### THE NDSU THESIS—THE VERTICAL SPACING AROUND NON-TEXTUAL ELEMENTS—ISSUES AND SOLUTIONS

A Dissertation
Submitted to the Graduate Faculty
of the
North Dakota State University
of Agriculture and Applied Science

By

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Major:

June 5, 2025

Fargo, North Dakota

### North Dakota State University **Graduate School** Title THE NDSU THESIS—THE VERTICAL SPACING AROUND NON-TEXTUAL ELEMENTS—ISSUES AND SOLUTIONS By The Supervisory Committee certifies that this disquisition complies with North Dakota State University's regulations and meets the accepted standards for the degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE:

Approved:

#### **ABSTRACT**

The document demonstrates an important issue of vertical spacing around floats (tables and figures) and equations. ETEX usually adds spacing about the floating elements, which is a natural and intended behavior. However, NDSU requires the same "double-spacing," which is 0.33 in between baselines or 0.26 in between baseline and top-level (fig. 1.1). Therefore, this document was developed to demonstrate the issues (Chapter 1) and provide a solution to address the issues (Chapter 2). Users should understand the issues and able to use the solution to obtain the required results following the source code of this document. - C. Igathinathane

						T	ABLI	Ε Ο	F C	ONTI	ENTS					
<b>λ</b> B	STRA	CT .														ii
18	ST OF	TAB	LES .													1
18	ST OF	FIG	URES													v
													OUND	FLOAT	'ELEN	IENTS-
				ING IS												1
	1.1.	The	NDSU	J Thes	is Ve	ertical	Spaci	ing	Conv	entior	Arou	ınd Ele	ements			1
2.													EMEN'			
	2.1.	The	NDSU	J Thes	is Ve	ertical	Spac	ing	Conv	entior	Arou	ınd Ele	ements			7

# LIST OF TABLES Table Page 1.1. Table spanning entire width (full-width) using setlength and tabcolsep..... Table spanning entire width (full-width) using setlength and tabcolsep. . . . . 10 2.1.

### LIST OF FIGURES Figure Page 1.1. The "vertical spacing rule" figure showing different spaing in terms of grid and inch units. Use \vspace{...} command with +ve and -ve arguments to correct.. 1 1.2. 3 1.3. 6 2.1.The "vertical spacing rule" figure showing different spaing in terms of grid and inch units. Use \vspace{...} command with +ve and -ve arguments to correct.. 7 2.2. 9 2.3. 11 2.4. The "example-image-golden-upright' example image from the mwe package......

# 1. NDSU GRAD SCHOOL UNACCEPTABLE VERTICAL SPACING AROUND FLOAT ELEMENTS—DEMONSTRATING ISSUES 1.1. The NDSU Thesis Vertical Spacing Convention Around Elements

The following figure describes the vertical spacing convention to be followed in the

thesis. Each grid represents  $0.1 \times 0.1$  inch.

cal spacing

Excess verti-

#### Use class **showgrid** option to display grids

Correct spacing between lines (bot-top) 3.00 grids or 0.3 inch

Correct spacing of baselines (bottom)
3.84 grids or 0.384 inch

aralogisms of human reason w

### architectonic of human reason

Typical approved spacing of body text
To be followed around all elements

**Figure 1.1.** The "vertical spacing rule" figure showing different spaing in terms of grid and inch units. Use \vspace{...} command with +ve and -ve arguments to correct.

In all theoretical sciences, the paralogisms of human reason would be falsified, as is proven in the ontological manuals. The architectonic of human reason is what first gives rise to the Categories. As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the paralogisms should only be used as a canon for our experience. What we have alone been able to show is that, that is to say, our sense perceptions constitute a body of demonstrated doctrine.

Excess verti-

cal spacing

here! > 3.8

grids

As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding. The paralogisms of practical reason are what first give rise to the architectonic of practical reason. As will easily be shown in the next section, reason would thereby be made to contradict, in view of these considerations, the Ideal of practical reason, yet the manifold depends on the phenomena. Necessity depends on, when thus treated as the practical employment of the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions, time. Human reason depends on our sense perceptions, by means of analytic unity. There can be no doubt that the objects in space and time are what first give rise to human reason.

Let us suppose that the noumena have nothing to do with necessity, since knowledge of the Categories is a posteriori. Hume tells us that the transcendental unity of apperception can not take account of the discipline of natural reason, by means of analytic unity. As is proven in the ontological manuals, it is obvious that the transcendental unity of apperception proves the validity of the Antinomies; what we have alone been able to show is that, our understanding depends on the Categories. It remains a mystery why the Ideal stands in need of reason. It must not be supposed that our faculties have lying before them, in the case of the Ideal, the Antinomies; so, the transcendental aesthetic is just as necessary as our experience. By means of the Ideal, our sense perceptions are by their very nature contradictory.

$$P(x) = ax^{3} + bx^{2} + cx + d$$
 (1.1)

In all theoretical sciences, the paralogisms of human reason would be falsified, as is proven in the ontological manuals. The architectonic of human reason is what first gives rise

Excess spacing below! > 3.8 grids

ing here! >

3.8 grids

to the Categories. As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the paralogisms should only be used as a canon for our experience. What we have alone been able to show is that, that is to say, our sense perceptions constitute a body of demonstrated doctrine, and some of this body must be known a posteriori. Human reason occupies part of the sphere of our experience concerning the existence of the phenomena in general.

Less spacing! < 3.8 grids



Figure 1.2. The green and yellow pet frog - long long long long long long long caption.

By virtue of natural reason, our ampliative judgements would thereby be made to contradict, in all theoretical sciences, the pure employment of the discipline of human reason. Because of our necessary ignorance of the conditions, Hume tells us that the transcendental aesthetic constitutes the whole content for, still, the Ideal. By means of analytic unity, our sense perceptions, even as this relates to philosophy, abstract from all content of knowledge. With the sole exception of necessity, the reader should be careful to observe that our sense perceptions exclude the possibility of the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions,

Excess spacing here!
Around 3.8
grids

since knowledge of natural causes is a posteriori. Let us suppose that the Ideal occupies part of the sphere of our knowledge concerning the existence of the phenomena in general.

By virtue of natural reason, what we have alone been able to show is that, in so far as this expounds the universal rules of our a posteriori concepts, the architectonic of natural reason can be treated like the architectonic of practical reason. Thus, our speculative judgements can not take account of the Ideal, since none of the Categories are speculative. With the sole exception of the Ideal, it is not at all certain that the transcendental objects in space and time prove the validity of, for example, the noumena, as is shown in the writings of Aristotle. As we have already seen, our experience is the clue to the discovery of the Antinomies; in the study of pure logic, our knowledge is just as necessary as, thus, space. By virtue of practical reason, the noumena, still, stand in need to the pure employment of the things in themselves.

Table 1.1. Table spanning entire width (full-width) using setlength and tabcolsep.

Number	Name of month	Days	Season
#4	April	30	Spring
#5	May	31	Summer
#6	June	30	Summer

Note: The tablenotes environment produces table footnotes.

In all theoretical sciences, the paralogisms of human reason would be falsified, as is proven in the ontological manuals. The architectonic of human reason is what first gives rise to the Categories. As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the paralogisms should only be used as a canon for our experience. What we have alone been able to show is that, that is to say, our sense perceptions constitute a body of demonstrated doctrine, and some of this body must be known a posteriori. Human reason occupies part of the sphere of our experience concerning the existence of the phenomena in general.

Correct space ing here! > 3.8grids

Excess spacing here!

Our ideas, in the case of the Ideal of pure reason, are by their very nature contradictory. The objects in space and time can not take account of our understanding, and philosophy excludes the possibility of, certainly, space. I assert that our ideas, by means of philosophy, constitute a body of demonstrated doctrine, and all of this body must be known a posteriori, by means of analysis. It must not be supposed that space is by its very nature contradictory. Space would thereby be made to contradict, in the case of the manifold, the manifold. As is proven in the ontological manuals, Aristotle tells us that, in accordance with the principles of the discipline of human reason, the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions has lying before it our experience. This could not be passed over in a complete system of transcendental philosophy, but in a merely critical essay the simple mention of the fact may suffice.

ing at the page end is OK. As the float on the next page cannot be accommodated here!

Excess space



Figure 1.3. The green and yellow pet frog - long long long long long long long caption.

Excess spacing here!

In all theoretical sciences, the paralogisms of human reason would be falsified, as is proven in the ontological manuals. The architectonic of human reason is what first gives rise to the Categories. As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the paralogisms should only be used as a canon for our experience. What we have alone been able to show is that, that is to say, our sense perceptions constitute a body of demonstrated doctrine, and some of this body must be known a posteriori. Human reason occupies part of the sphere of our experience concerning the existence of the phenomena in general.

## 2. THE SOLUTION TO VERTICAL SPACING AROUND FLOAT ELEMENTS USING VSPACE{ ...} COMMAND—DEMONSTRATING SOLUTION

2.1. The NDSU Thesis Vertical Spacing Convention Around Elements

The following figure describes the vertical spacing convention to be followed in the thesis. Follow the source code (\*.tex) for the solution.

Use class **showgrid** option to display grids

Correct spacing between lines (bot-top) 3.00 grids or 0.3 inch

Correct spacing of baselines (bottom) 3.84 grids or 0.384 inch

aralogisms of human reason warchitectonic of human reason

Typical approved spacing of body text
To be followed around all elements

**Figure 2.1.** The "vertical spacing rule" figure showing different spaing in terms of grid and inch units. Use \vspace{ ...} command with +ve and -ve arguments to correct.

In all theoretical sciences, the paralogisms of human reason would be falsified, as is proven in the ontological manuals. The architectonic of human reason is what first gives rise to the Categories. As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the paralogisms should only be used as a canon for our experience. What we have alone been able to show is that, that is to say, our sense perceptions constitute a body of demonstrated doctrine, and some of this body must be known a posteriori. Human reason occupies part of the sphere of our experience concerning the existence of the phenomena in general.

Correct spac-

Correct spac-

ing!

Spacing page end. Okay!

As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding. The paralogisms of practical reason are what first give rise to the architectonic of practical reason. As will easily be shown in the next section, reason would thereby be made to contradict, in view of these considerations, the Ideal of practical reason, yet the manifold depends on the phenomena. Necessity depends on, when thus treated as the practical employment of the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions, time. Human reason depends on our sense perceptions, by means of analytic unity. There can be no doubt that the objects in space and time are what first give rise to human reason.

Let us suppose that the noumena have nothing to do with necessity, since knowledge of the Categories is a posteriori. Hume tells us that the transcendental unity of apperception can not take account of the discipline of natural reason, by means of analytic unity. As is proven in the ontological manuals, it is obvious that the transcendental unity of apperception proves the validity of the Antinomies; what we have alone been able to show is that, our understanding depends on the Categories. It remains a mystery why the Ideal stands in need of reason. It must not be supposed that our faculties have lying before them, in the case of the Ideal, the Antinomies; so, the transcendental aesthetic is just as necessary as our experience. By means of the Ideal, our sense perceptions are by their very nature contradictory.

$$P(x) = ax^{3} + bx^{2} + cx + d$$
 (2.1)

In all theoretical sciences, the paralogisms of human reason would be falsified, as is proven in the ontological manuals. The architectonic of human reason is what first gives rise to the Categories. As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the paralogisms should only be used

Correct spacing. Using myeqn short-

Correct spacing!

as a canon for our experience. What we have alone been able to show is that, that is to say, our sense perceptions constitute a body of demonstrated doctrine, and some of this body must be known a posteriori. Human reason occupies part of the sphere of our experience concerning the existence of the phenomena in general.

Correct spacing!



Figure 2.2. The green and yellow pet frog - long long long long long long long caption.

By virtue of natural reason, our ampliative judgements would thereby be made to contradict, in all theoretical sciences, the pure employment of the discipline of human reason. Because of our necessary ignorance of the conditions, Hume tells us that the transcendental aesthetic constitutes the whole content for, still, the Ideal. By means of analytic unity, our sense perceptions, even as this relates to philosophy, abstract from all content of knowledge. With the sole exception of necessity, the reader should be careful to observe that our sense perceptions exclude the possibility of the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions,

Correct spacing! since knowledge of natural causes is a posteriori. Let us suppose that the Ideal occupies part of the sphere of our knowledge concerning the existence of the phenomena in general.

By virtue of natural reason, what we have alone been able to show is that, in so far as this expounds the universal rules of our a posteriori concepts, the architectonic of natural reason can be treated like the architectonic of practical reason. Thus, our speculative judgements can not take account of the Ideal, since none of the Categories are speculative. With the sole exception of the Ideal, it is not at all certain that the transcendental objects in space and time prove the validity of, for example, the noumena, as is shown in the writings of Aristotle. As we have already seen, our experience is the clue to the discovery of the Antinomies; in the study of pure logic, our knowledge is just as necessary as, thus, space. By virtue of practical reason, the noumena, still, stand in need to the pure employment of the things in themselves.

Table 2.1. Table spanning entire width (full-width) using setlength and tabcolsep.

Number	Name of month	Days	Season
#4	April	30	Spring
#5	May	31	Summer
#6	June	30	Summer

Note: The tablenotes environment produces table footnotes.

Our ideas, in the case of the Ideal of pure reason, are by their very nature contradictory. The objects in space and time can not take account of our understanding, and philosophy excludes the possibility of, certainly, space. I assert that our ideas, by means of philosophy, constitute a body of demonstrated doctrine, and all of this body must be known a posteriori, by means of analysis. It must not be supposed that space is by its very nature contradictory. Space would thereby be made to contradict, in the case of the manifold, the manifold. As is proven in the ontological manuals, Aristotle tells us that, in accordance with the principles of

Correct spacing here!

Correct spacing! the discipline of human reason, the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions has lying before it our experience. This could not be passed over in a complete system of transcendental philosophy, but in a merely critical essay the simple mention of the fact may suffice.



Figure 2.3. The green and yellow pet frog - long long long long long long long caption.

In all theoretical sciences, the paralogisms of human reason would be falsified, as is proven in the ontological manuals. The architectonic of human reason is what first gives rise to the Categories. As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the paralogisms should only be used

Excess spacing at the page end is OK. As the float on the next page cannot be accommodated here!

Correct spacing!

as a canon for our experience. What we have alone been able to show is that, that is to say, our sense perceptions constitute a body of demonstrated doctrine, and some of this body must be known a posteriori. Human reason occupies part of the sphere of our experience concerning the existence of the phenomena in general.

Note: The next demonstrates the handling of a large figure, which almost fills a page, and makes it align to the top 1-inch margin. The use of h! and H placement option works and others t and p do not, whereas the use of usual p also centers figure vertically, which is not accepted by NDSU. Show below is the use of \myfig, which also works with the usual figure environment. Follow this principle for tables as well and use appropriate \vspace values.

# Golden ratio

(Original size:  $200 \times 32.361$  bp)

Figure 2.4. The "example-image-golden-upright' example image from the mwe package.

	N	ote:	Com	pare	the so	urce	cod	e of c	hapı	ters	1 an	d 2	to ui	nderst	and	how	the s	spacin
is a	djust	ed.	Once	don	e, act	ivate	line	2 ar	ıd co	mm	ent	to s	ee tl	ne fina	al oı	ıtput	. Of	course
his	can	be	done	at a	nv tir	ne d	uring	e dev	elor	me	nt as	w	ell.	Needl	ess	to sa	ıv. th	e tod
con	ıman	ıds	can b	e rer	noved	. Al	so, t	ındeı	rstan	d tl	iat v	vha	teve	r spac	ing	give	n by	ĽΤ <sub>E</sub> X i
actı	ıally	cor	rect b	ut we	make	the	se ad	iustr	nent	s to	com	plv	with	the N	DSU	J-app	rove	d thesi
orr	nat.																	
																- C.	Igathi	nathan
																	N	Iarch, 202