

Category	Full Marks (3 Points)	Partial Credit (2 Points)	Partial Credit (1 Points)	No Marks (0 Points)	Score
Quality of Work	Work (e.g., code) looks correct and organized. The project's readme file is clear, intelligible, and give a good idea of what the project is about.	Work (e.g., code) looks mostly correct and organized. The code could be better structured. OR the project readme file is somewhat unclear.	Work (e.g., code) is mostly disorganized. OR the project readme file is very unclear.	No code is given.	3
Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. How can the code or readme file be improved?, etc.)	Readme described the project well and the plan of attack. Pseudocode looked good. One thing I think you could add is the specific questions you are going to ask the user in the code. I am sure you have some in mind, but I would suggest inserting them in your outline just to have them down somewhere.				
Intellectual Merit	The project looks interesting, creative, and/or substantial.	The project is interesting, creative, and/or substantial, but does not seem feasible to do in a semester.	The project lacks substantial complexity.	No code is given or the project description is too vague to determine if the research has merit.	3

<p>Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. If the project is too complex, what parts of the project could feasibly be done? If the project is too simple, what features could be added?)</p>	<p>I don't think this project is too complex or too simple and if you need to add more you could just work more on the design of the questionnaire or add more questions. I think overall this is a good idea and I am definitely interested in this project. The only concern I would have is just how this code will be presented to the user and just make sure it is presented in a user friendly decorative way.</p>				
<p>Video</p>	<p>The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project.</p>	<p>The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts.</p>	<p>The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project.</p>	<p>The video is missing or is inappropriate.</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Comments (e.g. Give constructive feedback to help improve the presentation style.)</p>	<p>I was not able to get access to the video, so I was not able to watch it. It said that I was not allowed access to it, so you might just have to make it public.</p>				