

Lab 4: House Elevation NPV Analysis

Lucia Romero Alston (lmr12)

Thu., Feb. 8

```
1 using CSV
2 using DataFrames
3 using DataFramesMeta
4 using Distributions
5 using Interpolations
6 using Plots
7 using StatsPlots
8 using Unitful
9
10 Plots.default(; margin=6Plots.mm)
11
12 include("depthdamage.jl")
```

1 Building the Case Study

Here, we are using existing data to build a depth-damage function.

```
1 haz_fl_dept = CSV.read("data/haz_fl_dept.csv", DataFrame) # read in the file
2 desc = "Cafeteria Restaurant, structure"
3 row = @rsubset(haz_fl_dept, :Description == desc)[1, :] # select the row I want
4 dd = DepthDamageData(row) # extract the depth-damage data
5 damage_fn = get_depth_damage_function(dd.depths, dd.damages) # get the depth-damage function
```

#13 (generic function with 1 method)

Now we must offset the function by the height of the building we are analyzing in relation to the gauge. We can then run a Monte Carlo approach to estimate the expected cost of flooding.

```
1 gauge_dist = GeneralizedExtremeValue(5, 1, 0.1) # hypothetical gauge distribution
2 offset = 3.74 # hypothetical height from house to gauge
3 house_dist = GeneralizedExtremeValue(gauge_dist. - offset, gauge_dist., gauge_dist.)
4
5 samples = rand(house_dist, 100_000) .* 1u"ft"
6 damages = damage_fn(samples)
7 expected_damages_pct = mean(damages)
```

15.965978072191657

These damages are expressed as a percentage of the value of the house, so we must input data on

the actual value of the house to turn this damage amount into cost. I used Zillow in Galveston to get a sense of the value of the homes in the area that I am analyzing. There is a significant range but tend to be around \$400,000.

```
1 house_structure_value = 400000
2 expected_damages_usd = house_structure_value * expected_damages_pct / 100
```

63863.91228876662

To evaluate the cost of elevating we use a piecewise linear function that depends on the area of the house and how high we elevate. The following are some defined inputs that will be used in the creation of the NPV functions.

```
1 house_area = 4004u"ft^2"
2 house_value = 400000
3 elevation_cost = get_elevation_cost_function() # gives us a fitted interpolator
```

elevation_cost (generic function with 1 method)

2 Single Year Function

This function will tell us our costs and benefits in a single year looking at distribution of flooding, depth-damage function, cost of elevation, house value, and how high the house is elevated in the given year.

```
1 function single_year_cost_benefit(flood_dist, damage_fn, elevation_cost, house_area, house_val
2
3     # calculate the expected damages
4     new_flood_dist = GeneralizedExtremeValue(flood_dist. - ustrip( $\Delta h$ ), flood_dist. , flood_dis
5
6     samples = rand(new_flood_dist, 100_000) .* 1u"ft"
7     damages = damage_fn(samples)
8     expected_damages_pct = mean(damages)
9
10    expected_damages_usd = house_structure_value * expected_damages_pct / 100
11    c_dmg = expected_damages_usd
12
13    # calculate the cost of elevating
14    c_constr = elevation_cost( $\Delta h$ , house_area)
15
16    # return the total cost and benefit
17    return -c_constr - c_dmg
18 end
```

single_year_cost_benefit (generic function with 1 method)

3 NPV Function

This function will calculate the net present value (NPV) over a T year design window.

```

1 function npv_cost_benefit(house_dist, damage_fn, elevation_cost, house_area, house_value, Δh, T)
2     time = 1:T # creating an array containing the time over which we will analyze
3     expected_damages_usd = [] # an empty array that we will fill with our cost-benefits
4     if time == 1
5         year1 = single_year_cost_benefit(house_dist, damage_fn, elevation_cost, house_area, house_value, Δh)
6         push!(expected_damages_usd, year1)
7     else
8         new_flood_dist = GeneralizedExtremeValue(house_dist. - ustrip(Δh), house_dist. , house_value)
9         othereyears = single_year_cost_benefit(new_flood_dist, damage_fn, elevation_cost, house_area, house_value, Δh)
10        push!(expected_damages_usd, othereyears)
11    end
12    npv = sum(expected_damages_usd .* (1 - discount_rate) .^ (0:T)) # discounting the damages
13    return npv
14 end

```

npv_cost_benefit (generic function with 1 method)

4 House Elevation NPVs

Now that we have a function for the net present value (NPV) of a home for a given elevations. We can test it out at different elevations.

To begin, we will test the home at an elevation of $\Delta h=3\text{ft}$ as per Galvestons guidelines for land development for above the floodplain.

```

1 h3 = npv_cost_benefit(house_dist, damage_fn, elevation_cost, house_area, house_value, 3.0*u"ft", T)
-76663.55447532768

```

We also want to test the home at other elevations: elevation (Δh) = 0 ft

```

1 h0 = npv_cost_benefit(house_dist, damage_fn, elevation_cost, house_area, house_value, 0*u"ft", T)
-550890.6375263121

```

elevation (Δh) = 5 ft

```

1 h5 = npv_cost_benefit(house_dist, damage_fn, elevation_cost, house_area, house_value, 5*u"ft", T)
-19024.8537540443

```

elevation (Δh) = 7 ft

```

1 h7 = npv_cost_benefit(house_dist, damage_fn, elevation_cost, house_area, house_value, 7*u"ft", T)
-5098.9840057752945

```

elevation (Δh) = 9 ft

```

1 h9 = npv_cost_benefit(house_dist, damage_fn, elevation_cost, house_area, house_value, 9*u"ft", T)
-1740.552365998668

```

elevation (Δh) = 11 ft

```

1 h11 = npv_cost_benefit(house_dist, damage_fn, elevation_cost, house_area, house_value, 11*u"ft"

-548.3786890057812
elevation ( $\Delta h$ ) = 13 ft
1 h13 = npv_cost_benefit(house_dist, damage_fn, elevation_cost, house_area, house_value, 13*u"ft"

-194.3306603940954
elevation ( $\Delta h$ ) = 15 ft
1 h15 = npv_cost_benefit(house_dist, damage_fn, elevation_cost, house_area, house_value, 15*u"ft"

-128.7089651695394
elevation ( $\Delta h$ ) = 17 ft
1 h17 = npv_cost_benefit(house_dist, damage_fn, elevation_cost, house_area, house_value, 17*u"ft"

-78.47973221042702

```

At my prediction of $\Delta h=3\text{ft}$ the NPV of costs of construction and damages was around \$78,000 compared to the cost of not elevating at all which was around \$55,000. This goes to show that elevating your home only a little bit can actually cost you more for the price of elevation if it is not enough to make an actual difference when it comes to flooding. As Δh went increasing, costs significantly dropped. Even at $\Delta h=5\text{ft}$, the cost of elevation and its benefits was around \$18,000, saving about \$60,000 for a two foot difference. Moving into the double digits (11+), the cost cut down to be in the hundreds, saving any homeowner tens of thousands of dollars in flooding costs.

5 Sensitivity Analysis

Assuming the discount rate is uncertain, we can use the Monte Carlo Approach to estimate the expected NPV for a range of discount rates.

```

1 N=20
2 discount_rate_dist = Normal(0.5, 0.5) # the normal distribution of our uncertain discount rate
3 sample3 = rand(discount_rate_dist, N) # taking N random values from our discount rate distribution
4 result3 = npv_cost_benefit(house_dist, damage_fn, elevation_cost, house_area, house_value, 3.0)
5 mean(result3) # the mean of the NPVs to estimate over a range of discount rates

-757830.1058146745

```

6 Discussion

The NPV for different actions can vary significantly even for different types of actions. For instance, we found that varying the Δh by only 2ft can cause a difference of about \$60,000. This is extremely important because it helps developers and homeowners understand the importance of building and elevating intentionally. Also, it is clear that the discount rate has a significant effect on the NPV. When analyzed at a height $\Delta h=3\text{ft}$ and a discount rate of 0.05, the NPV=-(\$78,621.40), but when the discount rate was varied in the Monte Carlo approach and height remained constant, the resulting NPV was NPV=-(\$39,315.76). This difference for the same height is significant, meaning

homeowners and developers should pay close attention to economy when planning to raise their homes.

When running tests and making models like this, it is important to consider that they are not real life, only an imitation of it. There are certain factors that are not included in this analysis that might have affects on the NPV of elevating ones home in real life. These include geographical and soil properties, local and regional politics, climate change, water properties and building ages. These may affect the results because it might make it more difficult or more expensive to elevate the home, making the cost outweigh the benefit more than what is preferred. Local politics can have a huge effect on a home owners likeliness and ability to elevate their home, through making it more accessable and providing aid, or just the opposite, blocking it and making it more difficult or expensive. To adress these limitations, this kind of model can be used as a basis to express trends in elevation, instead of for exact results. home owners and engineers can look to this sort of model to understand the trend that house elevation lowers the costs and damages due to flooding. It can also be combined with other kinds of models thta model other kinds of data in order to try to understand a more clear and overarching picture of the “state of the world”.