



**Co-funded by
the European Union**

Supported by project *Humanities going digital*, 2020-1-CZ01-KA226-HE-094363. The European Commission's support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents, which reflect the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.



a critical reader of “new” media

03. THE SEMIOTICS OF CULTURE

(or a series of questions that we may not end up solving at all)

IN THIS CLASS

- What is the semiotics of culture?
- What do we analyze with it?
- Actually, what is culture?

SEMIOTICS OF CULTURE – an overview

- What do we call ‘semiotics of culture’? First, let’s try to reanswer this:
- What do we call ‘semiotics’?
- How can there be a *semiotics of something*?

SEMIOTICS OF CULTURE

Semiology and structuralism

- A Saussurean approach to understanding systems of signification
- Barthes, Lévi-Strauss, Greimas, etc.

Semiotics of culture


- An analytical approach to understand systems of culture and elements within
- Lotman, Piatigorsky, Uspensky, etc.

SIDENOTE: WHAT COUNTS AS ANALYSIS?


- Both areas of cultural analysis are fairly compatible, though have significant differences
- Structuralism, semiotics and criticism all create means of analyzing meaningful cultural objects
- What do we mean by analysis though? This may sound like a ridiculous question, but it actually grants some thinking!

THINGS THAT COUNT AS ANALYSIS

- Understanding motivation of cultural object
- Understanding underlying meaning of cultural object
- Understanding underlying structure of cultural object
- Understanding overlying structure of cultural relations



- Summarization of contents of cultural object?
- Description of appearance of cultural object?
- Psychoanalysis of authorial intent?
- Psychoanalysis of reader reaction?
- Craft quality *qua* content analysis?



things that
maybe do not
count as analysis

but can we be sure about this?

IS THE MEDIUM THE MESSAGE?

- Instead of making a point about what a work *means* or what an artist *means* (etc., etc., etc.), our analysis and sense of *meaning* has to correspond to more areas of cultural objects—the medium is essential, the relations of the work are essential, the relations within a semiosphere (and towards other semiospheres) are essential
- Let's give this idea a spin



- The question is then, *what do we do with this?*



SEMIOTICS, AGAIN

- So what do we call semiotics?
- In general, we will think of semiotics as both a set of methodologies and a point of view
- It's not properly a methodology because semiotics implies a commitment to the usage of *signs* and the relevance of *meaning-making*
- But from this commitment we derive a number of potential analytical tools that can be extended towards full methodologies (such as structuralism and the semiotics of culture!)

HOW CAN THERE BE A *SEMIOTICS OF SOMETHING*?

- If semiotics deals with the general phenomenon of meaning-making, signification and all that, then it means it can be applied to specific areas where meaning-making is important
- If perception and meaning-making are fundamentally semiotic, and cultural signification is a subsystem of a more general signification, then semiotics can cover these areas with some success in order to analyze the mechanisms of meaning generation!
- So you can have, say, a semiotics of toilets that could successfully analyze how meaning is generated in the context of toilets!



WHAT IS CULTURE

- Now this is a tricky one: We previously talked about the concept of *semiosphere* and its constituents
- Lotman defined culture at some point as ‘the whole of uninherited information and the ways of its organization and storage,’ pointing out the cybernetic nature of his theory
- Culture, in general, is the whole of meaning-making practices historically and locally situated, ever-changing and interacting by way of human action through modeling
- Let’s remember the Sebeokian revision of what modeling systems are:
 - Primary modeling systems
 - Secondary modeling systems
 - Tertiary modeling systems



WHAT IS A CULTURAL OBJECT

- Is an *axe* the same as a *movie*? How do we assign value to different objects?
- If we go by the McLuhanian understanding of medium, both correspond to different media
- If we try, however, to model their meaning-making, we end up with a different picture
- Moreover, if, after modelling, we try to account for the usage of signs in regards to both objects, we can get a picture of what *kinds* of signs we are witnessing



SO WHAT IS THE SEMIOTICS OF CULTURE, AFTER ALL?

- Maybe it's an ad-hoc systems theory that takes into account historical relations to make sense of how cultural objects create meaning
- Maybe it's a way to treat culture as a sort of language, with specific expressions within it as specific aspects or instances of language usage
- Maybe it's a theory of how cultural systems work by observing both the micro and macro levels

- Question: What distinguishes how we treat different but related cultural objects? For instance, why do we treat news articles differently than fiction novels?
- In other words, why are there discourses/genres?