## Algorithms Under Uncertainty: Quiz 1 Full Marks: 25

## 23/8/2023

Write solutions in the space provided. NO extra pages will be provided. Write brief and precise solutions. Meaningless rambles fetch negative credits.

**Problem 1.** (10 points) Recall that the deterministic MARKING algorithm for paging is k-competitive and this result is tight for any deterministic algorithm. This result is a bit pessimistic primarily since we are comparing the online algorithm against an offline optimal which has full knowledge of the input. In order to balance this out, people have considered the so-called 'resource augmentation' analysis model. Consider the same MARKING algorithm. But, assume that the cache size provided to the offline optimal solution is k while the size of the cache provided to the MARKING algorithm is 2k. Prove that in this model, MARKING is 2-competitive.

Solution: It is sequence of to be decomposed in phases consider the sequence of to be decomposed in phases where each phase i how has 2k many distinct requests. (Since MARKING has a cache of size = 2k).

Now, number of misses for MARKING in any phase is exactly at most 2k.

On the other hand, in a phase, there is offline oft must make at least k misses since with request is 2k while cache rize is k.

Hence, competitive ratio is at most 2k/h=2.

**Problem 2.** (5 points) Show that for any given number of machine  $m \ge 2$  (you cannot assume any specific value for m), the greedy algorithm is at least 2 - 1/m-competitive for online load balancing with identical machines (that is any job j has the same load  $p_j$  on all machines).

Sol. det us consider a sequence of with m(m-1) writ sized jobs followed by a single job of \$\overline{p}\_j = m.

Greedy first fills up all machines with load (m-1) on each. Hence, final load on one myc will be exactly 2m-1.

Me will be exactly 2m-1.

Machines and put large job on an empty mc.

Hence, ratio is 2m-1/m = (2-1/m).

**Problem 3.** (10 points) Let us consider the scheduling with restricted assignments problem, but a very special case. Assume that any job j can be scheduled on at least m/4 machines and  $p_j=1$  for all those machines. Prove that under this assumption, the greedy algorithm done in class is 5-competitive.

Sol. Let, if possible, the load on some machine it exceed 52 where, 2 = 0ft load.

Now, where consider the last job being assigned to it. Inst before thin, load on it is > 52 (since final load > 52)

But then, due to greedy properly, every machine of which it can be assigned has load > 52.

Finally, acc. to the problem, number of such me's is > m/4.

Hence, total load over all is > 52 x km/4

> m2.

This is clearly a contradiction sine of it is 2 to 1.