

Present: Mayor Mitchell (participating electronically)
Councillor Drumm (participating electronically)
Councillor Leahy (participating electronically)
Councillor Lee (participating electronically)
Councillor Mulcahy (participating electronically)
Councillor Newman (participating electronically)
Councillor Roy (participating electronically)
Councillor Shahid (participating electronically)
Councillor Yamada (participating electronically)

Also Present: M. Gaskell, Chief Administrative Officer
W. Mar, Commissioner of Legal and Enforcement Services/Town Solicitor
J. Romano, Commissioner of Community Services
R. Saunders, Commissioner of Planning and Development
D. Speed, Head of Operations
M. Perini, Acting Fire Chief
F. Wong, Commissioner of Financial Services/Treasurer
H. Ellis, Executive Advisor to the Mayor
S. Klein, Director of Strategic Initiatives
C. Harris, Town Clerk
K. Narraway, Manager of Legislative Services/Deputy Clerk
L. MacDougall, Council and Committee Coordinator (Recording Secretary)

Regrets: None noted

The meeting commenced at 7:12 p.m. due to the Special Council Meeting held prior to the Committee of the Whole meeting.

Call to Order

Call of the Roll: The Clerk

Declarations of Pecuniary Interest

There were no declarations of pecuniary interest.

Planning and Development

Councillor Yamada assumed the Chair.

1. Presentations

1.1 There were no presentations.

2. Delegations

2.1 Matthew Jamieson representing Manorville Homes Ltd.
Re: Planning and Development Department Report, PL 32-21
Zoning By-law Amendment Application, Manorville Homes, 917 Dundas St. W., File Number: DEV-01-21 (Z-01-21)

Refer to Item 4.1, PL 32-21

Matthew Jamieson, representing Manorville Homes Ltd., stated that the proposed development would include demolishing the existing dwelling located at 917 Dundas Street West and constructing a semi-detached dwelling with accessory apartments. Mr. Jamieson advised that he had received feedback from various agencies and that the comments received were all positive. He stated that he would like to proceed with construction as soon as possible.

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 4.1, PL 32-21, at this time.

2.2 Isabella Cerelli representing McDonald's Restaurants of Canada
Re: Planning and Development Department Report, PL 33-21
Sign By-law Variance and Amendment to Permanent Sign By-law for 1301 Brock Street South (McDonald's), File Number: SB-02-21

Refer to Item 4.2, PL 33-21

Isabella Cerelli, representing McDonald's Restaurants of Canada, stated that she had read and was in support of the Staff recommendation. Ms. Cerelli advised that she was available to answer questions.

It was the consensus of the Committee to Item 4.2, PL 33-21, at this time.

2.3 Mirko Favit representing Castlevale Development Corporation
Re: Planning and Development Department Report, PL 35-21

Zoning By-law Amendment Application, Castlevale Development Corporation, Hanover Court, File Number: Z-08-14

Refer to Item 4.4, PL 35-21

Mirko Favit, representing Castlevale Development Corporation, stated that the proposed development would consist of the northerly extension of Hanover Court to construct six residential lots. Mr. Favit advised that the proposed development was in the lower range of the low density residential policies for the area, and that the proposed development was in keeping with the existing homes on Hanover Court. He stated that the proposed development would provide a benefit to the neighbourhood with the construction of the required safety berm and noise fence along the northern limit adjacent to the existing Canadian Pacific Railway. Mr. Favit advised that consultation has taken place with residents of Hanover Court via two voluntary public open houses in November 2016 and December 2020. He noted that further discussions have taken place with the adjacent homeowners of 26, 30 and 31 Hanover Court to address their concerns about the restoration of driveways and front yards due to the proposed removal of the existing cul-de-sac fronting their properties.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Mr. Favit regarding:

- whether any discussions with residents from Hanover Court have taken place since December 2020; and,
- consulting with residents located at 19 and 30 Hanover Court and any other residents that may have concerns or questions.

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 4.4, PL 35-21, at this time.

- 2.4** Rodger Miller, Miller Planning Services, and Dan Raponi, Alta Developments
Re: Planning and Development Department Report, PL 36-21
Site Plan Application, Stacey Whittington and Keith Bryan, 108 & 110 Craydon Road, File Number: DEV-29-20 SP-17-20

Refer to Item 4.5, PL 36-21

Rodger Miller, Miller Planning Services, and Dan Raponi, Alta Developments, advised that the Zoning By-law Amendment to permit two low-rise apartment buildings was passed by Council without any appeals. Mr. Miller noted that as part of the Zoning By-law Amendment approval Council had requested that the Site Plan Application be presented to Council for consideration. He stated that the stormwater

designs for the property were previously presented to the Committee in detail. He advised that the engineering design for the proposed development would alter the current stormwater runoff from the site. Mr. Miller advised that the engineering proposal would remain the same, nothing the reduction in the 2, 10, and 100-year stormwater runoff from the proposed development to the lots on Lupin Drive. He stated that prior to the urbanization of Craydon Road there was an overland water flow route for a small isolated portion of Craydon Road, and that he was working with Town Staff to determine whether the overland water flow route still exists. Mr. Miller advised that the existing buildings on the property would not impact the water flow route should the overland water flow route still exist. He noted that accommodations would be made as necessary to ensure that the additional buildings would not impact the water flow route. He further advised that he would continue to work with Town Staff to determine a satisfactory solution, and would provide whatever easement was required as part of the site plan agreement with the Town. Mr. Miller stated that he understood that there were questions about the proposed building setbacks from the dwellings on Lupin Drive, the screening of the site from the buildings on Lupin Drive, and possible damage to trees on neighbouring properties. He advised of a recent in-person site visit, and confirmed that there would be a separation of more than 15 metres between the future dwellings and the existing dwellings on Lupin Drive. Mr. Miller advised that there were only 2 trees in the rear yards of properties on Lupin Drive with limited growth extending over the fence, noting that it was anticipated that any work on the Craydon Road properties would have little to no impact on those trees. He advised that his client was prepared to consult with the homeowners prior to the commencement of construction and to monitor any impact on the trees through the construction process. He further advised that his client was prepared to use air spading to expose the tree roots and to selectively prune roots as required in order to eliminate the construction impact on those trees. Mr. Miller stated that in order to deal with the common property line and future screening his client was prepared to remove and replace the existing privacy fence with a new privacy fence. In addition, the landscape treatment would include oak trees or similar plantings that would provide greater screening between the two properties. Mr. Miller noted that the proposed development conforms with the Town of Whitby Official Plan and the Zoning By-law. He advised that the nearest building wall on the Craydon Road property site would be approximately 36 metres away from the rear building wall of the Dundas Street property, and that their site visit confirmed that there was a cedar hedge in the rear yard of the Dundas Street property which would obscure the view of the new building from that property. Mr. Miller noted that the drip line of the cedar hedge crosses the fence in a relatively minor way, and that it

was anticipated that the root zone of those trees would only occupy a limited area on the Craydon Road property. He advised that his client would like to meet with the resident on Dundas Street prior to construction, would monitor any impact of construction on the cedar hedge, and that he was prepared to use air spading to expose the roots and selectively prune any roots that may be on the Craydon Road property to diminish any construction impact on the cedar hedge. Mr. Miller advised that his client was intent on resolving development issues that may arise to the satisfaction of the Town of Whitby. He stated that he and his client have read and were in support of the staff recommendation.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee, Mr. Miller, and Mr. Raponi regarding:

- confirmation that the intent was to provide an effective landscape screening between the abutting properties on Lupin Drive and the proposed buildings to eliminate overlook from the buildings into the rear yards on Lupin Drive;
- where the stormwater swale drains to on Lupin Drive; and,
- confirmation that mitigation measures would be undertaken to prevent any damage to the cedar hedge located on Dundas Street.

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 4.5, PL 36-21, at this time.

- 2.5** Steve Edwards, Beth Halpenny and Steven Silverberg representing Cedar City Seaboard Gate Inc.
Re: Planning and Development Department Report, PL 39-21
Draft Plan of Subdivision and Zoning By-law Amendment Applications, Seaboard Gate and Vista Beach Court, Cedar City Seaboard Gate Inc., File Numbers: DEV-02-21 (SW-2021-01, Z-02-21)

Refer to Item 4.7, PL 39-21

Steve Edwards, Beth Halpenny, and Steven Silverberg representing Cedar City Seaboard Gate Inc., stated that they have read and were agreement with the staff recommendation. Mr. Edwards stated that one of the Draft Plan Conditions indicated that two (2) non-garage parking spaces would be provided at all corner lots. He noted the potential for issues related to zoning and urban design and requested that Town Staff participate in working through this condition.

Beth Halpenny advised that an informal public meeting and a statutory public meeting were held for these applications, noting that it was clear residents in the neighbourhood wanted the impact and duration of

construction to be minimized and as short of a duration of time as possible. She advised that the engineering design approvals were submitted to the Public Works Department, that the subdivision was straight forward and would consist of 39 units, and that the subdivision would tie into existing infrastructure on Seaboard Gate. She noted that she was hopeful for an expeditious review and approval in order for servicing and paving to be completed prior to the end of the year.

A brief question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee, Mr. Edwards, Ms. Halpenny, and Mr. Silverberg regarding discussions that took place at the public meetings about pedestrian access to Portage Park.

Discussion ensued between Members of Committee, Mr. Edwards, Ms. Halpenny, and Mr. Silverberg regarding:

- clarification that the pedestrian access would be to Portage Park and not to the school;
- the western community of Whitby Shores not having access to the park;
- the use of an existing path to access the park and the school for numerous years;
- providing access to parks, walkability, and encouraging active transportation across the Town;
- an amendment to the recommendation to include a condition to have access to Portage Park from Street A or from Seaboard Gate;
- the rationale for the property being public property, why it has been used for access to Portage Park, and the need for public access to the park; and,
- the school property not being fenced, and the percentage of schools in Whitby that were not completely fenced.

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 4.7, PL 39-21, at this time.

3. Correspondence

3.1 There was no correspondence.

4. Staff Reports

4.1 Planning and Development Department Report, PL 32-21
Re: Zoning By-law Amendment Application, Manorville Homes, 917 Dundas St. W., File Number: DEV-01-21 (Z-01-21)

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Newman

1. That Council approve an amendment to Zoning By-law 2585 (File: Z-01-21) to permit a semi-detached dwelling with accessory apartments; and,
2. That a By-law to amend Zoning By-law 2585 be brought forward for consideration by Council.

Carried

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 2.2, Delegation by Isabella Cerelli representing McDonald's Restaurants of Canada, at this time.

4.2 Planning and Development Department Report, PL 33-21
Re: Sign By-law Variance and Amendment to Permanent Sign By-law for 1301 Brock Street South (McDonald's), File Number: SB-02-21

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding;

- clarification on the proposed amendment and how it differed from the Staff recommendation; and,
- clarification on the location of the existing digital menu board signs, the visibility of the menu board signs from Consumers Drive, and whether it was feasible for the proposed digital menu board signs to only be visible to persons entering the McDonald's property to access the drive-through.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Newman

That Council approve the request for a variance to the Town of Whitby Permanent Sign By-law #7379-18 for McDonald's, located at 1301 Brock Street South, given that the proposed signs will only be visible to persons who enter the McDonald's property for the purpose of accessing the drive-through.

Carried

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 2.3, Delegation by Mirko Favit representing Castlevale Development Corporation, at this time.

4.3 Planning and Development Department Report, PL 34-21
Re: Draft Plan of Condominium Application, Minto (Rossland) Inc. (Block 151 - Plan 40M-2636), File Number: CW-2021-01

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Leahy

1. That Council approve the application for a Draft Plan of Condominium (CW-2021-01) subject to the comments included in Planning Report PL 34-21 and the conditions of draft plan approval included in Attachment # 4;
2. That the Mayor and Clerk be authorized to execute the Condominium Agreement for the subject land; and,
3. That the Clerk advise the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development, at the Region of Durham, of Council's decision.

Carried

4.4 Planning and Development Department Report, PL 35-21
Re: Zoning By-law Amendment Application, Castlevale Development Corporation, Hanover Court, File Number: Z-08-14

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Newman

1. That Council approve an amendment to Zoning By-law 1784, (File Z-08-14) as outlined in Planning Report No. PL 35-21; and,
2. That a By-law to amend Zoning By-law 1784 be brought forward for consideration by Council.

Carried

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 2.4, Delegation by Rodger Miller, Miller Planning Services, and Dan Raponi, Alta Developments, at this time.

4.5 Planning and Development Department Report, PL 36-21
Re: Site Plan Application, Stacey Whittington and Keith Bryan, 108 &
110 Craydon Road, File Number: DEV-29-20 SP-17-20

A brief question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding assurances that Town infrastructure would be monitored and maintained.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Mulcahy

1. That Council approve Site Plan Application (File No. SP-17-20) subject to the comments and conditions included in Planning Report PL 36-21; and,
2. That the proponent enter into a Site Plan Agreement with the Town and be responsible for the related fee.

Carried

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 2.5, Delegation by Steve Edwards, Beth Halpenny and Steven Silverberg representing Cedar City Seaboard Gate Inc., at this time.

4.6 Planning and Development Department Report, PL 37-21
Re: Envision Durham – Proposed Policy Directions Report

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding:

- communication submissions to the Region of Durham with respect to boundaries for the 2051 growth projections for Whitby;
- the timeline to provide feedback to the Region of Durham on urban boundary expansion requests;
- whether density targets were predetermined by the Province through the Growth Plan;
- clarification on discretion at the local level with respect to the quantum of density; and,
- the consequences to the municipality should the density threshold target for the future were not met.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Newman

1. That Report PL 37-21 be endorsed as the Town's comments on the Envision Durham – Proposed Policy Directions Report, as part of the Region of Durham Municipal Comprehensive Review; and,
2. That the Clerk forward a copy of Report PL 37-21 to the Durham Region Planning and Economic Development Department and the Durham area municipalities.

Carried

4.7 Planning and Development Department Report, PL 39-21
Re: Draft Plan of Subdivision and Zoning By-law Amendment
Applications, Seaboard Gate and Vista Beach Court, Cedar City
Seaboard Gate Inc., File Numbers: DEV-02-21 (SW-2021-01, Z-02-21)

Discussion ensued between Members of Committee regarding:

- the length of time that the community has existed with access to the park and school
- the lack of fencing at the school; and,
- closing off access to Portage Park for residents that reside west of Seaboard Gate.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding:

- the willingness for Town Staff and the proponent to discuss pedestrian access to Portage Park, and whether pedestrian access could be accomplished in a short time frame;
- whether any safety issues would be created by having pedestrian access to Portage Park;
- whether discussions have take place with respect to access to Portage Park;
- deferring the matter of access to Portage Park and whether time permitted such a deferral;
- the rationale for the Durham District School Board's (DDSB) opposition to children accessing school property via walkways, and the possibility of a delegation by a representative of DDSB to provide the rationale for its position at an upcoming meeting; and,
- whether the erection of a fence on school property boundaries would assist in opportunities to create a walkway into the park.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Leahy

1. That Council approve the Draft Plan of Subdivision (File No. SW-2021-01).
2. That Staff be authorized to prepare a Subdivision Agreement;
3. That the Region of Durham Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development be advised of Council's decision;
4. That Williams and Stewart Associates Ltd. be appointed as the Control Architect for the Draft Plan of Subdivision;
5. That the Clerk forward a Notice to those parties and agencies that requested to be notified of Council's decision;
6. That Council approve an amendment to Zoning By-law 2585 (File Z-02-21), as outlined in Planning Report PL 39-21; and,
7. That a By-law to amend Zoning By-law 2585 be brought forward for consideration by Council.

Note: The disposition of the matter, Item 4.7, was determined through the deferral motion below. [See following motion]

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Mulcahy

That consideration of Staff Report, PL 39-21, Draft Plan of Subdivision and Zoning By-law Amendment Applications, Seaboard Gate and Vista Beach Court, Cedar City Seaboard Gate Inc., File Numbers: DEV-02-21 (SW-2021-01, Z-02-21), be postponed to the Council meeting on June 21, 2021.

Carried

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 4.3, PL 34-21, at this time.

5. New and Unfinished Business - Planning and Development

5.1 New and Unfinished Business List - Item MD-5655

Councillor Yamada inquired about the status of Item MD-5655 regarding the redevelopment opportunity at 590 and 650 Rossland Road East.

E. Belsey, Senior Manager, Policy and Heritage Planning, advised that there has not been any follow up from Staff from Durham Region seeking comments from the Town.

General Government

Councillor Roy assumed the Chair.

6. Presentations

- 6.1** Mark Cullen, Chair and Co-Founder, Highway of Heroes Tree Campaign, Scott Wentworth, Senior Landscape Architect and President of Wentworth Landscapes, and Mike Hurley, Executive Director, Highway of Heroes Tree Campaign
Re: Public Works Department Report, PW 17-21
Vimy Memorial Park

Refer to Item 9.1, PW 17-21

Mark Cullen, Chair and Co-Founder, Highway of Heroes Tree Campaign, Scott Wentworth, Senior Landscape Architect and President of Wentworth Landscapes, and Mike Hurley, Executive Director, Highway of Heroes Tree Campaign, provided a PowerPoint presentation regarding Vimy Memorial Park. Highlights of the presentation included:

- the Highway of Heroes Campaign investment of funds in tree planting projects in Whitby including the planting of \$2,000,000 worth of trees in Whitby which include the 3596 trees planted on the south side of Highway 401 where the highway intersects with Brock Street;
- details about the total projected budget for the Vimy Memorial Park project and funding to complete the project;
- creating of a place of tribute, education and discovery, and a public space that would demonstrate excellence in environmental restoration;
- the synergies between the proposed Vimy Memorial Park, the Park of Reflection (Cullen Park) and Camp X (Intrepid Park);
- detailed information about the layout and structure of the design through a field map of the Battle of Vimy Ridge, illustrating the placement of the Canadian Infantry Brigades;
- detailed information about the key elements of the proposed park including the parking area and courtyard, the indoor and outdoor classrooms, the memorial pavilion, the site furnishings, and the perennial meadow;
- the commitment to a smooth transition including construction management, assuming responsibility for costs during the key establishment period, and the implementation of a design concept that would require minimal long term maintenance;
- the benefits of the park including supporting the environmental needs in the area, providing habitat for wildlife, improving the physical and mental health of local residents, the educational

potential, and the potential for the site to become a tourist destination; and,

- Central Lake Ontario Conservation Authority's summary of environmental benefits that the project would add by revitalizing a previously industrial site.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee, Mr. Cullen, Mr. Wentworth, and Mr. Hurley regarding:

- whether consideration would be given to re-naming the park;
- the location of the park along the Highway of Heroes corridor, and whether the park would become a destination site;
- the rationale for the location of the park, whether other locations in Whitby were considered, and whether consideration was given to ensure that the park would be quiet enough to be an appropriate memorial site;
- whether consultation/communication was undertaken with the community, veterans and local legions, and the Vimy Foundation;
- the appropriateness of the location of the park, and the willingness to collaborate with the legions, the veteran community, and the school boards prior to the June 21 Council meeting;
- clarification on who would be responsible for the capital cost for the project and the operational aspects and cost of the park upon its completion;
- the capital cost for the project, and confirmation that the representatives of the Highway of Heroes Tree Campaign had collected the funds for the project;
- whether the Highway of Heroes Tree Campaign funding would cover the entire capital cost for the project;
- the willingness of the Highway of Heroes Tree Campaign to assist with future park maintenance costs, and whether consultation has taken place with Town Staff; and,
- confirmation on the ability to reduce the operational costs of the park through its design.

7. Delegations

7.1 Steven Boychyn

Re: Public Works Department Report, PW 17-21
Vimy Memorial Park

Refer to Item 9.1, PW 17-21

Steven Boychyn, 6 Foundry Lane, stated that he was a Lieutenant Colonel, a NATO veteran, a member of the Royal Canadian Legion

Branch 112 and the Canadian NATO Veterans Group Durham Branch. Mr. Boychyn advised that he was addressing the Committee in the capacity of a concerned resident. He stated that any veteran would likely not be opposed to any measure that would commemorate the war dead. Mr. Boychyn stated that Whitby has Intrepid Park, noting the lack of parking and state of the park, and advised that the Park of Reflection erected by the Wounded Warriors of Canada was a great memorial. He advised that there were a number of trees planted by the Highway of Heroes Tree Campaign around Intrepid Park and that there was access along the routes that most residents would travel on to go to the waterfront. Mr. Boychyn stated Whitby has two legions which commemorate and perpetuate the memory of the fallen and currently serving members. He raised concerns about the location for the park being near to and above the old water treatment area, the accessibility to the area and the limited number of parking spaces. Mr. Boychyn noted that the provision of only 17 parking spaces would necessitate school children visiting the area to disembark from school buses along Victoria Street. He raised further concerns about washroom facilities not being provided on site. Mr. Boychyn stated that he believes that this type of commemoration was appropriate, but that he has concerns about the amount of spending on maintenance costs when such support could be better provided for residents, active members and veterans in Whitby. He advised that he was not opposed to a memorial, but that this proposal was not a well planned or consulted plan. He stated that the purpose of the Highway of Heroes Tree Campaign was to commemorate those that came and were repatriated from Afghanistan and that the campaign should remain true to that purpose as opposed expanding it to what may conceivably be one of a tremendous number of campaigns that have existed, noting that there were any number of battles that should be commemorated. Mr. Boychyn suggested further development of the Cenotaph to honour the veterans instead of another location where people may not visit. He commented on the park not being well attended unless there was signage arching over the Highway of Heroes indicating the park exists in Whitby. He stated that there were other parks within that geographical location that would be more suitable for families. Mr. Boychyn stated that there should be a greater amount of consultation and thought about what would be achieved for the residents and veterans in Whitby.

A brief question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Mr. Boychyn regarding one improvement that could be made from the delegate's perspective.

- 7.2** Hildert Harding
Re: Public Works Department Report, PW 17-21

Vimy Memorial Park

Refer to Item 9.1, PW 17-21

Hildert Harding, 54 Harvey Johnston Way, stated that his only concern was related to the location of the Vimy Memorial Park due to noise emanating from Highway 401 and the Canadian Pacific Railway on both sides of the park. Mr. Harding inquired about whether a noise barrier would be constructed.

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 9.1, PW 17-21, at this time.

- 7.3** Steve Edwards representing Nordik Spa
Re: Financial Services Department and Legal and Enforcement Services Joint Report, FS 25-21
Declare Surplus Part Lot 28, Concession 4, being Parts 1, 2 and 3, Plan 40R-31365 for the Purpose of Transfer to Nordik Immobiliers – Whitby Inc. and Acquisition of Part Lot 28, Concession 4, being Parts 4 and 5, Plan 40R-31365 from Nordik Immobiliers – Whitby Inc.

Refer to Item 9.4, FS 25-21

Steve Edwards representing Nordik Spa, stated that he had read and was in support of the staff recommendation. Mr. Edwards advised that he was available to answer questions.

It was the consensus to hear Item 9.4, FS 25-21, at this time.

8. Correspondence

- 8.1** There was no correspondence.

9. Staff Reports

- 9.1** Public Works Department Report, PW 17-21
Re: Vimy Memorial Park

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding:

- confirmation that the land for the Park of Reflection was gifted to Wounded Warriors Canada, and that the Wounded Warriors of Canada were responsible to cover all capital and operational costs,

- confirmation that the request to the Town to assume the maintenance cost was for the portion of the garden area that was not currently being maintained by the Town;
- the additional costs associated with the maintenance of the Park of Reflection;
- setting a precedent with respect to future parks being gifted to the Town to maintain;
- confirmation of the operational cost to maintain Vimy Memorial Park, that the maintenance cost would be equivalent to one full-time employee, and whether there has been an opportunity for Staff to revisit and reduce the cost for the park maintenance;
- providing clarity through an amendment that the Town approves the Vimy Memorial Park in principle;
- the possibility of deferring the report to Council to allow time for Staff to provide Council with information on the operational costs for Vimy Memorial Park, and the amount of time needed to determine those costs;
- the limited timeline of two weeks for consultation to take place, how the consultation process would be achieved, and the possibility the Town informing and consulting with the public regarding the Vimy Memorial Park project;
- consideration for the issues on the Vimy Memorial Park project to be addressed distinctly from the initiatives by the Town with respect to the Park of Reflection;
- opportunities to review sites in Whitby including Intrepid Park, Cullen Park and the Cenotaph to ensure appropriate recognition of veterans, and exploring how the Vimy Memorial Park could be a possible support to veterans;
- opportunities for a communication plan on significant sites such as Intrepid Park, Cullen Park and the Cenotaph within the Town of Whitby;
- options for naming the park something other than Vimy Memorial Park; and,
- the potential for structural maintenance in the park and who would be responsible for such costs, and opportunities for potential funding from other entities in the future.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Newman

1. That Report PW 17-21 be received as information;

2. That Council authorize staff to negotiate a collaborative partnership agreement with the necessary parties needed to maintain the future Vimy Memorial Park;
3. That staff report back to Council on the progress of the park agreement along with refined budget implications prior to assumption; and,
4. That Report PW 17-21 be circulated to the Region of Durham and the Ontario Horticultural Trades Foundation.

Note: The disposition of the matter, Item 9.1, was determined through the deferral motion below. [See following motions]

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Newman

That the main motion be amended by including Item 5 as follows:

5. That Staff report to Council on a plan and budget implications to assume the operational expenses of the Park of Reflection in the Town of Whitby.

Carried

The main motion, as amended, was then before Committee as follows:

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Newman

1. That Report PW 17-21 be received as information;
2. That Council authorize staff to negotiate a collaborative partnership agreement with the necessary parties needed to maintain the future Vimy Memorial Park;
3. That staff report back to Council on the progress of the park agreement along with refined budget implications prior to assumption;
4. That Report PW 17-21 be circulated to the Region of Durham and the Ontario Horticultural Trades Foundation; and,
5. That Staff report to Council on a plan and budget implications to assume the operational expenses of the Park of Reflection in the Town of Whitby.

Note: The disposition of the matter, Item 9.1, was determined through the deferral motion below. [See following motion]

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Newman

That consideration of Report PW 17-21, Vimy Memorial Park, be postponed to the Council meeting on June 21, 2021.

Carried

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 7.3, Delegation by Steve Edwards representing Nordik Spa, at this time.

9.2 Fire and Emergency Services Department Report, FR 03-21
Re: Proposed Repeal and Replacement of the By-law to Regulate the Setting of Fires

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Leahy

1. That report FR 03-21 of the Fire and Emergency Services Department be received for information;
2. That Council direct the Clerk to bring forward a by-law to repeal and replace By-law # 6991-15 being a By-law to Regulate the Setting of Fires, substantially in the form of the draft Regulate the Setting of Fires By-law appended as Attachment #1 to this report.

Carried

9.3 Fire and Emergency Services Department Report, FR 04-21
Re: Sole Source Award to Vector Solutions for Target Solutions Software

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Mulcahy

1. That Council approve the sole source award to Vector Solutions for the Target Solutions software in the annual amount of \$20,000 (plus applicable taxes) for the internal communications and training software platform within the Whitby Fire and Emergency Services Department;

2. That approval be granted to extend this contract for up to two (2) additional one (1) year term(s) subject to budget approval and the successful performance in the previous term including product quality and pricing; and,
3. That the Treasurer and Fire Chief be authorized to execute the contract documents subject to the satisfaction of the Town Solicitor.

Carried

- 9.4** Financial Services Department and Legal and Enforcement Services
Joint Report, FS 25-21
Re: Declare Surplus Part Lot 28, Concession 4, being Parts 1, 2 and 3, Plan 40R-31365 for the Purpose of Transfer to Nordik Immobiliers – Whitby Inc. and Acquisition of Part Lot 28, Concession 4, being Parts 4 and 5, Plan 40R-31365 from Nordik Immobiliers – Whitby Inc.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Mulcahy

1. That Part Lot 28, Concession 4, being Parts 1, 2 and 3, Plan 40R-31365, be declared surplus for the purpose of transfer to Nordik Immobiliers – Whitby Inc. (“Nordik”) or their subsidiary or holding company;
2. That the Town of Whitby acquire Part Lot 28, Concession 4, being Parts 4 and 5, Plan 40R-31365 from Nordik Immobiliers – Whitby Inc.; and,
3. That the Clerk be directed to bring forward the necessary by-laws for the conveyance and acquisition of the properties.

Carried

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 9.2, FR 03-21, at this time.

10. New and Unfinished Business - General Government

10.1 Indigenous Land Recognition in the Town of Whitby

Councillor Shahid introduced a motion regarding establishing an Indigenous land recognition plaque in the Town of Whitby.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding:

- opportunities to invite Indigenous artists to provide public art in Whitby; and,
- whether there would be a report back to Council as a result of collaboration with suggested wording for a plaque.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Shahid

1. That Staff be directed to undertake consultations with the Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation (MSIFN) for the purpose of determining a suitable location and wording for a plaque on Town land that will acknowledge the traditional treaty territory of the Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation of the Mississauga Nation; and,
2. That the Clerk be directed to circulate this resolution to the Diversity and Inclusion Advisory Committee.

Carried

10.2 Intrepid Park Enhancements

Councillor Yamada inquired about the possibility of enhancements to Intrepid Park

J. Romano, Commissioner of Community Services advised Intrepid Park was identified as part of the Waterfront Master Plan for 2029. He advised that it would include a number of amenities such as a memorial garden and parking.

A brief question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding

- the possibility of undertaking the enhancements to Intrepid Park a few years sooner than 2029; and,
- providing Members of Council with the portion of the Waterfront Master Plan pertaining to Intrepid Park.

Adjournment

Motion to Adjourn

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Newman

That the meeting adjourn.

Carried

The meeting adjourned at 10:04 p.m.