Project

Category	Full Marks (3 Points)	Partial Credit (2 Points)	Partial Credit (1 Points)	No Marks (0 Points)	Score
Quality of Work	Work (e.g., code) looks correct and organized. The project's readme file is clear, intelligible, and gives a good idea of what the project is about.	Work (e.g., code) looks mostly correct and organized. The code could be better structured. OR the project readme file is somewhat unclear.	Work (e.g., code) is mostly disorganized. OR the project readme file is very unclear.	No code is given.	**3**
Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. How can the code or readme file be improved?, etc.)	Many different methods of analyzing the data were performed and all looks clean and well put together.				
Project Progress	Many features of the project are working and demonstrated.	Some complex features of the project are working and demonstrated.	A few features of the project are working but have issues.	No progress has been made.	**3**

Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. If the project is too complex, what parts of the project could feasibly done? If the project is too simple, what features could be added?)	Project seems to be basically finished.				
Video	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project and a demo of the project's working features.	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts.	The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video is missing or is inappropriate.	3
Comments (e.g. Give constructive feed back to help improve the presentation style.)	Video explanation gave a great overview and in depth analysis of the different models created and data that was found.				