

INFINITY CATEGORIES

LECTURER: PRAMOD ACHAR, TYPESETTER: MICHAŁ MRUGAŁA

§1. SIMPLICIAL SETS

Definition. — The **simplex category** Δ is the category of

- finite non-empty totally ordered sets
- order-preserving maps.

Notation. — $[n] = \{0 < 1 < 2 < \cdots < n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Every object in Δ is (uniquely) isomorphic to some $[n]$.

Definition. — A **simplicial set** is a functor

$$\mathcal{S} : \Delta^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Sets}$$

Notation. — $\mathcal{S}_n := \mathcal{S}([n])$, call this the **set of n -simplices** of \mathcal{S} . 0-simplices are called **vertices**, 1-simplices are called **edges**.

Example 1.0.1. — Let C be a set. Let $\underline{C} : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ be the constant functor:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{C}_n &= C \quad \forall n, \\ \underline{C}(\alpha) &= \text{id} \quad \forall \alpha : [m] \longrightarrow [n] \text{ in } \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

This is called a **discrete simplicial set**.

Definition. — Let \mathcal{S} be a simplicial set. Given $\alpha : [n] \rightarrow [n-1]$ we get $\mathcal{S}(\alpha) : \mathcal{S}_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n$. The n -simplices in the image are called **degenerate** simplices, i.e. σ is degenerate if there is an α such that $\sigma \in \text{im}(\mathcal{S}(\alpha))$.

Lemma 1.0.1. — A simplicial set is discrete if and only if for all $n \geq 1$ all n -simplices are degenerate.

Exercise. — Prove it.

Example 1.0.2. — Let (P, \geq) be a poset. Define a simplicial set $N(P, \leq)$ called the **nerve** of (P, \leq) by

$$N(P, \leq)_k = \{\text{chains } p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \cdots \leq p_k : p_i \in P\}$$

where a chain is a totally ordered subset.

Exercise. — Finish the definition. Which simplices are degenerate?

Example 1.0.3 (“Standard n -simplex”). — The **standard n -simplex** is

$$\Delta^n := N([n]).$$

(Pictures)

Note. — For $j \in [n]$, we get a subsimplicial set

$$N([n] \setminus \{j\}) \subset \Delta^n$$

isomorphic to Δ^{n-1} called the j^{th} **face** of Δ^n . (Picture)

Example 1.0.4 (Horns). — Let $n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$, define the **horn**

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_j^n &:= \begin{aligned} &\text{subsimplicial set of } \Delta^n = N([n]) \\ &\text{consisting of chains } p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \cdots \leq p_k \text{ (Pictures)} \\ &\text{such that } \{p_0, \dots, p_k\} \not\supset [n] \setminus \{j\}. \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.0.5 (($n - 1$)-sphere $\partial\Delta^n$). — We define the $(n - 1)$ -**sphere**

$$\partial\Delta^n := \begin{array}{c} \text{subsimplicial set of } \Delta^n \\ \text{chains } p_0 \leq \cdots \leq p_k \text{ such that } \{p_0 \leq \cdots \leq p_k\} \neq [n] \end{array}$$

Example 1.0.6 (Products). — Let \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} be simplicial sets. We define their **product** $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$ as

$$(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T})_k = \mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{T}_k.$$

(Picture)

Example 1.0.7. — Let \mathbf{C} be an ordinary category. We define its **nerve** $N(\mathbf{C})$ as

$$N(\mathbf{C})_k := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{composable sequences of morphisms} \\ X_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_2 \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_k} X_k \end{array} \right\}.$$

Example 1.0.8. — Let X be a topological space. The **singular simplicial set** of X is defined as

$$\text{Sing}(X)_k = \{\text{continuous maps } |\Delta^k| \rightarrow X\},$$

where $|\Delta^k|$ is the standard k -simplex

$$|\Delta^k| = \left\{ (x_0, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbf{R}^{k+1} \mid x_i \geq 0, \sum x_i = 1 \right\}.$$

Exercise. — What does this do to the morphisms in Δ ?

Definition. — A **Kan complex** is a simplicial set X such that for every diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_j^n & \xrightarrow{\text{any map}} & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\ \Delta^n & & \end{array}$$

we can fill the dashed arrow. This is called an **extension problem**. If the arrow exists we say that the extension problem **admits a solution**.

Daniel Kan discovered Kan complexes in 1958. The *key fact* is that $\text{Sing}(X)$ is a Kan complex. The theme from 1958 to today is that Kan complexes are a “combinatorial model” for algebraic topology which allows us to do homotopy theory.

Definition. — Let X be a Kan complex and \mathcal{S} be any simplicial set. Two maps $f, g : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow X$ are said to be **homotopic** if there exists a map $H : \mathcal{S} \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow X$ such that

$$H|_{\mathcal{S} \times \{0\}} = f, \quad H|_{\mathcal{S} \times \{1\}} = g.$$

Lemma 1.0.2. — *This is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. Omitted, tricky for an exercise. This requires X to be a Kan complex. □

Definition. — Let X be a Kan complex and x_0 be a vertex of X . Let

$$\text{Loops}_{x_0} = \{\text{maps } \gamma : \Delta^n \rightarrow X \text{ such that } \gamma|_{\partial\Delta^n} \text{ is the constant map to } x_0\}.$$

We say $\gamma, \gamma' \in \text{Loops}_{x_0}$ are **relatively homotopic (rel. homotopic)** if there exists $H : \Delta^n \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow X$ such that

$$H|_{\Delta^n \times \{0\}} = \gamma, \quad H|_{\Delta^n \times \{1\}} = \gamma', \quad H|_{\partial\Delta^n \times \Delta^1} = \text{const. map to } x_0.$$

Define

$$\pi_n(X, x_0) := \frac{\text{Loops}_{x_0}}{\text{rel. homotopy}}.$$

Fact. — For $n \geq 1$, $\pi_n(X, x_0)$ is a group. For $n \geq 2$, $\pi_n(X, x_0)$ is abelian.

Definition. — An ∞ -category (or **quasi-category**) is a simplicial set \mathcal{C} such that any extension problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_j^n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\ \Delta^n & & \end{array}$$

with $0 < j < n$ (**inner horns**) admits a solution. (Picture) An ∞ -category is also called a **weak Kan complex**.

Lemma 1.0.3. — Let C be an ordinary category, then $N(C)$ is an ∞ -category.

Digression: Let I^n be the simplicial set consisting of n consecutive 1-simplices (n -**spine**) (Picture). A naive alternative definition is: \mathcal{C} is an infinity category if every

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I^n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \Delta^n & & \end{array}$$

has a solution. This is WRONG (but its wrongness is subtle), even though $N(\text{ord. cat.})$ satisfy this. There is a book by Markus Land “Introduction to ∞ -categories” which explores this. The definition of ∞ -categories was introduced by Boardman-Vogt in 1972. Joyal started generalizing results from ordinary category theory to ∞ -categories in 2006. Lurie is largely responsible for how well this notion is developed in modern literature.

Remark. — Having a unique solution to the lifting problem characterizes nerves of ordinary categories.

Definition. — Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category. An **object** is a vertex. A **morphism** is an edge. An **identity morphism** is a degenerate edge. Say that h is a **composition** of g and f if there exists a 2-simplex such that (Picture).

Remark. — Compositions are NOT unique in ∞ -categories.

Example 1.0.9 (∞ -categories). —

1) Topological spaces Top .

- Objects are topological spaces.
- Morphisms are continuous maps.
- A 2-simplex is a (not necessarily commutative) diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X_1 & \\ f \nearrow & & \searrow g \\ X_0 & \xrightarrow{h} & X_2 \end{array}$$

and a homotopy $H : X_0 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X_2$ from gf to h .

- A 3-simplex is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X_0 & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ X_1 & & & & X_3 \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & X_2 & & \end{array}$$

with continuous maps $f_{ij} : X_i \rightarrow X_j$ for $i < j$, homotopies $T_{ijk} : X_i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X_k$ from $f_{jk} \circ f_{ij}$ to f_{ik} , and $H : X_0 \times [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow X_3$ (**higher homotopy**) such that $H|_{\text{bdry}}$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (0,0) & \xrightarrow{T_{123}f_{01}} & (0,1) \\ f_{23}T_{012} \downarrow & & \downarrow T_{013} \\ (1,0) & \xrightarrow{T_{023}} & (1,0) \end{array}$$

2) The ∞ -category of ordinary categories Cat_1 .

- Objects are ordinary categories.
- Morphisms are functors.
- A 2-simplex is a (not necessarily commutative) diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X_1 & \\ f \nearrow & & \searrow g \\ X_0 & \xrightarrow{h} & X_2 \end{array}$$

and a natural isomorphism $T : g \circ f \xrightarrow{\sim} h$.

- A 3-simplex is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X_0 & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ X_1 & & & & X_3 \\ & \nwarrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & X_2 & & \end{array}$$

where f_{ij} are functors and T_{ijk} are natural isomorphism such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{T_{123}f_{01}} & \bullet \\ f_{23}T_{012} \downarrow & & \downarrow T_{013} \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{T_{023}} & \bullet \end{array}$$

commutes

A source of ∞ -categories are

- ordinary categories with an equivalence relation on morphisms,
- ordinary categories with inverting some morphisms.