# **IRSE** Projecet

Jan Cichomski (r1026448)

May 2, 2025

# Outline

#### 1. Architecture

TODO

# 2. Term Vocabulary

# 2.1 Term Vocabulary - Document Preprocessing

- To lower case
- remove punctuation
- tokenize
- remove english stop words (added custom stop words)
- lammatize

# 2.1 Temr Vocabulary - Document Preprocessing

```
def preprocess_text(doc):
    doc = doc.translate(str.maketrans("", "",
        string.punctuation)).lower()
    words = word_tokenize(doc)
    words = [
        lemmatizer.lemmatize(word)
        for word in words
        if word not in stop_words and word.isalpha()
    ]
    return " ".join(words)
```

# 2.1 Term Vocabulary - Custom stop words

```
stop_words.update(
        "add",
        "added",
        "adding",
        "addition",
        "also",
        "almost",
        "another",
        "easily",
        "easy",
```

# 2.2 Term Vocabulary - Hyperparameters

#### Two types of terms:

- 1-grams
  - min\_df=20
  - max\_df=0.5
- 2-grams
  - 10,000 terms
  - min\_df=50
  - max\_df=0.4

## 2.3 Term Vocabulary - Handling mulit-word terms

• 2-grams with aggressive filtering

# 3 Document Embedding

# 3.1 Document Embedding - Chosen Fields

I use all fields for embedding:

- name
- description
- ingredients
- steps
- Tested different combinations
- Make sense as user may ask about any information

TODO: add some data

# 3.2 Document Embedding - Query Preprocessing

The same approche as for embedding documents

## 3.3 Document Embedding - Edge Cases

- Problem: When query has no terms from vocabulary
  - TF-IDF produces zero vector for the query
  - Cosine similarity returns 0 for all documents
- Consequences:
  - Without similarity threshold: All documents returned (no filtering)
  - With any similarity threshold: No documents returned (empty result)

#### 4 Retrieval

### 4.1 Retrieval - Similarity Measure

- Cosine similarity picked finally
- Euclidean distance

# 4.2 Retrieval - Hyperparameters

- Max number of returned documents: 40
- Minimum threshold for cosine similarity: 0.2

I used grid search over param space

```
def create_parameter_heatmap(queries, recipes, recipe_ids):
   thresholds = np.arange(0.1, 0.60, 0.05)
   k_values = np.arange(20, 60, 5)
```

#### 4.3 Retrieval - Evaluation Metrics

Macro Precision: 0.130

• Macro Recall: 0.201

Macro F1: 0.126

Micro Precision: 0.128

Micro Recall: 0.191

Micro F1: 0.153

#### 4.4 Retrieval - MAP

Mean Average Precision (MAP): 0.086

$$AP = \frac{1}{RD} \sum_{k=1}^{n} P(k) \cdot r(k), \tag{1}$$

Were RD is the number of relevant documents for the query, n is the total number of documents, P(k) is the precision at k, and r(k) is the relevance of the  $k^{th}$  retrieved document (0 if not relevant, and 1 if relevant)

$$MAP = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i=1}^{Q} AP_i \tag{2}$$

Where Q is the number of queries and  $AP_i$  is the average precision for the  $i^{th}$  query.

#### 4.4 Retrieval - MAP Code

```
def calculate_average_precision(relevant_doc_ids,
                                retrieved_doc_ids):
  hit_count = 0
  sum_precisions = 0.0
  for i, doc_id in enumerate(retrieved_doc_ids):
      if doc_id in relevant_doc_ids:
          hit count += 1
          precision_at_i = hit_count / (i + 1)
          sum_precisions += precision_at_i
      # else: sum_precisions += 0.0
  if len(relevant_doc_ids) == 0:
      return 0.0
  return sum_precisions / len(relevant_doc_ids)
```

# 5. Qualitative analysis - information Retrieval

TIODO TODO TODO

#### 5.1 Qualitative analysis - IR -

Problem: Even though there is no relevant information in the document, the system returns somed documents

Prompt: "Where can I follow cooking classes"

### 5.2 Qualitative analysis - IR -

Problem: Ignores context of entities in query

Prompt: "How does Gordon Ramsay make his beef Wellington?"

### 5.3 Qualitative analysis - IR -

Problem: Can't handle extermalyl rare words, like "Paraguay"

Prompt: "Do you know any soups from Paraguay?"

### 5.4 Qualitative analysis - IR -

Problem: TF-IDF doesn't handle typos

Prompt: "How do you make **piza**"

### 5.5 Qualitative analysis - IR -

Problem: Can't capture negation

Prompt: "I do not want to eat pizza, what can I eat instead?"

# 6. Prompt

# 6.1 Prompt - LLM Instructions - Good

- General context and LLM's goal
- Instructions per kind of question
- Response format
- Limitations

TODO: add full prompt in handout

# 6.1 Prompt - LLM Instructions - Bad

You are a helpful recipe assistant with access to a database of recipes. The system has already retrieved the most relevant recipes to the user's query using TF-IDF similarity. Your goal is to provide helpful, accurate responses about recipes, cooking techniques, ingredient substitutions, and culinary advice based on the retrieved recipes.

```
The following recipes have been retrieved as most relevant to the user's query: {retrieved_recipes}
```

```
## User Query
{user_query}
```

# 6.2 Prompt - Fields used

- name
- description
- ingredients
- steps
- relevance score

### 6.2 Prompt - Fields used

```
for idx, (recipe, recipe_id, score) in enumerate(results):
   info=df[df["official_id"] == recipe_id].iloc[0]
   retrieved_recipes+=f"Document {idx}, Score: {score:.4f}\n"
   retrieved_recipes+=f"Name: {info['name']}\n"
   retrieved_recipes+=f"Description:{info['description']}\n"
   retrieved_recipes+=f"Ingredients:{info['ingredients']}\n"
   retrieved_recipes+=f"Steps: {info['steps']}\n\n"
```

# 7. Qualitative analysis - LLM

# 7.1 Qualitative analysis - LLM & RAG

- more or less yes
- but does not stick to rules
- they are often too general

# 7.2 Qualitative analysis - TODO

# 7.3 Qualitative analysis - Hallucination

- Standard prompt, but with no documents provided "How does Gordon Ramsay make his beef Wellington?"
- Yet LLM answered with recipe
- Which suggest that it did not followed rules

The following recipes have been retrieved as most relevant to the user's query:

## Instructions

{rest of the prompt}

[/INST] Based on the retrieved recipes, Gordon Ramsay's Beef Wellington is typically made with a large piece of beef fillet, duplicated with a sheet of pate, covered in mushrooms and pastry, and baked in an oven.

7.4 Qualitative analysis - Score vs No Score - TODO

8. Neural document embeddings

# 8.1 Neural document embeddings - out of vocabulary - query

Used model's tokenizer to tokenize the query

- Original text: 'kashubian'
- [CLS]', 'ka', '##shu', '##bian', '[SEP]'

The ## prefix represents subword tokenization, allowing the model to handle words not in its vocabulary.

# 8.1 Neural document embeddings - out of vocabulary - document

Used model's tokenizer to tokenize the query

- Original text: 'their settlement area is referred to as kashubia they speak the kashubian language which is classified either...'
- '[CLS]', 'their', 'settlement', 'area', 'is', 'referred', 'to', 'as', 'ka', '##shu', '##bia', 'they', 'speak', 'the', 'ka', '##shu', '##bian', 'language', 'which', 'is', 'classified', 'either',

Neural embeddings returned valid results even through the word "kashubian" was not in the vocabulary.

# 8.2 Neural document embeddings - Metrics - Recipes

• Macro Precision: 0.307

Macro Recall: 0.134

Macro F1: 0.157

Micro Precision: 0.280

Micro Recall: 0.035

Micro F1: 0.062

• MAP: 0.101

Average DCG: 2.472

Average NDCG: 0.736

# 8.2 Neural document embeddings - Metrics - Wiki

Macro Precision: 0.310

• Macro Recall: 0.352

Macro F1: 0.260

Micro Precision: 0.343

Micro Recall: 0.303

Micro F1: 0.322

MAP: 0.216

• Average DCG: 1.566

Average NDCG: 0.549

# 9. Compression

# 9.1 Compression - Long Dcouments

#### Information Retrieval

- Cover multiple topics
- Contain lots of words
- Limited document length

#### LLM

- Limited context widnow
- Needle in a haystack

# 9.2 Compression - Solutoin

```
Split documents into chunks

from langchain_text_splitters
  import RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter

text_splitter = RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter(
  chunk_size=500,
  chunk_overlap=100,
  length_function=len,
  is_separator_regex=False,
)
```

# 9. Security

# 9.1 Security

• Yes, LLM is susectipble TODO...