

Present: Mayor Mitchell (Participating Electronically)
Councillor Drumm (Participating Electronically)
Councillor Leahy (Participating Electronically)
Councillor Lee (Participating Electronically)
Councillor Mulcahy (Participating Electronically)
Councillor Newman (Participating Electronically)
Councillor Roy (Participating Electronically)
Councillor Shahid (Participating Electronically)
Councillor Yamada (Participating Electronically)

Also Present: M. Gaskell, Chief Administrative Officer
S. Klein, Director of Strategic Initiatives
J. Romano, Commissioner of Community Services
R. Saunders, Commissioner of Planning and Development
F. Wong, Commissioner of Financial Services/Treasurer
H. Ellis, Executive Advisor to the Mayor
C. Harris, Town Clerk
K. Narraway, Manager of Legislative Services/Deputy Clerk
L. MacDougall, Council and Committee Coordinator (Recording Secretary)

Regrets: None noted

The meeting commenced at 7:19 p.m. due to the Special Council Meeting held prior to the Committee of the Whole meeting.

Call to Order

Call of the Roll: The Clerk

Declarations of Pecuniary Interest

There were no declarations of pecuniary interest.

Planning and Development

Councillor Yamada assumed the Chair.

1. Presentations

- 1.1** Jonah Kelly, Principal Planner, Policy Planning & Special Studies, and Brad Anderson, Principal Planner, Policy Planning & Special Studies, Region of Durham
Re: Envision Durham Overview

Jonah Kelly, Principal Planner, Policy Planning & Special Studies, and Brad Anderson, Principal Planner, Policy Planning & Special Studies, Region of Durham, provided a PowerPoint presentation regarding Envision Durham. Highlights of the presentation included:

- an overview of the planning policy hierarchy in Ontario, where Envision Durham fits within the framework, and the purpose of Envision Durham;
- detailed information about a number of objectives that would be achieved through the policy review approach of Envision Durham;
- detailed information about the launch of Envision Durham, the engagement and outreach for the project, and the most frequently cited responses;
- the release of six theme-based discussion papers in 2019, the submissions received from area municipalities, and feedback from consultants, members of the development community, individuals from the public, conservation authorities and interest groups;
- the proposed policy directions released by the Region of Durham in 2021 that presented potential changes to land use planning policies for public and agency feedback including a prosperous economy, healthy communities, supportive infrastructure, vibrant urban system, thriving rural system, protected green land system, and a connected transportation system, and the resulting introduction of over 430 proposed policy directions;
- the proposed amendment to the Regional Official Plan to introduce a policy framework and delineations for protected Major Transit Station Areas including delineations to support transit related development;
- detailed information about Phases 1 and 2 of the Growth Management Study process;
- the current and upcoming opportunities for providing feedback, and the availability of all documents/information related to the project through durham.ca/EnvisionDurham; and,
- next steps in 2021 and 2022.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee, Mr. Kelly, and Mr. Anderson regarding:

- flexibility in considering the unique characteristics of each municipality when considering employment land allocations, growth intensification and density targets;
- the criteria used for Major Transit Station Areas policies for transit oriented development to achieve more transit use and more residential growth around transit areas;
- whether previous land conversion requests from prestige industrial to mixed use were approved based on a guarantee of the creation of the same number of jobs;
- how the job types were determined to be more desirable for a specific area;
- the number of employment area conversion requests received from within the Town of Whitby and how many of those requests were approved; and,
- whether housing supply and affordability was a priority as part of the Envision Durham project.

2. Delegations

- 2.1** Michael Bissett representing Nordeagle Developments Limited
Re: Planning and Development Department Report, PL 71-21
Envision Durham: Land Needs Assessment Technical Reports

Refer to Item 4.1, PL 71-21

Michael Bissett, representing Nordeagle Developments Limited, stated that his client was seeking to develop lands in south Whitby for a complete mixed use community. Mr. Bissett provided a PowerPoint presentation regarding the Staff Report in response to the Region of Durham's Report on employment land conversions. Highlights of the presentation included:

- details about the location and size of the Nordeagle Development Limited lands;
- the previous conversion of lands located east of Montecorte Street to permit high density mixed use development;
- the Major Transit Station Area to the east where there was direction for significant mixed use densities and growth;
- the current designation of lands located west of Montecorte Street as Business Park which was surrounded by Lynde Shores Conservation Area;
- the lands not being located in a Provincially Significant Employment Zone;

- the existing development on the land west of Montecorte Street which include a hotel, a gas station, the Sobeys warehouse, and a facility used as a construction office by Aecon Group Inc.;
- the opportunity to introduce a complete community through a new mixed-use development incorporating parks and trail connections, residential apartment buildings and townhouses, offices, convention centres, a hotel, retail, restaurants, community facilities, and a new public school that would be needed to service new residents and residents from within the new Major Transit Station Area to the east;
- the request for Council's support for the conversion of lands, noted as CNR-06, located west of Montecorte Street between Montecorte Street and Lynde Shores Conservation Area, for a mix of uses; and,
- continued work with the Region and Town to bring residential and employment to the area in a way that would be compatible and complimentary to the surroundings.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Mr. Bissett regarding:

- the rationale for the difference in the number of jobs that would be created, as noted in the correspondence submission, between August and November 2021;
- the types of jobs that would be created should the conversion request on the lands west of Montecorte Street be approved;
- details about the types of businesses proposed on the west lands and whether consideration was being given to manufacturing development;
- whether the proposed development would have a similar or higher number of jobs as required per hectare by the Region, and confirmation that job creation would consist of higher value jobs versus a typical warehouse position;
- the possibility of negotiating special permissions on the lands west of Montecorte Street prior to a decision on the land conversion;
- whether communication has taken place with existing adjacent businesses and property owners, the importance of understanding how the existing neighbouring business in close proximity to the lands west of Montecorte Street was being utilized, and the potential impact on proposed residents in advance of a decision on the land conversion request;
- whether there was support from the community and whether there has been any recent consultation with the community;

- the Region and Town's recommendation to hold land conversions at this time and whether any recent consultation has taken place with Staff about the property;
- clarification on the proponents request to the Town;
- whether the delegate agreed that the request for conversion of the west lands would impact the overall viability of the employment area; and,
- environmental enhancements that could be implemented in the complete community development.

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 4.1, PL 71-21, at this time.

- 2.2** Russel White and Bryce Jordan representing Winash Developments Limited
Re: Planning and Development Department Report, PL 69-21
Draft Plan of Subdivision and Zoning By-law Amendment Applications, Winash Developments Limited, 5380, 5505 and 5605 Baldwin Street South and other unaddressed Baldwin Street South properties, File Numbers: DEV-12-20 (SW-2020-05, Z-10-20)

Refer to Item 4.3, PL 69-21

Russel White and Bryce Jordan, representing Winash Developments Limited, stated that they were in support of the staff recommendation, and that they were in attendance to answer questions.

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 4.3, PL 69-21, at this time.

- 2.3** Russel White and Bryce Jordan representing Fieldgate Developments
Re: Planning and Development Department Report, PL 73-21
Draft Plan of Subdivision and Zoning By-law Amendment Applications, 7150 Thickson Road North, 7150 Thickson Developments Limited, File Numbers: DEV-11-20 (SW-2020-04 and Z-09-20)

Refer to Item 4.5, PL 73-21

Russel White and Bryce Jordan, representing Fieldgate Developments, stated that there were in support of the Staff recommendation, and were in attendance to answer questions. Mr. Jordan advised that the proposed development was an employment area plan that would be, as part of its implementation, providing a key component of the Mid-Block Arterial that services the employment area south of Highway 407, and that it would be extending the sanitary sewer along the road alignment

from Lynde Creek westward across Baldwin Street to service the Whitby Sports Complex.

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 4.5, PL 73-21, at this time.

3. Correspondence

3.1 There was no correspondence.

4. Staff Reports

4.1 Planning and Development Department Report, PL 71-21
Re: Envision Durham: Land Needs Assessment Technical Reports

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding:

- the willingness of the proponent to work with staff on meeting requirements of job creation, the uniqueness of the area, and the opinion of Staff about the west lands remaining designated as Business Park or being converted to mixed use;
- confirmation that decisions on requests for land conversions would be made by the Region;
- the process for public engagement related to the east lands and the proposal for the west lands given that the Envision Durham project was still in the early stages;
- confirmation that community input would be received by both the regional and municipal levels for Official Plans, Secondary Plans and specific development applications;
- details about the history and Staff involvement related to the Nordeagle lands, the appeal to, and the decision made by the Ontario Land Tribunal (formerly the Ontario Municipal Board), and the opposition from the community to Phase 1, east lands;
- whether Staff support the request for conversion of the lands to a mix of uses;
- the complexity of dealing with Phase 2, west lands differently from dealing with the Phase 1, east lands;
- confirmation that granting special permissions for the west lands may provide a more consistent process to deal with the development as a whole; and,
- whether the housing mix for new development within the Region should be based on the projections made by Hemson Consulting as part of the Growth Plan for housing type needs in the future.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Leahy

1. That Report PL 71-21 be endorsed as the Town's comments on the Region of Durham's Land Needs Assessment Technical Reports (Region of Durham File: D12-01); and,
2. That the Clerk forward a copy of Report PL 71-21 to the Durham Region Planning and Economic Development Department and the Durham area municipalities.

Carried later in the meeting (See following Motions)

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Leahy

That Item 1 of the main motion be amended to read as follows:
That Report PL 71-21 be endorsed as the Town's comments on the Region of Durham's Land Needs Assessment Technical Reports (Region of Durham File: D12-01) save and except that CNR-06, as identified in the Employment Strategy Technical Report by Watson and Associates and Urban Strategies Inc., be recommended for land conversion.

Carried

Recommendation:

Moved By Mayor Mitchell

That the main motion be amended by including a new Item 2 and that the remaining item be renumbered accordingly.
2. That the Town of Whitby recommends that the overall housing mix for new development in Durham Region be based on the projections developed by Hemson Consulting as the basis of the growth plan projections to 2051.

Carried

The main motion, as amended, was then carried as follows:

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Leahy

1. That Report PL 71-21 be endorsed as the Town's comments on the Region of Durham's Land Needs Assessment Technical Reports (Region of Durham File: D12-01) save and except that CNR-06, as identified in the Employment Strategy Technical Report by Watson and Associates and Urban Strategies Inc., be recommended for land conversion;
2. That the Town of Whitby recommends that the overall housing mix for new development in Durham Region be based on the projections developed by Hemson Consulting as the basis of the growth plan projections to 2051; and,
3. That the Clerk forward a copy of Report PL 71-21 to the Durham Region Planning and Economic Development Department and the Durham area municipalities.

Carried

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 2.2, Delegation by Russel White and Bryce Jordan representing Winash Developments Limited, at this time.

- 4.2** Planning and Development Department Report, PL 68-21
Re: Draft Plan of Condominium Application – Manorgate Homes (Whitby) Inc. (Block 1, Plan 40M-2695 and Block 110 and 111, Plan 40M-2653) 1010 Dundas Street West, File Number: CW-2021-04

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Newman

1. That Council approve the application for a Draft Plan of Condominium (CW-2021-04), subject to the comments included in Planning Report PL 68-21 and the conditions of draft plan approval included in Attachment # 4;
2. That the Mayor and Clerk be authorized to execute the Condominium Agreement for the subject land; and,
3. That the Clerk advise the Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development, at the Region of Durham, of Council's decision.

Carried

- 4.3** Planning and Development Department Report, PL 69-21

Re: Draft Plan of Subdivision and Zoning By-law Amendment Applications, Winash Developments Limited, 5380, 5505 and 5605 Baldwin Street South and Other Unaddressed Baldwin Street South Properties, File Numbers: DEV-12-20 (SW-2020-05, Z-10-20)

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Mulcahy

1. That Council approve the Draft Plan of Subdivision (File Number: SW-2020-05), subject to the comments included in Planning Report PL 69-21 and the conditions of draft plan approval included in Attachment # 10;
2. That Staff be authorized to prepare a Subdivision Agreement;
3. That the Region of Durham Commissioner of Planning and Economic Development be advised of Council's decision;
4. That the Clerk forward a Notice to those parties and agencies who requested to be notified of Council's decision;
5. That Council approve an amendment to Zoning By-law # 1784, (File Number: Z-10-20) as outlined in Planning Report PL 69-21; and,
6. That a by-law to amend Zoning By-law # 1784 be brought forward for consideration by Council.

Carried

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 2.3, Delegation by Russel White and Bryce Jordan representing Fieldgate Developments, at this time.

4.4 Planning and Development Department Report, PL 70-21 Re: Site Plan Application, Rogers Communications Inc., 3500 Brock Street North, File Number: DEV-35-19 (SP-21-19)

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding:

- whether there were any comments received from the community in response to the notice that was sent by the proponent;
- clarification on whether consulting with land use authorities included consultation with the Town;
- whether any preliminary work has taken place on the terms of the Letter of Undertaking; and,
- whether the proposed height of the tower would create any impact that would be of concern to the Town.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Newman

1. That Council approve the Site Plan for a Rogers telecommunication tower at 3500 Brock Street North (Application SP-21-19), subject to the conditions of approval set out in Attachment #10 of Planning Report PL 70-21; and,
2. That the proponent and property owner enter into a Letter of Undertaking with the Town.

Carried

- 4.5** Planning and Development Department Report, PL 73-21
Re: Draft Plan of Subdivision and Zoning By-law Amendment
Applications, 7150 Thickson Road North, 7150 Thickson Developments
Limited, File Numbers: DEV-11-20 (SW-2020-04 and Z-09-20)

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Lee

1. That Council approve the Draft Plan of Subdivision (File Number: SW-2020-04) subject to the comments included in Planning Report PL 73-21 and the Conditions of Draft Approval included in Attachment # 10;
2. That Staff be authorized to prepare a Subdivision Agreement;
3. That the Region of Durham Commissioner of Planning and Development be advised of Council's decision;
4. That Williams and Stewart Associates Ltd. be appointed as the Control Architect for the Draft Plan of Subdivision;
5. That the Clerk forward a Notice to those parties and agencies that requested to be notified of Council's decision;
6. That Council approve an amendment to Zoning By-law 1784 (File Number: Z-09-20), as outlined in Planning Report PL 73-21; and,
7. That a by-law to amend Zoning By-law 1784 be brought forward for consideration by Council.

Carried

It was the consensus of the Committee to hear Item 4.2, PL 68-21, at this time.

5. New and Unfinished Business - Planning and Development

5.1 New and Unfinished Business - Planning and Development

There was no new and unfinished business.

General Government

Councillor Roy assumed the Chair.

6. Presentations

6.1 There were no presentations.

7. Delegations

7.1 There were no delegations.

8. Correspondence

8.1 There was no correspondence.

9. Staff Reports

9.1 Office of the Chief Administrative Officer Report, CAO 26-21
Re: Downtown Whitby Community Improvement Plan Grant Program
Uptake and Recommended Amendments

Discussion ensued between Members of Committee regarding:

- concerns about discontinuing the Development Charge Exemption when there were still two sites affected by fires located within the heart of the historic downtown core that have not been redeveloped;
- the allocation of more funds outside of the historic priority area versus within the historic priority area;
- the application of the Development Charge Exemption on four-storey buildings resulting in the ineligibility of the three-storey buildings located in the Whitby Downtown core area; and,
- providing more information on the long-term needs in the Whitby Downtown core area prior to making a decision on deactivating incentive programs.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding:

- the possibility of restricting incentive programs to the Downtown Whitby core area to ensure financial support for future development applications;
- whether the budget amount of \$3,400,000.00 for incentive programs was high in comparison to the incentive programs of other municipalities;
- whether deactivating the Development Charge Exemption would impact medium to high density development in the Downtown Whitby core area and the possibility of increasing incentives for transformational projects;
- providing information by way of a memorandum on prioritizing, protecting and supporting the core of the historic downtown priority area, future infill redevelopment, the utilization of existing funds in the Downtown Whitby core area, and future incentive program requirements prior to the Council meeting on November 29, 2021; and,
- deferring the report to a future date.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Newman

1. That Report CAO 26-21, be received as information;
2. That the remaining balance of the Downtown Whitby CIP - Façade Improvement Grant, in the amount of \$113,838 be transferred to a new capital project for the same purpose, (capital project 55227405 - Downtown Whitby Maintenance and Improvement Grant Programs);
3. That Council approve the recommended amendments to deactivate the following programs of the Downtown Whitby Community Improvement Plan (CIP) – Development Charge Full or Partial Exemption for Residential and Commercial/Office; and Cash-in-Lieu of Parking Program and transfer the remaining funds of \$98,010 to the Downtown Whitby Maintenance and Improvement Grant Programs (capital project 55227405);
4. That Council continue offering the Tax Increment Equivalent Grant Program and the Maintenance and Improvement Grant Programs (Façade Improvement Grant Program; Interior Heritage Building Grant Program; Sign Grant Program; and Design Grant Program) of the Downtown Whitby Community Improvement Plan;
5. That the existing annual Façade Grant Reserve contribution of \$75,000 be re-allocated - \$45,000 to the Downtown Whitby Maintenance and Improvement Grant Programs (capital project 55227405) and \$30,000 to the Downtown Brooklin Community

- Improvement Plan (capital project 55217402) starting in the 2022 budget; and,
6. That Council back-date and extend the Downtown Whitby Community Improvement Plan (CIP) By-law to March 1, 2021 and extend it for another four years as outlined in Attachment # 2.

The disposition of Item 9.1, CAO 26-21, was determined through the referral motion below.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Newman

That Item 9.1, CAO 26-21, be referred to Staff to prepare a memorandum to Council for the November 29, 2021 Council meeting providing information about prioritizing, protecting and supporting the core of the historic downtown priority area, future infill redevelopment, the utilization of existing funds in the Downtown Whitby core area, and future incentive program requirements.

Carried

- 9.2** Office of the Chief Administrative Officer Report, CAO 27-21
Re: Memorandum of Understanding between the Town of Whitby and the Canadian Musicians Co-operative

Discussion ensued between Members of Committee regarding:

- the success of the Public Space Performance Program;
- the number of performances throughout the summer;
- the ideal setting of the waterfront for the program; and,
- the removal of the 15-minute performance limitations to allow for longer music sets.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Mulcahy

1. That Report CAO 27-21 be received for information;
2. That the Town enter into a one year Memorandum of Understanding with the Ontario Musician's Co-Operative Inc. (operating as the Canadian Musicians Co-operative) effective January 1, 2022 to January 1, 2023; and,
3. That Council provide an exemption to the Public Nuisance By-law # 6714-13 for the duration of the Memorandum of Understanding

with respect to the ability for Canadian Musicians Co-operative Performers to be able to receive a voluntary gratuity as part of the program.

Carried

9.3 Community Services Department, Legal and Enforcement Services, and Financial Services Department Joint Report, CMS 18-21
Re: Okanagan Hockey Group Lease Agreement

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Leahy

1. That Council approve the Lease Renewal Agreement between the Town of Whitby and the Okanagan Hockey Group for the meeting space in the upper mezzanine of Iroquois Park Sports Centre and the addition of the exclusive use of Suite A on Pad 1 from August 2021 to July 31, 2024, (the "Lease Agreement"); and,
2. That the Mayor and Clerk be authorized to execute the Lease Agreement and Sign any other related and necessary documents to the Lease Agreement.

Carried

9.4 Office of the Town Clerk Report, CLK 11-21
Re: Electronic Participation at Committee and Council Meetings by Members of the Public

A brief question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding options for electronic participation at Committee and Council meetings by Members of Council.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Leahy

1. That Staff Report CLK 11-21 be received for information; and,
2. That the Clerk be directed to bring forward the draft by-law appended to this Staff Report as Attachment 1 to amend Procedure By-law # 7462-18 in order to permit ongoing electronic participation at Committee and Council meetings by Members of the Public.

Carried

10. New and Unfinished Business - General Government

10.1 Request for Provincial Legislation Related To Excessive Vehicle Noise

Councillor Drumm introduced a motion regarding provincial legislation related to excessive vehicle noise.

A brief question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding requesting the Durham Regional Police Service to enforce excessive noise over a certain decibel level.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Drumm

Whereas according to section 75 of the Highway Traffic Act (HTA), every motor vehicle shall be equipped with a muffler in good working order and in constant operation to prevent excessive or unusual noise and excessive smoke, and no person shall use a muffler cut-out, straight exhaust, gutted muffler, Hollywood muffler, by-pass or similar device upon a motor vehicle; and,

Whereas the act of modifying the exhaust system of a motor vehicle is not currently an offence under the HTA, and as a result muffler and auto-body shops continue to provide such services to individuals who choose to modify their vehicles which could negatively impact the safety of the vehicle; and,

Whereas Durham Regional Police Service has been actively laying charges pursuant to section 75 of the HTA against motor vehicle operators whose vehicles make excessive or unusual noise or produce excessive smoke from muffler systems that have been modified; and,

Whereas Council continues to receive negative feedback about an increase in noise due to modified vehicles on Regional and local roads; and,

Whereas on October 28, 2019, the Province of Ontario introduced Bill 132, Better for People, Smarter for Business Act, 2019 which in part sought to amend the HTA to include a new section 75.1 that will make it an offence to tamper with motor vehicles by (a) removing, bypassing, defeating or rendering inoperative all or part of a motor vehicle's

emission control system or (b) modifying a motor or motor vehicle in any way that results in increased emissions from the level to which it was originally designed or certified by the manufacturer of the motor or motor vehicle, as well as prohibit the sale of tampering devices that can create excessive noise or smoke on our streets and in our neighbourhoods; and,

Whereas Bill 132 received Royal Assent on December 10, 2019, however, the Lieutenant Governor has not yet proclaimed section 75.1 of the HTA; and,

Whereas the City of Mississauga, Region of Peel, City of Oshawa and Town of Ajax have already passed motions calling on the Province of Ontario to immediately proclaim and bring into force section 75.1 of the HTA.

Now therefore be it resolved that:

1. That Council urge the Province of Ontario to work with the Lieutenant Governor to expedite the proclamation of section 75.1 of the Highway Traffic Act (Better for People, Smarter for Business Act, 2019, S.O. 2019, c. 14, Sched. 16, s. 11);
2. That the Ministry of Transportation be requested to set a maximum decibel count for exhaust systems to ensure that exhaust systems with the capability of exceeding 80 decibels will not be available for sale and will not be permitted in the Province of Ontario, and consider reviewing and increasing associated penalties for noncompliance;
3. The Province of Ontario be requested to provide funding for police services in Ontario to be equipped with approved decibel monitor devices to aid in effective enforcement efforts; and,
4. That a copy of this motion be sent to the Hon. Caroline Mulroney, Minister of Transportation, Lorne Coe, MPP Whitby, all Durham Region Members of Provincial Parliament, the Region of Durham, all Durham Region municipalities, Durham Regional Police Service, and the Ontario Provincial Police.

Carried

10.2 Automated Speed Cameras on Town Roads

Councillor Mulcahy introduced a motion regarding automated speed cameras on Town roads.

A question and answer period ensued between Members of Committee and Staff regarding:

- the ability to work with the City of Toronto on purchasing automated speed cameras;
- informing S. Siopis, Commissioner of Works, Region of Durham about this initiative;
- confirmation that the automated cameras on Town roads would not be a regional initiative;
- how purchasing devices, placement and installation of devices, and enforcement would take place;
- clarification on how this program would be considered a service level increase;
- whether there was a model with respect to cost and implementation of this program that the Town could obtain from another local municipality; and,
- providing information about the revenue and effectiveness of the Region's program.

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Mulcahy

Whereas Automated Speed Enforcement (ASE) is an automated system that uses cameras and speed measurement devices to help improve safety, enforce speed limits and reduce collisions; and,

Whereas on December 2, 2019, the Province of Ontario passed regulations to allow municipalities to operate an ASE program; and,

Whereas the Region of Durham currently operates an ASE program on Regional Roads in designated School Safety Zones and Community Safety Zones within the Town of Whitby in support of Durham Vision Zero; and,

Whereas Council has a stated goal of making streets and neighbourhoods safer through innovative and best-practice design standards and traffic calming measures that reduce traffic speeds.

Now therefore be it resolved:

That staff report to Council on the feasibility and cost of adopting an Automated Speed Enforcement program on Town Roads in School Safety Zones and Community Safety Zones.

Carried

10.3 Highway 412 and 418 Tolls

Councillor Roy advised of a recent announcement by the Provincial Government regarding the construction of a toll-free Bradford bypass. She stated that it is creating a toll inequity, a hardship for those businesses that rely on the northbound/southbound highways, and was unfair to the Durham Region community. Councillor Roy requested that Mayor Mitchell prepare and send correspondence to the Provincial Government, copying all municipalities within the Region and the Region of Durham, regarding the removal of the Highway 412 and 418 tolls.

Mayor Mitchell advised he would send correspondence on behalf of Whitby Council reaffirming the need to remove the tolls, noting that it would be an appropriate time to do so given the announcement about the toll-free Bradford bypass.

Adjournment

Motion to Adjourn

Recommendation:

Moved By Councillor Drumm

That the meeting adjourn.

Carried

The meeting adjourned at 9:48 p.m.