

- 1 The representative has contacted the examiner to inquire whether the following claim formulation would overcome the objections raised in the summons:

A method of controlling a device (100) comprising a touch-sensitive display (126), comprising:

detecting occurrence of an event at the device when the device is in a locked state, ~~the event associated with an application on the device;~~

in response to detecting occurrence of the event, displaying on the touch-sensitive display a user interface that includes information (706) about the event and a graphical, interactive user-interface object (702) for accessing functionality associated with the event;

detecting a gesture on the graphical, interactive user-interface object of the user interface on the touch-sensitive display that satisfies a predefined condition; and

in response to detecting the gesture that satisfies the predefined condition, transitioning the device from the locked state to an unlocked state and displaying user-interface objects ~~an application interface (708) for the application~~ associated with the event.
- 2 The examining division is of the preliminary opinion that this claim also violates Article 76(1) EPC: Par. 99-108 and figs. 9 and 11 a-d of the earlier application show that there are two unlock images, while claim 1 only requires one, i.e. one image related to an event.
- 3 The applicant is pointed to EP2128782A1: a similar idea of using two unlock images has been granted in this patent application.
- 4 The applicant is reminded that the claim draft sent by e-mail is not considered an submission within Rule 137(2) EPC.