NasUs (Brenden Ferkans)

Project

Category	Full Marks (3 Points)	Partial Credit (2 Points)	Partial Credit (1 Points)	No Marks (0 Points)	Score
Quality of Work	==Work (e.g., code) looks correct and organized. The project's readme file is clear, intelligible, and give a good idea of what the project is about.==	Work (e.g., code) looks mostly correct and organized. The code could be better structured. OR the project readme file is somewhat unclear.	Work (e.g., code) is mostly disorganized. OR the project readme file is very unclear.	No code is given.	==3==
Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. How can the code or readme file be improved?, etc.)	The progress you've made is substantial and clearly shows you have a path you can follow to never lose track of your progress				

Intellectual Merit	The project looks interesting, creative, and/or substantial.	The project is interesting, creative, and/or substantial, but does not seem feasible to do in a semester.	The project lacks substantial complexity.	No code is given or the project description is too vague to determine if the research has merit.	3
Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. If the project is too complex, what parts of the project could feasibly done? If the project is too simple, what features could be added?)	The code you've provided since Milestone 1 shows great progress and work ethic; that work ethic is also shown in the clean code you've provided through your .js files on Git				
Video	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts.	The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video is missing or is inappropriate.	3

Comments (e.g. Give constructive feed back to help improve the presentation style.)	You definitely seem to have a clear-cut path to follow now and it seems to all be coming together nicely now that the code is physically there and modifiable. Keep it up!				