

Colton Dopkins

CSIS 484

2/22/2023

PEER REVIEW: "Senior-Capstone-Anderson"

PROJECT:

Category	Full Marks (3 Points)	Partial Credit (2 Points)	Partial Credit (1 Points)	No Marks (0 Points)	Score
Quality of Work	Work (e.g., code) looks correct and organized. The project's readme file is clear, intelligible, and give a good idea of what the project is about.	Work (e.g., code) looks mostly correct and organized. The code could be better structured. OR the project readme file is somewhat unclear.	Work (e.g., code) is mostly disorganized. OR the project readme file is very unclear.	No code is given.	<u>3/3</u>
Comments	<u>It's a good start. It could use some more comments but it's early enough in development so it's a non-issue. Java should allow for easy cross-platform capability if desired.</u>				
Intellectual Merit	The project looks interesting, creative, and/or substantial.	The project is interesting, creative, and/or substantial, but does not seem feasible to do in a semester.	The project lacks substantial complexity.	No code is given or the project description is too vague to determine if the research has merit.	<u>3/3</u>
Comments	<u>The concept seems easy enough for an individual, yet complex enough to be interesting. Implementing this in the course of a semester should be easy.</u>				

Video	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts.	The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video is missing or is inappropriate.	<u>3/3</u>
Comments	<u>The video was very informative and detailed. A bit lengthy, but otherwise good!</u>				