

## Research Paper

Category	Full Marks (3 Points)	Partial Credit (2 Points)	Partial Credit (1 Points)	No Marks (0 Points)	Score
<b>Quality of Draft</b>	The draft seems to contain most of the content needed to finish the paper.	The draft seems to contain some of the content needed to finish the paper. Significant content still needs to be added.	The paper is still a rough draft.	No draft is given.	<b>3</b>
<b>Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. How can the outline be improved?, etc.)</b>	Looks perfect to me, though I did notice one thing at the end of the acknowledgment paragraph, the last sentence is unfinished. "I would also like to thank my CIDS 484 classmates who offered deep insight <u>into the</u> ."				
<b>Journal</b>	The paper is formatted to fit a journal/conference template.	Some effort has been made to format the paper to fit a journal/conference template, but there are still formatting issues.	The paper is not formatted to fit a journal/conference template.	No target journal was chosen.	<b>3</b>

<b>Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. If the project is too complex, what parts of the project could feasibly be done? If the project is too simple, what features could be added?)</b>	As before, the paper has an extremely professional aesthetic.				
<b>Video</b>	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of project progress.	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts.	The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video is missing or is inappropriate.	<b>3</b>
<b>Comments (e.g. Give constructive feedback to help improve the presentation style.)</b>	I thought the video presentation was great, no feedback that I can think of.				