Project - ShawnVinje

Category	Full Marks (3 Points)	Partial Credit (2 Points)	Partial Credit (1 Points)	No Marks (0 Points)	Score
Quality of Work	Work (e.g., code) looks correct and organized. The project's readme file is clear, intelligible, and give a good idea of what the project is about.	Work (e.g., code) looks mostly correct and organized. The code could be better structured. OR the project readme file is somewhat unclear.	Work (e.g., code) is mostly disorganized. OR the project readme file is very unclear.	No code is given.	
Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. How can the code or readme file be improved?, etc.)	Code updates have been made available, and the description of the updates is also in the Readme so it's easy to follow what's new				
Intellectual Merit	The project looks interesting, creative, and/or substantial.	The project is interesting, creative, and/or substantial, but does not seem feasible to do in a semester.	The project lacks substantial complexity.	No code is given or the project description is too vague to determine if the research has merit.	
Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. If the project is too complex, what parts of the project could feasibly done? If the project is too simple, what features could be added?)	The project looks feasible and he's made substantial steps already.. ie. More code updates have been made, and more functionality has been implemented to track the user tasks.				

Video	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts.	The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video is missing or is inappropriate.	
Comments (e.g. Give constructive feed back to help improve the presentation style.)	Video was super detailed and he was able to walk over the updates on his code				