Results of the October 2000 CGNS Email Survey

AIAA Reno Jan. 2001

Steve M. Legensky
Founder and General Manager
Intelligent Light



Raw Data

- 360 Surveys Sent Out
- 84 "Responses" of which 45 were "bounces"
- Therefore, 39 usable responses
 - 16 Commercial
 - II Universities
 - 6 NASA/National Labs
 - 2 Solver Cos.
 - 2 Grid generation
 - 2 Post-processing



Commercial Responses

- Location = 8 US, 8 European
 - 0 in production
 - 2 using and having some impact
 - 2 haven't tried it yet
 - 12 in various stages of trying it
- Solver/Motivation
 - I I with in-house/government codes
 - 5 want it for commercial codes
 - Universal desire: share data between codes



NASA/National Lab Responses

- 4 from NASA, I Sandia, I LANL
 - 0 using and having some impact
 - 2 haven't tried it yet
 - 4 in various stages of trying it

- Main Issues
 - Lack of full pre/post suites to support operation
 - Poor operation in parallel environment
 - Lack of time to implement



Other Observations

- People generally want to interchange data
 - Some European organizations using just ADF
 - Interchange between commercial solvers is the key for industrial respondents
 - Some people have experienced small incompatibility problems
- Issues people have raised
 - Complaints about "heaviness of CGNS"
 - Problems with complexity and structure of documentation
 - Some link problems under Windows & LINUX
 - Poor implementation of BC's and unstructured data types

Intelligent Light

