Research Paper

| Category | Full Marks (3 Points) | Partial Credit (2 Points) | Partial Credit (1 Points) | No Marks (0 Points) | Score |
|--|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Quality of Draft | The draft seems to contain most of the content needed for the paper. It is okay if a few things are missing, including a detailed intro and conclusion. | The draft seems to contain some of the content needed to finish the paper. Significant content still needs to be added. | The draft is missing most of the content required for the final paper. | No draft is given. | 3 |
| Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. How can the outline be improved?, etc.) | | | | | Draft looks well made and has time put into it |
| Journal | The paper is a good fit for the target journal chosen. A journal template has been identified. | The paper is a okay fit for the target journal chosen. No journal template has been identified. | The paper is a bad fit for the target journal chosen. | No target journal was chosen. | 3 |
| Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. If the project is too complex, what parts of the project could feasibly done? If the project is too simple, what | | | | | Good progression on paper |

| features could be added?) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|----------------------------|
| Video | The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project and explains why the target journal was chosen. | The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts. | The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project. | The video is missing or is inappropriate. | 0 |
| Comments (e.g. Give constructive feed back to help improve the presentation style.) | | | | | Access denied For video |