;
 - b. the development of a traffic calming plan for the extension of Thistledown Crescent, which will consider speed humps, radar message board signage, and a raised road

- platform where Thistledown Crescent meets 850 Taunton Road;
- c. Following the opening of Thistledown Crescent to Taunton Road, the implementation of a monitoring program with 24/7 traffic counter device to obtain traffic data on speed, volumes, and other metrics and report back to Council within one year of the road opening regarding the traffic impacts of the development and whether any additional traffic calming measures are required;
- d. Coordinate with Regional Staff to increase the speed of light changes at Garrard and Taunton and review any improvements which may come to the intersection in the future; and,
- e. Review installing a no exit sign at the entrance to the subdivision off Garrard (Birchpark Drive).

See following motion.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Roy

That the amending motion be divided to consider Items 1 and 2 as separate motions.

Carried

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Mulcahy

- 2. That Staff be directed to report on the following issues:
 - undertake consultation with residents on the development of the construction management plan, including preventing access to Thistledown Crescent for the duration of construction at 850 Taunton Road save and except for emergency services;
 - the development of a traffic calming plan for the extension of Thistledown Crescent, which will consider speed humps, radar message board signage, and a raised road platform where Thistledown Crescent meets 850 Taunton Road;
 - c. Following the opening of Thistledown Crescent to Taunton Road, the implementation of a monitoring program with 24/7 traffic counter device to obtain traffic data on speed, volumes, and other metrics and report back to Council within one year of the road

- opening regarding the traffic impacts of the development and whether any additional traffic calming measures are required;
- d. Coordinate with Regional Staff to increase the speed of light changes at Garrard and Taunton and review any improvements which may come to the intersection in the future; and,
- e. Review installing a no exit sign at the entrance to the subdivision off Garrard (Birchpark Drive).

Carried

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Leahy

 That staff prepare a memo on the existing emergency access road from Taunton Road to Thistledown Crescent and consideration of the municipal road being opened as previously decided by Council in April 2019 for discussion and review at the Council meeting of March 7, 2022

Carried

The consensus of the Committee was to hear Item 4.2, PDP 11-22, at this time.

General Government

Councillor Lee assumed the Chair.

- 6. Presentations
 - Nick Swerdfeger, Principal, Barry Bryan Associates
 Re: Community Services Department Report, CMS 03-22
 Civic Recreation Complex Renovations Design Update and Next Steps

Refer to Item 9.1, CMS 03-22

Nick Swerdfeger, Principal, Barry Bryan Associates, provided a PowerPoint presentation on the design update and next steps for the Civic Recreation Complex renovations. Highlights of the presentation included:

- the design mandate of the project to develop a new functional, universal-accessible modern design that aligns with the Town's programming, accessibility and gender equality vision;
- the areas of focus through the integrated design process including the new universal change room area(s), new men's and women's change room areas with increased accessibility, lower level lobby and change room entries and circulation, accessible pool areas circulation and staff areas, pool areas including the ceiling/natatorium and quality of space in the natatorium area, new multi-purpose program spaces, aligning the design to the new standards of gender equality and families of the community, facility signage and accessible wayfinding, and the upgrade and replacement of existing facility infrastructure and increased support areas;
- details about the scope of work on the lower level of the existing facility including the universal family change room area, the men's and women's change room and the staff and support areas and the multi-purpose program areas; and,
- images and details about the entry and interior of the universal/family change room, the men's and women's change room, the staff and support areas, the multi-purpose program space, and the lower lobby area upon completion of the project.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Mr. Swerdfeger regarding:

- whether the Town's branding and wayfinding signage would be incorporated within in the facility;
- the feedback received through the consultation process; and,
- the sustainability of the renovated facility and the timeline associated with the need to expand or construct a new facility.
- 7. Delegations
 - **7.1** There were no delegations.
- 8. Correspondence
 - **8.1** There was no correspondence.
- 9. Staff Reports
 - 9.1 Community Services Department Report, CMS 03-22
 Re: Civic Recreation Complex Renovations Design Update and Next Steps

A brief question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding whether the cost of the project may increase due to inflationary pressures, an estimate of such an increase and whether contingency funds would be incorporated into the budget.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Mulcahy

- 1. That the Community Services Report, CMS 03-22 be received as information; and,
- That Staff report back to Council with the tender award results following the bid submissions from the six (6) prequalified General Contractors in accordance with the delegated authority under FS 21-21 for grant related projects.

Carried

 9.2 Community Services Department Report, CMS 02-22
 Re: Community Services Department – Proposed 2022 Marina and Harbour Facilities Division Fees

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Mulcahy

- 1. That Council approve the proposed fees as outlined in Attachment 1 to be effective March 8, 2022; and,
- 2. That the Clerk be authorized to amend the Consolidated Fees and Charges By-law # 7220-17 to include the updated Marina and Harbour Facilities Division (Marina) Fees Schedule.

Carried

- 10. New and Unfinished Business General Government
 - **10.1** New and Unfinished Business General Government

There was no new and unfinished business.

Adjournment

Motion to Adjourn

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Drumm

That the meeting adjourn.

Carried

The meeting adjourned at 10:28 p.m.