

Project

| Category | Full Marks (3 Points) | Partial Credit (2 Points) | Partial Credit (1 Point) | No Marks (0 Points) | Score |
|---|---|--|---|----------------------------|-------|
| Quality of Work | Work (e.g., code) looks correct and organized. The project's readme file is clear, intelligible, and give a good idea of what the project is about. | Work (e.g., code) looks mostly correct and organized. The code could be better structured. OR the project readme file is somewhat unclear. | Work (e.g., code) is mostly disorganized. OR the project readme file is very unclear. | No code is given. | 3 |
| Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. How can the code or readme file be improved?, etc.) | | | | | |
| Project Progress | Main features of the project are working and demonstrated. | Some complex features of the project are working and demonstrated. | A few features of the project are working but have issues. | No progress has been made. | 3 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. If the project is too complex, what parts of the project could feasibly be done? If the project is too simple, what features could be added?) | | | | | |
| Video | The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project and a demo of the project's working features. | The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts. | The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project. | The video is missing or is inappropriate. | 2 |
| Comments (e.g. Give constructive feed back to help improve the presentation style.) | | | | | I was still denied access to the video. |