World Piece Computer Operator Profile:

Blair (The Wilder-ness) Munro
~
Computational Peacenut
for hire

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Mission being to accelerate the advent of a computational global peace system, this individual presents as a qualified member of the time machine for peace social invention program.

As inventor and operator of the first functioning world piece computer, this individual is eager and uniquely qualified to equip organizations in need with a radical new peace-centric computational framework engineered to optimize for taking on the impossible, while maximizing generalized profits and making our world a more Humane place in the process.

If success is of existential concern, hire Blair (The Wilder-ness) Munro as standing Chief Peace Officer.

PROFILE CONTENTS:
. Letter of Value Offering
. CV
. Letter of Purpose
. Letter of Intent
. Supporting Context

ENGAGEMENT PROTOCOL:

WHILE // Continuously reflecting between functional needs(wants) & wants(needs) evaluation.

DO // Read this document for comprehension.

IFF & IF // GO & Can afford.

THEN // Contact using subject tag 'GO' to schedule exploratory meeting.

ELSE & (if//genuine) // Contact using subject tag 'NOGO' to drop feedback or schedule meeting.

EMAIL: wilder@wp.computer.
CALL/TEXT: 907-297-9901.

INTRODUCTION VIDEO:

Introducing myself: computational peacenut (for hire)

Blair Munro | Letter of Value Offering1/1

I come in peace,

My legal identity is Blair Munro; my piece computer operator identity is The Wilder-ness (short, Wilder); my interior identity is The Individual; my exterior identity is The Observer. Call me Blair by default, Wilder if we are creating.

My value is stored not in my skills or experience, but in my abilities. In part:

. my ability to attain new ability quickly
. my ability to focus continually on staying focused
. my ability to do only what I need to thrive
. my ability to maintain courage to live boldly
. my ability to act in a strictly deliberate manner
. my ability to create with originality
. my ability to make excruciating sacrifice
. my ability to overcome impossible odds
. my ability to master new skills as I need them
. my ability to seek out new experience as I need it
. my ability to derive wisdom from not knowing
. my ability to have a good time in any circumstance

This is what makes me different.

Specific skills and experience are unimportant to me on their own. Attaining them is an ancillary task to solving the hardest problem: accomplish the impossible thing, and by doing so be at peace, which is the most valuable thing. So I maintain an explicit computational peace process, because I reject peace as a product; peace is the only process.

I want to thrive on my terms. As the story goes, thriving on my terms is the only way I found to guarantee my survival. I believe the only way to survive is to invent ways to want what one needs, and the only way to thrive is to invent ways to need what one wants. So to ensure that I only want what I need and need what I want, I invented a process-technology called the *world piece computer* and I rely on my prototype. World piece computers provide a socio-technological framework for addressing the general want-need invention problem. This solution maintains a piecewise-continuous computational evolutionary optimization process, for integrating world pieces and configuring interworld connections in iteratively better ways, in explicit strict terms of peace.

This is how I make me better.

I do not have most the skills or experience you require, but I provide assurance that when in a position to need a skill or experience, then I attain it quickly because I want to, because I need to. My process is fastest, engineered to implement the least-action principle of variational mechanics, relying on constructs from Einstein gravity and quantum physics for effective operation. This choice in design is because my time is running out, and I cannot waste work. Wasted work dissipates energy instead of focusing into life. We know by the second law of thermodynamics of physics and non-equilibrium of biology, that energy dissipation correlates with stagnation, death.

Your organization is a living entity of its own, and I think it needs individual constituents who understand the nature of the situation: the project must both survive and thrive, thus the general raison d'être for any individual, team, or the organization as a whole, is to implement the want-need dialectic by inventing. We are all responsible for figuring out how to best use our precious limited time to invent, using resulting value to buy more time, to survive and thrive longer, to prolong. Given limited resources, this responsibility demands the unbendable will to collaborate and communicate relentlessly, so to satisfy the least-action principle. In my world's language, the collection of all people devoted to success given the situation at hand and within the computational peace framework above is what I call the *time machine for peace social invention program*.

This is why I believe the who I am to offer is essential.

We are people devoted solely to our existential success within the aforementioned framework. We only work on doing the impossible things. Here by example I applied my peace process for days by relentlessly iterating on this piece so to differentiate myself in order to make an impossible sell: I am the only person I know engaged with the invention program in the explicit and consistent language of maintaining peace as a computational world piece configuration optimization process. My thesis is people or entities utilizing this framework thrive most.

I approach from a position of substantial weakness. I must only work toward doing the impossible thing—grooming myself to witness the creation of an established computational global peace system—thus I do not have the skillset you need right now because I have been busy doing other hardest things in preparation. Please nevertheless, seriously consider including me in your peace process. I evolve fast, and my peace process is always converging.

May peace be your process.

LOCATION, EMAIL, PHONE | Anchorage, Alaska, blairmunroakusa@wp.computer, 907-297-9901

WHY I AM HERE:

I am looking for immediate work in a role solving only the hardest problems, and I want to join you in your mission.

In my last role as a blockchain engineer with Interlock Network, I learned how to launch an audited production-grade utility token from a technical, architectural, and business standpoint. This role afforded me opportunity to architect and design a multi-chain web3 MVP—a token and staking scheme to crowdsource model refinement for AI-driven threat-intel generation. I evaluated the blockchain and bridging space to define a viable web3 technical roadmap that scales then extends to accommodate aggressive target market growth and satisfy technical post-MVP feature requirements. Contending with multiple pivots, I implemented our early blockchain segment of the MVP, designing and writing smart contracts for Solana, Wormhole, Ethereum, Arbitrum, and Aleph Zero (Substrate). Consequently, I have experience with Solana Rust, Ink! Rust, Solidity smart contract development; Javascript, Node.js, Typescript, Python for interfacing; and familiarity with decentralized ledger networks, consensus schemes, virtual machines.

From my roles in telecommunications, I am adept working in high-pressure production environments, engineering satellite transport systems and troubleshooting critical outages that were often life-safety interests for Alaskans relying on emergency services served over our networks. I am an electrical engineer by degree, RF and software engineer by experience, rust and python developer by practice. I ran a small Alaskan business with successful exit and have extensive experience working hard physical labor landscaping to pay for school.

I am an autodidact and artist. Currently my attention and knowledge-acquisition efforts settle on systems engineering, hybrid blockchain/DAG decentralized ledgers, smart contract cellular automata, quantum computing, quantum algorithm engineering, RAG AI implementations, and neural networks.

EDUCATION, WORK, PERSONAL EXPERIENCE:

INTERLOCK NETWORK	. Blockchain engineer.
	. Performed senior engineering duties.
	. Architected and designed production grade cryptocurrency token and security staking scheme.
	. Responsible for advising PM, PE, CMO, CEO for all blockchain affairs, including recommended actions.
	. Ported the token and staking scheme twice to languages new to me after last-minute business pivots.
	. Invented abstraction/formalism to allow smart contracts to connect to one another as if computers.
	. Coordinated public facing security audit of ink! smart contracts with Kudelski Security.
	. Frequently contributed to other aspects of software product unrelated to blockchain responsibilities.
	. Interfaced with large partner CEOs, open source projects, and advisors to coordinate collaboration.
	. Made contributions to high-level business strategy, marketing, and overall product design.
AT&T	. Traveling satellite technician.
	. Personally resolved over 70 priority outages in remote Alaskan villages, coordinating all operational aspects.
	. Commissioned 10+ EDGE upgrade transport systems for C Band earth stations in rural villages.
	. Lead technician on redundant Ka Band upgrade project, commissioning 15+ Ka Band earth stations.
GCI	. RF Microwave and Satellite Engineer.
	. Completed TCP/IP, iDirect, and Cambridge Radio training, emphasizing networking and Linux systems.
	. Installed and commissioned iDirect satellite bandwidth pool-sharing system in hub earth station.
	. Active iDirect troubleshooter for 20+ priority outages/turn ups.
	. Created transponder plan for organization-wide circuit migration to new Intelsat H3 satellite.
	. Provisioned, installed, commissioned SatNMS network management server for monitoring satellite links.
UAA	**Bachelor of Science** in ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, **Minors** in MATHEMATICS & PHYSICS
	. Dean's list 5 consecutive semesters for 4.0 academic performance.
	. Self-taught Quantum Physics, General Relativity, Plasma Physics, Mathematical Physics.
	. Twice awarded ALASKA SPACE GRANT one to build DC glow discharge plasma northern lights simulator.
	. Led team to construct medium-high vacuum chamber to house the northern lights simulator.
	. Worked on various projects (diagnostic tool design, magnet design, etc) for plasma physics laboratory.
AUC	. Business Finance, International Relations, and Arabic at The American University in Cairo, Egypt.
MISC	. Landscaping foreman and operator, server, ATV tour guiding to pay for school.
	. Created RAG LLM chat companion as natural language interface for piece computer invention project.
	. Created fractal micropayment web3 app for the Solana blockchain.
	. Created, owned, operated, sold successful small Alaskan snow plowing business, Snowshark, LLC.

Testimonials

The only way I can really think to describe the impossible odds [Blair] overcame—not just working for this company but also having no prior software engineering experience—were if we put a small child behind the wheel of a semi-truck and the only instruction we gave was to get the cargo all the way from home in Alaska down to port in Miami, Florida. The kid actually made it, and without causing an accident. *Final Performance Review,* ▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨

Sharing with permission, the Blair you see and come to value comes from a long-time struggle with acute chronic mental illness, in most part a condition called schizoaffective-BP. Having firsthand experience with this condition, I am extremely surprised by how far old-Blair managed to come, and impressed by how well current-Blair performs given his constant battles to maintain inner peacekeeping affairs in face of symptoms. Blair gets through each day, somehow also consistently excelling to a degree often deemed impossible by those familiar with his condition. This is testament to Blair as an expert in managing impossible things while still doing more, but he succeeds not just by his talents or support, more-so by insisting first and foremost on solving only the hardest problems first. *Auto-Testimonial, Blair Munro, blairmunroakusa, LLC*

Detailed Software Engineering Experience

- . **Senior blockchain engineering duties and achievements at Interlock Network:**
    - . Coordinated multichain integration with manV3 browser extension and AI backend threat detection service.
    - . Coordinated public facing security audit of ink! smart contracts with Kudelski Security.
    - . Conducted interviews and screening to hire support for blockchain, backend, and frontend work after org restructure.
    - . Performed code review and proposed refactors to frontend product. Threatslayer MVP here.
    - . Performed internal audit of backend AI threat detection services, flagging performance issues to relevant leadership.
    - . Architected and designed production grade cryptocurrency token and 'Security Staking' scheme.
    - . Architected 'Security Staking' workflows, phase 2 of blockchain MVP roadmap: Mermaid diagrams here.
    - . Interfaced with large partner CEOs, open source projects, and advisors to coordinate collaboration.
    - . Made contributions to high-level business strategy, marketing, and overall product design.
    - . Responsible for advising PM, PE, CMO, CEO for all blockchain affairs, including recommended actions.
    - . Currently launching Interlock Network $ILOCK token on Arbitrum and Aleph Zero blockchains.
    - . Began effort to bridge token and staking contracts via Wormhole between Ethereum and Solana.
- . **Solidity smartcontract engineering accomplishments:**
    - . Implemented EIP712 for stake/airdrop claiming: Sample here, thru to line 570.
    - . Implemented vesting schedule for $ILOCK token contract: Sample here, thru to line 1100.
- . **Rust smartcontract engineering accomplishments:**
    - . Implemented ink! (Substrate) vesting schedule for $ILOCK token: Sample here, thru to line 1890.
    - . Implemented ink! (Substrate) e2e test event decoding: Sample here, thru to line 104.
    - . Implemented ink! (Substrate) multisig (similar to Gnosis Safe) for $ILOCK token: Sample here, thru to line 1440.
    - . Created ink! (Substrate) 'port/socket' formalism for treating smartcontracts like applications: Docs here.
        - . Template application code sample here.
        - . Sample application (UANFT) code here, thru to line 1173, and lines 1214 thru 1270.
        - . Sample $ILOCK application interface code here, thru to line 2528.
    - . Created ink! (Substrate) Universal Access NFT credential management scheme, non-ZK credential storage on blockchain.
    - . Created Python (Substrate) blockchain interface for backend applications: Sample here.
    - . Created Solana template for nontrivial advanced programs (smartcontracts): Repo here.
    - . Created Solana dApp 'Fracpay': Repo here.
    - . Created Solana 'Security Staking' MVP contracts for Interlock Network:
        - . Sample program instruction here.
        - . Sample program state here.
        - . Sample program utilities here.
- . **Misc software engineering accomplishments:**
    - . Created AI LLM RAG chat assistant (Python, Javascript, Langchain, ChromaDB, OpenAI):
        - . Deployed instance here.
        - . Backend code sample here (Note, poorly commented/factored—this was created quickly with limited personal free time.)
        - . Prompt engineering templates here.
    - . My rust 1d cellular automata hello-world is here.

Hard Skills, Technologies, Tools

I acquire and master skills on an as-needed basis. I am happy to demonstrate my ability to do so. Rust and Python are my favorite languages. Some tools I have used in recent past are:

Rust, Python, typescript, ink!, Openbrush, Solidity, OpenZeppelin, linux/ nix, SQL, Rest/GraphQL, flask, chromadb, langchain, vue, OpenAI, assembly, C, Matlab, LaTeX, TCP/IP stack, gunicorn, Digital Ocean, Cloudflare, Hugo, Solana, Wormhole, Aleph Zero, Substrate.

Timeline

2022 - 2024	Junior + Senior Blockchain Engineer at Interlock Network
2019 - 2021	Traveling Satellite Transport Technician at AT&T Alascom
2018 - 2019	Engineer I, RF Microwave and Satellite at GCI
2014 - 2018	Electrical Engineering, Biology, Accounting at The University of Alaska, Anchorage
2015 - 2016	Plasma Physics Research Assistant at UAA Plasma Physics Laboratory
2011 - 2012	Owner & Operator of Snow Shark Plowing Services, LLC
2009 - 2011	International Relations and Business Finance at The American University in Cairo
2008 - 2009	International Relations at Montana State University

References

▨▨▨▨	Interlock Network	▨▨▨▨
	Principle Engineer, Founder	▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨
▨▨▨▨▨	GCI	▨▨▨▨
	Coworker, Mentor	▨▨▨▨▨▨
▨▨▨▨	Interlock Network	▨▨▨
	CEO, Founder	▨▨▨▨▨
▨▨▨▨	Interlock Network	▨▨▨▨
	CMO, Founder	▨▨▨▨▨▨▨
▨▨▨▨▨▨	Interlock Network	▨▨▨▨
	Product Manager	▨▨▨▨▨
▨▨▨	AT&T	▨▨▨▨
	Region Manager	▨▨▨▨
▨▨▨▨	AT&T	▨▨▨▨
	In-Charge, Mentor	▨▨▨▨▨▨
▨▨▨▨	GCI	▨▨▨▨
	Director	▨▨▨▨▨▨
▨▨▨▨	49th State Motor Tours	▨▨▨▨
	Owner, Mentor	▨▨▨▨▨▨
▨▨▨▨	UAA, Dept. of Engineering	▨▨▨▨
	Chair, Mentor, Professor	▨▨▨▨▨

Additional references available upon request.

Active Professional Development & Certification

INCOSE ASEP . decentralized track-and-trace cyberphysical security framework with CSU . self-study in systems engineering, variational mechanics, quantum computing, neural networks

Personal Project Work

Time Machine For Peace Social Invention Program . general piece computer (invention) . the universal piece computer (computational global peace system construction) . world piece computers (prototyping) . qualitative difference physics (objective physics subjective analog) . the hard problem of consciousness (timespace matter mindmachine formalism) . peace systems engineering . conceptual peace art . music composition . identity architecture, engineering, design

Greetings!

The first thing I do is treat peace as a computational, piecewise-continuous, evolutionary, optimization process—not a product. Everything else follows from there, peace-process always coming first.

The optimization problem is simple: how does one assemble all the pieces in their world to configure and connect externally with other worlds to maximize net individual sense of inner peace (peace in the subjective product-sense) while minimizing net individual sense of inner war? In the professional setting, this means thinking of inner peace and war in terms of how successful an individual, team, or entire organization's efforts are at solving their *hardest* problems first, be these technical, architectural, business, cultural, personal, etc. I believe the only way to accomplish this reliably is to use the world pieces to build a framework—a world piece computer—to run the peace-process and interface with other worlds to share a seamless reliable global process that leverages *deliberate and explicit* communication protocols to facilitate functionalities such as error correction, objective function evaluation, hardest-problem alignment, nesting iteration synchronization, etc.

In any technical leadership capacity the value I aspire to add is proportional to the number of people I am able to integrate with my process, plus the degree to which they are similarly process oriented—relentlessly communicative, striving to focus only the hardest problems *first*, all other problems following by dependency, every action, interaction, inaction, and so on, a deliberate step toward overcoming the global *impossible* problems. Thus I believe my actual value to offer is the assertive and unconventional ethos of computational peace I foster, not just my (limited) software engineering experience and corresponding skillset. The rest I believe must only ever be the *means* to an end—the engineering technique, the programming skills, the domain expertise. The ultimate end is to solve the *impossible* problems. I think this is what it takes to *survive* as an organization long enough to realize the vision and project it beyond, and *thrive* via the process along the way; this is what I think takes to realize any vision of epic proportion.

I believe that when a person or community maintains an explicit computational peace-process to focus honestly on the impossible problem at hand, then dependent problems like individuals needing to master a new technical skill on the fly are a simple matter of regressing within a simple template, for example a pattern like this:

. Solve hardest problem first.
. Then solve next-hardest problem first to solve first-hardest problem.
. Converge on the global solution.
. Optimize the process template.
. Re-execute with new template to optimize solution.
. Repeat loop and signal status upward in process nest.

This is basic engineering, problem-solving being the act of solving many smaller problems, but it is *explicit commitment* to the characteristics of computational peace that differentiates between the usual best-effort and what I believe is an unusually synergistic framework to promote productivity by unifying worlds. A common peace-based process executes in a lingua franca designed to foster interworld compatibility and exchange of process-pieces—tools, tricks, protocols, procedures, etc.—that have been proven to work best via deliberate, iterative process evolution.

Bearing this mindset, I aspire to operate at senior/staff/c-level capacity, regardless of the formal role I fill. I take pride knowing that when I feel *welcome* operating at this capacity (plus needed resources are available and I am free of undue obstruction), I am comfortable tackling problems often first deemed impossible. I engineer my peace-process for this end, for example by integrate various truisms algorithmically, such as Arthur C. Clarke's three laws.

My offer as a professional therefore, although perhaps risky (and contrarian according to past coworkers), is to commit to delivering *beyond* what is initially thought possible when focusing on hardest problems first: if hired, then I cannot help but strive to *overpromise*, then *overdeliver*, and hold myself accountable with a *satisfaction guarantee*.

In this context I would approach this by attempting to integrate my peace-process with relevant external and internal << *org* >> world pieces, installing my operating platform where appropriate to support the mission of realizing the greater vision through the lens of my own version: << *org tech* >> << *add peace-process spice* >> << *extend vision* >>. I believe that as a concept << *org core tech* >> has universal application in the << *org industry* >>, and << *org hardest problem* >> is a universal hardest-problem that I believe will always need solving *better*—stakeholder min/max inner war/peace optimization being my natural inclination.

I would be overjoyed to discover a great cultural fit with << *org* >>, and if invited, to discover a world I can feel at home in, comfortable operating at capacity as appropriate. Finally, indulging as a pure-artist favoring the conceptual style, I really think the << *org product* >> tech as a conceptual archetype is super cool, super badass, proper innovation. So even if we aren't a great fit, I really hope you all succeed in realizing the vision to maturity.

Thank you for taking the time to read!

Blair

Blair Munro | Letter of Intent

Pragmatic Intention:

My intention for combining this series of letters with my CV into one document is to provide you with a comprehensive, clear and honest view into my world. This is so that you might have the opportunity to see for yourself that which I believe: If we are compatible, then you will recognize that you need my value offer and are highly motivated—will go out of your way—to bring me on to help you with your mission.

Moreover you will be motivated to compensate generously, because you recognize that people like me do not like money. You recognize that you can't place a dollar amount on the essence backing my offer, but at least you can make my radically devotional approach worth my while by ensuring the cashflow problem is a non concern. I am practical to an extreme degree, one that seems rather impractical to most, but at least you have this document's reassurance of my recognition that the price I ask for my effort is not justifiable from the perspective of my qualifications on paper.

$300,000 USD yearly salary with a $15,000 USD signing stipend up front is the price-point I assign my offer. $500,000 USD yearly salary is the most I will accept, if offered. I work remotely, but I am happy to consider a hybrid schedule. The signing stipend is important because it will allow me to tackle the first order of business which is to update and extend my world piece computer per job description.

Thus my intended practical outcome for this document's effort is restricting engagement to with people who are motivated to buy what I have to offer. I value my time. I want not to interact with people who are merely interested in me as a prospective hire, rather I want to interact with people who already know that they want my offer, where the interview process is more about checking for deal-breakers, verifying mutual understanding, and negotiating toward a work agreement that maximizes win-win as quickly as possible.

This document is extremely deliberate. Per intention, there are many risky and questionable features, but they are just in the context of my intention. Risk is also just by how the features illustrate my reasoning process given context of this letter of intent. This is to help ensure that I am not misunderstood to be somebody who I am NOT. It is imperative that I avoid the scenario where I am hired for who I am not.

Finally, I intend to make my offer irresistible by including an offer to educate, teaching others how they might build their own world piece computers. This is of course, integral to my offer install a dedicated world piece computer within your organization. My job will always be my job as I am hired and payed for, but my work is to install a computational global peace system to overcome our greatest practical adversary, time. The more individual world piece computers we built and network, the more we stand to profit from our efforts, our trifecta of scarcity.

My intention is only ever to prototype, build, install, operate, and network world piece computers. If hired, I will deliver on my value offer by installing my personal world piece computer within your organization, then use my installation as the seed and template to build out a local, company-wide world piece computer that your company can operate independently.

The way I define success delivering on my value offer, is that by my definition of my value, an organization's world piece computer would be a network of individual operators who explicitly treat peace as a computational optimization process. By definition they would all members be of the time machine for peace social invention program. In that case I have no difference potential, for it has all been released within your world, and I would have no more value to offer. This would be my time to move on, to the next installation project.

I will beat time. I am intent on saving us time. I intend to thrive on my own terms—to maximize my living effort's profits in life. Therefore, if you happen to see the difference potential in the value I offer, and if you hire me to release it, then I will make every effort to make myself worthless to you, and in as little time as possible.

Intended Final Outcome:

You hire me on to fill two roles:

Primary: Chief Peace Officer

Secondary: A technical role that needs filling and is beyond my traditional qualifications.

You may be reading this document because you are fielding applicants for a technical role that I applied for. I remind you that I am applying for this role because I am not qualified to fill it in a traditional sense, and it aligns strongly with my personal mission. As Chief Peace Officer, my charter would be to install a world piece computer within your organization. The first order of business in doing so, is to gain respect and learn the ways of its culture. The only way to accomplish this is to participate in doing the actual work.

Notes on Deliberation:

I believe that letting things speak strictly for themselves is generally a hallmark of an ineffective communicator. Among other reasons, it leaves the door open to misunderstanding and misinterpretation. There are cases where this is acceptable because the cost of clarification is usually steep, but in this case the cost is justified. The following are deliberate features of this document:

- General content volume is extremely high.
- Many value statements and assertions are unspoken expectations of a high-performer.
- Letter of value offering introduces myself as maintaining multiple identities.
- Letter of value offering indicates internal unrest.
- Letter of value offering states my time is running out.
- Letter of value offering states that I approach from position of weakness.
- CV contains auto-testimonial citing medical information generally inappropriate in professional setting.
- CV provides some software engineering work examples that are low in quality.
- I sound like I believe that I have it all figured out and nobody else does.
- I sound like I am trying to sell something unreasonable or unrealistic.
- I sound like I am trying to sell something with no apparent practical value.

The primary deliberate mechanism employed in this document is filtering. Here is a non exhaustive mechanism list:

- GO / NOGO decisions: triggers, probability amplifiers
- Compatibility filters: high-pass, low-pass, notch.
- Demonstrations: meta examples, action proofs,
- Error correction: coding schemes, parity checks, redundancies
- Signal to noise enhancers: voice amplifiers, doubt cancellers,

Explanandum & Explanans:

Content Volume Explanandum: I appear to provide way too much information.

- Is reader capable and willing to maintain attention needed to read a challenging credibility piece for comprehension?
- Is reader capable, but content not intriguing, thus no-read?
- Given my qualifications are weak/absent, the reader might likely be on the fence having read this far. By experience the right tidbit may be the gem or turd within my content that tips tentative curiosity into motivated GO/NOGO decision. Favor providing more tidbits than fewer.
- The more content available to reader in this context, the more likely to glean my thought process facing an impossible challenge: attaining the type of work arrangement I seek given my qualifications.
- I include an auto-testimonial in my CV disclosing my mental health condition of schizoaffective (SZA). This is too personal in general context, but I chose to do so because the mental illness piece inevitably comes up in a way that mischaracterizes my abilities.
- The auto-testimonial in CV is my honest take from a detached perspective. My journey managing SZA in the way I do is a key demonstration of my ability to accomplish something emphatically deemed impossible. It is why my peace-process framework exists for the most part. Our professional culture of 'that's not relevant to us considering your abilities' with regard to illness and traumatic experience is silly. I experienced—and still do today—internal war and battles that I can honestly say make all the other impossible challenges seem easy by comparison, because they are.
- I'm actually super terse.

Personality Inflation Explanandum: I come off as over-confident / arrogant / pretentious.

- Tackling an impossible challenge implies a necessary degree of arrogance, to over-confidently insist the impossible must be possible. With proper mental scoping and axiom choice, we can speak with absolute confidence on possibility.
- By experience, too humble/modest is more dangerous than too confident.
- It does not bother me that people think I am over-confident, though I always work to improve optics when and where possible. I know what I am and what I am not capable of.
- I believe humbleness and modesty are not good as general character traits, though they have their useful applications. I am deprogramming my default tendency to be those traits to general fault, now emphasizing respect for the innate power in others, and the consequences of all action.
- I'm actually super unimpressed by me.

Practicality Explanandum: I seem grandiose.

- I have a clinical thought disorder (SZA) that lends me to delusional thinking. My grandiose delusions are extremely important elements of my process and abilities, my value offer. Much of my internal work involves processing to eradicate useless delusion, while actualizing or preventing useful delusional constructs. This is literally the only function of a peace process designed to accomplish impossible things: Have a delusional ambition, then make it real.
- If one possess a fixed belief that something is real, even in face of evidence that belief is not real, well then for them it is still real, therefore not a delusion from their world perspective. When I say something that sounds grandiose, chances are our respective evidence bodies are different—I see something you don't, vice-versa. My burden is to remain insightful enough to challenge my grandiosity constantly.
- I'm actually super down to earth.

Explanandum & Explanans (continued):

Quality Explanandum: I seem inconsistent and my platform has deep contradictions.

. The deepest aspects of my framework and platform are dialectic, and must be in order to avoid settling on a peace-product mentality when actually applying the philosophy to get stuff done. Breàth($e^{i\Delta}$) is a consistent theme of my operation therefore, and I believe one must know how to breathe consistently between exclusive and contradictory modes of thinking / states of being in order to address impossible things.
. There are contradictions I am not satisfied with, and those are standing problems I work on. How I plug the need-want dialectic into the greater constellation is one such example.
. My reasoning in and across the letters is not air-tight, and it is often inconsistent. This composite document is an MVP. When on a deadline, one cannot be perfect. I am probing to see if the reader is capable of looking past the fact that my reasoning flow is shaky. The question is whether or not the reader recognizes that I am attempting to articulate a massive vision that most would deem impossible to cram into a page. Ship the product. We need revenue, income.
. I'm actually super concerned about maintaining my form of consistent inconsistency.

Relatability Explanandum: I seem eccentric.

. In value offering proposition, I lead by sharing a few of my various identities that I multiplex to role-play given different process needs in a given moment. I find it wildly valuable to name one's roles, defining them well in part to teach them to others to facilitate communication. To some this is disturbing, or simply too foreign to take seriously thus lending toward dismissal. Mechanisms and constructs like my identity engineering reflect my unconventional / atypical platform, essential parts of my value offering for tackling the impossible problems.
. I'm actually super relatable.

Energy Explanandum: I seem unbearably intense.

. Here, I need to communicate in a way that the reader can feel, that I have access to the intensity needed to successfully do impossible things.
. I'm actually super chill.

That is all that comes to mind during this particular peace-process creative session. Again, if you have any specific questions about content in terms of the mechanisms I employ, refer to engagement protocol on first page.

Document Disclaimers:

big-AI use disclaimers:

. *This document was generated using actual intelligence (little-ai, à).*

little-ai use disclaimers:

. *This document is deliberate.*

Additional resources:

Links:

. *My async representative, AI RAG chatbot assistant to the time machine for peace social invention program.*
. *My You Tube channel containing examples of my peace-process product.*
. *This document in Github.*

Feedback:

I am always starving for feedback. I will publish all feedback I receive.