

Category	Full Marks (3 Points)	Partial Credit (2 Points)	Partial Credit (1 Points)	No Marks (0 Points)	Score
Quality of Draft	The draft seems to contain most of the content needed for the paper. It is okay if a few things are missing, including a detailed intro and conclusion.	The draft seems to contain some of the content needed to finish the paper. Significant content still needs to be added.	The draft is missing most of the content required for the final paper.	No draft is given.	3
Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. How can the outline be improved?, etc.)	The draft contains most of the content that will be included in the final paper. I think there is an opportunity to investigate more than what is on the to-do list right now. So if you finish early, there could be some interesting topics to potentially look at to increase the length of your paper! It might be interesting to compare the earliest season to the middle season to the latest season if there is time! Overall, the outline looked solid and after formatting and				

	a peer-edit or two, the paper will be very interesting to read!				
Journal	The paper is a good fit for the target journal chosen. A journal template has been identified.	The paper is a okay fit for the target journal chosen. No journal template has been identified.	The paper is a bad fit for the target journal chosen.	No target journal was chosen.	2.5
Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. If the project is too complex, what parts of the project could feasibly be done? If the project is too simple, what features could be added?)	It looks like the journal you choose is a good fit for your paper. It easily relates and features some big names in the sports world. While there isn't a specific template that has been identified yet, there are some possible templates that were talked about in the video. As someone, who reads a lot of sports articles, there are many examples online that you can look at! I am interesting				

	to see what you end up going with!				
Video	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project and explains why the target journal was chosen.	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts.	The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video is missing or is inappropriate.	3
Comments (e.g. Give constructive feed back to help improve the presentation style.)	Your video did a really nice job explaining everything that you have been working on since the last milestone. It was easy to see the work you've done and the work you want to accomplish by the next milestone. Also, you did a really nice job explaining the reason for the journal you choose!				Total: 8.5