Category	Full Marks (3 Points)	Partial Credit (2 Points)	Partial Credit (1 Points)	No Marks (0 Points)	Score
Quality of Work	looks correct and organized. The project's readme file is clear, intelligible, and give a good	correct and	Work (e.g., code) is mostly disorganized. OR the project readme file is very unclear.	No code is given.	
Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. How can the code or readme file be improved?, etc.)		The readme file is a little disorganized, outline looks great and details the project nicely but there is no actual code to see what the basic skeleton of the program would look like.			2
Intellectual Merit	The project looks interesting, creative, and/or substantial.	The project is interesting, creative, and/or substantial, but does not seem feasible to do in a semester.	The project lacks substantial complexity.	No code is given or the project description is too vague to determine if the research has merit.	
Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. If the project is too complex, what parts of the project could feasibly done? If the project is too simple, what features could be added?)	This is a project that can be difficult if you make it difficult. If you do the minimum, it would be a rather easy project but if you put a lot of time and effort into				3

	designing and implementing the code to make for a multifunctional application then I think this is a great project idea that is both interesting and difficult.				
Video	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	depiction of the	The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video is missing or is inappropriate.	
Comments (e.g. Give constructive feed back to help improve the presentation style.)	This is a very clear and concise video. The outline is well thought out and well explained after viewing the video.				3