

One-step Incomplete Multi-view Clustering based on Bipartite Graph Learning(Supplementary Material)

1 Introduction of contrast algorithms

This section introduces the algorithms for comparison in the paper. The Complexity of contrast algorithms are summarized in Table 1.

- **BSV(Best Single View)**[1]: The BSV algorithm first populates missing views with the average of the samples in corresponding views, and then performs K-means for each view separately. Finally, it uses the best views as clustering results.
- **CONCAT(Incomplete Multi-modal Visual Data Grouping)**[2] : Firstly, The concat fills all missing views with the sample mean of corresponding views, and then concat all views of each sample into a feature vector.
- **DAIMVC(Doubly Aligned Incomplete Multi-view Clustering)**[3]: The algorithm introduces a view algorithm-specific weight matrix to solve the missing view problem and align the base matrix.
- **UEAF(Unified Embedding Alignment with Missing Views Inferring For Incomplete Multi-view Clustering)**[4]: Learning a uniform cluster representation while recovering missing views.
- **FLSD(Generalized Incomplete Multi-view Clustering With Flexible Locality Structure Diffusion)**[5]: Learning potential view-specific representations and seek shared representations of clusters based on semantically consistent constraints.
- **IMVC-CBG(Highly-efficient Incomplete Large-Scale Multi-view Clustering with Consensus Bipartite Graph)**[6]: A scalable anchor graph framework is proposed to solve the IMVC problem for the first time.
- **FIMVC-VIA(Fast Incomplete Multi-view Clustering With View-independent Anchors)**[7]: An IMVC method with fast processing for

large-scale partial data. To make better use of specific information, it learns individual anchors on each view.

Table 1: **Complexity of contrast algorithms**

Algorithms	Space Complexity	Time Complexity
BSV	vn^2	$O(n^3)$
CONCAT	vn^2	$O(n^3)$
DAIMVC	$vn^2 + nd + nk + dk$	$O(nd^3 + ndk)$
UEAF	$vn^2 + nd + nvk + dk$	$O(n^3 + dk^2)$
FLSD	$vn^2 + dnk + nk$	$O(nd^2)$
IMVC-CBG	$mn + (d + m)k$	$O(ndk + nmd + mdk)$
FIMVC-VIA	$mn + nd + md$	$O(nmd + m^2d + nm^2)$

Table 2: **Main notations used throughout the paper**

Notation	meaning
n	number of samples
k	number of clusters
m	number of selected anchors
d	number of dimension
$\mathbf{X}^{(v)}$	data matrix for the i-th view
$A_{i,j}$	Matrix A of the i-th row j-th column elements
\mathbf{A}^\top	The transpose of the matrix A
$\mathbf{a}^{(v)}$	vector for the v-view view
$Tr(\cdot)$	Trace operator of a matrix
$diag(\cdot)$	A diagonal matrix with vectors as diagonal elements
$\mathbf{1}$	All-ones column vector
$\ \mathbf{a}\ _2$	The \mathcal{L}_1 norm of the vector.
$\ \mathbf{A}\ _F$	The Frobenius norm of the matrix.
$\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}$	Kronecher product of matrix A and B
$\mathbf{a} \odot \mathbf{b}$	XNOR operation of vector a and b

2 Optimization

It takes $O(n^3)$ time complexity to cluster missing data only by introducing incomplete indicator matrix $\mathbf{M}^{(v)}$. So we first define the missing matrix $\mathbf{H}^{(v)}$ as

$$\mathbf{X}^{(v)}\mathbf{M}^{(v)}\mathbf{M}^{(v)\top} = \mathbf{X}^{(v)} \otimes \mathbf{H}^{(v)}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{H}^{(v)} = \mathbf{1}_{d^{(v)}}\mathbf{m}^{(v)} \in R^{d^{(v)} \times n}$, and $m_p^{(v)} = \sum_{q=1}^{n_i} M_{p,q}^{(v)}$, with $\mathbf{m}^{(v)} = [m_1^{(v)}, \dots, m_n^{(v)}]^\top$ to reduce the time complexity from $O(n^3)$ to $O(dn)$ [6].

To illustrate the optimization process, we have divided optimization into five parts. Table 2 lists the main notions used in the paper.

2.1 Update projection matrix $\mathbf{W}^{(v)}$

When $\mathbf{A}^{(v)}$ and $\mathbf{B}^{(v)}$ are fixed, $\mathbf{W}^{(v)}$ can be computed by the following function in the minimization subproblem,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{W}^{(v)}} \sum_{v=1}^V \left\| \mathbf{W}^{(v)}\mathbf{X}^{(v)}\mathbf{M}^{(v)} - \mathbf{A}^{(v)}\mathbf{V}^{(v)}\mathbf{M}^{(v)} \right\|_F^2, \\ s.t \ \mathbf{W}^{(v)}\mathbf{W}^{(v)\top} = \mathbf{I}_k, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

the equivalent form of (2) is

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{W}^{(v)}} Tr(\mathbf{W}^{(v)}\mathbf{C}^{(v)}), \\ s.t \ \mathbf{W}^{(v)}\mathbf{W}^{(v)\top} = \mathbf{I}_k, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{C}^{(v)} = (\mathbf{X}^{(v)} \otimes \mathbf{H}^{(v)})\mathbf{B}^{(v)\top}\mathbf{A}^{(v)\top}$. The optimal solution of $\mathbf{W}^{(v)}$ can be obtained by using singular value decomposition on $\mathbf{C}^{(v)}$ [8].

2.2 Update anchor matrix $\mathbf{A}^{(v)}$

Fixing $\mathbf{B}^{(v)}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{(v)}$, $\mathbf{A}^{(v)}$ can be updated as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{A}^{(v)}} \sum_{v=1}^V \left\| \mathbf{W}^{(v)}\mathbf{X}^{(v)}\mathbf{M}^{(v)} - \mathbf{A}^{(v)}\mathbf{V}^{(v)}\mathbf{M}^{(v)} \right\|_F^2, \\ s.t \ \mathbf{A}^{(v)}\mathbf{A}^{(v)\top} = \mathbf{I}_k, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

similar to the optimization of $\mathbf{W}^{(v)}$, (4) can be transformed as

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{A}^{(v)}} Tr(\mathbf{A}^{(v)}\mathbf{R}^{(v)}) \\ s.t \ \mathbf{A}^{(v)}\mathbf{A}^{(v)\top} = \mathbf{I}_k, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{R}^{(v)} = \mathbf{W}^{(v)}(\mathbf{X}^{(v)} \otimes \mathbf{H}^{(v)})\mathbf{B}^{(v)\top}$, similar to $\mathbf{W}^{(v)}$, the optimal solution of $\mathbf{A}^{(v)}$ is product of the left singular matrix and the right singular matrix of $\mathbf{R}^{(v)}$.

2.3 Update consistent bipartite graph \mathbf{P}

With the other variables being fixed, the subproblem related to \mathbf{P} is

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{P}} & \left\| \sum_{v=1}^V \boldsymbol{\eta}^{(v)} \mathbf{B}^{(v)} - \mathbf{P} \right\|_F^2, \\ \text{s.t. } & \mathbf{P} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}, P_{ij} \geq 0, \text{rank}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{S}}) = n + m - k, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

according to KyFan's theorem[9] and the research in[10], we set $\mathbf{D} = \sum_{v=1}^V \boldsymbol{\eta}^{(v)} * \mathbf{Z}^{(v)}$, then (6) can be rewrote as:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}} & \|\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{P}\|_F^2 + \gamma \text{Tr}(\mathbf{F}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{F}), \\ \text{s.t. } & \mathbf{P} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{P} \succeq 0, \mathbf{F}^\top \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{I}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{F} \in R^{(n+m) \times k}$ is the index matrix, and γ can be automatically determined based on the number of connected components of \mathbf{P} . Further, we alternate optimization variables γ and \mathbf{P} .

Firstly, fixing \mathbf{P} , the optimization of \mathbf{F} can be describe as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{F}^\top \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{I}} & \text{Tr}(\mathbf{F}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{F}) \Leftrightarrow \\ & \max_{\mathbf{F}_{(n)}^\top \mathbf{F}_{(n)} + \mathbf{F}_{(m)}^\top \mathbf{F}_{(m)} = \mathbf{I}} \text{Tr} \left(\mathbf{F}_{(n)}^\top \mathbf{U}_{(n)}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{U}_{(m)}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{F}_{(m)} \right), \\ \text{s.t. } & \mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}_{(n)} \\ \mathbf{F}_{(m)} \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{F}_{(n)} \in R^{n \times k}, \mathbf{F}_{(m)} \in R^{m \times k}, \\ & \mathbf{U}_s = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{(n)} & \\ & \mathbf{U}_{(m)} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{U}_{(n)} \in R^{n \times n}, \mathbf{U}_{(m)} \in R^{m \times m}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where \mathbf{U}_s is a diagonal matrix with diagonal element is $\sum_{j=1}^{n+m} s_{ij}$, and \mathbf{S} is the similar matrix constructed by \mathbf{P} . Denoting \mathbf{O} and \mathbf{L} is the maximum singular value corresponds to the left singular vector and the right singular vector of $\mathbf{U}_{(n)}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{U}_{(m)}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, so the optimal solution of (8) can be expression as $\mathbf{F}_{(n)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \mathbf{O}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{(m)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \mathbf{L}$ [10].

Secondly, let $q_{ij} = \left\| \frac{\mathbf{F}_{(n)}(i,:)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{U}_{(n)}(i,i)}} - \frac{\mathbf{F}_{(m)}(j,:)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{U}_{(m)}(j,j)}} \right\|_2^2$, we have follow equation,

$$\text{Tr}(\mathbf{F}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m q_{ij} p_{ij}. \quad (9)$$

When \mathbf{F} is fixed, the optimization of \mathbf{P} can be describe as

$$\min_{\mathbf{P} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{P} \succeq 0} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (b_{ij} - p_{ij})^2 + \gamma q_{ij} p_{ij}, \quad (10)$$

Since the optimization of \mathbf{P} in 8) is row-independent, thus we optimize \mathbf{P} by row.

$$\min_{\mathbf{p}_{i,:} \mathbf{1}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{p}_{i,:} \geq 0} \left\| \mathbf{p}_{i,:} - \left(\mathbf{b}_{i,:} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbf{q}_{i,:} \right) \right\|_2^2, \quad (11)$$

Equation (11) have closed-form solution $\mathbf{p}_{i,:}$ [11].

2.4 Update Bipartite graph of single view $\mathbf{B}^{(v)}$

Fixing other variables, the subproblem related to $\mathbf{B}^{(v)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{B}^{(v)}} & \sum_{v=1}^V \left\{ \left\| \mathbf{W}^{(v)} \mathbf{X}^{(v)} \mathbf{M}^{(v)} - \mathbf{A}^{(v)} \mathbf{B}^{(v)} \mathbf{M}^{(v)} \right\|_F^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \lambda \left\| \mathbf{B}^{(v)} \right\|_F^2 \right\} + \beta \left\| \sum_{v=1}^V \boldsymbol{\eta}^{(v)} \mathbf{B}^{(v)} - \mathbf{P} \right\|_F^2, \\ \text{s.t. } & \mathbf{B}^{(v)} \geq 0, \mathbf{B}^{(v)} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

similar as the update of \mathbf{P} , we optimize $\mathbf{B}^{(v)}$ by column.

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{B}_{:,j}^{(v)}} & \left\| \mathbf{B}_{:,j}^{(v)} - \mathbf{f}_j \right\|_F^2, \\ \text{s.t. } & \mathbf{B}_{:,j}^{(v)\top} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{B}_{:,j}^{(v)} \geq 0, \\ f_j = & \frac{\mathbf{A}^{(v)\top} \mathbf{W}^{(v)} \mathbf{X}_{:,j}^{(v)} - \beta \boldsymbol{\eta}^{(i)} \sum_{i \neq v} \mathbf{B}_{:,j}^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{(i)} + \mathbf{P}_{j,:}}{\lambda + \mathbf{H}_{1j}^{(v)} + \beta \boldsymbol{\eta}^{(v)2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

the lagrange function of (13) is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{B}_{:,j}, \beta, \boldsymbol{\eta}) = \left\| \mathbf{B}_{:,j} - \mathbf{f}_j \right\|_F^2 - \beta_j (\mathbf{B}_{:,j}^\top \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}) - \boldsymbol{\gamma}_j^\top \mathbf{B}_{:,j}, \quad (14)$$

where β_j and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_j$ is lagrange operator. In the case of KKT conditions (14) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{:,j} - \mathbf{f}_j - \boldsymbol{\theta}_j \mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{\gamma}_j &= 0, \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_j \odot \mathbf{B}_{:,j} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

the solution of (15) is $\mathbf{B}_{:,j} = \max(\mathbf{f}_j + \boldsymbol{\theta}_j \mathbf{1}, 0)$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}_j = \frac{1 + \mathbf{f}_j^\top \mathbf{1}}{m}$.

2.5 Update the weight of single view $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(v)}$

When other variables are fixed, the subproblem related to $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(v)}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(v)}} & \left\| \sum_{v=1}^V \boldsymbol{\eta}^{(v)} \mathbf{B}^{(v)} - \mathbf{P} \right\|_F^2, \\ \text{s.t. } & \boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{\eta} \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

To solve the above subproblem, we first convert matrix $\mathbf{B}^{(v)}$ to a vector $\hat{\mathbf{b}}^{(v)}$, namely $\hat{\mathbf{b}}^{(v)} = [\mathbf{B}_{1,:}^{(v)}, \mathbf{B}_{2,:}^{(v)}, \dots, \mathbf{B}_{m,:}^{(v)}] \in R^{nm \times 1}$. Same as $\hat{\mathbf{b}}^{(v)}$, $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ is the vectorization of \mathbf{P} . Then let $\hat{\mathbf{B}} = [\mathbf{b}^{(1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{b}^{(V)}] \in R^{nm \times V}$, and (15) can be transform as

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(v)}} \|\hat{\mathbf{B}}\boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{P}\|_2^2 \Leftrightarrow \min_{\boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{1}=1, \boldsymbol{\eta} \geq 0} \boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\eta} - \boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{f}, \quad (17)$$

where $\mathbf{H} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{B}}$ and $\mathbf{f} = 2\hat{\mathbf{B}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{p}}$. Equation (17) is a convex quadratic programming with a semidefinite quadratic matrix \mathbf{H} . By using augmented lagrange multiplier[12], we have formula as

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{1}=1, \boldsymbol{\eta} \geq 0, \boldsymbol{\eta}=\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{f}. \quad (18)$$

We update $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in R^{v \times 1}$ by $\boldsymbol{\eta} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\eta} + \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\eta} - \boldsymbol{\rho})$, which penalty factor $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ gradually increases with each iteration. After that, the optimal solution of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is alterable optimization.

Firstly, update $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ with fixed $\boldsymbol{\eta}^*$. The lagrange function about $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ in (18) is

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \boldsymbol{\eta}^{*\top} \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\rho} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{\eta}^* - \boldsymbol{\rho} + \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \boldsymbol{\xi} \right\|_2^2. \quad (19)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\eta}^*$ denotes the optimal solution of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. Through to the derivative of $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\rho})$ to $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is zero, the optimal solution can be obtained as

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}^* = \boldsymbol{\eta}^* + \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\mu}} (\boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{H}^\top \boldsymbol{\eta}^*). \quad (20)$$

Secondly, update $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ with fixed $\boldsymbol{\rho}^*$, Eq.(29) can be transformed as follow,

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{1}=1, \boldsymbol{\eta} \geq 0} \left\| \boldsymbol{\eta} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^* + \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\mu}} (\boldsymbol{\xi} + \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\rho}^* - \mathbf{f}) \right\|_2^2, \quad (21)$$

which can be solved by using the method in [11]. Algorithm 1 shows the entire procedures of above optimization.

Algorithm 1 OIMVC-BGL

Input: Input v views incomplete dataset $\{\mathbf{X}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^v$, number of cluster k , number of anchor m , hyperparameter β and λ

Initialize: $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(v)} = \frac{1}{V}$, $\mathbf{A}^{(v)}$, $\mathbf{P} = \sum_{v=1}^V \boldsymbol{\eta}^{(v)} * \mathbf{Z}^{(v)}$.

```
1: while  $\mathbf{P}$  changed do
2:   Update  $\mathbf{W}^{(v)}$  by (3).
3:   Update  $\mathbf{A}^{(v)}$  by (5).
4:   Initialize:  $\gamma = 0.1$ ,  $\mathbf{U}_{(n)} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{1})$ ,  $\mathbf{U}_{(m)} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{C}^\top \mathbf{1})$ .
5:   while  $\text{temp}$  not equal to  $k$  do
6:     Update  $\mathbf{F}$  by (8).
7:     Update  $q_{ij} = \left\| \frac{\mathbf{F}_n(i,:)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{U}_{(n)}(i,i)}} - \frac{\mathbf{F}_m(j,:)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{U}_{(m)}(j,j)}} \right\|_2^2$ 
8:     Update  $\mathbf{P}$  by (11) then update  $\mathbf{U}_s$ .
9:     Set  $\text{temp}$  equal to the number of eigenvalues for which  $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_s$  is zero.
10:    if  $\text{temp} < k$  then
11:       $\gamma = 2 * \gamma$ 
12:    else
13:       $\gamma = \frac{\gamma}{2}$ 
14:    Fixing other variables, then optimize  $\mathbf{B}^{(v)}$  by (15)
15:    Fixing other variables, then optimize  $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(v)}$  by (21)
Output: Clustering results obtained by bipartite graph with  $k$  connected components.
```

References

- [1] A. Ng, M. Jordan, and Y. Weiss, “On spectral clustering: Analysis and an algorithm,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 14, 2001.
- [2] H. Zhao, H. Liu, and Y. Fu, “Incomplete multi-modal visual data grouping,” in *IJCAI*, 2016, pp. 2392–2398.
- [3] M. Hu and S. Chen, “Doubly aligned incomplete multi-view clustering,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.02785*, 2019.
- [4] J. Wen, Z. Zhang, Y. Xu, B. Zhang, L. Fei, and H. Liu, “Unified embedding alignment with missing views inferring for incomplete multi-view clustering,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, vol. 33, no. 01, 2019, pp. 5393–5400.
- [5] J. Wen, Z. Zhang, Z. Zhang, L. Fei, and M. Wang, “Generalized incomplete multiview clustering with flexible locality structure diffusion,” *IEEE transactions on cybernetics*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 101–114, 2020.
- [6] S. Wang, X. Liu, L. Liu, W. Tu, X. Zhu, J. Liu, S. Zhou, and E. Zhu, “Highly-efficient incomplete large-scale multi-view clustering with consen-

- sus bipartite graph,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2022, pp. 9776–9785.
- [7] S. Liu, X. Liu, S. Wang, X. Niu, and E. Zhu, “Fast incomplete multi-view clustering with view-independent anchors,” *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 2022.
 - [8] D. Greene and P. Cunningham, “A matrix factorization approach for integrating multiple data views,” in *Joint European conference on machine learning and knowledge discovery in databases*. Springer, 2009, pp. 423–438.
 - [9] K. Fan, “On a theorem of weyl concerning eigenvalues of linear transformations i,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 652–655, 1949.
 - [10] X. Li, H. Zhang, R. Wang, and F. Nie, “Multiview clustering: A scalable and parameter-free bipartite graph fusion method,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 330–344, 2020.
 - [11] J. Huang, F. Nie, and H. Huang, “A new simplex sparse learning model to measure data similarity for clustering,” in *Twenty-fourth international joint conference on artificial intelligence*, 2015.
 - [12] D. P. Bertsekas, *Constrained optimization and Lagrange multiplier methods*. Academic press, 2014.