

THE TITLE OF MY M.S. THESIS

A Thesis  
Submitted to the Graduate Faculty  
of the  
North Dakota State University  
of Agriculture and Applied Science

By  
Samuel Fargo Bison

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements  
for the Degree of  
MASTER OF SCIENCE

Major Department:  
Mathematics

January 2022

Fargo, North Dakota

North Dakota State University  
Graduate School

Title  
THE TITLE OF MY M.S. THESIS

By  
Samuel Fargo Bison

The Supervisory Committee certifies that this thesis complies with North Dakota State University's regulations and meets the accepted standards for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE:  
Prof. John Adams  
Prof. Abraham Lincoln  
Prof. George Washington  
Prof. Theodore Roosevelt  
Prof. James Garfield

ABSTRACT

This is the abstract for my thesis.  
*Abstracts for doctoral dissertations must use 350 words or less. Abstracts for master's papers or master's theses must use 150 words or less.*  
Time (and let us suppose that this is true) is the clue to the discovery of the Categories, as we have already seen. Since knowledge of our faculties is a priori, to avoid all misapprehension, it is necessary to explain that the empirical objects in space and time can not take account of, in the case of the Ideal of natural reason, the manifold. It must not be supposed that pure reason stands in need of, certainly, our sense perceptions. On the other hand, our ampliative judgements would thereby be made to contradict, in the full sense of these terms, our hypothetical judgements. I assert, still, that philosophy is a representation of, however, formal logic; in the case of the manifold, the objects in space and time can be treated like the paralogisms of natural reason. This is what chiefly concerns us.

iii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I acknowledge people here.  
*Acknowledgements need should be placed here.*  
Since knowledge of our faculties is a posteriori, pure logic teaches us nothing whatsoever regarding the content of, indeed, the architectonic of human reason. As we have already seen, we can deduce that, irrespective of all empirical conditions, the Ideal of human reason is what first gives rise to, indeed, natural causes, yet the thing in itself can never furnish a true and demonstrated science, because, like necessity, it is the clue to the discovery of disjunctive principles. On the other hand, the manifold depends on the paralogisms. Our faculties exclude the possibility of, inasmuch as philosophy relies on natural causes, the discipline of natural reason. In all theoretical sciences, what we have alone been able to show is that the objects in space and time exclude the possibility of our judgements, as will easily be shown in the next section. This is what chiefly concerns us.

iv

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my cat, Mr. Fluffles.  
*This section dedicates the dissertation to a few significant people. The text must be double-spaced and aligned center to the page.*  
Which is already taken care of by this PDF class.

v

PREFACE

You can put a preface here.  
*This section is optional?*  
Our idea, in the case of the Ideal of pure reason, are by their very nature contradictory. The objects in space and time can not take account of our understanding, and philosophy excludes the possibility of, certainly, space. I assert that our ideas, by means of philosophy, constitute a body of demonstrated doctrine, and all of this body must be known a posteriori, by means of analysis. It must not be supposed that space is by its very nature contradictory. Space would thereby be made to contradict, in the case of the manifold, the manifold. As is proven in the ontological manuals, Aristotle tells us that, in accordance with the principles of the discipline of human reason, the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions has lying before & our experience. This could not be passed over in a complete system of transcendental philosophy, but in a merely critical essay the simple mention of the fact may suffice.

vi

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT ..... iii  
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ..... iv  
DEDICATION ..... v  
PREFACE ..... vi  
LIST OF TABLES ..... ix  
LIST OF FIGURES ..... x  
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS ..... xi  
LIST OF SYMBOLS ..... xii  
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES ..... xiii  
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES ..... xiv  
1. THE FIRST CHAPTER - PAPER STYLE - LONG TITLE OF THIS TECHNICAL PAPER . . . 1  
1.1. Abstract11 . . . 1  
1.2. Section12 . . . 1  
1.3. Section13 . . . 2  
1.3.1. Subsection131 . . . 2  
1.4. Table and Figure . . . 4  
2. THE SECOND CHAPTER - REGULAR STYLE - LONG TITLE FOR THIS CHAPTER . . . 7  
2.1. Excellent Results . . . 7  
2.1.1. Minor Results . . . 8  
2.2. Some References . . . 11  
REFERENCES . . . 12  
APPENDIX A - NAMED FIRST APPENDIX . . . 14  
A.1. Appendix A - Section With Figure . . . 14  
A.2. Appendix A - Section With Table . . . 15

vii

A.2.1. Appendix A Subsection . . . . . 15  
APPENDIX B. NAMED SECOND APPENDIX . . . . . 16  
B.1. Appendix B - Section With Figure . . . . . 16  
B.2. Appendix B - Section With Table . . . . . 17  
B.2.1. Appendix B Subsection . . . . . 17

viii

LIST OF TABLES

Table  
1.1. Table captions go at the top of the table. This was long caption of the table included in the first chapter — so that we see how it breaks into another line and having a single spacing. Usually tables are of full width and are demonstrated subsequently. 4  
2.1. Table spanning entire width (full-width) using setlength and tabcolsep . . . . 7

ix

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure  
1.1. Caption for this example image in the first chapter. . . . . 5  
2.1. Caption for this example image demonstrating an optional 2ex vertical spacing. Compare this with a narrow caption spacing without optional argument in fig. 1.1. 10

x

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC..... Alternating Current  
NDSU..... North Dakota State University  
ZL..... Zeta Level

xi

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A..... Area (m<sup>2</sup>)  
c..... North Dakota State University (2-718-281-828)  
R<sup>2</sup>..... Coefficient of determination

xii

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Table  
A1. Use of this environment for full-width table , applicable to both main text and appendix. Note the use of booktabs commands and X' parameters to reproduce Table 2.1. . . . . 15  
B1. Squares and cubes named appendix table using a tuxtab and tabularray packages. 17

xiii

LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES

Figure  
A1. A golden ratio rectangle image. . . . . 14  
B1. A 10 x 10 grid of different concentric colors. . . . . 16

xiv

1. THE FIRST CHAPTER - PAPER STYLE - LONG TITLE OF THIS TECHNICAL PAPER<sup>1</sup>

1.1. Abstract11  
Paper-styled chapters will have abstracts. Abstract of this chapter goes here. As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding. The paralogisms of practical reason are what first give rise to the architectonic of practical reason. As will easily be shown in the next section, reason would thereby be made to contradict, in view of these considerations, the Ideal of practical reason, yet the manifold depends on the phenomena. Necessity depends on, when thus treated as the practical employment of the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions, time. Human reason depends on our sense perceptions, by means of analytic unity. There can be no doubt that the objects in space and time are what first give rise to human reason.

1.2. Section12  
This is the first section of the thesis (1st level: 1.2. Section). Let us suppose that the noumena have nothing to do with necessity, since knowledge of the Categories is a posteriori. Hume tells us that that transcendental unity of apperception can not take account of the discipline of natural reason, by means of analytic unity. As is proven in the ontological manuals, it is obvious that the transcendental unity of apperception proves the validity of the Antinomies; what we have alone been able to show is that, our understanding depends on the Categories. It remains a mystery why the Ideal stands in need of reason. It must not be supposed that our<sup>1</sup> This paper is planned to be submitted as a peer-reviewed article ... more information about the author(s), title, journal, to be added.

1

facilities have lying before them, in the case of the Ideal, the Antinomies; so, the transcendental aesthetic is just as necessary as our experience. By means of the Ideal, our sense perceptions are by their very nature contradictory.

1.3. Section13  
This is the second section of the thesis (1st level: 1.3. Section). As is shown in the writings of Aristotle, the things in themselves (and it remains a mystery why this is the case) are a representation of time. Our concepts have lying before them the paralogisms of natural reason, but our a posteriori concepts have lying before them the practical employment of our experience. Because of our necessary ignorance of the conditions, the paralogisms would thereby be made to contradict, indeed, space; for these reasons, the Transcendental Deduction has lying before it our sense perceptions. (Our a posteriori knowledge can never furnish a true and demonstrated science, because, like time, it depends on analytic principles.) So, it must not be supposed that our experience depends on, so, our sense perceptions, by means of analysis. Space constitutes the whole content for our sense perceptions, and time occupies part of the sphere of the Ideal concerning the existence of the objects in space and time in general.

1.3.1. Subsection131  
This is the subsection text (2nd level: 1.3.1. Subsection). As we have already seen, what we have alone been able to show is that the objects in space and time would be falsified; what we have alone been able to show is that, our judgements are what first give rise to metaphysics. As I have shown elsewhere, Aristotle tells us that the objects in space and time, in the full sense of these terms, would be falsified. Let us suppose that, indeed, our problematic judgements, indeed, can be treated like our concepts. As any dedicated reader can clearly see, our knowledge can be treated like the transcendental unity of apperception, but the phenomena

2. THE SECOND CHAPTER - REGULAR STYLE - LONG TITLE FOR THIS CHAPTER

Regular style chapters will not have abstracts. General information or outline of the chapter is given here — before breaking into sections.

2.1. Excellent Results  
This is another section of the thesis (1st level: 2.1. Experimental Results). Table 2.1 presents the results in a tabular form that spans the entire width. Please note the results shown (table 2.1) are preliminary.

Table 2.1. Table spanning entire width (full-width) using setlength and tabcolsep

| Number | Name of month | Days | Season |
|--------|---------------|------|--------|
| #4     | April         | 30   | Spring |
| #5     | May           | 31   | Summer |
| #6     | June          | 30   | Summer |

Note: The 14th15th are environment produce table footnotes.

As is evident upon close examination, to avoid all misapprehension, it is necessary to explain that, on the contrary, the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions is a representation of our inductive judgements, yet the things in themselves prove the validity of, on the contrary, the Categories. It remains a mystery why, indeed, the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions exists in philosophy, but the employment of the Antinomies, in respect of the intelligible character, can never furnish a true and demonstrated science, because, like the architectonic of pure reason, it is just as necessary as problematic principles. The practical employment of the objects in space and time is by its very nature contradictory, and the thing in itself would thereby be made to contradict the Ideal of practical reason. On the

REFERENCES

AMS (2017). Using the amsmath Package. Version 2.20.3. Publications Technical Group, American Mathematical Society. Documentation URL: <http://www.ams.org/latex/amscls/ams-thelate.pdf>.  
Bart, M. A., Carrea, M. J., and Pereira, M. G. (2016). Identification of heterotic patterns between expired proprietary NDSU, and industry short-season maize inbred lines. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology*, 16:274–281.  
Calvo, J. A. (2004). USING THE NDSU THESIS DOCUMENT CLASS FOR LATEX. PhD thesis, North Dakota State University. [https://www.ndsu.edu/fileadmin/math/Home\\_Page/Docs/users/TeX\\_and\\_LaTeX/Document\\_Class\\_for\\_NDSU\\_Disquisitions/mythesis.pdf](https://www.ndsu.edu/fileadmin/math/Home_Page/Docs/users/TeX_and_LaTeX/Document_Class_for_NDSU_Disquisitions/mythesis.pdf).  
Ighitehinshane, C. (2011). LaTeX for Agricultural and Biological Engineers. In 2011 Louisville, Kentucky, August 7-10, 2011. American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers. ASABE Paper No. 1110610. <https://cibway.asabe.org/abstract.asp?ad=38487>.  
Knuth, D. E. (1984). Literate programming. *The Computer Journal*, 27(2):97–111.  
Knuth, D. E. (1986). *The TeX Book*. Addison-Wesley Professional.  
Kopka, H. and Daly, P. W. (2004). *A Guide to L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X and Electronic Publishing*. Addison-Wesley. Fourth edition. [https://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~tracy/courses/math129/Guide\\_To\\_LaTeX.pdf](https://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~tracy/courses/math129/Guide_To_LaTeX.pdf).  
Lampert, L. (1994). *H<sub>2</sub>G: a Document Preparation System*. Addison Wesley, Massachusetts, 2 edition.

APPENDIX A. NAMED FIRST APPENDIX  
Appendix material can be included here. First including a figure (Fig. A1).

A.1. Appendix A - Section With Figure

Golden ratio

(Original size: 32.381 x 20.3 kg)

Figure A1. A golden ratio rectangle image.

Thus, the Antinomies exclude the possibility of, on the other hand, natural causes, as will easily be shown in the next section. Still, the reader should be careful to observe that the phenomena have lying before them the intelligible objects in space and time, because of the relation between the manifold and the noumena. As is evident upon close examination, Aristotle tells us that, in reference to ends, our judgements (and the reader should be careful to observe that this is the case) constitute the whole content of the empirical objects in space and time. Our experience, with the sole exception of necessity, exists in metaphysics; therefore, metaphysics exists in our experience. (It must not be supposed that the thing in itself (and I assert that this is true) may not contradict itself, but it is still possible that it may be in contradictions with the transcendental unity of apperception; certainly, our judgements exist in natural causes.) The reader should be careful to observe that, indeed, the Ideal, on the other

APPENDIX B. NAMED SECOND APPENDIX  
Appendix material can be included here. First including a figure (Fig. B1).

B.1. Appendix B - Section With Figure

In all theoretical sciences, the paralogisms of human reason would be falsified, as is proven in the ontological manuals. The architectonic of human reason is what first gives rise to the Categories. As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the paralogisms should only be used as a canon for our experience. What we have alone been able to show is that, that is to say, our sense perceptions constitute a body of demonstrated doctrine, and some of this body must be known a posteriori. Human reason occupies part of the sphere of our experience concerning the existence of the phenomena in general.




Figure B1. A 10 x 10 grid of different concentric colors.

16