



GITA AND THE ARTHASHASTRA: TOWARDS AN INTEGRAL PHILOSOPHY OF ACTION VIA DHARMA, STRATEGY, AND ETHICS OF GOVERNANCE

Dr. Madhuri Sharon, Anuradha Pandey Dubey

*Parishkar College of Global Excellence (Autonomous), Mansarovar, Shipra Path, Jaipur, 302020. Rajasthan, India
Vedic Vigyanam Explorer Society, House No. 254 Sector-3, Urban Estate Kurukshetra, Pin-136118, Haryana- India.*

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra25435>

DOI No: 10.36713/epra25435

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the synthesis between the Bhagavad Gita and Kautilya's Arthashastra—two pillars of Indian intellectual and ethical tradition. While the Gita represents the metaphysical and ethical core of dharma and self-governance, the Arthashastra articulates the pragmatic science of statecraft and political order. This article examines how these two seemingly divergent frameworks converge on a shared vision of righteous action, ethical governance, and the integration of spirituality with realpolitik. By drawing on primary textual evidence and comparative interpretation, this study proposes an integrative philosophy of governance that harmonizes inner self-discipline with external statecraft—a model highly relevant to modern political ethics and leadership paradigms.



Graphical Abstract

KEYWORDS: Artha, Arthashastra, Bhagavad Gita, Dharma, Governance, Ethics, Realpolitik, Leadership.

1.0 INTRODUCTION TO TWO STREAMS OF INDIAN THOUGHT

The Bhagavad Gita and the Arthashastra stand as two monumental contributions to Indian philosophical and political heritage. The Gita, embedded in the Mahabharata, provides a spiritual dialogue between Krishna and Arjuna on the battlefield of Kurukshetra, where the concept of *Dharma* (righteous duty) is clarified as the guiding principle of life

"*Karmanyे vadhikaraste ma phaleshu kadachana,*" (Gita 2.47).

The Arthashastra, composed by Kautilya (Chanakya), is an elaborate treatise on governance, administration, economics, and diplomacy –



“ānvikṣikī sāṃkhya-yoga-lokāyatāni trayī dharmādharmau vārthānarthau vārttāyām daṇḍanītyām balābalau ca vyavasāyām dadāti”. (Arthashastra 1.2.10).

Although Gita is idealistic and Arthashastra is pragmatic, both converge on the idea that effective action must align with moral order. Hence, Gita and Arthashastra serve different purposes in Hindu philosophy and literature. The Gita, or the Bhagavad Gita, is a spiritual text that provides guidance on life, karma, and the soul's journey, emphasizing the importance of aligning one's actions with their dharma and living in harmony with the cosmic order. It offers insights into the nature of the universe, the role of the individual soul, and the path to spiritual liberation (*Moksha*). Whereas, the Arthashastra is a treatise on statecraft, politics, economic policy, and military strategy. It was compiled for its practical advice on governance, law, diplomacy, and military strategy. The Arthashastra is often associated with the Maurya Empire and provides a detailed guide on how to maintain a kingdom's wealth and security.

1.1 Kautilya's Arthashastra

Arthashastra is an ancient Indian Sanskrit treatise on governance, economics, military strategy, and statecraft, attributed to Kautilya, the chief minister to Mauryan emperor Chandragupta around 300 BCE. This foundational text identifies four primary areas of knowledge essential for wealth and prosperity: Vedas, philosophy, governance, and economics (referred to as *Varta*). It posits that effective governance is rooted in ethics, arguing that happiness arises from *Dharma*, which in turn is supported by *Artha*, with strong rule, self-discipline, humility, and respect for elders as key components.

Central to the Arthashastra is the *Saptanga* theory, which outlines the seven pillars of a kingdom: the king (*Swami*), ministers (*Amatya*), territory and people (*Janapada*), fortified city (*Durg*), treasury (*Kosha*), army (*Danda*) and allies (*Mitra*). The treatise emphasizes that kings should embody virtues such as truthfulness, determination, and discipline, while also being free from vice. The selection of ministers is guided by their training, foresight, integrity, and ethical character. Furthermore, the text advocates for the secret monitoring of officials to ensure integrity in finance, justice, and personal conduct, thereby preventing corruption. Kautilya's *Saptanga* theory presents the seven essential elements (*Prakritis*) of a state as an interrelated structure, akin to the parts of a chariot working together for stability and strength, thus highlighting the intricate balance required for effective governance.

1.2 The Bhagavad Gita

The Bhagavad Gita presents the concept of *Dharma* as an integral aspect of righteous duty, which is closely aligned with an individual's inherent nature (*Svadharma*). This perspective emphasizes the role of *Dharma* in guiding governance through principles of selfless action (*Nishkama karma*), ethical leadership, and maintaining cosmic order to foster societal harmony and justice.

Dharma serves as an ethical foundation that upholds universal order and moral law, underscoring the importance of social harmony. It mandates that rulers and administrators engage in their designated duties—ensuring justice, inclusion, and compassion—without attachment to the outcomes of their actions. Leaders are encouraged to prioritize integrity over personal benefits, which fosters transparency and accountability in their decision-making processes.

The principle of *Nishkama karma*, as articulated by Krishna to Arjuna, emphasizes the need for governors to act decisively for the welfare of the public, especially in uncertain situations, while remaining detached from the results of their actions. This mirrors modern ethical governance practices, like the implementation of environmental regulations, even when faced with economic pressures. This principle aims to combat corruption by reinforcing that the duty towards employees, citizens, and the broader society should take precedence over selfish motivations.

Furthermore, the Gita advocates for a model of servant leadership through the practice of *Karma yoga*, which allows executives to effectively balance knowledge, devotion, and discipline in addressing dilemmas; such as the tension between economic growth and environmental conservation. By building public trust through fair practices, this approach integrates concepts of equality and autonomy in administrative functions, thereby enhancing policies that benefit marginalized groups and promote long-term societal resilience.

सङ्गोऽस्त्वकमणि ॥

Sangostvakrmani

In summary, the Gita is a spiritual text focused on the spiritual journey and moral duty, while the Arthashastra is a practical guide for governance and statecraft. Both texts are integral to Hindu philosophy and offer different perspectives on life and duty.

2.0 PHILOSOPHICAL FOUNDATIONS

The Gita's vision of governance begins within—the governance of mind and senses. It presents *Karma Yoga* as the discipline of selfless action, where performance of one's duty without attachment to results ensures harmony between personal ethics and cosmic



order as suggested in 19th Shloka of the 3rd chapter

तस्मादसक्तः सततं कार्यं कर्म समाचर।

असक्तो ह्याचरन् कर्म परमाप्रोति पूरुषः॥ (Gita 3.19).

Tasmadsaktaḥ satataṁ karya karm samaachara.
Asakto hyacharan Karma Paramapnoti Purushah.

(Chapter 3, Shloka 19)

This shloka focuses on performing obligatory duties without expectation of results, advising leaders to govern selflessly for public good rather than personal gain. Urging continuous action without attachment, essential for administrators to maintain efficiency and ethical consistency in decision-making.

"यदा यदा हि धर्मस्य ग्लानिर्भवति भारत।
अभ्युत्थानमधर्मस्य तदात्मानं सृजाम्यहम्॥
परित्राणाय साधूनां विनाशाय च दुष्कृताम्।
धर्मसंस्थापनार्थाय सम्भवामि युगे युगे॥" (Gita 4. 7-8)

Yada yada hi dharmasya glanirbhavati Bharat;
Abhyutthanam adharmasya Tadatmanam Srijamyaham.
Paritranay sadhurnam vinashaya cha dushkritam;
Dharma sansthnapanarthaya sambhami yuge yuge.

(Chapter 4, Shlokas 7 & 8)

This shloka declares divine intervention to restore dharma when it declines, instructing rulers to protect the virtuous, destroy evil, and uphold righteousness in governance

Authoritative commentaries interpret the Bhagavad Gita as a foundational text for statecraft, framing its teachings on *Dharma* (righteousness), *Nishkama Karma* (selfless action), and the conceptualization of righteous war as essential guides for political leadership, ethical governance, and crisis decision-making. Key commentaries by influential people highlight various interpretations and applications of these teachings in the context of political philosophy and practice.

Tilak (1915) reinterprets the Gita, presenting it as a call to *Karma yoga* for political action. He advocates for rulers to combat adharma, such as colonial oppression, while remaining unattached to the outcomes of their actions. This approach profoundly influenced the Indian independence movements by reinforcing the importance of duty without personal gain. Radhakrishnan (1948) emphasizes the necessity of equanimous leadership for statesmen, advocating for the restoration of dharma and selfless power-wielding. His interpretations guide ethical international relations and inform practices in post-colonial administration.

Sharma (1999) had expanded the Gita's lessons to administrative leadership, suggesting that the concept of *Svadharma* (personal duty) can inform bureaucratic integrity and promote balanced policy-making within state institutions.

Conversely, the Arthashastra's philosophy is rooted in *Artha*, the pursuit of material well-being as the foundation for sustaining *Dharma* and social stability-

"vinayan vidyopadeśena lokapriyatvamarthasamyogena vṛttim " (Arthashastra 1.7.1).

Kautilya's realism recognizes the necessity of strategic action but never detaches it from moral responsibility. Both texts affirm that the ethical and pragmatic spheres must co-exist; the Gita governs the inner self, while the Arthashastra governs the social body. (Samuel Debbarma. 2023)

These commentaries, provided by philosophical and political thinkers, aim to adapt the Gita's battlefield directives to the realm of realpolitik, emphasizing the importance of duty-bound rulership as a foundation for sustaining societal order.

3.0DHARMA AND REALPOLITIK: HARMONY BEYOND OPPOSITION

The Bhagavad Gita and Arthashastra have significantly influenced the philosophical foundations of Hinduism. The Gita, a dialogue between Arjuna and Lord Krishna, emphasizes the importance of duty (*Dharma*), righteousness, and the nature of the self. It teaches selfless action and devotion to God, while also promoting inner peace and detachment from the material world. The Arthashastra, authored by Kautilya, focuses on governance, economics, and military strategy, offering insights into effective kingdom management and ensuring the well-being of citizens. It provides a wealth of ancient wisdom applicable to contemporary challenges, addressing various crucial areas such as political strategy, economic policy, legal principles, and military tactics. The Gita and



Arthashastra together form a comprehensive framework for understanding duty, righteousness, and the nature of reality, making them essential texts in Hindu philosophy and spirituality.

At first glance, the Gita's idealism and the Arthashastra's realism may appear incompatible. However, a deeper reading reveals their complementarity. The Gita's counsel to Arjuna—to engage in war without hatred or personal desire—embodies dharmic realism, where duty transcends sentiment but not morality –

सर्वधर्मान्परित्यज्य मामेकं शरणं व्रज।
अहं त्वा सर्वपापेभ्यो मोक्षयिष्यामि मा शुचः (Gita 18.66).

Kautilya's Arthashastra, while advocating for espionage and statecraft, also insists that the ruler's actions must ensure *Lokasangraha*, the welfare of the people (Arthashastra 1.4.3). Both share an understanding that moral conduct must adapt to context, and that ethical flexibility, not rigidity, sustains governance.

Both Gita and Arthashastra share a similarity in their approach to morality and power. Both texts emphasize the importance of duty and the role of the individual in the larger context of the universe. The Gita, while not explicitly stating this, suggests a similar perspective, as it addresses the moral dilemmas faced by Arjuna and the need for a warrior to fulfill his role with honor. The Arthashastra, on the other hand, provides a practical guide to statecraft, emphasizing the importance of power and strategy in governance. Both texts offer a different perspective on the relationship between morality and power, with the Gita focusing on the spiritual and ethical dimensions, while the Arthashastra delves into the practical and strategic aspects of governance.

Gray (2024) analyzes the dialogue between Krishna and Arjuna as a precursor to political theory. He explores actual shlokas, particularly 2.47 and 4.7-8, to draw connections between just war, sovereignty, and the responsibilities of rulers in modern political thought. Moreover, Gita provides moral restraint for Arthashastra's tactics, prefiguring realist thought with ethical limits, as noted in modern analyses

In a way it can be interpreted that Gita provides a moral restraint for Arthashastra tactics (Table -1)

Table -1: Gita as Moral Restraint for Arthashastra Tactics

THEME	BHAGAVAD GITA	ARTHASHASTRA
Core Focus	Dharma (righteousness), Svadharma, cosmic order	Artha (wealth/power), <i>Saptanga</i> theory, state survival
Leadership	Detached ruler fulfilling duty without results attachment (e.g., 2.47)	Vigilant king using espionage, ministers, and force
Ethics vs. Realism	Moral imperative to protect good, destroy evil (4.7-8); transcends artha	Situational ethics subordinated to state welfare; danda for order
Warfare	Righteous war as svadharma for kshatriyas	Strategic diplomacy, mandala alliances, conquest
Governance Goal	Lokasamgraha (universal welfare), spiritual harmony	Yogakshema (security/prosperity), expansion

Inputs in Table 1 suggests that a resolution will require Dharma's precedence. Arthashastra subordinates Artha to Dharma for sustainability, warning that unchecked Artha may breed tyranny, *Arajakata* (anarchy), or *Pralaya* (catastrophe). It is summarized in Table 2 here.

Table -2: A Reconciliatory Resolution Suggesting Precedence of Dharma With Artha Subordination

ASPECT	DHARMA DEMAND	ARTHA IMPERATIVE	PRACTICAL TENSION EXAMPLE
Taxation	Fair, minimal rates to avoid burden	Revenue maximization for treasury (kosha)	High taxes for defense spark rebellion, violating rajadharma.
Warfare/Justice	Proportional punishment, protect virtuous	Use spies, force (danda) for conquest/order	Employing torture for intelligence undermines moral legitimacy.
Leadership	Selfless action (nishkama karma)	Manipulative vigilance to retain power	Corruption or nepotism in ministers (amatya) erodes public trust.
Policy Decisions	Universal welfare, equity	Expansion via mandala alliances	Favoring allies over equity leads to adharma and instability.



4.0 THE CONCEPT OF LEADERSHIP

Leadership in the Gita emerges from spiritual mastery—control over ego and senses, devotion to truth, and equanimity in success and failure.

उद्धरेदात्मनात्मानं नात्मानमवसादयेत्।

आत्मैव ह्यात्मनो बभुरात्मैव रिपुरात्मनः॥ (Gita 6.5).

Krishna exemplifies the leader as a philosopher-guide, shaping destiny through wisdom and inner detachment. In contrast, Kautilya envisions the king as a strategist-scholar who safeguards the state through intelligence, economic planning, and vigilance-

*prajāsukhe sukham rājñah prajānām ca hite hitam |
nātmapriyām hitam rājñah prajānām tu priyām hitam* (Arthashastra 1.19.34).

In the Arthashastra, Kautilya's realism is not devoid of ethics—it is *Dharma* contextualized within political responsibility. In the Gita, Krishna's counsel to Arjuna is neither renunciation nor emotional idealism—it is action guided by detachment. When synthesized, these models yield a vision of leadership that is both ethical and strategic—a yogic ruler or philosopher-statesman whose power is grounded in virtue and whose governance serves both *Dharma* and *Artha*.

Leadership in the Gita is yogic, mastery over self, clarity of purpose, and compassion for all beings. Krishna exemplifies the guru-leader archetype—guiding through wisdom and calm detachment. Kautilya's king, on the other hand, embodies the strategic ruler—disciplined, pragmatic, and intellectually alert. He is trained in economics, law, and espionage, yet ultimately tasked with lokasangraha (public welfare).

Synthesizing both, a true leader becomes a philosopher-statesman, embodying spiritual insight with political prudence.

5.0 THE ROLE OF POWER, DUTY, AND JUSTICE

Power (*Shakti* or *Rajya*) is a recurring theme and occupies a central role in both texts. The Gita spiritualizes power, urging mastery over the self as the highest conquest (Gita 6.6). The Arthashastra secularizes power, treating it as an instrument for social order and protection (Arthashastra 7.16.23). The Gita teaches control of inner power through self-discipline and surrender to divine law. The Arthashastra teaches control of external power through organization, justice, and surveillance. Both warn that power divorced from ethics leads to societal decay. For Krishna, justice aligns with cosmic balance; for Kautilya, it aligns with administrative order. Thus, the synthesis between the two offers a model where internal self-control and external justice cohere—a doctrine of ethical power.

6.0 SOCIO-POLITICAL ETHICS AND GOVERNANCE

The Arthashastra details the machinery of governance: taxation, defense, diplomacy, and trade—all aimed at *Artha* and *Raksha* (security). The Gita complements this by grounding all action in purity of intention and universal welfare (Gita 12.13-14). A synthesis of the two offers what may be termed “Dharmic Realism”—a framework for governance that is efficient yet compassionate, pragmatic yet moral.

In modern governance, this synthesis can inspire policies that are efficient yet compassionate, realistic yet value-driven—a model of Dharmic Realism. Hence, in contemporary terms, this balance can inform sustainable leadership, corporate ethics, and political integrity.

Key differences include the concentration of power in the monarch in the *Saptanga* theory versus distributed governance structures in modern states. Also, sovereignty in modern states is legal/political rather than personal; as it can be seen in Table-2.

Table – 3: Comparison of Kautilya's *Saptanga* theory & Elements of Modern State

ELEMENT	KAUTILYA'S SAPTANGA THEORY	MODERN STATE EQUIVALENT	CONCLUSION
<i>Swami</i> (King)	Sovereign ruler with supreme authority and ethical qualities	Sovereignty embodied in government and head of state	The king in Saptanga is the single supreme authority, while modern sovereignty rests in the state and its government institutions
<i>Amatya</i> (Ministers)	Advisors and administrators appointed by the king	Government organs—executive, legislature, bureaucracy	Ministers resemble government officials and administrators serving under democratic or other political authority
<i>Janapada</i> (Territory &	Land and people together as inseparable elements	Territory and population as distinct essential elements	Modern states emphasize clearly defined territory and resident



Population)			populations; ancient theory links them closely.
<i>Durg</i> (Fort/Capital)	Fortified city as defense and administration center	Capital city and defense infrastructure	Modern capitals serve administrative and symbolic functions; forts correspond to military defense installations
<i>Kosha</i> (Treasury)	State treasury from taxes & trade revenue	Financial resources and budget of the state	Both emphasize fiscal management as critical for state functioning
<i>Danda</i> (Army/Force)	Military force to enforce laws and defend state	Armed forces and law enforcement agencies	Military and police serve state's coercive power; modern state integrates these into sovereignty
<i>Mitra</i> (Allies)	Diplomatic alliances for mutual benefit	International relations and diplomatic ties	Both emphasize strategic partnerships to enhance state security and influence

The Indian Constitution has revered and venerated the teachings of Gita , it is summarized in Table -4 below.

Table – 4: Indian Constitution Framed on The Principles of Bhagavat Gita

PRINCIPLES OF GITA	CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION	DESCRIPTION
<i>Dharma</i> (Righteous Order)	Article 14 (Equality before law)	Ensures impartial justice for all, echoing Gita's call for fairness without discrimination.
<i>Karma Yoga</i> (Selfless Duty)	Article 51A (Fundamental Duties)	Mandates citizens to promote harmony, excellence, and constitutional respect, reflecting detached action for societal good.
<i>Nishkama Karma</i> (Detachment)	Preamble (Justice, Liberty, Equality)	Guides selfless pursuit of social justice, prioritizing welfare over personal gain.
Spiritual Oneness	Articles 15, 17 (Anti-discrimination)	Prohibits bias based on caste, religion, or birth, aligning with Gita's view of universal equality.
Freedom of Conscience	Article 25 (Religious Freedom)	Supports individual spiritual paths, mirroring Gita's emphasis on personal dharma and self-realization.

7.0 MODERN IMPLICATIONS

In political leadership, integrating ethics with realpolitik can prevent corruption, promote service-oriented governance, and enhance moral legitimacy. In corporate and technological governance also Gita's principle of detached action harmonized with Kautilya's strategic acumen offers a framework for sustainable leadership and responsible innovation. Both texts together propose a middle path—between Western utilitarianism and idealist moralism—advocating a pragmatic humanism rooted in self-control and collective welfare, in global ethics.

Therefore, union of these two traditions provides enduring insights for modern systems of governance. In political leadership, the fusion of Kautilya's strategic acumen with Krishna's ethical restraint can combat corruption and promote accountability. In corporate governance, the Gita's call for detached efficiency harmonized with the Arthashastra's planning logic can foster sustainability and social responsibility. Globally, these principles suggest a middle path between materialist utilitarianism and idealistic moralism—a vision of pragmatic humanism guided by self-discipline and compassion.

8.0 CONCLUSION

The Gita and Arthashastra are not contradictory but complementary—the former guides the mind, the latter governs the world. Together, they present an integral philosophy of action (Karma-yoga blended with Rajadharma), where the ruler is both a servant and protector, and action becomes a vehicle for both Artha and Dharma.

The Bhagavad Gita and the Arthashastra together articulate an integral philosophy of action. The Gita governs the soul; the Arthashastra governs the state. One provides ethical clarity, the other administrative structure. Their synthesis produces a complete model of dharmic governance, where spiritual insight guides pragmatic action. This philosophy—anchored in detachment, responsibility, and justice—remains profoundly relevant to contemporary leadership, echoing the timeless Indian wisdom that true governance begins within.

The statement that Gita and Arthashastra are not contradictory but complementary is supported by the understanding that both texts serve different purposes in philosophy and governance. Gita emphasizes spiritual guidance and ethical teachings, while



Arthashastra focuses on statecraft, military strategy, and economic policy. The Arthashastra was written by Chanakya (Kautilya) and provides insights into governance and warfare, which can be seen as complementary to the teachings found in the Gita. Different scholars have interpreted the Arthashastra in various ways, indicating its complexity and the need for context in understanding its teachings. Thus, while they may not be contradictory, their complementary nature is evident in their respective fields of study.

REFERENCES

1. A.C. Bhaktivedanta Swami Prabhupada, *Bhagwat Gita As It Is. The Bhakti Vedanta Book Trust*, 1998
2. Kautilya Arthashastra Translated into English by Rudrapatna Shamasastri. 1915.
3. Aditya Hemantrao Akolkar, Kireeti bharadwaj, Mrs. Rashmi Kulkarni, Mr. P.V Prasad. *A Comparative Study of Kautilya Arthashastra and Current Arthashastra. International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)*; 6(5): 1-10, 2024
4. Bal Gangadhar Tilak. *Gita Rahasya, (or Karma-yoga Shastra)*, Translated by Bhalchandra Sitaram Sukthankar 1915. Publisher
5. Sarvepalli Radhakrishnan's Commentaries, *The Bhagavadgita*, 1948 Publisher
6. Subhash Sharma. *Corporate Gita, Journal of Human Values (SAGE)*, 5(2), 1999, <https://doi.org/10.1177/097168589900500203>
7. Stuart Gray. *The Political Theory of the Bhagavad-Gita.*, Publisher - De Gruyter, 2024. ISBN-10 : 3111582310 ISBN-13: 978-3111582313
8. Samuel Debbarma. *The concept of dharma in Kautilya's Arthashastra and its relevance today.* *International Journal of Sanskrit Research*; 9(2): 112-114, 2023.