

Github Project being Reviewed: Workout-Log

Category	Full Marks (3 Points)	Partial Credit (2 Points)	Partial Credit (1 Point)	No Marks (0 Points)	Score
Quality of Work	Work (e.g., code) looks correct and organized. The project's readme file is clear, intelligible, and give a good idea of what the project is about.	Work (e.g., code) looks mostly correct and organized. The code could be better structured. OR the project readme file is somewhat unclear.	Work (e.g., code) is mostly disorganized. OR the project readme file is very unclear.	No code is given.	1
Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. How can the code or readme file be improved?, etc.)			I gave the score I did because there is no code, and the readme file doesn't give an idea of what the project is about. However, the outline does a nice job of giving a clear picture of the intended project. The readme file could be worked on to provide project details and pushing some code would be helpful as well.		
Intellectual Merit	The project looks interesting, creative, and/or substantial.	The project is interesting, creative, and/or substantial, but does not seem feasible to do in a semester.	The project lacks substantial complexity.	No code is given or the project description is too vague to determine if the research has merit.	1

<p>Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. If the project is too complex, what parts of the project could feasibly be done? If the project is too simple, what features could be added?)</p>			<p>The reason behind my score is because based on the outline the project seems interesting and creative. Also, it looks like it is substantial and can fill a whole semester.</p> <p>However, there is no code to base it on. If you finished early, you could add some basic workouts for people who may not know what exercises to do/pair together. Or for those who don't always know how many reps and sets they should be doing. Overall, it seems like a good idea but there needs to be some code pushed to Github.</p>		
<p>Video</p>	<p>The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project.</p>	<p>The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts.</p>	<p>The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project.</p>	<p>The video is missing or is inappropriate.</p>	<p>3</p>

Comments (e.g. Give constructive feed back to help improve the presentation style.)	The video gave a helpful depiction of the project and added some details not included in the outline. I was able to easily follow along. One thing I would work on for future presentations is to spend less time reading your outline verbatim at times and more time summarizing everything and adding some more detail not included on your outline.			Total: 5
--	---	--	--	-----------------