MY NDSU THESIS — SANDBOX

A Dissertation
Submitted to the Graduate Faculty
of the
North Dakota State University
of Agriculture and Applied Science

Ву

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Major:

October 29, 2022

Fargo, North Dakota

NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY

Graduate School

| | Title | |
|---------|---|--------------------------|
| | MY NDSU THESIS — SANDBOX | |
| _ | Ву | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| The S | Supervisory Committee certifies that this dissertation con | nplies with North Dakota |
| | e University's regulations and meets the accepted standards | |
| | | |
| | DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY | |
| | | |
| | | |
| CI IDEI | EDITICODY COMMITTEE. | |
| SUPEI | ERVISORY COMMITTEE: | |
| Appro | roved: | |
| _ | Date Departmen | nt Chair |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | TEST | Г СНАР | TEI | R FO | R NI | DSU | JTI | H | ESI | S (| CL | AS | SS | SA | NΙ | ЭB | OX | ζ. | | | • | • | | | • | 1 |
|----|------|---------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--|------|-------|-------|--|--|---|---|
| | 1.1. | Section | n. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | 1.1.1. | Su | ıb-Se | ction | 1. | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| RF | FERE | NCES . | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 |

1. TEST CHAPTER FOR NDSU THESIS CLASS SANDBOX

This "ndsu-sandbox.tex" file can be used as a sandbox to try out things in the actual NDSU thesis environment. Things tested here (including the bibliography) can be readily inserted into the original thesis/dissertation document. Therefore, this lightweight source will be convenient to test things out. So, go for it — and remember anything is possible by ETEX (almost!?).

1.1. Section

1.1.1. Sub-Section

1.1.1.1. Sub-Sub-Section

Dummy text from kantlipsum[9]. Reference listing on the next page. Check it for the intended formatting. I refer to (Baczkowski et al., 1990; Cassuto, 2010; Kopka and Daly, 2004; Lamport, 1994; Pires et al., 2021). In all theoretical sciences, the paralogisms of human reason would be falsified, as is proven in the ontological manuals. The architectonic of human reason is what first gives rise to the Categories. As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the paralogisms should only be used as a canon for our experience. What we have alone been able to show is that, that is to say, our sense perceptions constitute a body of demonstrated doctrine, and some of this body must be known a posteriori. Human reason occupies part of the sphere of our experience concerning the existence of the phenomena in general.

REFERENCES

- Baczkowski, L. S., Enderle, J. D., Krause, D. J., & Rawson, J. L. (1990). NDSU undergraduate design projects for the disabled. *Biomedical Sciences Instrumentation*, *26*, 95–99.
- Cassuto, L. (2010). Advising the struggling dissertation student. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, *57*(17), 51–53.
- Kopka, H. & Daly, P. W. (2004). *A Guide to ET_EX and Electronic Publishing*. Addison-Wesley, Fourth edition. https://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~tracy/courses/math129/Guide_To_LaTeX.pdf.
- Lamport, L. (1994). *ETeX A Document Preparation System*. Addison-Wesley, Second edition.

 User's Guide and Reference Manual. https://www.pearson.com/us/higher-education/program/Lamport-La-Te-X-A-Document-Preparation-System-2nd-Edition/PGM159713.html.
- Pires, F., Masanet, M. J., & Scolari, C. A. (2021). What are teens doing with YouTube? Practices, uses and metaphors of the most popular audio-visual platform. *Information, Communication* & *Society*, 24(9), 1175–1191.