Reviewer: Aaron Chen Reviewee: Brian Neisen

Software/Hardware Project Review

| | John Marchiard Ware Froject Neview | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Category | Full Marks (3 Points) | Partial Credit (2 Points) | Partial Credit (1 Points) | No Marks (0 Points) | Score | | | | |
| Quality of Work | Work (e.g., code) looks correct and organized. The project's readme file is clear, intelligible, and give a good idea of what the project is about. | Work (e.g., code) looks mostly correct and organized. The code could be better structured. OR the project readme file is somewhat unclear. | Work (e.g., code) is mostly disorganized. OR the project readme file is very unclear. | No code is given. | 3 | | | | |
| Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. How can the code or readme file be improved?, etc.) | Readme file is good, from what i read about the technologies being used for his fitness tracker project. How code is written for progress seems to be fine. | | | | | | | | |
| Intellectual Merit | The project looks interesting, creative, and/or substantial. | The project is interesting, creative, and/or substantial, but does not seem feasible to do in a semester. | The project lacks substantial complexity. | No code is given or the project description is too vague to determine if the research has merit. | 3 | | | | |
| Comments | Everything, | | | | | | | | |

| (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. If the project is too complex, what parts of the project could feasibly done? If the project is too simple, what features could be added?) | from the project looks interesting, creative, and substantial. For your current progress. But I am thinking that maybe if you want to add functionality to your buttons to work with the web based tracker, perhaps use the frontend technologies for it to work. Although that is ultimately up to you to decide what you want to do in your end goal and such. | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| Video | The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project. | The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts. | The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project. | The video is missing or is inappropriate. | 3 |
| Comments (e.g. Give constructive feed back to help improve the presentation style.) | Presentation style for video is fine, and how everything is explained in there is fine too. Just hopefully if | | | | |

| you know what your next goals are elaborat more on tha in the secon presentation | : d | | |
|--|----------|--|--|
| presentation leading up to a big project |) | | |