

MOTIVIC SHEAVES

LECTURER: SIMON PEPIN LEHALLEUR, TYPESETTER: MICHAŁ MRUGAŁA

Plan:

- L1: Motivation, construction, examples.
- L2: Six-functor formalism.
- L3: Motivic t -structures and weight structures.
- L4: ∞ -categorical methods.

§1. MOTIVATION FROM GRT AND COHOMOLOGY

1.1. Cohomology and sheaves for representation theory

Question: How do you construct interesting representations?

Answer:

- 1) Find interesting actions.
- 2) Linearize them.

Example 1.1.1. — Let K be a compact Lie group. The action of K on itself gives us an action of K on $L^2(K)$ with respect to a Haar measure. The Peter-Weyl theorem says that

$$L^2(K) \simeq \bigoplus_{\pi \text{ unitary}} \pi^{\oplus \dim(\pi)}.$$

“Lie theory \subset algebraic geometry”. Reductive groups are algebraic groups with many associated varieties with group actions: flag varieties...

The linearizations we consider in this course are the many types of cohomology theories.

Example 1.1.2 (Borel-Weil-Bott). — Let $T \subset B \subset G$ be a reductive group over \mathbf{C} . Let $\lambda \in X^\vee(T)$ such that there exists $w \in W$ with $w * \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho > 0$ (where $\rho = (1/2) \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$). Then

$$R\Gamma(G/B, L_\lambda) \simeq \pi_{w*\lambda}[-\ell(w)]$$

where $\ell(w)$ is the length of w .

Cohomology fits in the wider context of sheaf theory. If T is a locally contractible topological space, then

$$H_{\text{sing}}^n(T, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^n(T, \mathbb{Z}_T) \simeq R^n(\pi_T)_*(\mathbb{Z}_T)$$

where π_T is the morphism $\pi_T : T \rightarrow \text{pt}$ with

$$R\pi_{T*} : D(T, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow D(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq D(*, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Cohomology (singular with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients) of algebraic varieties over \mathbf{C} is *very* special.

- There is a weight filtration.
- There is a mixed hodge structure.

Sheaves on complex algebraic varieties are also very special:

- Perverse sheaves;
- Decomposition theorem;
- Mixed Hodge modules.

This leads to great success stories in GRT:

- Springer theory;
- Kazhdan-Lustig theory;
- geometric Satake...

1.2. From sheaves to motivic sheaves There are situations which can't be directly studied using these tools:

- Representation theory of reductive groups over other fields/rings/schemes.
- Modular/integral representation theory.
- q -deformations, quantum groups, canonical bases.

These can be attacked using:

- l -adic sheaves,
- sheaves cohomology with \mathbb{Z} -coefficients,
- K -theory.

Motivic sheaves will give us a unified perspective.

Motivic dream: There should exist universal cohomology/sheaf theories such that

- 1) they unify and “explain” the special structure in cohomology/sheaves;
- 2) they are of algebro-geometric nature;
- 3) they “explain” the realization of algebraic cycles and algebraic K -theory.

§2. CONSTRUCTION OF $DA^{\text{ét}}$ AND SH (MOREL-VOEVODSKY)

2.1. Triangulated categories and localization

Definition. — A **triangulated category** is the data:

- an additive category C ,
- an automorphism $\Sigma = (-)[1] : C \xrightarrow{\sim} C$,
- a collection of distinguished triangles

$$A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow A[1].$$

The data satisfies the following conditions:

- shifted distinguished triangles are distinguished up to isomorphism,
- for all $f : A \rightarrow B$ there exists

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \longrightarrow \text{Cone}(f) \xrightarrow{+}$$

unique up to isomorphism and functorial,

•

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & A[1] \\ \simeq \downarrow f & & \simeq \downarrow g & & \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow f[1] \\ A' & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & C' & \longrightarrow & A'[1] \end{array}$$

- (??)

Example 2.1.1. — Let A be an abelian category, $\text{Ch}(A)$ be the abelian category of chain complexes in A . We define $(A[1])_n = A_{n-1}$. Given $f : A_{\bullet} \rightarrow B_{\bullet}$ the mapping cone is given by

$$\text{Cone}(f)_n = A_{n-1} \oplus B_n, \quad d_n = \begin{pmatrix} -d_{n-1}^A & 0 \\ f & d_n^B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition. — $f : A_{\bullet} \rightarrow B_{\bullet}$ is a **quasi-isomorphism** if for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $H_n(A_{\bullet}) \simeq H_n(B_{\bullet})$ is an isomorphism.

Definition. — $D(A)$ is defined as the localization of $\text{Ch}(A)$ by quasi-isomorphisms.

Now we consider reflexive localizations (1-categorical ones lead to triangulated and ∞ -categorical ones).

Definition. — Let C be a 1-category.

- 1) $C' \subset C$ is **reflexive** if $\iota : C' \rightarrow C$ has a left adjoint.
- 2) $L_W : C \rightarrow C[W^{-1}]$ is **reflexive** if L_W has a right adjoint.

Lemma 2.1.1 (Reflexive subcategories are the same thing as reflexive localizations). —

a) Let $C' \subset C$ be reflexive, $L : C \rightarrow C'$ be the left adjoint to ι . Define

$$W_L = \{f : L(f) \text{ is an isomorphism}\}.$$

Then $C' \simeq C[W_L^{-1}]$ and $L \simeq L_{W_L}$.

b) If L is a reflexive localization, then its right adjoint ι is fully faithful and $\iota : C[W^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{EssIm}(\iota) \subset C$.

Definition. — Let $S \subset C$ be a collection of morphisms.

a) $A \in C$ is **S -local** if for all $f : B \rightarrow C$ in S

$$\text{Hom}_C(C, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_C(B, A).$$

b) $f : B \rightarrow C$ is an **S -equivalence** if for all S -local A

$$\text{Hom}_C(C, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_C(B, A).$$

Lemma 2.1.2. — If $L : C \rightleftarrows C' : \iota$ is a reflexive localization, W_L as before, then

- ι gives an isomorphism between C' and W_L -local objects.
- W_L are the W_L -equivalences.

Definition. — Let D be a triangulated category with all small products.

- Let κ be a regular cardinal (for example $\kappa = \aleph_0$). Then $A \in D$ is **κ -small**/ **κ -compact** if and only if

$$\text{colim}_{\substack{I' \subset I \\ |I'| < \kappa}} \text{Hom} \left(A, \bigoplus_{I'} B_i \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom} \left(A, \bigoplus_I B_i \right).$$

- **Compact** means \aleph_0 -small. A is compact if and only if

$$\bigoplus_I \text{Hom}(A, B_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom} \left(A, \bigoplus_I B_i \right).$$

- D is **presentable**/**well-generated** if and only if there exist κ and a set $S \subset D$ of κ -small objects which generate D :

$$\forall B \in D, (\forall A \in S, \text{Hom}(A, B) = 0) \implies B = 0.$$

- D is **compactly generated** if it is \aleph_0 -presentable.

Definition. — $E \subset D$ is **localizing** if it is

- triangulated,
- stable under coproducts,
- thick (stable under subobjects and subquotients).

Theorem 2.1.1 (Adjoint Functor Theorem). — Let D, D' be triangulated categories with all coproducts, $F : D \rightarrow D'$ be a triangulated functor and D be presentable. Then F admits a right adjoint if and only if F preserves all coproducts.

Corollary 2.1.1 (Verdier Localization). — Let D be a presentable category and E be a localizing subcategory. Define

$$D/E = D[W_E^{-1}], \quad W_E = \{f : \text{Cone}(f) \in E\}.$$

Then $D \rightarrow D/E$ is a reflexive localization.

Let $S \subset D$ be a subset of objects, then $\langle\langle S \rangle\rangle$ is the smallest subcategory containing S such that $D/\langle\langle S \rangle\rangle$ is a reflexive localization.

Let's get some inspiration from Betti homology, i.e. singular homology on complex algebraic varieties. Let $X \in \text{Var}_C^{(f,t)}$, then we get

$$C_*^{\text{sing}}(X(C), \mathbb{Z}) \in D(\mathbb{Z}).$$

This comes with some data:

- (a) • $D(\mathbb{Z})$ has a symmetric monoidal structure: $\otimes^{\mathbb{Z}}$,
 • (Künneth) $C_*(X \times Y) \simeq C_*(X) \otimes^{\mathbb{Z}} C_*(Y)$.

which satisfies properties:

- (b) (\mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariance) $C_*(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} C_*(X)$ ($(\mathbb{A}^1)^{\text{an}} = \mathbf{C}$ is contractible).
(c) (Mayer-Vietoris sequence) Let $X = U \cup V$ be a Zariski cover, then

$$C_*(U \cap V) \longrightarrow C_*(U) \oplus C_*(V) \longrightarrow C_*(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} C_*(U \cap V)[1].$$

- (d) (Étale descent) Let $U \rightarrow X$ be étale surjective. Define

$$\check{C}_n(U/X) = U^{n+1}.$$

Then $\check{C}_\bullet(U/X)$ is a simplicial scheme, so $C_*(\check{C}_\bullet(U/X))$ is a simplicial complex of abelian groups and $C(C_*(\check{C}_\bullet(U/X)))$ is a double complex. (??)

Concretely we have a descent spectral sequence which gives us ($U = U \cup V$) Mayer Vietoris.

- (e) (\mathbb{P}^1 -stabilization)

$$\begin{aligned} C_*(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^1) &\simeq C_*(\text{pt}) \oplus \tilde{C}_*(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^1) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{Z}[0] \oplus \mathbb{Z}(1)[2]. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{Z}(1)$ is \oplus -invertible.

Smooth varieties play a special role:

- Poincaré duality
- Gysin sequences
- $C_*(-)$ also satisfies “ h -descent”, so $C_*(-)$ is “determined” by $C_*(-)_{|(\mathbb{A}^1)}$.

There is an associated sheaf theory:

$$D_B(-) : \text{Var}_{\mathbf{C}} \longrightarrow \text{TriCat}^{\otimes}, \quad X \longmapsto D_B(X) = D(\text{Sh}(X^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z})).$$

Sketch of $\text{DA}^{\text{ét}}$: Let S be a base scheme.

- Start with

$$\begin{cases} D(\text{PSh}(\text{Sm}_S, \mathbb{Z})) = D_{\text{PSh}}(S) \\ \mathbb{Z}[-] : \text{Sm}_S \rightarrow D_{\text{PSh}}(S) \end{cases}.$$

- Impose \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance, étale descent, and \mathbb{P}^1 -stability. This will give us $\text{DA}^{\text{ét}}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ and $M_S(-) : \text{Sm}_S \rightarrow \text{DA}^{\text{ét}}(S, \mathbb{Z})$.

The surprise is that the result satisfies many *other* properties of singular (co)homology and derived categories of sheaves on complex varieties which can largely be packaged into the six-functor formalism. The result is closely related to algebraic cycles/higher Chow groups and algebraic K -theory.