

Report on Non-Local Means (NLM) Algorithm

1. Theory: The Core Idea

The Non-Local Means (NLM) algorithm denoises images by leveraging **self-similarity** and **redundancy** of structures across the entire image. Unlike local filters (e.g., Gaussian, Median), NLM compares **patches** of pixels globally, averaging intensities from similar regions even if spatially distant to suppress noise while preserving edges and textures.

Key Assumption: Natural images contain repeating patterns; noise is random and uncorrelated. By matching patches, NLM distinguishes noise from true structures.

2. Mathematical Principle

The denoised value of pixel i , $\hat{u}(i)$, is computed as:

$$\hat{u}(i) = \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} w(i, j) \cdot u(j)$$

Where:

- $u(j)$: Noisy intensity at pixel j .
- $w(i, j)$: Weight based on patch similarity between neighborhoods P_i (centered at i) and P_j (centered at j):

$$w(i, j) = \frac{1}{Z(i)} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\|P_i - P_j\|_2^2}{h^2}\right)$$

- h : Smoothing parameter (larger $h \rightarrow$ stronger denoising).
- $Z(i)$: Normalization factor ensuring weights sum to 1.

Patch Distance:

$$\|P_i - P_j\|_2^2 = \sum_{k \in \text{patch}} (P_i(k) - P_j(k))^2$$

3. Parameters Controlling Filter Behavior

1. Patch Size ($D \times D$)

- *Small* (e.g., 3×3): Preserves fine details but less robust to noise.
- *Large* (e.g., 7×7): Better noise reduction but may blur textures.

2. Search Window ($S \times S$)

- *Small* (e.g., 11×11): Faster but may miss similar patches.
- *Large* (e.g., 21×21): Better denoising but computationally expensive.

3. Filter Strength (h)

- *Small h* : Gentle denoising (preserves edges).
 - *Large h* : Aggressive smoothing (risks blurring).
-

4. Strengths of NLM Filtering

- **Superior Detail Preservation:** Outperforms local filters in retaining edges/textures.
 - **Adaptive:** Automatically adjusts weights based on patch similarity.
 - **Effective for Textures:** Excels in denoising repetitive patterns (e.g., fabrics, biological tissues).
 - **Theoretical Robustness:** Works well for additive Gaussian noise.
-

5. Weaknesses of NLM Filtering

- **High Computational Cost:** $O(N^2)$ complexity for N pixels.
- **Memory Intensive:** Requires storing and comparing patches.
- **Parameter Sensitivity:** Performance depends on tuning D , S , and h .
- **Degrades with High Noise:** Patch similarity becomes unreliable under extreme noise.

6. Comparison with Bilateral Filter

Feature	NLM	Bilateral Filter
Scope	Global (entire image/search window)	Local (fixed neighborhood)
Similarity Metric	Patch-based (structural)	Pixel intensity + spatial proximity
Speed	Slow	Faster
Detail Preservation	Excellent	Good
Use Case	High-quality denoising (offline)	Real-time edge-preserving smoothing

7. Core Applications of NLM

1. Medical Imaging

- Denoising MRI/CT scans to enhance tumors or vessels without losing anatomical details.

2. Astrophotography

- Removing noise from telescopic images while preserving faint stars/galaxies.

3. Video Restoration

- Spatiotemporal NLM reduces noise and scratches in archived films.

4. Microscopy

- Cleaning fluorescence/electron microscopy images for accurate cell analysis.

5. Remote Sensing

- Speckle reduction in SAR images for environmental monitoring.
-

8. Summary of Findings

The NLM algorithm is a **groundbreaking denoising tool** that exploits non-local self-similarity for unparalleled detail preservation. Its patch-based averaging distinguishes it from traditional filters, making it ideal for medical, astronomical, and texture-rich images. However, its **high computational cost** and **parameter sensitivity** limit real-time use. Future directions include hybrid deep-learning models and GPU acceleration to address these challenges.