

---

---

---

## संरचनाओं के भूकम्परोधी डिजाइन के मानदंड

भाग 1 सामान्य प्रावधान और भवन

(छठा पुनरीक्षण)

### Criteria for Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures

Part 1 General Provisions and Buildings

(Sixth Revision)

ICS 91.120.25

© BIS 2016



भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो  
BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS  
मानक भवन, 9 बहादुरशाह ज़फर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002  
MANAK BHAVAN, 9 BAHADUR SHAH ZAFAR MARG  
NEW DELHI-110002  
[www.bis.gov.in](http://www.bis.gov.in) [www.standardsbis.in](http://www.standardsbis.in)

## Earthquake Engineering Sectional Committee, CED 39

### FOREWORD

This Indian Standard (Part 1) (Sixth Revision) was adopted by the Bureau of Indian Standards, after the draft finalized by the Earthquake Engineering Sectional Committee had been approved by the Civil Engineering Division Council.

India is prone to strong earthquake shaking, and hence earthquake resistant design is essential. The Committee has considered an earthquake zoning map based on the maximum intensities at each location as recorded from damage surveys after past earthquakes, taking into account,

- a) known magnitudes and the known epicentres (*see Annex A*) assuming all other conditions as being average; and
- b) tectonics (*see Annex B*) and lithology (*see Annex C*) of each region.

The Seismic Zone Map (*see Fig. 1*) is broadly associated with 1964 MSK Intensity Scale (*see Annex D*) corresponding to VI (or less), VII, VIII and IX (and above) for Seismic Zones II, III, IV and V, respectively. Seismic Zone Factors for some important towns are given in Annex E.

Structures designed as per this standard are expected to sustain damage during strong earthquake ground shaking. The provisions of this standard are intended for earthquake resistant design of only normal structures (without energy dissipation devices or systems in-built). This standard provides the minimum design force for earthquake resistant design of special structures (such as large and tall buildings, large and high dams, long-span bridges and major industrial projects). Such projects require rigorous, site-specific investigation to arrive at more accurate earthquake hazard assessment.

To control loss of life and property, base isolation or other advanced techniques may be adopted. Currently, the Indian Standard is under formulation for design of such buildings; until the standard becomes available, specialist literature should be consulted for design, detail, installation and maintenance of such buildings.

IS 1893 : 1962 ‘Recommendations for earthquake resistant design of structures’ was first published in 1962, and revised in 1966, 1970, 1975 and 1984. Further, in 2002, the Committee decided to present the provisions for different types of structures in separate parts, to keep abreast with rapid developments and extensive research carried out in earthquake-resistant design of various structures. Thus, IS 1893 was split into five parts. The other parts in the series are:

- Part 1 General provisions and buildings
- Part 2 Liquid retaining tanks — Elevated and ground supported
- Part 3 Bridges and retaining walls
- Part 4 Industrial structures, including stack-like structures
- Part 5 Dams and embankments (*to be formulated*)

This standard (Part 1) contains general provisions on earthquake hazard assessment applicable to all buildings and structures covered in Parts 2 to 5. Also, Part 1 contains provisions specific to earthquake-resistant design of buildings. Unless stated otherwise, the provisions in Parts 2 to 5 are to be read necessarily in conjunction with the general provisions as laid down in Part 1.

In this revision, the following changes have been included:

- a) Design spectra are defined for natural period up to 6 s;
- b) Same design response spectra are specified for all buildings, irrespective of the material of construction;

## Indian Standard

# CRITERIA FOR EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT DESIGN OF STRUCTURES

## PART 1 GENERAL PROVISIONS AND BUILDINGS

### (Sixth Revision)

#### 1 SCOPE

**1.1** This standard (Part 1) primarily deals with earthquake hazard assessment for earthquake-resistant design of (1) buildings, (2) liquid retaining structures, (3) bridges, (4) embankments and retaining walls, (5) industrial and stack-like structures, and (6) concrete, masonry and earth dams. Also, this standard (Part 1) deals with earthquake-resistant design of buildings; earthquake-resistant design of the other structures is dealt with in Parts 2 to 5.

**1.2** All structures, like parking structures, security cabins and ancillary structures need to be designed for appropriate earthquake effects as per this standard.

**1.3** Temporary elements, such as scaffolding and temporary excavations, need to be designed as per this standard.

**1.4** This standard does not deal with construction features relating to earthquake-resistant buildings and other structures. For guidance on earthquake-resistant construction of buildings, reference may be made to the latest revisions of the following Indian Standards: IS 4326, IS 13827, IS 13828, IS 13920, IS 13935 and IS 15988.

**1.5** The provisions of this standard are applicable even to critical and special structures, like nuclear power plants, petroleum refinery plants and large dams. For such structures, additional requirements may be imposed based on special studies, such as site-specific hazard assessment. In such cases, the earthquake effects specified by this standard shall be taken as at least the minimum.

#### 2 REFERENCES

The standards listed below contain provisions, which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated below:

IS No.	Title
456:2000	Code of practice for plain and reinforced concrete ( <i>fourth revision</i> )

IS No.	Title
800:2007	Code of practice for general construction in steel ( <i>second revision</i> )
875	Code of practice for design loads (other than earthquake) for buildings and structures:
(Part 1: 1987)	Dead loads — Unit weights of building material and stored materials ( <i>second revision</i> )
(Part 2: 1987)	Imposed loads ( <i>second revision</i> )
(Part 3: 2015)	Wind loads ( <i>third revision</i> )
(Part 4: 1987)	Snow loads ( <i>second revision</i> )
(Part 5: 1987)	Special loads and load combinations ( <i>second revision</i> )
1343:2012	Code of practice for prestressed concrete ( <i>second revision</i> )
1498:1970	Classification and identification of soils for general engineering purposes ( <i>first revision</i> )
1888:1982	Method of load test on soils ( <i>second revision</i> )
1893	Criteria for earthquake resistant design of structures:
(Part 2): 2014	Liquid retaining tanks
(Part 3): 2014	Bridges and retaining walls
(Part 4): 2015	Industrial structures including stack-like structures ( <i>first revision</i> )
1905:1987	Code of practice for structural use of unreinforced masonry ( <i>third revision</i> )
2131:1981	Method of standard penetration test for soils ( <i>first revision</i> )
2809:1972	Glossary of terms and symbols relating to soil engineering ( <i>first revision</i> )
2810:1979	Glossary of terms relating to soil dynamics ( <i>first revision</i> )
2974	Code of practice for design and construction of machine foundations:
(Part 1): 1982	Foundation for reciprocating type machines
(Part 2): 1980	Foundations for impact type machines (Hammer foundations)
(Part 3): 1992	Foundations for rotary type machines (Medium and high frequency)
(Part 4): 1979	Foundations for rotary type machines of low frequency

## IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016

IS No. (Part 5) : 1987	Title
4326 : 2013	Foundations for impact machines other than hammer (Forging and stamping press, pig breaker, drop crusher and jolter)
6403 : 1981	Earthquake resistant design and construction of buildings—Code of Practice ( <i>third revision</i> )
13827 : 1993	Code of practice for determination of bearing capacity of shallow foundations ( <i>first revision</i> )
13828 : 1993	Improving earthquake resistance of earthen buildings — Guidelines
13920 : 2016	Improving earthquake resistance of low strength masonry buildings — Guidelines
13935 : 1993	Ductile design and detailing of reinforced concrete structures subjected to seismic forces — Code of practice ( <i>first revision</i> )
15988 : 2013	Repair and seismic strengthening of buildings — Guidelines
SP 7 : 2016 (Part 6/Sec 4)	Seismic evaluation and strengthening of existing reinforced concrete building — Guidelines
SP 7 : 2016 (Part 6/Sec 4)	National Building Code of India: Part 6 Structural Design, Section 4 Masonry

### 3 TERMINOLOGY

For the purpose of this standard, definitions given below shall apply to all structures, in general. For definition of terms pertaining to soil mechanics and soil dynamics, reference may be made to IS 2809 and IS 2810, and for definition of terms pertaining to ‘loads’, reference may be made to IS 875 (Parts 1 to 5).

**3.1 Closely-Spaced Modes** — Closely-spaced modes of a structure are those of the natural modes of oscillation of a structure, whose natural frequencies differ from each other by 10 percent or less of the lower frequency.

**3.2 Critical Damping** — The damping beyond which the free vibration motion will not be oscillatory.

**3.3 Damping** — The effect of internal friction, inelasticity of materials, slipping, sliding, etc, in reducing the amplitude of oscillation; it is expressed as a fraction of critical damping (*see 3.2*).

**3.4 Design Acceleration Spectrum** — Design acceleration spectrum refers to an average smoothed graph of maximum acceleration as a function of natural frequency or natural period of oscillation for a specified damping ratio for the expected earthquake excitations at the base of a single degree of freedom system.

**3.5 Design Horizontal Acceleration Coefficient ( $A_h$ )** — It is a horizontal acceleration coefficient that shall be used for design of structures.

**3.6 Design Horizontal Force** — It is the horizontal seismic force prescribed by this standard that shall be used to design a structure.

**3.7 Ductility** — It is the capacity of a structure (or its members) to undergo large inelastic deformations without significant loss of strength or stiffness.

**3.8 Epicentre** — It is the geographical point on the surface of earth vertically above the point of origin of the earthquake.

**3.9 Floor Response Spectrum** — It is the response spectrum (for a chosen material damping value) of the time history of the shaking generated at a floor of a structure, when the structure is subjected to a given earthquake ground motion at its base.

**3.10 Importance Factor ( $I$ )** — It is a factor used to estimate design seismic force depending on the functional use of the structure, characterized by hazardous consequences of its failure, post-earthquake functional needs, historical value, or economic importance.

**3.11 Intensity of Earthquake** — It is the measure of the strength of ground shaking manifested at a place during the earthquake, and is indicated by a roman capital numeral on the MSK scale of seismic intensity (*see Annex D*).

**3.12 Liquefaction** — It is a state primarily in saturated cohesionless soils wherein the effective shear strength is reduced to negligible value for all engineering purposes, when the pore pressure approaches the total confining pressure during earthquake shaking. In this condition, the soil tends to behave like a fluid mass (*see Annex F*).

**3.13 Lithological Features** — Features that reflect the nature of the geological formation of the earth’s crust above bed rock characterized on the basis of structure, mineralogical composition and grain size.

**3.14 Modal Mass ( $M_k$ ) in Mode ( $k$ ) of a Structure** — It is a part of the total seismic mass of the structure that is effective in natural mode  $k$  of oscillation during horizontal or vertical ground motion.

**3.15 Modal Participation Factor ( $P_k$ ) in Mode ( $k$ ) of a Structure** — The amount by which natural mode  $k$  contributes to overall oscillation of the structure during horizontal or vertical earthquake ground motion. Since the amplitudes of mode shapes can be scaled arbitrarily, the value of this factor depends on the scaling used for defining mode shapes.

**3.16 Modes of Oscillation** — *See 3.19.*

**3.17 Mode Shape Coefficient ( $\phi_{ik}$ )** — It is the spatial

deformation pattern of oscillation along degree of freedom  $i$ , when the structure is oscillating in its natural mode  $k$ . A structure with  $N$  degrees of freedom possesses  $N$  natural periods and  $N$  associated natural mode shapes. These natural mode shapes are together presented in the form of a mode shape matrix  $[\phi]$ , in which each column represents one natural mode shape. The element  $\phi_{ik}$  is called the mode shape coefficient associated with degree of freedom  $i$ , when the structure is oscillating in mode  $k$ .

**3.18 Natural Period ( $T_1$ ) in Mode ( $k$ ) of Oscillation** — The time taken (in second) by the structure to complete one cycle of oscillation in its natural mode  $k$  of oscillation.

**3.18.1 Fundamental Lateral Translational Natural Period ( $T_1$ )** — It is the longest time taken (in second) by the structure to complete one cycle of oscillation in its lateral translational mode of oscillation in the considered direction of earthquake shaking. This mode of oscillation is called the fundamental lateral translational natural mode of oscillation. A three-dimensional model of a structure will have one such fundamental lateral translational mode of oscillation along each of the two orthogonal plan directions.

**3.19 Normal Mode of Oscillation** — The mode of oscillation in which there are special undamped free oscillations in which all points on the structure oscillate harmonically at the same frequency (or period), such that all these points reach their individual maximum responses simultaneously.

**3.20 Peak Ground Acceleration** — It is the maximum acceleration of the ground in a given direction of ground shaking. Here, the acceleration refers to that of the horizontal motion, unless specified otherwise.

**3.21 Response Reduction Factor ( $R$ )** — It is the factor by which the base shear induced in a structure, if it were to remain elastic, is reduced to obtain the design base shear. It depends on the perceived seismic damage performance of the structure, characterized by ductile or brittle deformations, redundancy in the structure, or overstrength inherent in the design process.

**3.22 Response Spectrum** — It is the representation of maximum responses of a spectrum of idealized single degree freedom systems of different natural periods but having the same damping, under the action of the same earthquake ground motion at their bases. The response referred to here can be maximum absolute acceleration, maximum relative velocity, or maximum relative displacement.

**3.23 Response Acceleration Coefficient of a Structure ( $S_a/g$ )** — It is a factor denoting the normalized design acceleration spectrum value to be considered for the

design of structures subjected to earthquake ground shaking; this value depends on the natural period of oscillation of the structure and damping to be considered in the design of the structure.

**3.24 Seismic Mass of a Floor** — It is the seismic weight of the floor divided by acceleration due to gravity.

**3.25 Seismic Mass of a Structure** — It is the seismic weight of a structure divided by acceleration due to gravity.

**3.26 Seismic Weight of a Floor ( $W$ )** — It is the sum of dead load of the floor, appropriate contributions of weights of columns, walls and any other permanent elements from the storeys above and below, finishes and services, and appropriate amounts of specified imposed load on the floor.

**3.27 Seismic Weight of a Structure ( $W$ )** — It is the sum of seismic weights of all floors.

**3.28 Seismic Zone Factor ( $Z$ )** — It is the value of peak ground acceleration considered by this standard for the design of structures located in each seismic zone.

**3.29 Time History Analysis** — It is an analysis of the dynamic response of the structure at each instant of time, when its base is subjected to a specific ground motion time history.

## 4 SPECIAL TERMINOLOGY FOR BUILDINGS

**4.1** The definitions given below shall apply for the purpose of earthquake resistant design of buildings, as enumerated in this standard.

**4.2 Base** — It is the level at which inertia forces generated in the building are considered to be transferred to the ground through the foundation. For buildings with basements, it is considered at the bottommost basement level. For buildings resting on,

- pile foundations, it is considered to be at the top of pile cap;
- raft, it is considered to be at the top of raft; and
- footings, it is considered to be at the top of the footing.

For buildings with combined types of foundation, the base is considered as the bottom-most level of the bases of the constituent individual foundations as per definitions above.

**4.3 Base Dimension ( $d$ )** — It is the dimension (in metre) of the base of the building along a direction of shaking.

**4.4 Centre of Mass ( $CM$ )** — The point in the floor of a building through which the resultant of the inertia force of the floor is considered to act during earthquake

## IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016

shaking. Unless otherwise stated, the inertia force considered is that associated with the horizontal shaking of the building.

### 4.5 Centre of Resistance (CR)

**4.5.1 For Single Storey Buildings** — It is the point on the roof of a building through which when the resultant internal resistance acts, the building undergoes,

- a) pure translation in the horizontal direction; and
- b) no twist about vertical axis passing through the CR.

**4.5.2 For Multi-Storey Buildings** — It is the set of points on the horizontal floors of a multi-storey building through which, when the resultant incremental internal resistances across those floors act, all floors of the building undergo,

- a) pure translation in the horizontal direction; and
- b) no twist about vertical axis passing through the CR.

### 4.6 Eccentricity

**4.6.1 Design Eccentricity ( $e_{di}$ )** — It is the value of eccentricity to be used for floor  $i$  in calculations of design torsion effects.

**4.6.2 Static Eccentricity ( $e_{si}$ )** — It is the distance between centre of mass (CM) and centre of resistance (CR) of floor  $i$ .

**4.7 Design Seismic Base Shear ( $V_B$ )** — It is the horizontal lateral force in the considered direction of earthquake shaking that the structure shall be designed for.

**4.8 Diaphragm** — It is a horizontal or nearly horizontal structural system (for example, reinforced concrete floors and horizontal bracing systems), which transmits lateral forces to vertical elements connected to it.

**4.9 Height of Floor ( $h_i$ )** — It is the difference in vertical elevations (in metre) of the base of the building and top of floor  $i$  of the building.

**4.10 Height of Building ( $h$ )** — It is the height of building (in metre) from its base to top of roof level,

- a) excluding the height of basement storeys, if basement walls are connected with the ground floor slab or basement walls are fitted between the building columns, but
- b) including the height of basement storeys, if basement walls are not connected with the ground floor slab and basement walls are not fitted between the building columns.

In step-back buildings, it shall be taken as the average of heights of all steps from the base, weighted with their corresponding floor areas. And, in buildings founded on hill slopes, it shall be taken as the height of the roof from the top of the highest footing level or pile cap level.

**4.11 Horizontal Bracing System** — It is a horizontal truss system that serves the same function as a diaphragm.

**4.12 Joints** — These are portions of columns that are common to beams/braces and columns, which frame into columns.

**4.13 Lateral Force Resisting System** — It is part of the structural system, and consists of all structural members that resist lateral inertia forces induced in the building during earthquake shaking.

**4.14 Moment-Resisting Frame** — It is an assembly of beams and columns that resist induced and externally applied forces primarily by flexure.

**4.14.1 Ordinary Moment-Resisting Frame (OMRF)** — It is a moment-resisting frame designed and detailed as per IS 456 or IS 800, but not meeting special detailing requirements for ductile behaviour as per IS 13920 or IS 800, respectively.

**4.14.2 Special Moment-Resisting Frame (SMRF)** — It is a moment-resisting frame designed and detailed as per IS 456 or IS 800, and meeting special detailing requirements for ductile behaviour as per IS 13920 or IS 800, respectively.

**4.15 Number of Storeys ( $n$ )** — It is the number of levels of a building above the base at which mass is present in substantive amounts. This,

- a) excludes the basement storeys, where basement walls are connected with the ground floor deck or fitted between the building columns; and
- b) includes the basement storeys, when they are not so connected.

**4.16 Core Structural Walls, Perimeter Columns, Outriggers and Belt Truss System** — It is a structural system comprising of a core of structural walls and perimeter columns, resisting the vertical and lateral loads, with

- a) the core structural walls connected to select perimeter column element(s) (often termed outrigger columns) by deep beam elements, known as outriggers, at discrete locations along the height of the building; and
- b) the outrigger columns connected by deep beam elements (often known as belt truss),

typically at the same level as the outrigger elements.

A structure with this structural system has enhanced lateral stiffness, wherein core structural walls and perimeter columns are mobilized to act with each other through the outriggers, and the perimeter columns themselves through the belt truss. The global lateral stiffness is sensitive to: flexural stiffness of the core element, the flexural stiffness of the outrigger element(s), the axial stiffness of the outrigger column(s), and the flexural stiffness of the outrigger elements connecting the core structural walls to the perimeter columns.

**4.17 Principal Plan Axes** — These are two mutually perpendicular horizontal directions in plan of a building along which the geometry of the building is oriented.

**4.18 P-Δ Effect** — It is the secondary effect on shear forces and bending moments of lateral force resisting elements generated under the action of the vertical loads, interacting with the lateral displacement of building resulting from seismic effects.

**4.19 RC Structural Wall** — It is a wall designed to resist lateral forces acting in its own plane.

**4.19.1 Ordinary RC Structural Wall** — It is a reinforced concrete (RC) structural wall designed and detailed as per IS 456, but not meeting special detailing requirements for ductile behaviour as per IS 13920.

**4.19.2 Special RC Structural Wall** — It is a RC structural wall designed and detailed as per IS 13920, and meeting special detailing requirements for ductile behaviour as per IS 13920.

**4.20 Storey** — It is the space between two adjacent floors.

**4.20.1 Soft Storey** — It is one in which the lateral stiffness is less than that in the storey above. The storey lateral stiffness is the total stiffness of all seismic force resisting elements resisting lateral earthquake shaking effects in the considered direction.

**4.20.2 Weak Storey** — It is one in which the storey lateral strength [cumulative design shear strength of all structural members other than that of unreinforced masonry (URM) infills] is less than that in the storey above. The storey lateral strength is the total strength of all seismic force resisting elements sharing the lateral storey shear in the considered direction.

**4.21 Storey Drift** — It is the relative displacement between the floors above and/or below the storey under consideration.

**4.22 Storey Shear ( $V_i$ )** — It is the sum of design lateral forces at all levels above the storey  $i$  under consideration.

**4.23 Storey Lateral Shear Strength ( $S_i$ )** — It is the total lateral strength of all lateral force resisting elements in the storey considered in a principal plan direction of the building.

**4.24 Storey Lateral Translational Stiffness ( $K_i$ )** — It is the total lateral translational stiffness of all lateral force resisting elements in the storey considered in a principal plan direction of the building.

**4.25 RC Structural Wall Plan Density ( $\rho_{sw}$ )** — It is the ratio of the cross-sectional area at the plinth level of RC structural walls resisting the lateral load and the plinth of the building, expressed as a percentage.

## 5 SYMBOLS

The symbols and notations given below apply to the provisions of this standard:

$A_h$	Design horizontal earthquake acceleration coefficient
$A_k$	Design horizontal earthquake acceleration spectrum value for mode $k$ of oscillation
$b_i$	Plan dimension of floor $i$ of the building, perpendicular to direction of earthquake shaking
$C$	Index for the closely-spaced modes
$d$	Base dimension (in metre) of the building in the direction in which the earthquake shaking is considered
$DL$	Response quantity due to dead load
$e_{di}$	Design eccentricity to be used at floor $i$ calculated as per 7.8.2
$e_{si}$	Static eccentricity at floor $i$ defined as the distance between centre of mass and centre of resistance
$EL_X$	Response quantity due to earthquake load for horizontal shaking along $X$ -direction
$EL_Y$	Response quantity due to earthquake load for horizontal shaking along $Y$ -direction
$EL_Z$	Response quantity due to earthquake load for horizontal shaking along $Z$ -direction
$F_{\text{roof}}$	Design lateral forces at the roof due to all modes considered
$F_i$	Design lateral forces at the floor $i$ due to all modes considered
$g$	Acceleration due to gravity
$h$	Height (in metre) of structure
$h_i$	Height measured from the base of the building to floor $i$
$I$	Importance factor
$IL$	Response quantity due to imposed load
$K_i$	Lateral translational stiffness of storey $i$

## IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016

$L$	Dimension of a building in a considered direction
$M_k$	Modal mass of mode $k$
$n$	Number of storeys or floors
$N$	Corrected SPT value for soil
$N_m$	Number of modes to be considered as per 7.7.5.2
$P_k$	Mode participation factor of mode $k$
$Q_i$	Lateral force at floor $i$
$Q_{ik}$	Design lateral force at floor $i$ in mode $k$
$R$	Response reduction factor
$S_a/g$	Design / Response acceleration coefficient for rock or soil sites as given by Fig. 2 and 6.4.2 based on appropriate natural period
$S_i$	Lateral shear strength of storey $i$
$T$	Undamped natural period of oscillation of the structure (in second)
$T_a$	Approximate fundamental period (in second)
$T_k$	Undamped natural period of mode $k$ of oscillation (in second)
$T_1$	Fundamental natural period of oscillation (in second)
$V_B$	Design seismic base shear
$\bar{V}_B$	Design base shear calculated using the approximate fundamental period $T_a$
$V_i$	Peak storey shear force in storey $i$ due to all modes considered
$V_{ik}$	Shear force in storey $i$ in mode $k$
$V_{\text{roof}}$	Peak storey shear force in the top storey due to all modes considered
$W$	Seismic weight of the building
$W_i$	Seismic weight of floor $i$
$Z$	Seismic zone factor
$\phi_{ik}$	Mode shape coefficient at floor $i$ in mode $k$
$\lambda$	Peak response (for example, member forces, displacements, storey forces, storey shears or base reactions) due to all modes considered
$\lambda_k$	Absolute value of maximum response in mode $k$
$\lambda_c$	Absolute value of maximum response in mode $c$ , where mode $c$ is a closely-spaced mode
$\lambda^*$	Peak response due to the closely-spaced modes only
$\rho_{ji}$	Coefficient used in complete quadratic combination (CQC) method while combining responses of modes $i$ and $j$
$\omega_i$	Circular frequency (in rad/s) in mode $i$

## 6 GENERAL PRINCIPLES AND DESIGN CRITERIA

### 6.1 General Principles

#### 6.1.1 Ground Motion

The characteristics (intensity, duration, frequency content, etc) of seismic ground vibrations expected at any site depend on magnitude of earthquake, its focal depth, epicentral distance, characteristics of the path through which the seismic waves travel, and soil strata on which the structure is founded. The random earthquake ground motions, which cause the structure to oscillate, can be resolved in any three mutually perpendicular directions. The predominant direction of ground vibration is usually horizontal.

Effects of earthquake-induced vertical shaking can be significant for overall stability analysis of structures, especially in structures (a) with large spans, and (b) those in which stability is a criterion for design. Reduction in gravity force due to vertical ground motions can be detrimental particularly in prestressed horizontal members, cantilevered members and gravity structures. Hence, special attention shall be paid to effects of vertical ground motion on prestressed or cantilevered beams, girders and slabs.

**6.1.2** The response of a structure to ground vibrations depends on (a) type of foundation; (b) materials, form, size and mode of construction of structures; and (c) duration and characteristics of ground motion. This standard specifies design forces for structures founded on rocks or soils, which do not settle, liquefy or slide due to loss of strength during earthquake ground vibrations.

**6.1.3** Actual forces that appear on structures during earthquakes are much higher than the design forces specified in the standard. Ductility arising from inelastic material behaviour with appropriate design and detailing, and overstrength resulting from the additional reserve strength in structures over and above the design strength are relied upon for the deficit in actual and design lateral loads. In other words, earthquake resistant design as per this standard relies on inelastic behaviour of structures. But, the maximum ductility that can be realized in structures is limited. Therefore, structures shall be designed for at least the minimum design lateral force specified in this standard.

**6.1.4** Members and connections of reinforced and prestressed concrete structures shall be designed (as per IS 456 and IS 1343) such that premature failure does not occur due to shear or bond. Some provisions for appropriate ductile detailing of RC members are given in IS 13920. Members and their connections of steel structures should be so proportioned that high ductility is obtained in the structure, avoiding premature failure due to elastic or inelastic buckling of any type. Some

provisions for appropriate ductile detailing of steel members are given in IS 800.

**6.1.5** The soil-structure interaction refers to effects of the flexibility of supporting soil-foundation system on the response of structure. Soil-structure interaction may not be considered in the seismic analysis of structures supported on rock or rock-like material at shallow depth.

**6.1.6** Equipment and other systems, which are supported at various floor levels of a structure, will be subjected to different motions at their support points. In such cases, it may be necessary to obtain floor response spectra for design of equipment and its supports. For details, reference may be made to IS 1893 (Part 4).

#### **6.1.7 Additions to Existing Structures**

Additions shall be made to existing structures only as follows:

- a) An addition that is structurally independent from an existing structure shall be designed and constructed in accordance with the seismic requirements for new structures.
- b) An addition that is structurally connected to an existing structure shall be designed and constructed such that the entire structure conforms to the seismic force resistance requirements for new structures, unless the following three conditions are complied with:
  - 1) Addition shall comply with the requirements for new structures,
  - 2) Addition shall not increase the seismic forces in any structural element of the existing structures by more than 5 percent, unless the capacity of the element subject to the increased force is still in compliance with this standard, and
  - 3) Addition shall not decrease the seismic resistance of any structural element of the existing structure unless reduced resistance is equal to or greater than that required for new structures.

#### **6.1.8 Change in Occupancy**

When a change of occupancy results in a structure being re-classified to a higher importance factor ( $I$ ), the structure shall conform to seismic requirements laid down for new structures with the higher importance factor.

### **6.2 Assumptions**

The following assumptions shall be made in the earthquake-resistant design of structures:

- a) Earthquake ground motions are complex and

irregular, consisting of several frequencies and of varying amplitudes each lasting for a small duration. Therefore, usually, resonance of the type as visualized under steady-state sinusoidal excitations will not occur, as it would need time to build up such amplitudes. But, there are exceptions where resonance-like conditions have been seen to occur between long distance waves and tall structures founded on deep soft soils.

- b) Earthquake is not likely to occur simultaneously with high wind, maximum flood or maximum sea waves.
- c) The values of elastic modulus of materials, wherever required, will be taken as for static analysis, unless more definite values are available for use in dynamic conditions [see IS 456, IS 800, IS 1343, IS 1905 and IS 2974 (Parts 1 to 5)].

### **6.3 Load Combinations and Increase in Permissible Stresses**

#### **6.3.1 Load Combinations**

The load combinations shall be considered as specified in respective standards due to all load effects mentioned therein. In addition, those specified in this standard shall be applicable, which include earthquake effects.

**6.3.1.1** Even when load combinations that do not contain earthquake effects, indicate larger demands than combinations including them, the provisions shall be adopted related to design, ductile detailing and construction relevant for earthquake conditions, which are given in this standard, IS 13920 and IS 800.

#### **6.3.2 Design Horizontal Earthquake Load**

**6.3.2.1** When lateral load resisting elements are oriented along two mutually orthogonal horizontal directions, structure shall be designed for effects due to full design earthquake load in one horizontal direction at a time, and not in both directions simultaneously.

**6.3.2.2** When lateral load resisting elements are not oriented along mutually orthogonal horizontal directions [as per 7.1 and Table 5(e)], structure shall be designed for the simultaneous effects due to full design earthquake load in one horizontal direction plus 30 percent of design earthquake load along the other horizontal direction. Thus, structure should be designed for the following sets of combinations of earthquake effects:

- a)  $\pm EL_X \pm 0.3 EL_Y$ , and
- b)  $\pm 0.3 EL_X \pm EL_Y$ ,

where X and Y are two orthogonal horizontal plan

## IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016

directions. Thus, EL in the load combinations given in **6.3.1** shall be replaced by  $(EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_y)$  or  $(EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_x)$ . Hence, the sets of load combinations to be considered shall be as given below:

- 1)  $1.2 [DL + IL \pm (EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_y)]$  and  $1.2 [DL + IL \pm (EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_x)]$ ;
- 2)  $1.5 [DL \pm (EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_y)]$  and  $1.5 [DL \pm (EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_x)]$ ; and
- 3)  $0.9 DL \pm 1.5 (EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_y)$  and  $0.9 DL \pm 1.5 (EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_x)$ .

### 6.3.3 Design Vertical Earthquake Effects

**6.3.3.1** Effects due to vertical earthquake shaking shall be considered when any of the following conditions apply:

- a) Structure is located in Seismic Zone IV or V;
- b) Structure has vertical or plan irregularities;
- c) Structure is rested on soft soil;
- d) Bridges;
- e) Structure has long spans; or
- f) Structure has large horizontal overhangs of structural members or sub-systems.

**6.3.3.2** When effects due to vertical earthquake shaking are to be considered, the design vertical force shall be calculated for vertical ground motion as detailed in **6.4.6**.

**6.3.3.3** Where both horizontal and vertical seismic forces are taken into account, load combination specified in **6.3.4** shall be considered.

### 6.3.4 Combinations to Account for Three Directional Earthquake Ground Shaking

**6.3.4.1** When responses from the three earthquake components are to be considered, the responses due to each component may be combined using the assumption that when the maximum response from one component occurs, the responses from the other two components are 30 percent each of their maximum. All possible combinations of three components ( $EL_x$ ,  $EL_y$  and  $EL_z$ ) including variations in sign (plus or minus) shall be considered. Thus, the structure should be designed for the following sets of combinations of earthquake load effects:

- a)  $\pm EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_z$ ,
- b)  $\pm EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_z \pm 0.3 EL_x$ , and
- c)  $\pm EL_z \pm 0.3 EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_y$ ,

where  $X$  and  $Y$  are orthogonal plan directions and  $Z$  vertical direction. Thus,  $EL$  in the above referred load combinations shall be replaced by  $(EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_z)$ ,  $(EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_z \pm 0.3 EL_x)$  or  $(EL_z \pm 0.3 EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_y)$ .

$0.3 EL_z$ ),  $(EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_z \pm 0.3 EL_x)$  or  $(EL_z \pm 0.3 EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_y)$ . This implies that the sets of load combinations involving earthquake effects to be considered shall be as given below:

- 1)  $1.2 [DL + IL \pm (EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_z)]$  and  $1.2 [DL + IL \pm (EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_z)]$ ;
- 2)  $1.5 [DL \pm (EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_z)]$  and  $1.5 [DL \pm (EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_z)]$ ; and
- 3)  $0.9 DL \pm 1.5 (EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_z)$  and  $0.9 DL \pm 1.5 (EL_y \pm 0.3 EL_x \pm 0.3 EL_z)$ .

**6.3.4.2** As an alternative to the procedure in **6.3.4.1**, the net response ( $EL$ ) due to the combined effect of the three components can be obtained by:

$$EL = \sqrt{(EL_x)^2 + (EL_y)^2 + (EL_z)^2}$$

Caution may be exercised on loss of sign especially of the axial force, shear force and bending moment quantities, when this procedure is used; it can lead to grossly uneconomical design of structures.

**6.3.4.3** Procedure for combining shaking effects given by **6.3.4.1** and **6.3.4.2** apply to the same response quantity (say, bending moment in a column about its major axis, or storey shear force in a frame) due to different components of the ground motion.

**6.3.4.4** When components corresponding to only two ground motion components (say one horizontal and one vertical, or only two horizontal) are combined, the equations in **6.3.4.1** and **6.3.4.2** should be modified by deleting the term representing the response due to the component of motion not being considered.

### 6.3.5 Increase in Net Pressure on Soils in Design of Foundations

**6.3.5.1** In the design of foundations, unfactored loads shall be combined in line with IS 2974, while assessing the bearing pressure in soils.

**6.3.5.2** When earthquake forces are included, net bearing pressure in soils can be increased as per Table 1, depending on type of foundation and type of soil. For determining the type of soil for this purpose, soils shall be classified in four types as given in Table 2. In soft soils, no increase shall be applied in bearing pressure, because settlements cannot be restricted by increasing bearing pressure.

**6.3.5.3** In soil deposits consisting of submerged loose sands and soils falling under classification SP with corrected standard penetration test values  $N$ , less than 15 in Seismic Zones III, IV and V, and less than 10 in Seismic Zone II, the shaking caused by earthquake

ground motion may cause liquefaction or excessive total and differential settlements. Such sites should be avoided preferably for locating new structures, and should be avoided for locating structures of important projects. Otherwise, settlements need to be investigated, and appropriate methods adopted of compaction or stabilization to achieve  $N$  values indicated in Note 4 of Table 1. Alternatively, deep pile foundations may be adopted and anchored at depths well below the underlying soil layers, which are likely to liquefy or undergo excessive settlements.

Also, marine clay layers and other sensitive clay layers are known to liquefy, undergo excessive settlements or even collapse, owing to low shear strength of the said soil; such soils will need special treatment according to site condition (see Table 2).

A simplified method is given in Annex F, for evaluation of liquefaction potential.

#### 6.4 Design Acceleration Spectrum

**6.4.1** For the purpose of determining design seismic force, the country is classified into four seismic zones as shown in Fig. 1.

**6.4.2** The design horizontal seismic coefficient  $A_h$  for a structure shall be determined by:

$$A_h = \frac{\left(\frac{Z}{2}\right)\left(\frac{S_a}{g}\right)}{\left(\frac{R}{I}\right)}$$

where

$Z$  = seismic zone factor given in Table 3;

$I$  = importance factor given in IS 1893 (Parts 1 to 5) for the corresponding structures; when not specified, the minimum values of  $I$  shall be,

- a) 1.5 for critical and lifeline structures;
- b) 1.2 for business continuity structures; and
- c) 1.0 for the rest.

$R$  = response reduction factor given in IS 1893 (Parts 1 to 5) for the corresponding structures; and

$\left(\frac{S_a}{g}\right)$  = design acceleration coefficient for different soil types, normalized with peak ground acceleration, corresponding to natural period  $T$  of structure (considering soil-structure interaction, if required). It shall be as given in Parts 1 to 5 of IS 1893 for the corresponding structures; when not specified, it shall be taken as that corresponding to 5 percent

damping, given by expressions below:

a) For use in equivalent static method [see Fig. 2(a)]:

$$\frac{S_a}{g} = \begin{cases} \text{For rocky or hard soil sites} & \begin{cases} 2.5 & 0 < T < 0.40 \text{ s} \\ \frac{1}{T} & 0.40 \text{ s} < T < 4.00 \text{ s} \\ 0.25 & T > 4.00 \text{ s} \end{cases} \\ \text{For medium stiff soil sites} & \begin{cases} 2.5 & 0 < T < 0.55 \text{ s} \\ \frac{1.36}{T} & 0.55 \text{ s} < T < 4.00 \text{ s} \\ 0.34 & T > 4.00 \text{ s} \end{cases} \\ \text{For soft soil sites} & \begin{cases} 2.5 & 0 < T < 0.67 \text{ s} \\ \frac{1.67}{T} & 0.67 \text{ s} < T < 4.00 \text{ s} \\ 0.42 & T > 4.00 \text{ s} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

b) For use in response spectrum method [see Fig. 2(b)]:

$$\frac{S_a}{g} = \begin{cases} \text{For rocky or hard soil sites} & \begin{cases} 1+15T & T < 0.10 \text{ s} \\ 2.5 & 0.10 \text{ s} < T < 0.40 \text{ s} \\ \frac{1}{T} & 0.40 \text{ s} < T < 4.00 \text{ s} \\ 0.25 & T > 4.00 \text{ s} \end{cases} \\ \text{For medium stiff soil sites} & \begin{cases} 1+15T & T < 0.10 \text{ s} \\ 2.5 & 0.10 \text{ s} < T < 0.55 \text{ s} \\ \frac{1.36}{T} & 0.55 \text{ s} < T < 4.00 \text{ s} \\ 0.34 & T > 4.00 \text{ s} \end{cases} \\ \text{For soft soil sites} & \begin{cases} 1+15T & T < 0.10 \text{ s} \\ 2.5 & 0.10 \text{ s} < T < 0.67 \text{ s} \\ \frac{1.67}{T} & 0.67 \text{ s} < T < 4.00 \text{ s} \\ 0.42 & T > 4.00 \text{ s} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

**6.4.2.1** For determining the correct spectrum to be used in the estimate of  $(S_a/g)$ , the type of soil on which the structure is placed shall be identified by the classification given in Table 4, as:

- a) *Soil type I* — Rock or hard soils;
- b) *Soil type II* — Medium or stiff soils; and
- c) *Soil type III* — Soft soils.

In Table 4, the value of  $N$  to be used shall be the weighted average of  $N$  of soil layers from the existing ground level to 30 m below the existing ground level; here, the  $N$  values of individual layers shall be the corrected values.

**IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016**

**Table 1 Percentage Increase in Net Bearing Pressure and Skin Friction of Soils**  
*(Clause 6.3.5.2)*

Sl No.	Soil Type	Percentage Increase Allowable
(1)	(2)	(3)
i)	Type A: Rock or hard soils	50
ii)	Type B: Medium or stiff soils	25
iii)	Type C: Soft soils	0

**NOTES**

- The net bearing pressure shall be determined in accordance with IS 6403 or IS 1888.
- Only corrected values of  $N$  shall be used.
- If any increase in net bearing pressure has already been permitted for forces other than seismic forces, the increase in allowable bearing pressure, when seismic force is also included, shall not exceed the limits specified above.
- The desirable minimum corrected field values of  $N$  shall be as specified below:

Seismic Zone	Depth (m) below Ground Level	N Values	Remarks
III, IV and V	$\leq 5$	15	For values of depths between 5 m and 10 m, linear interpolation is recommended
	$\geq 10$	25	
II	$\leq 5$	10	
	$\geq 10$	20	

If soils of lower  $N$  values are encountered than those specified in the table above, then suitable ground improvement techniques shall be adopted to achieve these values. Alternately, deep pile foundations should be used, which are anchored in stronger strata, underlying the soil layers that do not meet the requirement.

- Piles should be designed for lateral loads neglecting lateral resistance of those soil layers (if any), which are liable to liquefy.
- Indian Standards IS 1498 and IS 2131 may be referred for soil notation, and corrected  $N$  values shall be determined by applying correction factor  $C_N$  for effective overburden pressure  $\sigma'_{vo}$  using relation  $N = C_N N_1$ , where  $C_N = \sqrt{P_a / \sigma'_{vo}} \leq 1.7$ ,  $P_a$  is the atmospheric pressure and  $N_1$  is the uncorrected SPT value for soil.
- While using this table, the value of  $N$  to be considered shall be determined as below:
  - Isolated footings* — Weighted average of  $N$  of soil layers from depth of founding, to depth of founding plus twice the breadth of footing;
  - Raft foundations* — Weighted average of  $N$  of soil layers from depth of founding, to depth of founding plus twice the breadth of raft;
  - Pile foundation* — Weighted average of  $N$  of soil layers from depth of bottom tip of pile, to depth of bottom tip of pile plus twice the diameter of pile;
  - Group pile foundation* — Weighted average of  $N$  of soil layers from depth of bottom tip of pile group, to depth of bottom tip of pile group plus twice the width of pile group; and
  - Well foundation* — Weighted average of  $N$  of soil layers from depth of bottom tip of well, to depth of bottom tip of well plus twice the width of well.

**Table 2 Classification of Types of Soils for Determining Percentage Increase in Net Bearing Pressure and Skin Friction**  
*(Clause 6.3.5.2)*

Sl No.	Soil Type	Remarks
(1)	(2)	(3)
i)	<b>Type A</b> Rock or hard soils	Well graded gravel (GW) or well graded sand (SW) both with less than 5 percent passing 75 mm sieve (Fines)  Well graded gravel — sand mixtures with or without fines (GW-SW)  Poorly-graded sand (SP) or Clayey sand (SC), all having $N$ above 30  Stiff to hard clays having $N$ above 30, where $N$ is corrected standard penetration test value
ii)	<b>Type B</b> Medium or stiff soils	Poorly graded sands or poorly graded sands with gravel (SP) with little or no fines having $N$ between 10 and 30  Stiff to medium stiff fine-grained soils, like silts of low compressibility (ML) or clays of low compressibility (CL) having $N$ between 10 and 30
iii)	<b>Type C</b> Soft soils	All soft soils other than SP with $N < 10$ . The various possible soils are:  Sils of intermediate compressibility (MI); Sils of high compressibility (MH); Clays of intermediate compressibility (CI); Clays of high compressibility (CH); Silts and clays of intermediate to high compressibility (MI-MH or CI-CH); Silt with clay of intermediate compressibility (MI-CI); and Silt with clay of high compressibility (MH-CH).
iv)	<b>Type D</b> Unstable, collapsible, liquefiable soils	Requires site-specific study and special treatment according to site condition (see 6.3.5.3)

**Table 3 Seismic Zone Factor Z**  
*(Clause 6.4.2)*

Seismic Zone Factor	II	III	IV	V
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Z	0.10	0.16	0.24	0.36


**6.4.3 Effects of design earthquake loads applied on structures can be considered in two ways, namely:**

- Equivalent static method, and
- Dynamic analysis method.

In turn, dynamic analysis can be performed in three ways, namely:

- Response spectrum method,
- Modal time history method, and
- Time history method.

In this standard, Equivalent Static Method, Response Spectrum Method and Time History Method are



Based upon Survey of India Political map printed in 2002.


© Government of India Copyright, 2016

The territorial waters of India extend into the sea to a distance of twelve nautical miles measured from the appropriate baseline.  
The interstate boundaries between Arunachal Pradesh, Assam and Meghalaya shown on this map are as interpreted from the North-Eastern Areas (Reorganization) Act, 1971, but have yet to be verified.  
The state boundaries between Uttarakhand & Uttar Pradesh, Bihar & Jharkhand, and Chhattisgarh & Madhya Pradesh have not been verified by the Governments concerned.  
The administrative headquarters of Chandigarh, Haryana and Punjab are at Chandigarh.  
The external boundaries and coastlines of India agree with the Record/Master Copy certified by Survey of India.  
The responsibility for the correctness of internal details rests with the publisher.


NOTE — Towns falling at the boundary of zones demarcation line between two zones shall be considered in higher zone.

FIG. 1 SEISMIC ZONES OF INDIA





2A SPECTRA FOR EQUIVALENT STATIC METHOD



2B SPECTRA FOR RESPONSE SPECTRUM METHOD

FIG. 2 DESIGN ACCELERATION COEFFICIENT ( $S_a/g$ ) (CORRESPONDING TO 5 PERCENT DAMPING)

**Table 4 Classification of Types of Soils for Determining the Spectrum to be Used to Estimate Design Earthquake Force**  
*(Clause 6.4.2.1)*

SI No.	Soil Type	Remarks
(1)	(2)	(3)
i)	I	a) Well graded gravel (GW) or well graded sand (SW) both with less than 5 percent passing 75 $\mu\text{m}$ sieve (Fines) Rock or Hard soils b) Well graded gravel-sand mixtures with or without fines (GW-SW) c) Poorly graded sand (SP) or clayey sand (SC), all having $N$ above 30 d) Stiff to hard clays having $N$ above 30, where $N$ is standard penetration test value
ii)	II	a) Poorly graded sands or poorly graded sands with gravel (SP) with little or no fines having $N$ between 10 and 30 b) Stiff to medium stiff fine-grained soils, like silts of low compressibility (ML) or clays of low compressibility (CL) having $N$ between 10 and 30
iii)	III	All soft soils other than SP with $N < 10$ . The various possible soils are: a) Silts of intermediate compressibility (MI); b) Silts of high compressibility (MH); c) Clays of intermediate compressibility (CI); d) Clays of high compressibility (CH); e) Silts and clays of intermediate to high compressibility (MI-MH or CI-CH); f) Silt with clay of intermediate compressibility (MI-CI); and g) Silt with clay of high compressibility (MH-CH).

## IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016

adopted. Equivalent static method may be used for analysis of regular structures with approximate natural period  $T_a$  less than 0.4 s.

**6.4.3.1** For structural analysis, the moment of inertia shall be taken as:

- a) In RC and masonry structures: 70 percent of  $I_{gross}$  of columns, and 35 percent of  $I_{gross}$  of beams; and
- b) In steel structures:  $I_{gross}$  of both beams and columns.

**6.4.4** Where a number of modes are to be considered in response spectrum method,  $A_h$  as defined in **6.4.2** for each mode  $k$  shall be determined using natural period  $T_k$  of oscillation of that mode.

**6.4.5** For underground structures and buildings whose base is located at depths of 30 m or more,  $A_h$  at the base shall be taken as half the value obtained from **6.4.2**. This reduced value shall be used only for estimating inertia effects due to masses at the corresponding levels below the ground; the inertia effects for the above ground portion of the building shall be estimated based on the unreduced value of  $A_h$ . For estimating inertia effects due to masses of structures and foundations placed between the ground level and 30 m depth, the design horizontal acceleration spectrum value shall be linearly interpolated between  $A_h$  and  $0.5 A_h$ , where  $A_h$  is as specified in **6.4.2**.

**6.4.6** The design seismic acceleration spectral value  $A_v$  or vertical motions shall be taken as:

$$A_v = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{Z}{2} \right) (2.5) & \text{For buildings governed by IS 1893 (Part 1)} \\ \left( \frac{R}{I} \right) \\ \left( \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{Z}{2} \right) (2.5) & \text{For liquid retaining tanks governed by IS 1893 (Part 2)} \\ \left( \frac{R}{I} \right) \\ \left( \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{Z}{2} \right) \left( \frac{S_a}{g} \right) & \text{For bridges governed by IS 1893 (Part 3)} \\ \left( \frac{R}{I} \right) \\ \left( \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{Z}{2} \right) \left( \frac{S_a}{g} \right) & \text{For industrial structures governed by IS 1893 (Part 4)} \\ \left( \frac{R}{I} \right) \end{cases}$$

The value of  $S_a/g$  shall be based on natural period  $T$  corresponding to the first vertical mode of oscillation, using **6.4.2**.

**6.4.7** When design acceleration spectrum is developed specific to a project site, the same may be used for design of structures of the project. In such cases, effects of the site-specific spectrum shall not be less than those arising out of the design spectrum specified in this standard.

## 7 BUILDINGS

The four main desirable attributes of an earthquake resistant building are:

- a) Robust structural configuration,
- b) At least a minimum elastic lateral stiffness,
- c) At least a minimum lateral strength, and
- d) Adequate ductility.

### 7.1 Regular and Irregular Configurations

Buildings with simple regular geometry and uniformly distributed mass and stiffness in plan and in elevation, suffer much less damage, than buildings with irregular configurations. All efforts shall be made to eliminate irregularities by modifying architectural planning and structural configurations. A building shall be considered to be irregular for the purposes of this standard, even if any one of the conditions given in Tables 5 and 6 is applicable. Limits on irregularities for Seismic Zones III, IV and V and special requirements are laid out in Tables 5 and 6.

**Table 5 Definitions of Irregular Buildings – Plan Irregularities (see Fig. 3)**  
*(Clause 7.1)*

SI No. (1)	Type of Plan Irregularity (2)
i)	<b>Torsional Irregularity</b> Usually, a well-proportioned building does not twist about its vertical axis, when <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) the stiffness distribution of the vertical elements resisting lateral loads is balanced in plan according to the distribution of mass in plan (at each storey level); and</li> <li>b) the floor slabs are stiff in their own plane (this happens when its plan aspect ratio is less than 3)</li> </ul> A building is said to be torsionally irregular, when, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) the maximum horizontal displacement of any floor in the direction of the lateral force at one end of the floor is more than 1.5 times its minimum horizontal displacement at the far end of the same floor in that direction; and</li> <li>2) the natural period corresponding to the fundamental torsional mode of oscillation is more than those of the first two translational modes of oscillation along each principal plan directions</li> </ul> <i>In torsionally irregular buildings, when the ratio of maximum horizontal displacement at one end and the minimum horizontal displacement at the other end is,</i>








FIG. 3 DEFINITIONS OF IRREGULAR BUILDINGS — PLAN IRREGULARITIES

**IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016**

**Table 5 — (Concluded)**

i)	<i>in the range 1.5 – 2.0, (a) the building configuration shall be revised to ensure that the natural period of the fundamental torsional mode of oscillation shall be smaller than those of the first two translational modes along each of the principal plan directions, and then (b) three dimensional dynamic analysis method shall be adopted; and</i>
ii)	<b>Re-entrant Corners</b>  A building is said to have a re-entrant corner in any plan direction, when its structural configuration in plan has a projection of size greater than 15 percent of its overall plan dimension in that direction  <i>In buildings with re-entrant corners, three-dimensional dynamic analysis method shall be adopted.</i>
iii)	<b>Floor Slabs having Excessive Cut-Outs or Openings</b>  Openings in slabs result in flexible diaphragm behaviour, and hence the lateral shear force is not shared by the frames and/or vertical members in proportion to their lateral translational stiffness. The problem is particularly accentuated when the opening is close to the edge of the slab. A building is said to have discontinuity in their in-plane stiffness, when floor slabs have cut-outs or openings of area more than 50 percent of the full area of the floor slab  <i>In buildings with discontinuity in their in-plane stiffness, if the area of the geometric cut-out is,</i> a) <i>less than or equal to 50 percent, the floor slab shall be taken as rigid or flexible depending on the location of and size of openings; and</i> b) <i>more than 50 percent, the floor slab shall be taken as flexible.</i>
iv)	<b>Out-of-Plane Offsets in Vertical Elements</b>  Out-of-plane offsets in vertical elements resisting lateral loads cause discontinuities and detours in the load path, which is known to be detrimental to the earthquake safety of the building. A building is said to have out-of-plane offset in vertical elements, when structural walls or frames are moved out of plane in any storey along the height of the building  <i>In a building with out-of-plane offsets in vertical elements,</i> a) <i>specialist literature shall be referred for design of such a building, if the building is located in Seismic Zone II; and</i> b) <i>the following two conditions shall be satisfied, if the building is located in Seismic Zones III, IV and V:</i> 1) <i>Lateral drift shall be less than 0.2 percent in the storey having the offset and in the storeys below; and</i> 2) <i>Specialist literature shall be referred for removing the irregularity arising due to out-of-plane offsets in vertical elements.</i>
v)	<b>Non-Parallel Lateral Force System</b>  Buildings undergo complex earthquake behaviour and hence damage, when they do not have lateral force resisting systems oriented along two plan directions that are orthogonal to each other. A building is said to have non-parallel system when the vertically oriented structural systems resisting lateral forces are not oriented along the two principal orthogonal axes in plan  <i>Buildings with non-parallel lateral force resisting system shall be analyzed for load combinations mentioned in 6.3.2.2 or 6.3.4.1.</i>

**Table 6 Definition of Irregular Buildings – Vertical Irregularities (see Fig. 4)**  
 (Clause 7.1)

Sl No. (1)	Type of Vertical Irregularity (2)
i)	<b>Stiffness Irregularity (Soft Storey)</b> A soft storey is a storey whose lateral stiffness is less than that of the storey above.  <i>The structural plan density (SPD) shall be estimated when unreinforced masonry infills are used. When SPD of masonry infills exceeds 20 percent, the effect of URM infills shall be considered by explicitly modelling the same in structural analysis (as per 7.9). The design forces for RC members shall be larger of that obtained from analysis of:</i> a) <i>Bare frame, and</i> b) <i>Frames with URM infills, using 3D modelling of the structure. In buildings designed considering URM infills, the inter-storey drift shall be limited to 0.2 percent in the storey with stiffening and also in all storeys below.</i>
ii)	<b>Mass Irregularity</b> Mass irregularity shall be considered to exist, when the seismic weight (as per 7.7) of any floor is more than 150 percent of that of the floors below.  <i>In buildings with mass irregularity and located in Seismic Zones III, IV and V, the earthquake effects shall be estimated by Dynamic Analysis Method (as per 7.7).</i>
iii)	<b>Vertical Geometric Irregularity</b> Vertical geometric irregularity shall be considered to exist, when the horizontal dimension of the lateral force resisting system in any storey is more than 125 percent of the storey below.  <i>In buildings with vertical geometric irregularity and located in Seismic Zones III, IV and V, the earthquake effects shall be estimated by Dynamic Analysis Method (as per 7.7).</i>
iv)	<b>In-Plane Discontinuity in Vertical Elements Resisting Lateral Force</b> In-plane discontinuity in vertical elements which are resisting lateral force shall be considered to exist, when in-plane offset of the lateral force resisting elements is greater than 20 percent of the plan length of those elements.  <i>In buildings with in-plane discontinuity and located in Seismic Zones II, the lateral drift of the building under the design lateral force shall be limited to 0.2 percent of the building height; in Seismic Zones III, IV and V, buildings with in-plane discontinuity shall not be permitted.</i>
v)	<b>Strength Irregularity (Weak Storey)</b> A weak storey is a storey whose lateral strength is less than that of the storey above.  <i>In such a case, buildings in Seismic Zones III, IV and V shall be designed such that safety of the building is not jeopardized; also, provisions of 7.10 shall be followed.</i>
vi)	<b>Floating or Stub Columns</b> Such columns are likely to cause concentrated damage in the structure.  <i>This feature is undesirable, and hence should be prohibited, if it is part of or supporting the primary lateral load resisting system.</i>
vii)	<b>Irregular Modes of Oscillation in Two Principal Plan Directions</b> Stiffnesses of beams, columns, braces and structural walls determine the lateral stiffness of a building in each principal plan direction. A building is said to have lateral storey irregularity in a principal plan direction, if

**Table 6 — (Concluded)**

- a) the first three modes contribute less than 65 percent mass participation factor in each principal plan direction, and
  - b) the fundamental lateral natural periods of the building in the two principal plan directions are closer to each other by 10 percent of the larger value.
- In buildings located in Seismic Zones II and III, it shall be ensured that the first three modes together contribute at least 65 percent mass participation factor in each principal plan direction. And, in buildings located in Seismic Zones IV and V, it shall be ensured that,
- 1) the first three modes together contribute at least 65 percent mass participation factor in each principal plan direction, and
  - 2) the fundamental lateral natural periods of the building in the two principal plan directions are away from each other by at least 10 percent of the larger value.

## 7.2 Lateral Force

### 7.2.1 Design Lateral Force


Buildings shall be designed for the design lateral force  $V_B$  given by:

$$V_B = A_h W$$


where  $A_h$  shall be estimated as per 6.4.2, and  $W$  as per 7.4.

### 7.2.2 Minimum Design Lateral Force

Buildings and portions thereof shall be designed and constructed to resist at least the effects of design lateral force specified in 7.2.1. But, regardless of design earthquake forces arrived at as per 7.3.1, buildings shall have lateral load resisting systems capable of resisting a horizontal force not less than  $(V_B)_{\min}$  given in Table 7.




**4A STIFFNESS IRREGULARITY (SOFT STOREY)**




**4B MASS IRREGULARITY**


IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016



4C VERTICAL GEOMETRIC IRREGULARITY



4D IN-PLANE DISCONTINUITY IN VERTICAL ELEMENTS RESISTING LATERAL FORCE



4E STRENGTH IRREGULARITY (WEAK STOREY)

FIG. 4 DEFINITIONS OF IRREGULAR BUILDINGS — VERTICAL IRREGULARITIES

**Table 7 Minimum Design Earthquake Horizontal Lateral Force for Buildings**  
*(Clause 7.2.2)*

Sl No.	Seismic Zone	$\rho$ Percent (3)
(1)	(2)	(3)
i)	II	0.7
ii)	III	1.1
iii)	IV	1.6
iv)	V	2.4

#### 7.2.3 Importance Factor ( $I$ )

In estimating design lateral force  $V_B$  of buildings as per 7.2.1, the importance factor  $I$  of buildings shall be taken as per Table 8.

**Table 8 Importance Factor ( $I$ )**  
*(Clause 7.2.3)*

Sl No.	Structure	$I$ (3)
(1)	(2)	(3)
i)	Important service and community buildings or structures (for example, critical governance buildings, schools), signature buildings, monument buildings, lifeline and emergency buildings (for example, hospital buildings, telephone exchange buildings, television station buildings, radio station buildings, bus station buildings, metro rail buildings and metro rail station buildings), railway stations, airports, food storage buildings (such as warehouses), fuel station buildings, power station buildings, and fire station buildings), and large community hall buildings (for example, cinema halls, shopping malls, assembly halls and subway stations)	1.5
ii)	Residential or commercial buildings [other than those listed in Sl No. (i)] with occupancy more than 200 persons	1.2
iii)	All other buildings	1.0

#### NOTES

- 1 Owners and design engineers of buildings or structures may choose values of importance factor  $I$  more than those mentioned above.
- 2 Buildings or structures covered under Sl No. (iii) may be designed for higher value of importance factor  $I$ , depending on economy and strategy.
- 3 In Sl No. (ii), when a building is composed of more than one structurally independent unit, the occupancy size shall be for each of the structurally independent unit of the building.
- 4 In buildings with mixed occupancies, wherein different  $I$  factors are applicable for the respective occupancies, larger of the importance factor  $I$  values shall be used for estimating the design earthquake force of the building.

#### 7.2.4 Damping Ratio

The value of damping shall be taken as 5 percent of

critical damping for the purposes of estimating  $A_h$  in the design lateral force  $V_B$  of a building as per 7.2.1, irrespective of the material of construction (namely steel, reinforced concrete, masonry, or a combination thereof of these three basic materials) of its lateral load resisting system, considering that buildings experience inelastic deformations under design level earthquake effects, resulting in much higher energy dissipation than that due to initial structural damping in buildings. This value of damping shall be used, irrespective of the method of the structural analysis employed, namely Equivalent Static Method (as per 7.6) or Dynamic Analysis Method (as per 7.7).

#### 7.2.5 Design Acceleration Spectrum

Design acceleration coefficient  $S_a/g$  corresponding to 5 percent damping for different soil types, normalized to peak ground acceleration, corresponding to natural period  $T$  of structure considering soil-structure interaction, irrespective of the material of construction of the structure.  $S_a/g$  shall be as given by expressions in 6.4.2.

#### 7.2.6 Response Reduction Factor ( $R$ )

Response reduction factor, along with damping during extreme shaking and redundancy: (a) influences the nonlinear behaviour of buildings during strong earthquake shaking, and (b) accounts for inherent system ductility, redundancy and overstrength normally available in buildings, if designed and detailed as per this standard and the associated Indian Standards.

For the purpose of design as per this standard, response reduction factor  $R$  for different building systems shall be as given in Table 9. The values of  $R$  shall be used for design of buildings with lateral load resisting elements, and NOT for just the lateral load resisting elements, which are built in isolation.

#### 7.2.7 Dual System

Buildings with dual system consist of moment resisting frames and structural walls (or of moment resisting frames and bracings) such that both of the following conditions are valid:

- a) Two systems are designed to resist total design lateral force in proportion to their lateral stiffness, considering interaction of two systems at all floor levels; and
- b) Moment resisting frames are designed to resist independently at least 25 percent of the design base shear.

### 7.3 Design Imposed Loads for Earthquake Force Calculation

- 7.3.1 For various loading classes specified in IS 875 (Part 2), design seismic force shall be estimated using

## IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016

full dead load plus percentage of imposed load as given in Table 10. The same shall be used in the three-dimensional dynamic analysis of buildings also.

**Table 9 Response Reduction Factor  $R$  for Building Systems**  
*(Clause 7.2.6)*

Sl No. (1)	Lateral Load Resisting System (2)	R (3)
i)	<b>Moment Frame Systems</b>	
a)	RC buildings with ordinary moment resisting frame (OMRF) (see Note 1)	3.0
b)	RC buildings with special moment resisting frame (SMRF)	5.0
c)	Steel buildings with ordinary moment resisting frame (OMRF) (see Note 1)	3.0
d)	Steel buildings with special moment resisting frame (SMRF)	5.0
ii)	<b>Braced Frame Systems</b> (see Note 2)	
a)	Buildings with ordinary braced frame (OBF) having concentric braces	4.0
b)	Buildings with special braced frame (SBF) having concentric braces	4.5
c)	Buildings with special braced frame (SBF) having eccentric braces	5.0
iii)	<b>Structural Wall Systems</b> (see Note 3)	
a)	Load bearing masonry buildings	
1)	Unreinforced masonry (designed as per IS 1905) without horizontal RC seismic bands (see Note 1)	1.5
2)	Unreinforced masonry (designed as per IS 1905) with horizontal RC seismic bands	2.0
3)	Unreinforced masonry (designed as per IS 1905) with horizontal RC seismic bands and vertical reinforcing bars at corners of rooms and jambs of openings (with reinforcement as per IS 4326)	2.5
4)	Reinforced masonry [see SP 7 (Part 6) Section 4]	3.0
5)	Confined masonry	3.0
b)	Buildings with ordinary RC structural walls (see Note 1)	3.0
c)	Buildings with ductile RC structural walls	4.0
iv)	<b>Dual Systems</b> (see Note 3)	
a)	Buildings with ordinary RC structural walls and RC OMRFs (see Note 1)	3.0
b)	Buildings with ordinary RC structural walls and RC SMRFs (see Note 1)	4.0
c)	Buildings with ductile RC structural walls with RC OMRFs (see Note 1)	4.0
d)	Buildings with ductile RC structural walls with RC SMRFs	5.0
v)	<b>Flat Slab – Structural Wall Systems</b> (see Note 4)	
	RC building with the three features given below:	3.0
a)	Ductile RC structural walls (which are designed to resist 100 percent of the design lateral force),	
b)	Perimeter RC SMRFs (which are designed to independently resist 25 percent of the design lateral force), and preferably	
c)	An outrigger and belt truss system connecting the core ductile RC structural walls and the perimeter RC SMRFs (see Note 1).	

### NOTES

1 RC and steel structures in Seismic Zones III, IV and V

shall be designed to be ductile. Hence, this system is not allowed in these seismic zones.

- 2 Eccentric braces shall be used only with SBFs.
- 3 Buildings with structural walls also include buildings having structural walls and moment frames, but where,
  - a) frames are not designed to carry design lateral loads, or
  - b) frames are designed to carry design lateral loads, but do not fulfill the requirements of ‘Dual Systems’.
- 4 In these buildings, (a) punching shear failure shall be avoided, and (b) lateral drift at the roof under design lateral force shall not exceed 0.1 percent.

**7.3.2** For calculation of design seismic forces of buildings, imposed load on roof need not be considered. But, weights of equipment and other permanently fixed facilities should be considered; in such a case, the reductions of imposed loads mentioned in Table 10 are not applicable to that part of the load.

**Table 10 Percentage of Imposed Load to be Considered in Calculation of Seismic Weight**  
*(Clause 7.3.1)*

Sl No. (1)	Imposed Uniformity Distributed Floor Loads kN/m <sup>2</sup> (2)	Percentage of Imposed Load (3)
		i)
ii)	Above 3.0	50

**7.3.3** Imposed load values indicated in Table 10 for calculating design earthquake lateral forces are applicable to normal conditions. When loads during earthquakes are more accurately assessed, designers may alter imposed load values indicated or even replace the entire imposed load given in Table 10 with actual assessed load values, subject to the values given in Table 7 as the minimum values. Where imposed load is not assessed as per **7.3.1** and **7.3.2**,

- a) only that part of imposed load, which possesses mass, shall be considered; and
- b) lateral earthquake design force shall not be calculated on contribution of impact effects from imposed loads.

**7.3.4** Loads other than those given above (for example, snow and permanent equipment) shall be considered appropriately.

**7.3.5** In regions of severe snow loads and sand storms exceeding intensity of 1.5 kN/m<sup>2</sup>, 20 percent of uniform design snow load or sand load, respectively shall be included in the estimation of seismic weight. In case the minimum values of seismic weights corresponding to these load effects given in IS 875 are higher, the higher values shall be used.

**7.3.6** In buildings that have interior partitions, the weight of these partitions on floors shall be included in the estimation of seismic weight; this value shall not be less than  $0.5 \text{ kN/m}^2$ . In case the minimum values of seismic weights corresponding to partitions given in parts of IS 875 are higher, the higher values shall be used. It shall be ensured that the weights of these partitions shall be considered only in estimating inertial effects of the building.

#### 7.4 Seismic Weight

##### 7.4.1 Seismic Weight of Floors

Seismic weight of each floor is its full dead load plus appropriate amount of imposed load, as specified in **7.3**. While computing the seismic weight of each floor, the weight of columns and walls in any storey shall be appropriately apportioned to the floors above and below the storey.

**7.4.2** Any weight supported in between storeys shall be distributed to floors above and below in inverse proportion to its distance from the floors.

#### 7.6 Equivalent Static Method

As per this method, first, the design base shear  $V_B$  shall be computed for the building as a whole. Then, this  $V_B$  shall be distributed to the various floor levels at the corresponding centres of mass. And, finally, this design seismic force at each floor level shall be distributed to individual lateral load resisting elements through structural analysis considering the floor diaphragm action. This method shall be applicable for regular buildings with height less than 15 m in Seismic Zone II.

**7.6.1** The design base shear  $V_B$  along any principal direction of a building shall be determined by:

$$V_B = A_h W$$

where

$A_h$  = design horizontal acceleration coefficient value as per **6.4.2**, using approximate fundamental natural period  $T_a$  as per **7.6.2** along the considered direction of shaking; and

$W$  = seismic weight of the building as per **7.4**.

**7.6.2** The approximate fundamental translational natural period  $T_a$  of oscillation, in second, shall be estimated by the following expressions:

- a) Bare MRF buildings (without any masonry infills):

$$T_a = \begin{cases} 0.075h^{0.75} & \text{(for RC MRF building)} \\ 0.080h^{0.75} & \text{(for RC-Steel Composite MRF building)} \\ 0.085h^{0.75} & \text{(for steel MRF building)} \end{cases}$$

where

$h$  = height (in m) of building (see Fig. 5). This excludes the basement storeys, where basement storey, walls are connected with the ground floor deck or fitted between the building columns, but includes the basement storeys, when they are not so connected.

- b) Buildings with RC structural walls:

$$T_a = \frac{0.075h^{0.75}}{\sqrt{A_w}} \geq \frac{0.09h}{\sqrt{d}}$$

where  $A_w$  is total effective area ( $\text{m}^2$ ) of walls in the first storey of the building given by:

$$A_w = \sum_{i=1}^{N_w} \left[ A_{wi} \left\{ 0.2 + \left( \frac{L_{wi}}{h} \right)^2 \right\} \right]$$

where

$h$  = height of building as defined in **7.6.2(a)**, in m;

$A_{wi}$  = effective cross-sectional area of wall  $i$  in first storey of building, in  $\text{m}^2$ ;

$L_{wi}$  = length of structural wall  $i$  in first storey in the considered direction of lateral forces, in m;

$d$  = base dimension of the building at the plinth level along the considered direction of earthquake shaking, in m; and

$N_w$  = number of walls in the considered direction of earthquake shaking.

The value of  $L_{wi}/h$  to be used in this equation shall not exceed 0.9.

- c) All other buildings:

$$T_a = \frac{0.09h}{\sqrt{d}}$$

where

$h$  = height of building, as defined in **7.6.2(a)**, in m; and

$d$  = base dimension of the building at the plinth level along the considered direction of earthquake shaking, in m.

**7.6.3** The design base shear ( $V_B$ ) computed in **7.6.1** shall be distributed along the height of the building and in plan at each floor level as below:

- a) *Vertical distribution of base shear to different floor levels* — The design base shear  $V_B$  computed in **7.6.1** shall be distributed along the height of the building as per the following expression:

IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016




FIG. 5 DEFINITIONS OF HEIGHT AND BASE WIDTH OF BUILDINGS

$$Q_i = \left( \frac{W_i h_i^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n W_j h_j^2} \right) V_B$$

where

- $Q_i$  = design lateral force at floor  $i$ ;
- $W_i$  = seismic weight of floor  $i$ ;
- $h_i$  = height of floor  $i$  measured from base; and
- $n$  = number of storeys in building, that is, number of levels at which masses are located.

- b) *In-plan distribution of design lateral force at floor  $i$  to different lateral force resisting elements* — The design storey shear in any storey shall be calculated by summing the design lateral forces at all floor above that storey. In buildings whose floors are capable of providing rigid horizontal diaphragm action in their own plane, the design storey shear shall be distributed to the various vertical elements of lateral force resisting system in proportion to the lateral stiffness of these vertical elements.

#### 7.6.4 Diaphragm

In buildings whose floor diaphragms cannot provide rigid horizontal diaphragm action in their own plane, design storey shear shall be distributed to the various vertical elements of lateral force resisting system considering the in-plane flexibility of the diaphragms.

A floor diaphragm shall be considered to be flexible, if it deforms such that the maximum lateral displacement measured from the chord of the deformed shape at any point of the diaphragm is more than 1.2 times the average displacement of the entire diaphragm (see Fig. 6).




FIG. 6 DEFINITION OF FLEXIBLE FLOOR DIAPHRAGM

Usually, reinforced concrete monolithic slab-beam floors or those consisting of prefabricated or precast elements with reasonable reinforced screed concrete (at least a minimum of 50 mm on floors and of 75 mm on roof, with at least a minimum reinforcement of 6 mm bars spaced at 150 mm centres) as topping, and of plan aspect ratio less than 3, can be considered to be providing rigid diaphragm action.

#### 7.7 Dynamic Analysis Method

**7.7.1** Linear dynamic analysis shall be performed to obtain the design lateral force (design seismic base shear, and its distribution to different levels along the height of the building, and to various lateral load resisting elements) for all buildings, other than regular buildings lower than 15 m in Seismic Zone II.

**7.7.2** The analytical model for dynamic analysis of buildings with unusual configuration should be such that it adequately represents irregularities present in the building configuration.

**7.7.3** Dynamic analysis may be performed by either the Time History Method or the Response Spectrum Method. When either of the methods is used, the design base shear  $V_B$  estimated shall not be less than the design base shear  $\bar{V}_B$  calculated using a fundamental period  $T_a$ , where  $T_a$  is as per 7.6.2.

When  $V_B$  is less than  $\bar{V}_B$ , the force response quantities (for example member stress resultants, storey shear forces, and base reactions) shall be multiplied by  $\bar{V}_B/V_B$ . For earthquake shaking considered along,

- a) the two mutually perpendicular plan directions X and Y, separate multiplying factors shall be calculated, namely  $\bar{V}_{BX}/V_{BX}$  and  $\bar{V}_{BY}/V_{BY}$ , respectively; and
- b) the vertical Z direction, the multiplying factor shall be taken as  $\text{Max}[\bar{V}_{BX}/V_{BX}; \bar{V}_{BY}/V_{BY}]$ .

#### 7.7.4 Time History Method

Time history method shall be based on an appropriate ground motion (preferably compatible with the design acceleration spectrum in the desired range of natural periods) and shall be performed using accepted principles of earthquake structural dynamics.

#### 7.7.5 Response Spectrum Method

Response spectrum method may be performed for any building using the design acceleration spectrum specified in 6.4.2, or by a site-specific design acceleration spectrum mentioned in 6.4.7.

## IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016

### 7.7.5.1 Natural modes of oscillation

Undamped free vibration analysis of the entire building shall be performed as per established methods of structural dynamics using appropriate mass and elastic stiffness of the structural system, to obtain natural periods  $T_k$  and mode shapes  $\{\phi\}_k$  of those of its  $N_m$  modes of oscillation [ $k \in (1, N_m)$ ] that need to be considered as per 7.7.5.2.

### 7.7.5.2 Number of modes to be considered

The number of modes  $N_m$  to be used in the analysis for earthquake shaking along a considered direction, should be such that the sum total of modal masses of these modes considered is at least 90 percent of the total seismic mass.

If modes with natural frequencies beyond 33 Hz are to be considered, the modal combination shall be carried out only for modes with natural frequency less than 33 Hz; the effect of modes with natural frequencies more than 33 Hz shall be included by the missing mass correction procedure following established principles of structural dynamics. If justified by rigorous analysis, designers may use a cut off frequency other than 33 Hz.

### 7.7.5.3 Combination of modes

The responses of different modes considered shall be combined by one of the two methods given below:

- a) Peak response quantities (for example, member forces, displacements, storey forces, storey shears, and base reactions) may be combined as per Complete Quadratic Combination (CQC) method, as given below:

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N_m} \sum_{j=1}^{N_m} \lambda_i \rho_{ij} \lambda_j}$$

where

$\lambda$  = estimate of peak response quantity;

$\lambda_i$  = response quantity in mode  $i$  (with sign);

$\lambda_j$  = response quantity in mode  $j$  (with sign);

$\rho_{ij}$  = cross-modal correlation co-efficient

$$= \frac{8 \zeta^2 (1+\beta) \beta^{1.5}}{(1-\beta^2)^2 + 4 \zeta^2 \beta (1+\beta)^2};$$

$N_m$  = number of modes considered;

$\zeta$  = modal damping coefficient ratio which shall be taken as 0.05;

$\beta$  = natural frequency ratio =  $\frac{\omega_j}{\omega_i}$ ;

$\omega_j$  = circular natural frequency in mode  $j$ ; and

$\omega_i$  = circular natural frequency in mode  $i$ .

- b) Alternatively, the peak response quantities may be combined as follows:

- 1) If building does not have closely-spaced modes, then net peak response quantity  $\lambda$  due to all modes considered shall be estimated as:

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N_m} (\lambda_k)^2}$$

where

$\lambda_k$  = peak response quantity in mode  $k$ , and

$N_m$  = number of modes considered.

- 2) If building has a few closely-spaced modes, then net peak response quantity  $\lambda^*$  due to these closely space modes alone shall be obtained as:

$$\lambda^* = \sum_c |\lambda_c|$$

where

$\lambda_c$  = peak response quantity in closely spaced mode  $c$ . The summation is for closely spaced modes only. Then, this peak response quantity  $\lambda^*$  due to closely spaced modes is combined with those of remaining well-separated modes by method described above.

### 7.7.5.4 Simplified method of dynamic analysis of buildings

Regular buildings may be analyzed as a system of masses lumped at the floor levels with each mass having one degree of freedom, that of lateral displacement in the direction under consideration. In such a case, the following expressions shall hold in the computation of the various quantities:

- a) *Modal mass* — Modal mass  $M_k$  of mode  $k$  is given by:

$$M_k = \frac{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \phi_{ik} \right]^2}{g \sum_{i=1}^n W_i (\phi_{ik})^2}$$

where

$g$  = acceleration due to gravity,

$\phi_{ik}$  = mode shape coefficient at floor  $i$  in mode  $k$ ,

$W_i$  = seismic weight of floor  $i$  of the structure, and

$n$  = number of floors of the structure.

- b) *Mode participation factor* — Mode participation factor  $P_k$  of mode  $k$  is given by:

$$P_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i \phi_{ik}}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i (\phi_{ik})^2}$$

- c) *Design lateral force at each floor in each mode* — Peak lateral force  $Q_{ik}$  at floor  $i$  in mode  $k$  is given by:

$$Q_{ik} = A_k \phi_{ik} P_k W_i$$

where

$A_k$  = design horizontal acceleration spectrum value as per **6.4.2** using natural period of oscillation  $T_k$  of mode  $k$  obtained from dynamic analysis.

- d) *Storey shear forces in each mode* — Peak shear force  $V_{ik}$  acting in storey  $i$  in mode  $k$  is given by:

$$V_{ik} = \sum_{j=i+1}^n Q_{jk}$$

- e) *Storey shear force due to all modes considered* — Peak storey shear force  $V_i$  in storey  $i$  due to all modes considered, shall be obtained by combining those due to each mode in accordance with **7.7.5.3**.

- f) *Lateral forces at each storey due to all modes considered* — Design lateral forces  $F_{\text{roof}}$  at roof level and  $F_i$  at level of floor  $i$  shall be obtained as:

$$F_{\text{roof}} = V_{\text{roof}}, \text{ and}$$

$$F_i = V_i - V_{i+1}.$$

## 7.8 Torsion

**7.8.1** Provision shall be made in all buildings for increase in shear forces on the lateral force resisting elements resulting from twisting about the vertical axis of the building, arising due to eccentricity between the centre of mass and centre of resistance at the floor levels. The design forces calculated as in **7.6** and **7.7.5**, shall be applied at the displaced centre of mass so as to cause design eccentricity (as given by **7.8.2**) between the displaced centre of mass and centre of resistance.

### 7.8.2 Design Eccentricity

While performing structural analysis by the Seismic Coefficient Method or the Response Spectrum Method, the design eccentricity  $e_{di}$  to be used at floor  $i$  shall be taken as:

$$e_{di} = \begin{cases} 1.5e_{si} + 0.05b_i \\ e_{si} - 0.05b_i \end{cases}$$

whichever gives the more severe effect on lateral force resisting elements;

where

- $e_{si}$  = static eccentricity at floor  $i$ ,  
 = distance between centre of mass and centre of resistance, and  
 $b_i$  = floor plan dimension of floor  $i$ , perpendicular to the direction of force.

The factor 1.5 represents dynamic amplification factor, and  $0.05b_i$  represents the extent of accidental eccentricity. The above amplification of 1.5 need not be used, when performing structural analysis by the Time History Method.

## 7.9 RC Frame Buildings with Unreinforced Masonry Infill Walls

**7.9.1** In RC buildings with moment resisting frames and unreinforced masonry (URM) infill walls, variation of storey stiffness and storey strength shall be examined along the height of the building considering in-plane stiffness and strength of URM infill walls. If storey stiffness and strength variations along the height of the building render it to be irregular as per Table 6, the irregularity shall be corrected especially in Seismic Zones III, IV and V.

**7.9.2** The estimation of in-plane stiffness and strength of URM infill walls shall be based on provisions given hereunder.

**7.9.2.1** The modulus of elasticity  $E_m$  (in MPa) of masonry infill wall shall be taken as:

$$E_m = 550 f_m$$

where  $f_m$  is the compressive strength of masonry prism (in MPa) obtained as per IS 1905 or given by expression:

$$f_m = 0.433 f_b^{0.64} f_{mo}^{0.36}$$

where

- $f_b$  = compressive strength of brick, in MPa; and  
 $f_{mo}$  = compressive strength of mortar, in MPa.

**7.9.2.2** URM infill walls shall be modeled by using equivalent diagonal struts as below:

- a) Ends of diagonal struts shall be considered to be pin-jointed to RC frame;  
 b) For URM infill walls without any opening, width  $w_{ds}$  of equivalent diagonal strut (see Fig. 7) shall be taken as:

$$w_{ds} = 0.175 \alpha_h^{-0.4} L_{ds}$$

where

$$\alpha_h = h \left( \sqrt[4]{\frac{E_m t \sin 2\theta}{4 E_f I_c h}} \right)$$

## IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016

- where  $E_m$  and  $E_f$  are the moduli of elasticity of the materials of the URM infill and RC MRF,  $I_c$  the moment of inertia of the adjoining column,  $t$  the thickness of the infill wall, and  $\theta$  the angle of the diagonal strut with the horizontal;
- c) For URM infill walls with openings, no reduction in strut width is required; and
  - d) Thickness of the equivalent diagonal strut shall be taken as thickness  $t$  of original URM infill wall, provided  $h/t < 12$  and  $l/t < 12$ , where  $h$  is clear height of URM infill wall between the top beam and bottom floor slab, and  $l$  clear length of the URM infill wall between the vertical RC elements (columns, walls or a combination thereof) between which it spans.




FIG. 7 EQUIVALENT DIAGONAL STRUT OF URM INFILL WALL

### 7.10 RC Frame Buildings with Open Storeys

**7.10.1** RC moment resisting frame buildings, which have open storey(s) at any level, such as due to discontinuation of unreinforced masonry (URM) infill walls or of structural walls, are known to have flexible and weak storeys as per Table 6. In such buildings, suitable measures shall be adopted, which increase both stiffness and strength to the required level in the open storey and the storeys below. These measures shall be taken along both plan directions as per requirements laid down under **7.10.2** to **7.10.4**. The said increase may be achieved by providing measures, like:

- a) RC structural walls, or
- b) Braced frames, in select bays of the building.

**7.10.2** When the RC structural walls are provided, they shall be,

- a) founded on properly designed foundations;
- b) continuous preferably over the full height of the building; and

- c) connected preferably to the moment resisting frame of the building.

**7.10.3** When the RC structural walls are provided, they shall be designed such that the building does NOT have:

- a) Additional torsional irregularity in plan than that already present in the building. In assessing this, lateral stiffness shall be included of all elements that resist lateral actions at all levels of the building;
- b) Lateral stiffness in the open storey(s) is less than 80 percent of that in the storey above; and
- c) Lateral strength in the open storey(s) is less than 90 percent of that in the storey above.

**7.10.4** When the RC structural walls are provided, the RC structural wall plan density  $\rho_{sw}$  of the building shall be at least 2 percent along each principal direction in Seismic Zones III, IV and V. These walls shall be well distributed in the plan of the building along each plan direction. RC structural walls of this measure can be adopted even in regular buildings that do not have open storey(s).

**7.10.5** RC structural walls in buildings located in Seismic Zones III, IV and V shall be designed and detailed to comply with all requirements of IS 13920.

### 7.11 Deformation

Deformation of RC buildings shall be obtained from structural analysis using a structural model based on section properties given in **6.4.3**.

#### 7.11.1 Storey Drift Limitation

**7.11.1.1** Storey drift in any storey shall not exceed 0.004 times the storey height, under the action of design base of shear  $V_B$  with no load factors mentioned in **6.3**, that is, with partial safety factor for all loads taken as 1.0.

**7.11.1.2** Displacement estimates obtained from dynamic analysis methods shall not be scaled as given in **7.7.3**.

#### 7.11.2 Deformation Capability of Non-Seismic Members

For buildings located in Seismic Zones III, IV and V, it shall be ensured that structural components, that are not a part of seismic force resisting system in considered direction of ground motion but are monolithically connected, do not lose their vertical load-carrying capacity under induced net stress resultants, including additional bending moments and shear forces resulting from storey deformations equal to  $R$  times storey displacements calculated as per **7.11.1**, where  $R$  is specified in Table 9.

### 7.11.3 Separation between Adjacent Units

Two adjacent buildings, or two adjacent units of the same building with separation joint between them, shall be separated by a distance equal to  $R$  times sum of storey displacements  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  calculated as per **7.11.1** of the two buildings or two units of the same building, to avoid pounding as the two buildings or two units of the same building oscillate towards each other.

When floor levels of the adjacent units of a building or buildings are at the same level, the separation distance shall be calculated as  $(R_1\Delta_1 + R_2\Delta_2)$ , where  $R_1$  and  $\Delta_1$  correspond to building 1, and  $R_2$  and  $\Delta_2$  to building 2.

## 7.12 Miscellaneous

### 7.12.1 Foundations

Isolated RC footings without tie beams or unreinforced strip foundations, shall not be adopted in buildings rested on soft soils (with corrected  $N < 10$ ) in any Seismic Zone. Use of foundations vulnerable to significant differential settlement due to ground shaking shall be avoided in buildings located in Seismic Zones III, IV and V.

Individual spread footings or pile caps shall be interconnected with ties (see **5.3.4.1** of IS 4326), except when individual spread footings are directly supported on rock, in buildings located in Seismic Zones IV and V. All ties shall be capable of carrying, in tension and in compression, an axial force equal to  $A_h/4$  times the larger of the column or pile cap load, in addition to the otherwise computed forces, subject to a minimum of 5 percent of larger of column or pile cap loads. Here,  $A_h$  is as per **6.4.2**.

Pile shall be designed and constructed to withstand maximum curvature imposed (structural response) by earthquake ground shaking. Design of anchorage of piles into the pile cap shall consider combined effects, including that of axial forces due to uplift and bending

moments due to fixity to pile cap.

### 7.12.2 Cantilever Projections

#### 7.12.2.1 Vertical projections

Small-sized facilities (like towers, tanks, parapets, smoke stacks/chimneys) and other vertical cantilever projections attached to buildings and projecting vertically above the roof, but not a part of the structural system of the building, shall be designed and checked for stability for five times the design horizontal seismic coefficient  $A_h$  specified in **6.4.2** for that building. In the analysis of the building, weights of these projecting elements shall be lumped with the roof weight.

#### 7.12.2.2 Horizontal projections

All horizontal projections of buildings (like cantilever structural members at the porch level or higher) or attached to buildings (like brackets, cornices and balconies) shall be designed for five times the design vertical coefficient  $A_v$  specified in **6.4.6** for that building.

**7.12.2.3** The increased design forces specified in **7.12.2.1** and **7.12.2.2** are only for designing the projecting parts and their connections with the main structures, and NOT for the design of the main structure.

### 7.12.3 Compound Walls

Compound walls shall be designed for the design horizontal coefficient  $A_h$  of  $1.25Z$ , that is,  $A_h$  calculated using **6.4.2** with  $I = 1$ ,  $R = 1$  and  $S_a/g = 2.5$ .


### 7.12.4 Connections between Parts

All small items and objects of a building shall be tied to the building or to each other to act as single unit, except those between the separation joints and seismic joints. These connections shall be made capable of transmitting the forces induced in them, but not less than 0.05 times weight of total dead and imposed load reactions; frictional resistance shall not be relied upon in these calculations.

ANNEXA

(Foreword)

MAP OF INDIA SHOWING EPICENTRES OF PAST EARTHQUAKES IN INDIA  
(From Catalog of 2015)



Based upon Survey of India Political map printed in 2002.

© Government of India Copyright, 2016

The territorial waters of India extend into the sea to a distance of twelve nautical miles measured from the appropriate baseline.

The interstate boundaries between Arunachal Pradesh, Assam and Meghalaya shown on this map are as interpreted from the North-Eastern Areas (Reorganization) Act, 1971, but have yet to be verified.

The state boundaries between Uttarakhand & Uttar Pradesh, Bihar & Jharkhand, and Chhattisgarh & Madhya Pradesh have not been verified by the Governments concerned.

The administrative headquarters of Chandigarh, Haryana and Punjab are at Chandigarh.

The external boundaries and coastlines of India agree with the Record/Master Copy certified by Survey of India.

The responsibility for the correctness of internal details rests with the publisher.


NOTE — For details regarding the up-to-date seismic activity (plotted on the Map of India), please visit the online portal of the National Centre for Seismology (NCS), Ministry of Earth Sciences, New Delhi.



## ANNEX B

(Foreword)

### MAP OF INDIA SHOWING PRINCIPAL TECTONIC FEATURES IN INDIA (From Catalog of 2001)




Based upon Survey of India Political map printed in 2002.

The territorial waters of India extend into the sea to a distance of twelve nautical miles measured from the appropriate baseline.  
The interstate boundaries between Arunachal Pradesh, Assam and Meghalaya shown on this map are as interpreted from the North-Eastern Areas (Reorganization) Act, 1971, but have yet to be verified.  
The state boundaries between Uttarakhand & Uttar Pradesh, Bihar & Jharkhand, and Chhattisgarh & Madhya Pradesh have not been verified by the Governments concerned.  
The administrative headquarters of Chandigarh, Haryana and Punjab are at Chandigarh.  
The external boundaries and coastlines of India agree with the Record/Master Copy certified by Survey of India.  
The responsibility for the correctness of internal details rests with the publisher.



ANNEX C  
(Foreword)  
MAP OF INDIA SHOWING PRINCIPAL LITHOLOGICAL GROUPS



Based upon Survey of India Political map printed in 2002.

© Government of India Copyright, 2016

The territorial waters of India extend into the sea to a distance of twelve nautical miles measured from the appropriate baseline.

The interstate boundaries between Arunachal Pradesh, Assam and Meghalaya shown on this map are as interpreted from the North-Eastern Areas (Reorganization) Act, 1971, but have yet to be verified.

The state boundaries between Uttarakhand & Uttar Pradesh, Bihar & Jharkhand, and Chhattisgarh & Madhya Pradesh have not been verified by the Governments concerned.

The administrative headquarters of Chandigarh, Haryana and Punjab are at Chandigarh.

The external boundaries and coastlines of India agree with the Record/Master Copy certified by Survey of India.

The responsibility for the correctness of internal details rests with the publisher.



IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016

## ANNEX D

(Foreword and Clause 3.11)

### MSK 1964 INTENSITY SCALE

**D-1** The following description shall be applicable.

a) *Type of Structures (Buildings)*

*Type A* — Building in field-stone, rural structures, un-burnt brick houses, clay houses

*Type B* — Ordinary brick buildings, buildings of large block and prefabricated type, half timbered structures, buildings in natural hewn stone

*Type C* — Reinforced buildings, well built wooden structures

b) *Definition of Quantity*

Single, few : About 5 percent  
Many : About 50 percent  
Most : About 75 percent

c) *Classification of Damage to Buildings*

Classification	Damage	Description
Grade 1	Slight damage	Fine cracks in plaster; fall of small pieces of plaster
Grade 2	Moderate damage	Small cracks in walls; fall of fairly larger pieces of plaster; pantiles slip off; cracks in chimneys parts of chimney fall down
Grade 3	Heavy damage	Large and deep cracks in walls; fall of chimneys
Grade 4	Destruction	Gaps in walls; parts of buildings may collapse; separate parts of the buildings lose their cohesion; and inner walls collapse
Grade 5	Total damage	Total collapse of the building

**D-2 MSK INTENSITY SCALE**

**D-2.1** The following introductory letters (i), (ii) and (iii) have been used throughout the intensity scales (I to XII), describing the following:

- i) Persons and surroundings,
- ii) Structures of all kinds, and
- iii) Nature.

**I Not Noticeable**

- i) The intensity of the vibration is below the

limits of sensibility; the tremor is detected and recorded by seismograph only.

ii) —

iii) —

**II Scarcely Noticeable (Very Slight)**

i) Vibration is felt only by individual people at rest in houses, especially on upper floors of buildings.

ii) —

iii) —

**III Weak, Partially Observed**

i) The earthquake is felt indoors by a few people, outdoors only in favourable circumstances. The vibration is like that due to the passing of a light truck. Attentive observers notice a slight swinging of hanging objects.

ii) —

iii) —

**IV Largely Observed**

i) The earthquake is felt indoors by many people, outdoors by few. Here and there people awake, but no one is frightened. The vibration is like that due to the passing of a heavily loaded truck. Windows, doors, and dishes rattle. Floors and walls crack. Furniture begins to shake. Hanging objects swing slightly. Liquid in open vessels are slightly disturbed. In standing motor cars the shock is noticeable.

ii) —

iii) —

**V Awakening**

i) The earthquake is felt indoors by all, outdoors by many. Many people awake. A few run outdoors. Animals become uneasy. Buildings tremble throughout. Hanging objects swing considerably. Pictures knock against walls or swing out of place. Occasionally pendulum clocks stop. Unstable objects overturn or shift. Open doors and windows are thrust open and slam back again. Liquids spill in small amounts from well-filled open containers. The

- sensation of vibration is like that due to heavy objects falling inside the buildings.
- ii) Slight damages in buildings of Type A are possible.
  - iii) Slight waves on standing water. Sometimes changes in flow of springs.

## VI Frightening

- i) Felt by most indoors and outdoors. Many people in buildings are frightened and run outdoors. A few persons loose their balance. Domestic animals run out of their stalls. In few instances, dishes and glassware may break, and books fall down, pictures move, and unstable objects overturn. Heavy furniture may possibly move and small steeple bells may ring.
- ii) Damage of Grade 1 is sustained in single buildings of Type B and in many of Type A. Damage in some buildings of Type A is of Grade 2.
- iii) Cracks up to widths of 10 mm possible in wet ground; in mountains occasional landslips; change in flow of springs and in level of well water are observed.

## VII Damage of Buildings

- i) Most people are frightened and run outdoors. Many find it difficult to stand. The vibration is noticed by persons driving motor cars. Large bells ring.
- ii) In many buildings of Type C damage of Grade 1 is caused; in many buildings of Type B damage is of Grade 2. Most buildings of Type A suffer damage of Grade 3, few of Grade 4. In single instances, landslides of roadway on steep slopes: crack in roads; seams of pipelines damaged; cracks in stone walls.
- iii) Waves are formed on water, and water is made turbid by mud stirred up. Water levels in wells change, and the flow of springs changes. Sometimes dry springs have their flow restored and existing springs stop flowing. In isolated instances parts of sand and gravelly banks slip off.

## VIII Destruction of Buildings

- i) Fright and panic; also persons driving motor cars are disturbed. Here and there branches of trees break off. Even heavy furniture moves and partly overturns. Hanging lamps are damaged in part.

- ii) Most buildings of Type C suffer damage of Grade 2, and few of Grade 3. Most buildings of Type B suffer damage of Grade 3. Most buildings of Type A suffer damage of Grade 4. Occasional breaking of pipe seams. Memorials and monuments move and twist. Tombstones overturn. Stone walls collapse.
- iii) Small landslips in hollows and on banked roads on steep slopes; cracks in ground up to widths of several centimetres. Water in lakes become turbid. New reservoirs come into existence. Dry wells refill and existing wells become dry. In many cases, change in flow and level of water is observed.

## IX General Damage of Buildings

- i) General panic; considerable damage to furniture. Animals run to and fro in confusion and cry.
- ii) Many buildings of Type C suffer damage of Grade 3, and a few of Grade 4. Many buildings of Type B show a damage of Grade 4 and a few of Grade 5. Many buildings of Type A suffer damage of Grade 5. Monuments and columns fall. Considerable damage to reservoirs; underground pipes partly broken. In individual cases, railway lines are bent and roadway damaged.
- iii) On flat land overflow of water, sand and mud is often observed. Ground cracks to widths of up to 10 cm, on slopes and river banks more than 10 cm. Furthermore, a large number of slight cracks in ground; falls of rock, many land slides and earth flows; large waves in water. Dry wells renew their flow and existing wells dry up.

## X General Destruction of Buildings

- i) —
- ii) Many buildings of Type C suffer damage of Grade 4, and a few of Grade 5. Many buildings of Type B show damage of Grade 5. Most of Type A has destruction of Grade 5. Critical damage to dykes and dams. Severe damage to bridges. Railway lines are bent slightly. Underground pipes are bent or broken. Road paving and asphalt show waves.
- iii) In ground, cracks up to widths of several centimetres, sometimes up to 1 m, parallel to water courses occur broad fissures. Loose ground slides from steep slopes. From river banks and steep coasts, considerable landslides are possible. In coastal areas,

## IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016

displacement of sand and mud; change of water level in wells; water from canals, lakes, rivers, etc, thrown on land. New lakes occur.

### XI Destruction

- i) —
- ii) Severe damage even to well built buildings, bridges, water dams and railway lines. Highways become useless. Underground pipes destroyed.
- iii) Ground considerably distorted by broad cracks and fissures, as well as movement in horizontal and vertical directions. Numerous landslips and falls of rocks. The intensity of the earthquake

requires to be investigated specifically.

### XII Landscape Changes

- i) —
- ii) Practically all structures above and below ground are greatly damaged or destroyed.
- iii) The surface of the ground is radically changed. Considerable ground cracks with extensive vertical and horizontal movements are observed. Falling of rock and slumping of river banks over wide areas, lakes are dammed; waterfalls appear and rivers are deflected. The intensity of the earthquake requires to be investigated specially.

## ANNEX E

*(Foreword)*

### LIST OF SOME TOWNS WITH POPULATION MORE THAN 3 LAKHS (as per CENSUS 2011) AND THEIR SEISMIC ZONE FACTOR Z

Town	Zone	Z	Town	Zone	Z
Agra	III	0.16	Calicut (Kozhikode)	III	0.16
Ahmedabad	III	0.16	Chandigarh	IV	0.24
Ajmer	II	0.10	Chennai	III	0.16
Allahabad	II	0.10	Chitradurga	II	0.10
Almora	IV	0.24	Coimbatore	III	0.16
Ambala	IV	0.24	Cuddalore	II	0.10
Amritsar	IV	0.24	Cuttack	III	0.16
Asansol	III	0.16	Darbhanga	V	0.36
Aurangabad	II	0.10	Darjeeling	IV	0.24
Bahraich	IV	0.24	Dharwad	III	0.16
Bangalore (Bengaluru)	II	0.10	Dehra Dun	IV	0.24
Barauni	IV	0.24	Dharampuri	III	0.16
Bareilly	III	0.16	Delhi	IV	0.24
Belgaum	III	0.16	Durgapur	III	0.16
Bhatinda	III	0.16	Gangtok	IV	0.24
Bhilai	II	0.10	Guwahati	V	0.36
Bhopal	II	0.10	Gulbarga	II	0.10
Bhubaneswar	III	0.16	Gaya	III	0.16
Bhuj	V	0.36	Gorakhpur	IV	0.24
Bijapur	III	0.16	Hyderabad	II	0.10
Bikaner	III	0.16	Imphal	V	0.36
Bokaro	III	0.16	Jabalpur	III	0.16
Bulandshahr	IV	0.24	Jaipur	II	0.10
Burdwan	III	0.16	Jamshedpur	II	0.10

Town	Zone	Z	Town	Zone	Z
Jhansi	II	0.10	Patna	IV	0.24
Jodhpur	II	0.10	Pilibhit	IV	0.24
Jorhat	V	0.36	Pondicherry (Puducherry)	II	0.10
Kakrapara	III	0.16	Pune	III	0.16
Kalpakkam	III	0.16	Raipur	II	0.10
Kanchipuram	III	0.16	Rajkot	III	0.16
Kanpur	III	0.16	Ranchi	II	0.10
Karwar	III	0.16	Roorkee	IV	0.24
Kochi	III	0.16	Rourkela	II	0.10
Kohima	V	0.36	Sadiya	V	0.36
Kolkata	III	0.16	Salem	III	0.16
Kota	II	0.10	Shillong	V	0.36
Kurnool	II	0.10	Shimla	IV	0.24
Lucknow	III	0.16	Sironj	II	0.10
Ludhiana	IV	0.24	Solapur	III	0.16
Madurai	II	0.10	Srinagar	V	0.36
Mandi	V	0.36	Surat	III	0.16
Mangaluru	III	0.16	Tarapur	III	0.16
Mungher	IV	0.24	Tezpur	V	0.36
Moradabad	IV	0.24	Thane	III	0.16
Mumbai	III	0.16	Thanjavur	II	0.10
Mysuru	II	0.10	Thiruvananthapuram	III	0.16
Nagpur	II	0.10	Tiruchirappalli	II	0.10
Nagarjunasagar	II	0.10	Tiruvannamalai	III	0.16
Nainital	IV	0.24	Udaipur	II	0.10
Nashik	III	0.16	Vadodara	III	0.16
Nellore	III	0.16	Varanasi	III	0.16
Osmanabad	III	0.16	Vellore	III	0.16
Panjim	III	0.16	Vijayawada	III	0.16
Patiala	III	0.16	Vishakhapatnam	II	0.10

## ANNEX F

(Clauses 3.12 and 6.3.5.3)

### SIMPLIFIED PROCEDURE FOR EVALUATION OF LIQUEFACTION POTENTIAL

**F-1** Due to the difficulties in obtaining and testing undisturbed representative samples from potentially liquefiable sites, *in-situ* testing is the approach preferred widely for evaluating the liquefaction potential of a soil deposit. Liquefaction potential assessment procedures involving both the SPT and CPT are widely used in practice. The most common procedure used in engineering practice for the assessment of liquefaction potential of sands and silts is the simplified procedure. The procedure may be used with either standard penetration test (SPT) blow count or cone penetration test (CPT) tip resistance or shear wave velocity  $V_s$  measured within the deposit as described below:

**Step 1** — The subsurface data used to assess liquefaction susceptibility should include the location of the water table, either SPT blow count  $N$  or tip resistance  $q_c$  of a CPT cone or shear wave velocity  $V_s$ , unit weight, and fines content of the soil (percent by weight passing the IS Standard Sieve No. 75  $\mu$ ).

**Step 2** — Evaluate total vertical overburden stress  $\sigma_{vo}$  and effective vertical overburden stress  $\sigma'_{vo}$  at different depths for all potentially liquefiable layers within the deposit.

**Step 3** — Evaluate stress reduction factor  $r_d$  using:

$$r_d = \begin{cases} 1 - 0.00765z & 0 < z \leq 9.15 \text{ m} \\ 1.174 - 0.0267z & 9.15 \text{ m} < z \leq 23.0 \text{ m} \end{cases}$$

where  $z$  is the depth (in metre) below the ground surface.

**Step 4** — Calculate cyclic stress ratio  $CSR$  induced by the earthquake using:

$$CSR = 0.65 \left( \frac{a_{\max}}{g} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_{vo}}{\sigma'_{vo}} \right) r_d,$$

where

$a_{\max}$  = peak ground acceleration (PGA) preferably in terms of  $g$ ,

$g$  = acceleration due to gravity, and

$r_d$  = stress reduction factor.

If value of PGA is not available, the ratio ( $a_{\max}/g$ ) may be taken equal to seismic zone factor  $Z$  (as per Table 3).

**Step 5** — Obtain cyclic resistance ratio  $CRR$  by correcting standard cyclic resistance ratio  $CRR_{7.5}$  for earthquake magnitude, high overburden stress level

and high initial static shear stress using:

$$CRR = CRR_{7.5} (MSF) K_\sigma K_\alpha,$$

where

$CRR_{7.5}$  = standard cyclic resistance ratio for a 7.5 magnitude earthquake obtained using values of SPT or CPT or shear wave velocity (as per Step 6), and

$MSF$  = magnitude scaling factor given by following equation:

$$MSF = 10^{2.24} / M_w^{2.56}$$

This factor is required when the magnitude is different than 7.5. The correction for high overburden stresses  $K_\sigma$  is required when overburden pressure is high (depth  $> 15$  m) and can be found using following equation:

$$K_\sigma = (\sigma'_{vo} / P_a)^{(f-1)}$$

where  $\sigma'_{vo}$  effective overburden pressure and  $P_a$  atmospheric pressure are measured in the same units and  $f$  is an exponent and its value depends on the relative density  $D_r$ . For  $D_r = 40$  percent  $\sim 60$  percent,  $f = 0.8 \sim 0.7$  and for  $D_r = 60$  percent  $\sim 80$  percent,  $f = 0.7 \sim 0.6$ . The correction for static shear stresses  $K_\alpha$  is required only for sloping ground and is not required in routine engineering practice. Therefore, in the scope of this standard, value of  $K_\alpha$  shall be assumed unity.

For assessing liquefaction susceptibility using:

- a) SPT, go to Step 6(a) or
- b) CPT, go to Step 6(b) or
- c) Shear wave velocity, go to Step 6(c).

**Step 6** — Obtain cyclic resistance ratio  $CRR_{7.5}$ ,

6(a) *Using values of SPT*

Evaluate the SPT (standard penetration test) blow count  $N_{60}$ , for a hammer efficiency of 60 percent. Specifications for standardized equipment are given in Table 11. If equipment used is of non-standard type,  $N_{60}$  shall be obtained using measured value ( $N$ ):

$$N_{60} = NC_{60},$$

where

$$C_{60} = C_{HT} C_{HW} C_{SS} C_{RL} C_{BD}.$$

Factors  $C_{HT}$ ,  $C_{HW}$ ,  $C_{SS}$ ,  $C_{RL}$  and  $C_{BD}$  recommended by various investigators for some common non-standard SPT configurations are provided in Table 12. For SPT conducted as per IS 2131, the energy delivered to the drill rod is about 60 percent therefore,  $C_{60}$  may be assumed as 1. The computed  $N_{60}$  is normalized to an effective overburden pressure of approximately 100 kPa using overburden correction factor  $C_N$  using:

$$(N_1)_{60} = C_N N_{60},$$

where

$$C_N = \sqrt{\frac{P_a}{\sigma'_{vo}}} \leq 1.7,$$

The cyclic resistance ratio  $CRR_{7.5}$  is estimated from Fig. 8, using  $(N_1)_{60}$  value.

Effect of fines content  $FC$  (in percent) can be rationally accounted by correcting  $(N_1)_{60}$  and finding  $(N_1)_{60CS}$  as follows:

$$(N_1)_{60CS} = \alpha + \beta (N_1)_{60},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 0 & \beta &= 1 & \text{for } FC \leq 5 \text{ percent} \\ \alpha &= e^{\left[1.76 - \left(\frac{190}{FC^2}\right)\right]} & \beta &= 0.99 + \frac{FC^{1.5}}{1000} & \text{for } 5 \text{ percent} < FC < 35 \text{ percent} \\ \alpha &= 0.5 & \beta &= 1.2 & \text{for } FC \geq 35 \text{ percent} \end{aligned}$$

Again, Fig. 8 can be used to estimate  $CRR_{7.5}$ , where  $(N_1)_{60CS}$  shall be used instead of  $(N_1)_{60}$  and only SPT clean sand based curve shall be used irrespective of fines contents. The  $CRR_{7.5}$  can be estimated using following equation, instead of Fig. 8:

$$CRR_{7.5} = \frac{1}{34 - (N_1)_{60CS}} + \frac{(N_1)_{60CS}}{135} + \frac{50}{[10 \times (N_1)_{60CS} + 45]^2} - \frac{1}{200}$$




FIG. 8 RELATION BETWEEN  $CRR$  AND  $(N_1)_{60}$  FOR SAND FOR  $M_w 7.5$  EARTHQUAKES

### IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016

#### 6(b) Using values of CPT

The CPT procedure requires normalization of measured cone tip resistance  $q_c$  using atmospheric pressure  $P_a$  and correction for overburden pressure  $C_Q$  as follows:

$$q_{C1N} = C_Q \left( \frac{q_c}{P_a} \right),$$

where  $q_{C1N}$  is normalized dimensionless cone penetration resistance, and

$$C_Q = \left( \frac{P_a}{\sigma'_{vo}} \right)^n$$

$$n = \begin{cases} 0.5 & \text{for sand} \\ 1 & \text{for clay} \end{cases}$$

The normalized penetration resistance  $q_{C1N}$  for silty sands is corrected to an equivalent clean sand value  $(q_{C1N})_{CS}$  by the following relation:

$$(q_{C1N})_{CS} = k_C q_{C1N}$$

where

$k_C$  = Correction factor to account for grain characteristics

$$= \begin{cases} 1.0 & \text{(for } I_c \leq 1.64) \\ -0.403I_c^4 + 5.581I_c^3 - 21.63I_c^2 + 33.75I_c - 17.88 & \text{(for } I_c > 1.64) \end{cases}$$

$$I_c = \sqrt{(3.47 - \log Q)^2 + (1.22 - \log F)^2}$$

$$Q = \left( \frac{q_c - \sigma_{vo}}{P_a} \right) \left( \frac{P_a}{\sigma'_{vo}} \right)^n$$

$$F = 100 \left( \frac{f_s}{q_c - \sigma_{vo}} \right) \text{ percent, and}$$

where  $f_s$  = measured sleeve friction.

Using  $(q_{C1N})_{CS}$  find the value of  $CRR_{7.5}$  using Fig. 9. Alternatively, the  $CRR_{7.5}$  can be found using following equations:




FIG. 9 RELATION BETWEEN  $CRR$  AND  $(q_{C1N})_{CS}$  FOR  $M_w 7.5$  EARTHQUAKES

$$CRR_{7.5} = \begin{cases} 0.833 \left( \frac{(q_{CIN})_{CS}}{1000} \right) + 0.05, & 0 < (q_{CIN})_{CS} < 50 \\ 93 \left( \frac{(q_{CIN})_{CS}}{1000} \right)^3 + 0.08, & 50 \leq (q_{CIN})_{CS} < 160 \end{cases}$$

6(c) Using shear wave velocity:

Apply correction for overburden stress to shear wave velocity  $V_s$  for clean sands using:

$$V_{sl} = \left( \frac{P_a}{\sigma'_{vo}} \right)^{0.25} V_s$$

where  $(V_{sl})$  is overburden stress corrected shear wave velocity. Using  $V_{sl}$  find the value of  $CRR_{7.5}$  using Fig. 10. Alternatively, the  $CRR_{7.5}$  can be found using following equation:

$$CRR_{7.5} = a \left( \frac{V_{sl}}{100} \right)^2 + b \left( \frac{1}{V_{sl}^* - V_{sl}} - \frac{1}{V_{sl}^*} \right)$$

where  $V_{sl}^*$  is limiting upper value of  $V_{sl}$  for liquefaction occurrence;  $a$  and  $b$  are curve fitting parameters. The values of  $a$  and  $b$  in Fig. 10 are 0.022 and 2.8, respectively.  $V_{sl}^*$  can be assumed to vary linearly from 200 m/s for soils with fine content of 35 percent, to 215 m/s for soils with fine contents of 5 percent or less.

*Step 7* — Calculate the factor of safety  $FS$  against initial liquefaction using:

$$FS = \frac{CRR}{CSR},$$

where  $CSR$  is as estimated in Step 4 and  $CRR$  in Step 5. When the design ground motion is conservative, earthquake related permanent ground deformation is generally small, if  $FS \geq 1.2$ .

*Step 8* — If  $FS < 1$ , then the soil is assumed to liquefy.




FIG. 10 RELATION BETWEEN CRR AND  $V_{sl}$  FOR  $M_w$  7.5 EARTHQUAKES

**IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016**

**Table 11 Recommended Standardized SPT Equipment (see IS 2131)**  
 [Clause F-1, Step: 6(a)]

Sl No. (1)	Element (2)	Standard Specification (3)
i)	Sampler	Standard split-spoon sampler with, outside diameter, $OD = 51$ mm; and inside diameter, $ID = 35$ mm (constant, that is, no room for liners in the barrel)
ii)	Drill rods	$A$ or $AW$ type for depths less than 15.2 m; $N$ or $NW$ type for greater depths
iii)	Hammer	Standard (safety) hammer with, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) weight = 63.5 kg; and</li> <li>b) drop height = 762 mm (delivers 60 percent of theoretical free fall energy)</li> </ul>
iv)	Rope	Two wraps of rope around the pulley
v)	Borehole	100-130 mm diameter rotary borehole with bentonite mud for borehole stability (hollow stem augers where SPT is taken through the stem)
vi)	Drill bit	Upward deflection of drilling mud (tricone or baffled drag bit)
vii)	Blow count rate	30 to 40 blows per minute
viii)	Penetration resistant count	Measured over range of 150 mm – 450 mm of penetration into the ground

**Table 12 Correction Factors for Non-Standard SPT Procedures and Equipment**  
 [Clause F-1, Step: 6(a)]

Sl No. (1)	Correction for (2)	Correction Factor (3)
i)	Non-standard hammer weight or height of fall	$C_{HT} = \begin{cases} 0.75 & (\text{for Donut hammer with rope and pulley}) \\ 1.33 & (\text{for Donut hammer with trip/auto}) \end{cases}$ and Energy ratio = 80 percent
ii)	Non-standard hammer weight or height of fall	$C_{HW} = \frac{HW}{48387}$ where $H = \text{height of fall (mm), and}$ $W = \text{hammer weight (kg)}$
iii)	Non-standard sampler setup (standard samples with room for liners, but used without liners)	$C_{SS} = \begin{cases} 1.1 & (\text{for loose sand}) \\ 1.2 & (\text{for dense sand}) \end{cases}$
iv)	Non-standard sampler setup (standard samples with room for liners, but liners are used)	$C_{SS} = \begin{cases} 0.9 & (\text{for loose sand}) \\ 0.8 & (\text{for dense sand}) \end{cases}$ $C_{RL} = \begin{cases} = 0.75 & (\text{for rod length 0-3 m}) \\ = 0.80 & (\text{for rod length 3-4 m}) \\ = 0.85 & (\text{for rod length 4-6 m}) \\ = 0.95 & (\text{for rod length 6-10 m}) \\ = 1.0 & (\text{for rod length 10-30 m}) \end{cases}$
v)	Short rod length	
vi)	Nonstandard borehole diameter	$C_{BD} = \begin{cases} 1.00 & (\text{for bore hole diameter of 65-115 mm}) \\ 1.05 & (\text{for bore hole diameter of 150 mm}) \\ 1.15 & (\text{for bore hole diameter of 200 mm}) \end{cases}$

**NOTES**

1  $N$  = Uncorrected SPT blow count.

2  $C_{60} = C_{HT}C_{HW}C_{SS}C_{RL}C_{BD}$

3  $N_{60} = NC_{60}$

4  $C_N$  = Correction factor for overburden pressure  $(N_1)_{60} = C_N C_{60} N$ .

## ANNEX G

(Foreword)

### COMMITTEE COMPOSITION

Earthquake Engineering Sectional Committee, CED 39

<i>Organization</i>	<i>Representative(s)</i>
Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee	DR D. K. PAUL ( <b>Chairman</b> )
Association of Consulting Civil Engineers, Bengaluru	SHRI SANDEEP SHIRKEDKAR SHRI ASWATH M U ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Atomic Energy Regulatory Board, Mumbai	SHRI L. R. BISHNOI SHRI ROSHAN A. D. ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited, New Delhi	SHRI RAVI KUMAR SHRI HEMANT MALHOTRA ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Building Materials & Technology Promotion Council, New Delhi	SHRI J. K. PRASAD SHRI PANKAJ GUPTA ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Central Public Works Department, New Delhi	CHIEF ENGINEER (CDO) SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER (D) II ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Central Soils and Materials Research Station, New Delhi	SHRI NRIPENDRA KUMAR DR MANISH GUPTA ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Central Water Commission, New Delhi	DIRECTOR CMDD (E & NE) DIRECTOR, EMBANKMENT ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Creative Design Consultants Private Limited, Ghaziabad	SHRI AMAN DEEP SHRI BARJINDER SINGH ( <i>Alternate</i> )
CSIR-Central Building Research Institute, Roorkee	DR NAVJEEV SAXENA DR AJAY CHOURASIA ( <i>Alternate</i> )
CSIR-National Geophysical Research Institute, Hyderabad	DR M. RAVI KUMAR DR N. PURNACHANDRA RAO ( <i>Alternate</i> )
CSIR-Structural Engineering Research Centre, Chennai	DR K. MUTHUMANI DR N. GOPALAKRISHNAN ( <i>Alternate</i> )
D-CAD Technologies, New Delhi	DR K. G. BHATIA
DDF Consultants Pvt Ltd, New Delhi	DR PRATIMA R. BOSE SHRI SADANAND OJHA ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Directorate General of Border Roads, New Delhi	SHRI A. K. DIXIT
Engineers India Limited, New Delhi	MSILA DASS DR G. G. SRINIVAS ACHARY ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Gammon India Limited, Mumbai	SHRI V. N. HEGGADE SHRI ANAND DESAI ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Geological Survey of India, Lucknow	SHRI K. C. JOSHI
Housing & Urban Development Corporation Limited, New Delhi	SHRI SAMIR MITRA
Indian Association of Structural Engineers, New Delhi	SHRI S. C. MEHROTRA SHRI ALOK BHOWMICK ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Indian Concrete Institute, Chennai	DR K. P. JAYA
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai	DR RAVI SINHA DR ALOK GOYAL ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar	DR SURESH RANJAN DASH
Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar, Gandhinagar,	DR SUDHIR K. JAIN DR AMIT PRASHANT ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati	DR HEMANT B. KAUSHIK
Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur	DR DURGESH C. RAI
Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur, Jodhpur	DR C. V. R. MURTY
Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai	DR A. MEHER PRASAD DR RUPEN GOSWAMI ( <i>Alternate I</i> ) DR ARUN MENON ( <i>Alternate II</i> )

**IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2016**

<i>Organization</i>	<i>Representative(s)</i>
Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee	DR YOGENDRA SINGH DR MANISH SHRIKHANDE ( <i>Alternate I</i> ) DR ASHOK MATHUR ( <i>Alternate II</i> ) DR B. K. MAHESHWARI ( <i>Alternate III</i> )
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Hyderabad Indian Road Congress, New Delhi	DR R. PRADEEP KUMAR SECRETARY GENERAL DIRECTOR ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Indian Society of Earthquake Technology, Roorkee	DR H. R. WASON DR M. L. SHARMA ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Military Engineer Services, Engineer-in-Chief's Branch, Army HQ, New Delhi	BRIG SANDEEP RAWAT LT COL GAURAV KAUSHIK ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Ministry of Earth Sciences, National Centre for Seismology, New Delhi	DR O. P. MISHRA DR H. S. MANDAL ( <i>Alternate</i> )
National Council for Cement and Building Materials, Ballabgarh National Disaster Management Authority, New Delhi	SHRI V. V. ARORA SHRI SACHIDANAND SINGH DR SUSANTA KUMAR JENA ( <i>Alternate</i> )
National Thermal Power Corporation, Noida	SHRI PRAVEEN KHANDELWAL SHRI SAURABH GUPTA ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Nuclear Power Corporation of India Limited, Mumbai	SHRI ARVIND SHRIVASTAVA SHRI RAGUPATI ROY ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Research, Designs and Standards Organization, Lucknow	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (B&S) DIRECTOR (B&S)/SB-I ( <i>Alternate</i> )
RITES Limited, Gurugram	GROUP GENARAL MANAGER (CED)
Risk Management Solutions Inc (RMSI), Noida	SHRI SUSHIL GUPTA
Tandon Consultants Private Limited, New Delhi	PROF MAHESH TANDON SHRI VINAY K. GUPTA ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Tata Consulting Engineers, Mumbai	SHRI K. V. SUBRAMANIAN SHRI B. B. GHARAT ( <i>Alternate</i> )
VMS Consultants Private Limited, Mumbai	Ms ALPA R. SHETH SHRI R. D. CHAUDHARI ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, Nagpur	DR O. R. JAISWAL DR R. K. INGLE ( <i>Alternate</i> )
Wadia Institute of Himalayan Geology, Dehradun	DR RAJESH SHARMA DR VIKRAM GUPTA ( <i>Alternate</i> )
In personal capacity, [L-802, Design Arch, e-Homes, Sector-5, Vaishali, Gaziabad]	DR A. S. ARYA
In personal capacity [174/2 F, Solanipuram, Roorkee]	DR S. K. THAKKAR
In personal capacity [36 Old Sneh Nagar, Wardha Raod, Nagpur]	SHRI L. K. JAIN
In personal capacity [H-102, V.V.I.P. Addresses, Raj Nagar Extension, Ghaziabad]	DR A. K. MITTAL
In personal capacity [Flat No. 220, Ankur Apartments, Patparganj, Delhi]	DR V. THIRUVENGADAM
BIS Directorate General	SHRI SANJAY PANT, SCEINTIST E AND HEAD (CIVIL ENGINEERING) [Representing Director General ( <i>Ex-Officio</i> )]

*Member Secretary*  
 SHRI S. ARUN KUMAR  
 SCIENTIST 'D' (CIVIL ENGINEERING), BIS

**Composition of the Drafting Group under CED 39**

Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur, Jodhpur	DR C. V. R. MURTY
Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai	DR RUPEN GOSWAMI
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai	DR RAVI SINHA
VMS Consulting Private Limited, Mumbai	Ms ALPA R. SHETH

- c) Bases of various load combinations to be considered have been made consistent for earthquake effects, with those specified in the other codes;
- d) Temporary structures are brought under the purview of this standard;
- e) Importance factor provisions have been modified to introduce intermediate importance category of buildings, to acknowledge the density of occupancy of buildings;
- f) A provision is introduced to ensure that all buildings are designed for at least a minimum lateral force;
- g) Buildings with flat slabs are brought under the purview of this standard;
- h) Additional clarity is brought in on how to handle different types of irregularity of structural system;
- j) Effect of masonry infill walls has been included in analysis and design of frame buildings;
- k) Method is introduced for arriving at the approximate natural period of buildings with basements, step back buildings and buildings on hill slopes;
- m) Provisions on torsion have been simplified; and
- n) Simplified method is introduced for liquefaction potential analysis.

In the formulation of this standard, effort has been made to coordinate with standards and practices prevailing in different countries in addition to relating it to the practices in the field in this country. Assistance has particularly been derived from the following publications:

- 1) IBC 2015, International Building Code, International Code Council, USA, 2015
- 2) NEHRP 2009, NEHRP Recommended Seismic Provisions for New Buildings and Other Structures, Report No. FEMA P-750, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, DC, USA, 2009
- 3) ASCE/SEI 7-10, Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures, American Society of Civil Engineers, USA, 2010
- 4) NZS 1170.5: 2004, Structural Design Actions, Part 5: Earthquake Actions – New Zealand, Standards New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand, 2004

Also, considerable assistance has been given by Indian Institutes of Technology, Jodhpur, Madras, Bombay, Roorkee and Kanpur; Geological Survey of India; India Meteorological Department, National Centre for Seismology (Ministry of Earth Sciences, Govt of India) and several other organizations. Significant improvements have been made to the standard based on findings of a project entitled, ‘Review of Building Codes and Preparation of Commentary and Handbooks’ awarded to IIT Kanpur by the Gujarat State Disaster Management Authority (GSDMA), Gandhinagar, through World Bank finances during 2003-2004.

The units used with the items covered by the symbols shall be consistent throughout this standard, unless specifically noted otherwise.

The composition of the Committee responsible for the formulation of this standard is given in Annex G.

For the purpose of deciding whether a particular requirement of this standard is complied with, the final value observed or calculated, expressing the result of a test or analysis, shall be rounded off in accordance with IS 2 : 1960 ‘Rules for rounding off numerical values (*revised*)’. The number of significant places retained in the rounded off value should be the same as that of the specified value in this standard.

## Bureau of Indian Standards

BIS is a statutory institution established under the *Bureau of Indian Standards Act, 1986* to promote harmonious development of the activities of standardization, marking and quality certification of goods and attending to connected matters in the country.

### Copyright

BIS has the copyright of all its publications. No part of these publications may be reproduced in any form without the prior permission in writing of BIS. This does not preclude the free use, in the course of implementing the standard, of necessary details, such as symbols and sizes, type or grade designations. Enquiries relating to copyright be addressed to the Director (Publications), BIS.

### Review of Indian Standards

Amendments are issued to standards as the need arises on the basis of comments. Standards are also reviewed periodically; a standard along with amendments is reaffirmed when such review indicates that no changes are needed; if the review indicates that changes are needed, it is taken up for revision. Users of Indian Standards should ascertain that they are in possession of the latest amendments or edition by referring to the latest issue of 'BIS Catalogue' and 'Standards : Monthly Additions'.

This Indian Standard has been developed from Doc No.: CED 39 (7975).

### Amendments Issued Since Publication

Amend No.	Date of Issue	Text Affected

## BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

### Headquarters:

Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi 110002  
Telephones : 2323 0131, 2323 3375, 2323 9402      Website: [www.bis.gov.in](http://www.bis.gov.in)

### Regional Offices:

		Telephones
Central	: Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg NEW DELHI 110002	{ 2323 7617 2323 3841
Eastern	: 1/14 C.I.T. Scheme VII M, V. I. P. Road, Kankurgachi KOLKATA 700054	{ 2337 8499, 2337 8561 2337 8626, 2337 9120
Northern	: SCO 335-336, Sector 34-A, CHANDIGARH 160022	{ 260 3843 260 9285
Southern	: C.I.T. Campus, IV Cross Road, CHENNAI 600113	{ 2254 1216, 2254 1442 2254 2519, 2254 2315
Western	: Manakalaya, E9 MIDC, Marol, Andheri (East) MUMBAI 400093	{ 2832 9295, 2832 7858 2832 7891, 2832 7892

**Branches:** AHMEDABAD. BENGALURU. BHOPAL. BHUBANESHWAR. COIMBATORE. DEHRADUN. FARIDABAD. GHAZIABAD. GUWAHATI. HYDERABAD. JAIPUR. KOCHI. LUCKNOW. NAGPUR. PARWANOO. PATNA. PUNE. RAJKOT. VISAKHAPATNAM.

**AMENDMENT NO. 1 SEPTEMBER 2017**  
**TO**  
**IS 1893 (PART 1) : 2016 CRITERIA FOR EARTHQUAKE**  
**RESISTANT DESIGN OF STRUCTURES**

**PART 1 GENERAL PROVISIONS AND BUILDINGS**

*(Sixth Revision)*

*(Page 7, clause 6.3.2.2, line 3) — Substitute ‘Table 5(v)’ for ‘Table 5(e)’.*

*(Page 21, clause 7.4.2) — Insert the following new clause at the end:*

**‘7.5 Minimum Design Lateral Force**

*See 7.2.2.’*

*[Page 21, clause 7.6.2(b)] — Substitute the following for the existing formula of  $A_w$ :*

$$A_w = \sum_{i=1}^{N_w} \left[ A_{wi} \left\{ 0.2 + \left( \frac{L_{wi}}{h} \right) \right\}^2 \right]$$

*(Page 27, clause 7.11.3, para 2, line 3) — Substitute ‘ $(R_1\Delta_1 + R_2\Delta_2)/2$ ’ for ‘ $(R_1\Delta_1 + R_2\Delta_2)$ ’.*

*(Page 37, Annex E) — Substitute ‘Thiruvannamalai’ for ‘Tiruvannamalai’.*

*[Page 39, clause F-1, step 6(a)] — In para 4 under step 6(a), substitute ‘ $\alpha = 5$ ’ for ‘ $\alpha = 0.5$ ’*

## AMENDMENT NO. 2 NOVEMBER 2020

TO

### IS 1893 (PART 1) : 2016 CRITERIA FOR EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT DESIGN OF STRUCTURES

#### PART 1 GENERAL PROVISIONS AND BUILDINGS

(*Sixth Revision*)

(*Page 5, clause 4.25*) — Insert the following new definition at the end:

**‘4.26 Floating Column** — A vertical element (column) which at its lower level (termination level) rests on a beam.’

(*Page 8, clause 6.3.3.1*) — Substitute the following for the existing:

‘**6.3.3.1** Effects due to vertical earthquake shaking shall be considered,

- a) for the stability of embankments, bridges and dams; and
- b) for the safety of buildings, embankments, bridges and dams or their components, including in the following cases:
  - 1) Structure located in Seismic Zone IV or V,
  - 2) Structure has vertical or plan irregularities,
  - 3) Structure is rested on soft soil,
  - 4) Structures with long spans, which cause amplification of oscillations due to their flexibility,
  - 5) Structure has large overhangs of structural members or sub-systems,
  - 6) Prestressed structures, and
  - 7) Structures with prestressed members.’

(*Page 8, clause 6.3.4*) — Substitute the following for the existing:

‘**6.3.4 Combinations to Account for Two or Three Directional Earthquake Ground Shaking**’

**Amendment No. 2 to IS 1893 (Part I): 2016**

[Page 14, Table 5, Sl No. (i), last para] — Substitute the following for the existing:

*'In a building with torsional irregularity, when the horizontal total displacement  $\Delta_{\max}$  (which is larger in amplitude) at one end of the building in plan is:*

- i) *in the range of  $1.2 \Delta_{\text{ave}}$  to  $1.4 \Delta_{\text{ave}}$  (where  $\Delta_{\text{ave}} = (\Delta_{\max} + \Delta_{\min})/2$ , in which  $\Delta_{\min}$  is the horizontal total displacement, which is smaller in amplitude at the other end), (a) the building configuration shall be revised to ensure that the natural period of the fundamental torsional mode of oscillation shall be smaller than those of the first two translational modes along each of the principal plan directions, and then (b) three dimensional dynamic analysis method shall be adopted.*
- ii) *more than  $1.4 \Delta_{\text{ave}}$ , the structural configuration of the building shall be revised.'*

[Page 16, Table 5, Sl No. (ii), last para] — Substitute the following for the existing:

*'In a building with re-entrant corners, three-dimensional dynamic analysis method with flexible floor diaphragm shall be adopted to capture the concentration of forces generated in the re-entrant corners especially in the floor diaphragm and special elements adjoining the re-entrant corner. This is in addition to the case of rigid diaphragm analysis, if applicable, and the worst effect considered.'*

[Page 16, Table 5, Sl No. (iii), last para] — Substitute the following for the existing:

*'In a building with floor slabs having excessive cut-outs or openings, if the area of the geometric cut-out is,*

- a) *less than or equal to 50 percent, the floor slab shall be taken as rigid or flexible in plane in structural analysis of the building depending on the location of and size of openings.*
- b) *more than 50 percent, the floor slab shall be taken as flexible in plane in structural analysis of the building'.*

[Page 16, Table 5, Sl No.(iv), para (b)] — Substitute the following for the existing:

*'b) the following two conditions shall be satisfied, if the building is located in Seismic Zones III, IV and V:*

- 1) *The forces and moments due to earthquake effects in the elements connecting the two vertical elements with out of plane offset elements,*

*the vertical element supporting the offset, and connections shall be enhanced by a factor of at least 2.5.*

- 2) *Lateral drift shall be less than 0.2 percent in the storey having the offset and also in the storeys below.'*

[*Page 16, Table 5, Sl No. (v), last para*] — Substitute the following for the existing:

*'In a building with non-parallel lateral force resisting system, the building shall be analyzed for load combinations mentioned in 6.3.2.2 or 6.3.4.1.'*

[*Page 16, Table 6, Sl No. (i)*] — Substitute the following for the existing:

**'i) Stiffness irregularity (Soft Storey)**

A soft storey is a storey whose lateral stiffness is less than that of the storey above.

*In a building with stiffness irregularity, the following shall be complied with:*

- 1) *Dynamic Analysis shall be employed to capture the actual distribution of lateral stiffness along the height of the building; and*
- 2) *The inter-storey drift shall be limited to 0.2 percent in that storey and all storeys below, if any, with stiffness irregularity.*

*Also, in buildings with URM infills, when contribution of masonry infills exceeds 20 percent in the structural plan density (SPD) of the building, the effect of URM infills shall be considered by explicitly modelling the same in structural analysis.*

*In buildings with stiffness irregularity arising out of URM Infills, provisions of 7.9 shall apply.*

NOTE — This provision is not applicable to such storeys, which have: (a) lower height, where services and utilities are housed, or (b) outrigger frame members placed in them.'

[*Page 16, Table 6, Sl No. (ii), last para*] — Substitute the following for the existing:

*'In a building with mass irregularity and located in Seismic Zones III, IV and V, the earthquake effects shall be estimated by dynamic analysis (as per 7.7).'*

[*Page 16, Table 6, Sl No. (iii), last para*] — Substitute the following for the existing:

*'In a building with vertical geometric irregularity and located in Seismic Zones III, IV and V, the earthquake effects shall be estimated by Dynamic Analysis (as per 7.7).'*

## **Amendment No. 2 to IS 1893 (Part I): 2016**

[*Page 16, Table 6, Sl No. (iv), last para*] — Substitute the following for the existing:

*'In a building with in-plane discontinuity and located in Seismic Zone II, the lateral drift of the building under the design lateral force shall be limited to 0.2 percent of the building height. Buildings with in-plane discontinuity shall not be permitted in Seismic Zones III, IV and V.'*

[*Page 16, Table 6, Sl No. (v), last para*] — Substitute the following for the existing:

*'Buildings with strength irregularity shall not be permitted. In case, the weak storey is because of URM infills, provisions of 7.10 shall be followed.'*

[*Page 16, Table 6, Sl No. (vi)*] — Substitute the following for the existing:

### **'vi) Floating Columns**

Such columns are likely to cause concentrated damage in the structure, and are undesirable.

*'A building with floating columns shall not be permitted, if the floating columns are part of or supporting the primary lateral load resisting system.'*

[*Page 16, Table 6, Sl No. (vii)*] — Substitute the following for the existing:

### **'vii) Irregular Modes of Oscillation in Two Principal Plan Directions**

Stiffnesses of beams, columns, braces and structural walls determine the lateral stiffness of a building in each principal plan direction. A building is said to have lateral irregularity in a principal plan direction, if:

- a) the first three lateral translational modes contribute less than 65 percent mass participation factor in each principal plan direction, and
- b) the fundamental lateral translational natural periods of the building in the two principal plan directions are closer to each other by 10 percent of the larger value.

*In a building with lateral irregularity in any of its two principal plan directions, and which is located in:*

- 1) Seismic Zones II and III, it shall be ensured that the first three lateral translational modes together contribute at least 65 percent mass participation factor in each principal plan direction.

2) Seismic Zones IV and V, it shall be ensured that:

- a) the first three lateral translational modes together contribute at least 65 percent mass participation factor in each principal plan direction, and
- b) the fundamental lateral translational natural periods of the building in the two principal plan directions are away from each other by at least 10 percent of the larger value.

NOTE — This provision is not applicable to buildings with large podiums in the lower storeys.'

(Page 17, Fig. 4A, middle figure) — Delete.

(Page 18, Fig. 4E, middle figure) — Delete.

[Page 19, Table 8, Sl No. (i), col. 2, line 3] — Substitute 'educational buildings' for 'schools'.

[Page 20, Table 9, Sl No. (ii)(a), line 2] — Insert the words '(see Note 1)' at the end.

[Page 20, Table 9, Sl No. (iv), title] — Delete the words '(see Note 3)'.

[Page 20, Table 9, Sl No. (v)] — Substitute the following for the existing:

**'v) Flat Slab — Structural Wall Systems (see Note 4)**

RC building with the features given below:

**3.0**

- a) Ductile RC structural walls (which are designed to resist 100 percent of the design lateral force),
- b) Perimeter RC SMRFs (which are designed to independently resist 25 percent of the design lateral force), and
- c) Preferably a system (such as outrigger belt truss system) connecting the core ductile RC structural walls and the perimeter RC SMRFs.'

[Page 20, Table 9, Note 1, line 1] — Substitute 'Structures' for 'RC and steel structures'.

**Amendment No. 2 to IS 1893 (Part I): 2016**

(Page 20, Table 9, Note 3) — Substitute the following for the existing note:  
'See 7.2.7'.

(Page 20, Table 9, Note 4) — Substitute the following for the existing:

'4 In these buildings, (a) punching shear failure shall be avoided, and (b) lateral drift at the roof under lateral force shall not exceed 0.1 percent. Lateral drift of the flat slab buildings shall be estimated: (i) considering total lateral displacement, including torsional effects, and (ii) using three-dimensional models. Scaling need not be done for displacement response quantities, as stated in 7.7.3.2.'

[Page 21, clause 7.6.2 (b) (see also Amendment No. 1)] — Substitute the following for the existing expression of  $A_w$ :

$$A_w = \sum_{i=1}^{N_w} A_{wi} \left\{ 0.2 + \left( \frac{L_{wi}}{h} \right)^2 \right\}$$

[Page 21, clause 7.6.2(c)] — Insert the following at the end of (c):

'7.6.2.1 The value of  $T_a$  obtained shall neither be taken to be more than that given in 7.6.2 (a) nor less than that given in 7.6.2 (c).'

(Page 23, clause 7.7.3, para 2, line 1) — Substitute the following for the existing:

'7.7.3.1 When  $V_B < \overline{V_B}$ , the force response quantities.....'

(Page 23, clause 7.7.3, para 2, last line) — Insert the following new clause at the end:

'7.7.3.2 When  $V_B < \overline{V_B}$ , the displacement response quantities need not be scaled.'

(Page 25, clause 7.9.1, last line) — Insert the following new clause at the end:

'7.9.1.1 RC members shall be designed for the governing combinations of stress resultants arising from structural analysis of:

- a) Bare frame building, and
- b) Frame building with URM infills.'

(*Page 26, clause 7.11.1.2*) — Substitute the following for the existing:

‘7.11.1.2 Displacement estimates obtained from dynamic analysis need not be scaled, as stated in 7.7.3.2.’

(*Page 27, clause 7.11.3, line 5*) — Substitute ‘for the two’ for ‘of the two’.

(*Page 39, Fig. 8*) — Substitute ‘ $(N_1)_{60CS}$ ’ for ‘ $(N_1)_{60}$ ’.

(*Page 39, Fig. 8*) — Substitute ‘CYCLIC RESISTANCE RATIO ( $CRR_{7.5}$ )’ in place of the existing ‘CYCLIC STRESS RATIO (CSR) OR CYCLIC RESISTANCE RATIO (CRR)’.

(*Page 40, Fig. 9*) — Substitute ‘CYCLIC RESISTANCE RATIO ( $CRR_{7.5}$ )’ in place of the existing ‘CYCLIC STRESS RATIO (CSR) OR CYCLIC RESISTANCE RATIO (CRR)’.

(*Page 41, Fig. 10*) — Substitute ‘CYCLIC RESISTANCE RATIO ( $CRR_{7.5}$ )’ in place of the existing ‘CYCLIC STRESS OR RESISTANCE RATIO. CSR OR CRR’.