

Colton Dopkins

CSIS 484

2/22/2023

## **PEER REVIEW: "AcademyAwardDatabase"**

## PROJECT:

Category	Full Marks (3 Points)	Partial Credit (2 Points)	Partial Credit (1 Points)	No Marks (0 Points)	Score
Quality of Work	Work (e.g., code) looks correct and organized. The project's readme file is clear, intelligible, and give a good idea of what the project is about.	Work (e.g., code) looks mostly correct and organized. The code could be better structured. OR the project readme file is somewhat unclear.	Work (e.g., code) is mostly disorganized. OR the project readme file is very unclear.	No code is given.	<b><u>3/3</u></b>
Comments	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Although I'm not familiar with Swift or Apple's programming ecosystem/toolchains, it appears to be a good start and well formatted overall.</u></p>				
Intellectual Merit	The project looks interesting, creative, and/or substantial.	The project is interesting, creative, and/or substantial, but does not seem feasible to do in a semester.	The project lacks substantial complexity.	No code is given or the project description is too vague to determine if the research has merit.	<b><u>3/3</u></b>
Comments	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>The concept seems easy enough for an individual to implement fully if not mostly in the space of a semester.</u></p>				

<b>Video</b>	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts.	The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video is missing or is inappropriate.	<b><u>0/3</u></b>
<b>Comments</b>	<u>I didn't see\find a video on this project's Github.</u>				