

# **AUDIT REPORT**

Arbero July 2025

### Introduction

A time-boxed security review of the **Arbero** protocol was done by **CD Security**, with a focus on the security aspects of the application's implementation.

### Disclaimer

A smart contract security review can never verify the complete absence of vulnerabilities. This is a time, resource, and expertise-bound effort where we try to find as many vulnerabilities as possible. We can not guarantee 100% security after the review or even if the review will find any problems with your smart contracts. Subsequent security reviews, bug bounty programs, and on-chain monitoring are strongly recommended.

### About **Arbero**

The Bonding Converter developed by Arbera Labs facilitates the conversion of oBER0 to arBER0 through a 90-day vesting mechanism or an instant claim option. Users can bond 0HM to receive arBER0 with a guaranteed profit, where the fast-claim route yields approximately 10% more in dollar value than bonded 0HM and vested oBER0.

### Severity classification

Severity	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: High	Critical	High	Medium
Likelihood: Medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: Low	Medium	Low	Low

Impact - the technical, economic, and reputation damage of a successful attack

Likelihood - the chance that a particular vulnerability gets discovered and exploited

Severity - the overall criticality of the risk

### **Security Assessment Summary**

review commit hash - 0df754b85722a4d33f30874b595cca751732d66e

Scope

The following smart contracts were in scope of the audit:

contracts/arbero/BondingConverter.sol

#### • contracts/arbero/ArberoOracle.sol

The following number of issues were found, categorized by their severity:

• Critical & High: 2 issues

Medium: 2 issuesLow: 6 issues

• Informational: 2 issues

### Findings Summary

ID	Title	Severity	Status
[C-01]	dollarValue is not scaled correctly	Critical	Fixed
[C-02]	Scaling issues when calculating the tvl of the brarBERO-brOHM LP token	Critical	Fixed
[M-01]	Bond can be done before any vesting	Medium	Acknowledged
[M-02]	Existing native tokens can be drained by creating 1 wei bonds	Medium	Acknowledged
[L-01]	Contract addresses are not yet updated	Low	Fixed
[L-02]	The fastClaim and bond functions lack deadline input parameter	Low	Fixed
[L-03]	The fastClaim and bond functions lack slippage	Low	Fixed
[L-04]	Single step ownership transfer in use	Low	Fixed
[L-05]	The getBrLbgtPriceandLpTVL and getBr0hmPriceAndLpTVL functions lack validation for PYTH oracle output	Low	Fixed
[L-06]	The fastClaim function does not update the arBERO premium price	Low	Acknowledged
[I-01]	Withdraw of residual arBERO and native token is not possible	Informational	Fixed
[I-02]	Incorrect BondCreated and BondUnlocked event emissions	Informational	Fixed

### **Detailed Findings**

## [C-01] dollarValue is not scaled correctly

Impact: High

Likelihood: High

### Description

Let's take a look at the following code:

```
uint dollarValue = _amount * uint256(uint64(ohmBasePrice.price));
```

Here, the dollarValue variable is obtained by multiplying amount of OHM tokens provided by the users on the price of the OHM (in 8 decimals). The problem is that the amount is not scaled to 1e8 which is ultimately used to represent the actual dollar value, according to this comment from the ArberoOracle contract:

```
return (arberoPrice - oBeroPrice) / 1e10; // Return the premium in USD, 8 decimal points
```

So, if the price of the OHM token is 20\$ (20e8) and the we provide 100 of these tokens (OHM has 9 decimals so it'll be 100e9), we'll eventually get 2000e17 which is not a correct dollar value. This is also used later to derive the arberoToClaim:

```
uint arberoToClaim = (_bonus * 1e18) / arberoPremium;
```

The ARBERO token is just an usual 18 decimal ERC20 so bonus has to be of 8 decimals for this expression to be scaled correctly as arberoPremium has 8 decimals as well. Otherwise, this would be a very huge amount of ARBERO tokens to claim.

#### Recommendations

Scale the dollar value to be of 8 decimals.

# [C-02] Scaling issues when calculating the tvl of the brarBERO-brOHM LP token

### Severity

**Impact:** High

Likelihood: High

Description

The following comment states that the tvl returned by the function \_getBr0hmPriceAndLpTVL() in the ArberoOracle smart contract has to have 8 decimal places:

```
@return tvl TVL in brarBERO-brOHM LP, in USD, 8 decimal points.
```

The variable itself is calculated this way:

```
uint tvl = (reserve * 2 * price) / 1e18;
```

It takes the reserve of one of the tokens (BARBERO or BROHM) and then multiplies it by price (that has 1e8 scaling) and divides by 1e18. The problem here is that the reserve has to be of 1e18 scaling so that 1e18 in the numerator and denominator is omitted and the end result would be of 1e8 scaling because of the price. However, one of the tokens can BROHM that could potentially have 9 decimal places (similar to the OHM token that has 9 decimals) and, in this case, the result would be completely incorrect. If the BROHM token does not have 9 decimal places and has 18 decimals instead, then this expression would be also incorrect in terms of scaling because ohmInBrOhm would have 9 decimals:

```
uint cbr = (ohmInBr0hm * 1e18) / br0hmTotalSupply;
```

### Recommendations

Change the scaling in one of the expressions depending on the number of decimals the BROHM token has (the address is currently incorrect so there is no exact info about the token). It can be seen that OHM has 9 decimals here.

### [M-01] Bond can be done before any vesting

### Severity

Impact: Low

Likelihood: High

Description

Based on the project's documentation the protocol assumes that user firstly performs vesting, then bond action. However, there is no security control implemented prohibiting user performing bond action in prior of vesting. Thus, an user can make any bond in advance. Then, after any period, in particular after 7 days, the user can unlock bonus, vest and immediately fast claim to obtain arBER0 tokens instantly. As a result, users may circumvent protocol assumption with advance planning and bond actions.

```
function bond(uint _amount, address _to, bytes[] calldata
priceUpdate) external {
        require(_amount > 0, AmountIsZero());
        OHM.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, ohmReceiver, _amount);
        // Update Pyth price
        uint fee = PYTH.getUpdateFee(_priceUpdate);
        PYTH.updatePriceFeeds{value: fee}( priceUpdate);
        // get price
        PythStructs.Price memory ohmBasePrice =
PYTH.getEmaPriceNoOlderThan(OHM PRICE ID, 60);
        require(ohmBasePrice.expo == -8, InvalidPriceExponent());
        require(ohmBasePrice.price > 0, InvalidPriceVolume());
        uint dollarValue = amount * uint256(uint64(ohmBasePrice.price));
        dollarValue = (dollarValue * (1e4 + fastClaimProfit)) / (10 **
22); // divide by (1e18 * 1e4)
        require(dollarValue > 0, NotEnoughBonus());
        // Store bonus
        Bond memory newBond = Bond({bonusValueUsd: dollarValue,
unlockTime: block.timestamp + 7 days});
        userBonds[_to].push(newBond);
        emit BondCreated(_to, _to, dollarValue);
    }
```

It is recommended to review defined business rules of the protocol and decide, whether additional validation must be implemented that allows users to bond only while having valid vesting record.

# [M-02] Existing native tokens can be drained by creating 1 wei bonds

### Severity

Impact: Medium

Likelihood: Medium

### Description

The BondingConverter.sol contract receives native tokens using the receive functionality.

```
receive() external payable {}
```

This is required to update the prices on the PYTH feeds. However, if the contract is pre-funded through the receive function, anyone can use up the native tokens by creating minimal 1 wei bonds.

```
PYTH.updatePriceFeeds{value: fee}(_priceUpdate);
```

#### Recommendations

It is recommended to:

1. Make the bond and fastClaim functionality payable, check if msg.value is enough to pay the fee, refund anything extra at the end of the function. Remove the receive function in this case.

### [L-01] Contract addresses are not yet updated

### Description

There are currently several contract addresses that are needed to be updated but are not (even those without a "TODO" comment):

```
IDecentralizedIndex public constant BROHM =
IDecentralizedIndex(0x883899D0111d69f85Fdfd19e4B89E613F231B781); // TODO
correct address
IDecentralizedIndex public constant BRLBGT =
IDecentralizedIndex(0x883899D0111d69f85Fdfd19e4B89E613F231B781);
```

```
bytes32 constant OHM_PRICE_ID =
0x3a8c0214e4fb7f1dd7792ed4d5b2971372e52f088fcd9cc02309253cbdc4a70e;
bytes32 constant LBGT_PRICE_ID =
0x3a8c0214e4fb7f1dd7792ed4d5b2971372e52f088fcd9cc02309253cbdc4a70e;
```

```
IExampleSlidingWindowOracle public constant UNISWAP_POOL_ORACLE =

IExampleSlidingWindowOracle(0x883899D0111d69f85Fdfd19e4B89E613F231B781);
// TODO correct address

IUniswapV2Pair public constant BRARBERO_BRLBGT_PAIR =
IUniswapV2Pair(0x883899D0111d69f85Fdfd19e4B89E613F231B781);
    IUniswapV2Pair public constant BRARBERO_BROHM_PAIR =
IUniswapV2Pair(0x883899D0111d69f85Fdfd19e4B89E613F231B781);
```

Update the addresses.

# [L-02] The fastClaim and bond functions lack deadline input parameter

### Description

The fastClaim and bond functions lack any kind of deadline security check, where within such period the transaction should be finalised without revert. Thus, due to transactions execution delay, the expect output amount can vary as the price can change rapidly.

```
function fastClaim(uint _bonus, uint _vestId, address _to, bytes[]
calldata _priceUpdate) external {
```

#### Recommendations

It is recommended to implement the deadline for the aforementioned functions.

# [L-03] The fastClaim and bond functions lack slippage

### Description

The fastClaim and bond functions lack any kind of slippage mechanism or minimum expected amount check for the arBERO tokens that are supposed to be transferred in the former function and how much dollar equivalent value should be saved in the state variable for the latter. Thus, due to the rapid price changes and transactions execution delay, the expect amount can significantly vary.

```
function fastClaim(uint _bonus, uint _vestId, address _to, bytes[]
calldata _priceUpdate) external {
```

### Recommendations

It is recommended to implement slippage or minimum expected amount check.

### [L-04] Single step ownership transfer in use

### Description

The BondingConverter implements Ownable library which implements single step ownership transfer. In the event of transferring the ownership to the invalid address, all functions protected by the access control will become permanently unavailable.

```
contract BondingConverter is Ownable, IBondingConverter {
```

### Recommendations

It is recommended to use <a href="Ownable2Step">Ownable2Step</a> instead.

# [L-05] The getBrLbgtPriceandLpTVL and getBr0hmPriceAndLpTVL functions lack validation for PYTH oracle output

### Description

The getBrLbgtPriceandLpTVL and getBr0hmPriceAndLpTVL functions do not validate the correctness of data returned by the PYTH oracle. On the contrary, the bond function has proper input validation implemented for both expo and price. In the rare instances, it may result in usage of incorrect price of temporary disabled or disturbed oracle.

```
function getBrOhmPriceAndLpTVL() public view returns (uint, uint) {
    uint ohmInBrOhm = OHM.balanceOf(address(BROHM));
    uint brOhmTotalSupply = BROHM.totalSupply();
    if (brOhmTotalSupply == 0 || ohmInBrOhm == 0) return (0, 0);
    uint cbr = (ohmInBrOhm * 1e18) / brOhmTotalSupply;
    PythStructs.Price memory ohmBasePrice =

PYTH.getEmaPriceNoOlderThan(OHM_PRICE_ID, 60);

uint price = (uint256(uint64(ohmBasePrice.price)) * cbr) / 1e18;
    require(price > 0, "Invalid OHM price");
    (uint r0, uint r1, ) = BRARBERO_BROHM_PAIR.getReserves();
    uint reserve = BRARBERO_BROHM_PAIR.token0() == address(BROHM) ? r0

: r1;

uint tvl = (reserve * 2 * price) / 1e18;
    return (price, tvl);
}
```

```
function getBrLbgtPriceandLpTVL() public view returns (uint price,
uint tvl) {
    uint stlbgtInBrLbgt = STLBGT.balanceOf(address(BRLBGT));
    uint brLbgtTotalSupply = BRLBGT.totalSupply();
    if (brLbgtTotalSupply == 0 || stlbgtInBrLbgt == 0) return (1e18,
0);
```

```
uint cbr = (stlbgtInBrLbgt * 1e18) / brLbgtTotalSupply;
uint lbgtInStLbgt = STLBGT.convertToAssets(1e18);
PythStructs.Price memory lbgtBasePrice =
PYTH.getEmaPriceNoOlderThan(LBGT_PRICE_ID, 60);

price = (uint256(uint64(lbgtBasePrice.price)) * lbgtInStLbgt *
cbr) / 1e36;
    (uint r0, uint r1, ) = BRARBERO_BRLBGT_PAIR.getReserves();
    uint reserve = BRARBERO_BRLBGT_PAIR.token0() == address(BRLBGT) ?
r0 : r1;
    tvl = (reserve * 2 * price) / 1e18;
}
```

It is recommended to implement missing validation.

# [L-06] The fastClaim function does not update the arBERO premium price

### Description

The fastClaim function makes use of the getArberoPremium function. However, it does not enforce a call to the update function, which updates the oberoPricePoints internal collection. Thus, the fastClaim function can make use of stale price of arBERO premium.

```
function update() external {
    UNISWAP_POOL_ORACLE.update(address(BRARBERO), address(BROHM));
    UNISWAP_POOL_ORACLE.update(address(BRARBERO), address(BRLBGT));
    uint oberoPrice = BERO.getOTokenPrice();
    uint index =
UNISWAP_POOL_ORACLE.observationIndexOf(block.timestamp);
    oberoPricePoints[index] = OberoPricePoint({timestamp:
    block.timestamp, price: oberoPrice});
}
```

### Recommendations

It is recommended enforce the update call within the fastClaim function instead of relying of manual update.

# [I-01] Withdraw of residual arBER0 and native token is not possible

### Description

The protocol is designed to obtain arBER0 ERC20 tokens directly, so this token can be transferred as reward in the claimReleased and fastClaim functions.

The protocol is also designed to obtain native token directly, so PYTH fee can be paid automatically. However, there is no mechanism allowing to withdraw a rBERO token or native token sent to the contract, whenever the contract becomes outdated or not used anymore.

```
receive() external payable {}
```

### Recommendations

It is recommended to implement a rBER0 token and native token withdrawal with proper access control.

# [I-02] Incorrect BondCreated and BondUnlocked event emissions

### Description

When a bond is created, it uses the \_to address in the first parameter. This is incorrect since it should be using the address of the user that created the bond.

```
emit BondCreated(_to, _to, dollarValue);
```

The value of userBond. bonus ValueUsd will be incorrect in the emission below. This is because:

- 1. userBond is a storage reference
- 2. When the userBond is deleted before the event emission, the storage reference is also updated
- 3. Since we removed the bond from the user's list, it will either emit the value of another bond that was replaced in the function <u>removeFromUserBondsArray</u> or 0 if no more bonds exist. This is because <u>userBond</u> is a storage reference

```
function unlockBonus(address _user, uint _bondId) external {
    uint noUserBonds = userBonds[_user].length;
    require(_bondId < noUserBonds, InvalidBondId(_bondId));
    Bond storage userBond = userBonds[_user][_bondId];
    require(userBond.unlockTime <= block.timestamp,

BondIsNotUnlocked(_bondId));
    userBonuses[_user] += userBond.bonusValueUsd;
    _removeFromUserBondsArray(_user, _bondId);
    emit BondUnlocked(_user, _bondId, userBond.bonusValueUsd); << <</pre>
```

- Use msg. sender in the first field of the BondCreated event emission.
- Either remove the bond after the **BondUnlocked** event emission or save a memory copy of the value.