Category	Full Marks (3 Points)	Partial Credit (2 Points)	Partial Credit (1 Points)	No Marks (0 Points)	Score
Quality of Draft	of the content needed for the	The draft seems to contain some of the content needed to finish the paper. Significant content still needs to be added.	The draft is missing most of the content required for the final paper.	No draft is given.	
Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. How can the outline be improved?, etc.)	I think you have most of the key information needed for the paper, like you said you still need to format the paper but once everything is in place it seems like you have all the analysis and sources that you need to finish strong.				3
Journal	The paper is a good fit for the target journal chosen. A journal template has been identified.	The paper is a okay fit for the target journal chosen. No journal template has been identified.	The paper is a bad fit for the target journal chosen.	No target journal was chosen.	

Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. If the project is too complex, what parts of the project could feasibly done? If the project is too simple, what features could be added?)	Your journal seems right on the money being about sports analysis, I think its great that you got some well known people associated with this journal as well. Great job.				3
Video	The video gives a helpful, clear	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts.	The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video is missing or is inappropriate.	
Comments (e.g. Give constructive feed back to help improve the presentation style.)	Video was easy to follow, gave a good overview on what you have done and what you still need to do. It showed the sources you are using and the code that you have used. Nice work				3