

## GoblinSlayer

Category	Full Marks (3 Points)	Partial Credit (2 Points)	Partial Credit (1 Points)	No Marks (0 Points)	Score
<b>Quality of Work</b>	Work (e.g., code) looks correct and organized. The project's readme file is clear, intelligible, and give a good idea of what the project is about.	Work (e.g., code) looks mostly correct and organized. The code could be better structured. OR the project readme file is somewhat unclear.	Work (e.g., code) is mostly disorganized. OR the project readme file is very unclear.	No code is given.	3
<b>Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. How can the code or readme file be improved?, etc.)</b>	All the organization is easy to follow with the project code in the capstone folder and the readme telling where to find the information.				
<b>Project Progress</b>	Main features of the project are working and demonstrated .	Some complex features of the project are working and demonstrated.	A few features of the project are working but have issues.	No progress has been made.	2

<b>Comments</b> (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. If the project is too complex, what parts of the project could feasibly be done? If the project is too simple, what features could be added?)		You showed significant progress in the code, there are still important pieces missing, but it seems to be on track to be completed.			
<b>Video</b>	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project and a demo of the project's working features.	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts.	The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video is missing or is inappropriate.	3
<b>Comments</b> (e.g. Give constructive feedback to help improve the presentation style.)	Your video gives a good demo of the new code implemented and what it is working towards.				