

MOTIVIC SHEAVES

LECTURER: SIMON PEPIN LEHALLEUR, TYPESETTER: MICHAŁ MRUGAŁA

Plan:

- L1: Motivation, construction, examples.
- L2: Six-functor formalism.
- L3: Motivic t -structures and weight structures.
- L4: ∞ -categorical methods.

§1. MOTIVATION FROM GRT AND COHOMOLOGY

1.1. Cohomology and sheaves for representation theory

Lecture 1

Question: How do you construct interesting representations?

Answer:

- 1) Find interesting actions.
- 2) Linearize them.

Example 1.1.1. — Let K be a compact Lie group. The action of K on itself gives us an action of K on $L^2(K)$ with respect to a Haar measure. The Peter-Weyl theorem says that

$$L^2(K) \simeq \bigoplus_{\pi \text{ unitary}} \widehat{\pi}^{\oplus \dim(\pi)}.$$

“Lie theory \subset algebraic geometry”. Reductive groups are algebraic groups with many associated varieties with group actions: flag varieties...

The linearizations we consider in this course are the many types of cohomology theories.

Example 1.1.2 (Borel-Weil-Bott). — Let $T \subset B \subset G$ be a reductive group over \mathbf{C} . Let $\lambda \in X^\vee(T)$ such that there exists $w \in W$ with $w * \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho > 0$ (where $\rho = (1/2) \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$). Then

$$R\Gamma(G/B, L_\lambda) \simeq \pi_{w*\lambda}[-\ell(w)]$$

where $\ell(w)$ is the length of w .

Cohomology fits in the wider context of sheaf theory. If T is a locally contractible topological space, then

$$H_{\text{sing}}^n(T, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^n(T, \mathbb{Z}_T) \simeq R^n(\pi_T)_*(\mathbb{Z}_T)$$

where π_T is the morphism $\pi_T : T \rightarrow \text{pt}$ with

$$R\pi_{T*} : D(T, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow D(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq D(*, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Cohomology (singular with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients) of algebraic varieties over \mathbf{C} is *very* special.

- There is a weight filtration.
- There is a mixed hodge structure.

Sheaves on complex algebraic varieties are also very special:

- Perverse sheaves;
- Decomposition theorem;
- Mixed Hodge modules.

This leads to great success stories in GRT:

- Springer theory;
- Kazhdan-Lustig theory;
- geometric Satake...

1.2. From sheaves to motivic sheaves There are situations which can't be directly studied using these tools:

- Representation theory of reductive groups over other fields/rings/schemes.
- Modular/integral representation theory.
- q -deformations, quantum groups, canonical bases.

These can be attacked using:

- l -adic sheaves,
- sheaves cohomology with \mathbb{Z} -coefficients,
- K -theory.

Motivic sheaves will give us a unified perspective.

Motivic dream: There should exist universal cohomology/sheaf theories such that

- 1) they unify and “explain” the special structure in cohomology/sheaves;
- 2) they are of algebro-geometric nature;
- 3) they “explain” the realization of algebraic cycles and algebraic K -theory.

§2. CONSTRUCTION OF $DA^{\text{ét}}$ AND SH (MOREL-VOEVODSKY)

2.1. Triangulated categories and localization

Definition. — A **triangulated category** is the data:

- an additive category \mathcal{C} ,
- an automorphism $\Sigma = (-)[1] : \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}$,
- a collection of distinguished triangles

$$A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow A[1].$$

The data satisfies the following conditions:

- shifted distinguished triangles are distinguished up to isomorphism,
- for all $f : A \rightarrow B$ there exists

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \longrightarrow \text{Cone}(f) \xrightarrow{+}$$

unique up to isomorphism and functorial,

•

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & A[1] \\ \simeq \downarrow f & & \simeq \downarrow g & & \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow f[1] \\ A' & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & C' & \longrightarrow & A'[1] \end{array}$$

- (??)

Example 2.1.1. — Let A be an abelian category, $\text{Ch}(A)$ be the abelian category of chain complexes in A . We define $(A[1])_n = A_{n-1}$. Given $f : A_{\bullet} \rightarrow B_{\bullet}$ the mapping cone is given by

$$\text{Cone}(f)_n = A_{n-1} \oplus B_n, \quad d_n = \begin{pmatrix} -d_{n-1}^A & 0 \\ f & d_n^B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition. — $f : A_{\bullet} \rightarrow B_{\bullet}$ is a **quasi-isomorphism** if for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $H_n(A_{\bullet}) \simeq H_n(B_{\bullet})$ is an isomorphism.

Definition. — $D(A)$ is defined as the localization of $\text{Ch}(A)$ by quasi-isomorphisms.

Now we consider reflexive localizations (1-categorical ones lead to triangulated and ∞ -categorical ones).

Definition. — Let \mathcal{C} be a 1-category.

- 1) $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$ is **reflexive** if $\iota : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a left adjoint.
- 2) $L_W : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[W^{-1}]$ is **reflexive** if L_W has a right adjoint.

Lemma 2.1.1 (Reflexive subcategories are the same thing as reflexive localizations). —

a) Let $C' \subset C$ be reflexive, $L : C \rightarrow C'$ be the left adjoint to ι . Define

$$W_L = \{f : L(f) \text{ is an isomorphism}\}.$$

Then $C' \simeq C[W_L^{-1}]$ and $L \simeq L_{W_L}$.

b) If L is a reflexive localization, then its right adjoint ι is fully faithful and $\iota : C[W^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{EssIm}(\iota) \subset C$.

Definition. — Let $S \subset C$ be a collection of morphisms.

a) $A \in C$ is **S -local** if for all $f : B \rightarrow C$ in S

$$\text{Hom}_C(C, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_C(B, A).$$

b) $f : B \rightarrow C$ is an **S -equivalence** if for all S -local A

$$\text{Hom}_C(C, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_C(B, A).$$

Lemma 2.1.2. — If $L : C \rightleftarrows C' : \iota$ is a reflexive localization, W_L as before, then

- ι gives an isomorphism between C' and W_L -local objects.
- W_L are the W_L -equivalences.

Definition. — Let D be a triangulated category with all small products.

- Let κ be a regular cardinal (for example $\kappa = \aleph_0$). Then $A \in D$ is **κ -small**/ **κ -compact** if and only if

$$\text{colim}_{\substack{I' \subset I \\ |I'| < \kappa}} \text{Hom} \left(A, \bigoplus_{I'} B_i \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom} \left(A, \bigoplus_I B_i \right).$$

- **Compact** means \aleph_0 -small. A is compact if and only if

$$\bigoplus_I \text{Hom}(A, B_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom} \left(A, \bigoplus_I B_i \right).$$

- D is **presentable**/**well-generated** if and only if there exist κ and a set $S \subset D$ of κ -small objects which generate D :

$$\forall B \in D, (\forall A \in S, \text{Hom}(A, B) = 0) \implies B = 0.$$

- D is **compactly generated** if it is \aleph_0 -presentable.

Definition. — $E \subset D$ is **localizing** if it is

- triangulated,
- stable under coproducts,
- thick (stable under subobjects and subquotients).

Theorem 2.1.1 (Adjoint Functor Theorem). — Let D, D' be triangulated categories with all coproducts, $F : D \rightarrow D'$ be a triangulated functor and D be presentable. Then F admits a right adjoint if and only if F preserves all coproducts.

Corollary 2.1.1 (Verdier Localization). — Let D be a presentable category and E be a localizing subcategory. Define

$$D/E = D[W_E^{-1}], \quad W_E = \{f : \text{Cone}(f) \in E\}.$$

Then $D \rightarrow D/E$ is a reflexive localization.

Let $S \subset D$ be a subset of objects, then $\langle\langle S \rangle\rangle$ is the smallest subcategory containing S such that $D / \langle\langle S \rangle\rangle$ is a reflexive localization.

Let's get some inspiration from Betti homology, i.e. singular homology on complex algebraic varieties. Let $X \in \text{Var}_C^{(f,t)}$, then we get

$$C_*^{\text{sing}}(X(C), \mathbb{Z}) \in D(\mathbb{Z}).$$

This comes with some data:

- (a) • $D(\mathbb{Z})$ has a symmetric monoidal structure: $\otimes^{\mathbb{Z}}$,
 • (Künneth) $C_*(X \times Y) \simeq C_*(X) \otimes^{\mathbb{Z}} C_*(Y)$.

which satisfies properties:

- (b) (\mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariance) $C_*(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} C_*(X)$ ($(\mathbb{A}^1)^{\text{an}} = \mathbf{C}$ is contractible).
 (c') (Mayer-Vietoris sequence) Let $X = U \cup V$ be a Zariski cover, then

$$C_*(U \cap V) \longrightarrow C_*(U) \oplus C_*(V) \longrightarrow C_*(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} C_*(U \cap V)[1].$$

- (c) (Étale descent) Let $U \rightarrow X$ be étale surjective. Define

$$\check{C}_n(U/X) = U^{n+1}.$$

Then $\check{C}_\bullet(U/X)$ is a simplicial scheme, so $C_*(\check{C}_\bullet(U/X))$ is a simplicial complex of abelian groups and $C(C_*(\check{C}_\bullet(U/X)))$ is a double complex. (??)

Concretely we have a descent spectral sequence which gives us ($U = U \cup V$) Mayer Vietoris.

- (d) (\mathbb{P}^1 -stabilization)

$$\begin{aligned} C_*(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^1) &\simeq C_*(\text{pt}) \oplus \tilde{C}_*(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^1) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{Z}[0] \oplus \mathbb{Z}(1)[2]. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{Z}(1)$ is \oplus -invertible.

Smooth varieties play a special role:

- Poincaré duality
- Gysin sequences
- $C_*(-)$ also satisfies “ h -descent”, so $C_*(-)$ is “determined” by $C_*(-)_{|(\mathbb{A}^1)}$.

There is an associated sheaf theory:

$$D_B(-) : \text{Var}_{\mathbf{C}} \longrightarrow \text{TriCat}^{\otimes}, \quad X \longmapsto D_B(X) = D(\text{Sh}(X^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z})).$$

Sketch of $\text{DA}^{\text{ét}}$: Let S be a base scheme.

- Start with

$$\begin{cases} D(\text{PSh}(\text{Sm}_S, \mathbb{Z})) = D_{\text{PSh}}(S) \\ \mathbb{Z}[-] : \text{Sm}_S \rightarrow D_{\text{PSh}}(S) \end{cases}.$$

- Impose \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance, étale descent, and \mathbb{P}^1 -stability. This will give us $\text{DA}^{\text{ét}}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ and $M_S(-) : \text{Sm}_S \rightarrow \text{DA}^{\text{ét}}(S, \mathbb{Z})$.

The surprise is that the result satisfies many *other* properties of singular (co)homology and derived categories of sheaves on complex varieties which can largely be packaged into the six-functor formalism. The result is closely related to algebraic cycles/higher Chow groups and algebraic K -theory.

Lecture 2

(Fill in H_* from the recall part)

Let S be a qcqs scheme, Λ be a coefficient ring. Define

$$\begin{cases} D_{\text{PSh}}(S) := D(\text{PSh}(\text{Sm}_S, \Lambda)) \text{ a presentable, symmetric monoidal, triangulated category} \\ \Lambda[\cdot] : \text{Sm}_S \rightarrow D_{\text{PSh}}(S) \end{cases}$$

Étale descent:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\text{ét}}(S) &:= D(\text{Sh}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Sm}_S, \Lambda)) \\ &= D_{\text{PSh}}(S)[W_{\text{ét}}^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

where $W_{\text{ét}}$ are étale-local weak equivalences, i.e. $(f : K_\bullet \rightarrow L_\bullet) \in W_{\text{ét}}$ if for all n we have

$$(\cdot)_{\text{ét}} H_n(K_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\cdot)_{\text{ét}} H_n(L_\bullet).$$

\mathbb{A}^1 -invariance Let

$$I_{\mathbb{A}^1, (\text{ét})} = \{ \dots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \Lambda_{(\text{ét})}[X \times \mathbb{A}^1] \longrightarrow \Lambda_{(\text{ét})}[X] \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \dots \mid X \in \text{Sm}_S \}.$$

Define

$$D_{\mathbb{A}^1}(S) := D_{\text{PSh}}(S) / \langle\langle I_{\mathbb{A}^1} \rangle\rangle = D_{\text{PSh}}(S)[W_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{-1}].$$

We have

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1} : D_{\text{PSh}}(S) \longrightarrow D_{\text{PSh}}(S)^{\mathbb{A}^1\text{-loc}} \hookrightarrow D_{\text{PSh}}(S).?$$

with the middle term isomorphic to $D_{\mathbb{A}^1}(S)$.

Definition. — Define

$$\Delta_{\text{alg},S}^n := \text{Spec}_S (\mathcal{O}_S[X_0, \dots, X_n] / (\sum x_i - 1)) \simeq \mathbb{A}_S^n$$

then $\Delta_{\text{alg},S}^\bullet$ is a cosimplicial scheme over S .

Definition (Suslin-Voevodsky). — Define

$$\text{Sing}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_\bullet) = \text{hocolim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} K_\bullet(\Delta_{\text{alg},S}^\bullet \times_S X)$$

Example 2.1.2. — Let $F \in \text{PSh}$ then

$$\text{Sing}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(F)(U) = \left[\dots \longrightarrow F(\Delta^2 \times U) \longrightarrow F(\mathbb{A}^{(?)}) \times U \xrightarrow{i_0^* - i_1^*} F(U) \longrightarrow 0 \right].$$

Proposition 2.1.1. — $L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \simeq \text{Sing}^{\mathbb{A}^1}$.

Proof. The idea is to use

$$\begin{aligned} m : \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto xy \end{aligned}$$

to prove

- a) $\text{Sing}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_\bullet)$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -local.
- b) $\text{Sing}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(K_\bullet) \rightarrow K_\bullet$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence.

□

Definition. — The category of **effective étale motivic sheaves** on S is

$$\text{DA}^{\text{ét,eff}}(S, \Lambda) := D_{\text{ét}}(S) / \langle\langle I_{\mathbb{A}^1, \text{ét}} \rangle\rangle.$$

Write $L_{\text{mot}}^{\text{eff}}$ for the associated localization functor.

Lemma 2.1.3. — We have

$$L_{\text{mot}}^{\text{eff}} = \underbrace{\dots \text{Sing}^{\mathbb{A}^1} L_{\text{ét}} \text{Sing}^{\mathbb{A}^1}}_{\text{transfinite composition} \dots}$$

Definition. — Let $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. Define

$$M_S^{\text{ét,eff}}(X) := L_{\text{mot}}^{\text{eff}} \Lambda_{\text{ét}}[X] \in \text{DA}^{\text{ét,eff}}(S, \Lambda)$$

(effective étale (? homological) motive/motivic sheaf of ?).

We have

$$M_S^{\text{ét,eff}}(X \times_S Y) \simeq M_S^{\text{ét,eff}}(X) \otimes M_S^{\text{ét,eff}}(Y).$$

Proposition 2.1.2 (Artin-Shreier $+\Lambda \left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$). — Let S be a \mathbf{F}_p -scheme, then

$$\text{DA}^{\text{ét,eff}}(S, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{DA}^{\text{ét,eff}}\left(S, \Lambda \left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right).$$

Proof. We have the short-exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda/p\Lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \otimes \Lambda \xrightarrow{\text{Fr} - \text{id}} \mathbb{G}_a \longrightarrow 0$$

and hence an exact sequence

$$\Lambda_{\text{ét}}[\mathbb{G}_a] \otimes (\mathbb{G}_a \otimes \Lambda) \xrightarrow{a_{\mathbb{G}_a} \otimes \text{id}} \mathbb{G}_a \otimes \mathbb{G}_a \otimes \Lambda \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{G}_a \otimes \Lambda.$$

(Some remark??) Thus

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{G}_a \otimes \Lambda) \simeq \Lambda(0)$$

and so

$$L_{\text{mot}}^{\text{eff}}(\Gamma/p\Gamma) = 0.$$

□

\mathbb{P}^1 -stabilization: Let $x \in X(S)$, we have

$$M_S^{\text{eff}}(X) = \Lambda_S(0) \oplus M_S^{\text{eff}}(X, x).$$

Definition. — We define

$$T := M_S^{\text{eff}}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1)[-2]$$

which is equal to $\Lambda(?)$.

Exercise. — Any $x \in \mathbb{P}_S^1(S)$ gives the same decomposition.

We have a problem: T is not \oplus -invertible.

Definition. — The category of étale motivic sheaves over S is

$$\text{DA}^{\text{ét}}(S, \Lambda) := \text{DA}^{\text{ét, eff}}(S, \Lambda)[T^{\otimes -1}].$$

This is not a proper definition since we have not explained the construction. It's not clear what this means!

Spectra:

Definition. — Let \mathcal{C} be a closed, symmetric monoidal 1-category and T be an object of \mathcal{C} . A T -prespectrum is

$$A = \{(A_n, \sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid A_n \in \mathcal{C}, \sigma_n : T \otimes A_n \longrightarrow A_{n+1}\}.$$

A is a T -spectrum if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$A_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{Hom}}(T, A_{n+1}).$$

We write $\text{PSp}_T(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Sp}_T(\mathcal{C})$ for the T -prespectrum and T -spectrum respectively.

The evaluation map

$$\text{Ev}_n(A) = A_n$$

has a left adjoint. We define

$$\text{Sus}^n(A)_m = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } m < n \\ T^{\otimes(m-n)} \otimes A & \text{if } m > n \end{cases}$$

and $\Sigma_T^\infty := \text{Sus}^0$ is the ∞ -suspension functor.

Proposition 2.1.3. — Assume \mathcal{C} is presentably, symmetrical monoidal. Then $\text{Sp}_T(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{PSp}_T(\mathcal{C})$ is a reflexive subcategory. W_{st} is generated by

$$\left\{ \text{Sus}^{n+1}(T \otimes A) \longrightarrow \text{Sus}^n(A) : n \in \mathbb{N}, A \in \mathcal{C} \right\}.$$

Definition. — We define

$$\text{DA}^{\text{ét}}(S, \Lambda) := \text{Sp}_T \text{DA}^{\text{eff, ét}}(S, \Lambda).$$

(This definition is correct “with ∞ -categories”.) We have

$$M_S : \text{Sm}_S \longrightarrow \text{DA}^{\text{ét}}(S, \Lambda)$$

$$X \longmapsto L_{(\mathbb{A}^1, \text{ét}, ?)} \Sigma_T^\infty M_S^{\text{ét, eff}}(X).$$

Remark. — $M \in \text{DA}^{\text{ét}}(S, \Lambda)$ is isomotphic to a stable $(\mathbb{A}^1, \text{ét})$ -local (??)

$$K_n \in \text{Ch}(\text{Sh}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Sm}_S, \Lambda)) + \sigma_n = \Lambda_{\text{ét}}[\mathbb{P}^1, 1] \otimes K_n \longrightarrow K_{n+1}$$

such that for all $X \in \text{Sm}_S, i \in \mathbb{Z}$

- $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, K_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^i(X \times_S \mathbb{A}^1, K_n)$
- $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, K_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^{i+2}(X \times_S (\mathbb{P}^1, 1), K_{n+1}).$

2.2. Constructible motivic sheaves

Definition. — We define **constructible motivic sheaves**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{DA}_{\mathrm{ct}}^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}} &= \langle M_S(X)(-n) \mid X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S, n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle^{\mathrm{d.f.}} \\ &\subset \mathrm{DA}^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(S, \Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

and **locally constructible motivic sheaves**

$$\mathrm{DA}_{\mathrm{lct}}^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(S, \Lambda) := \{M \mid \exists e : U \twoheadrightarrow S, e^* M \in \mathrm{DA}_{\mathrm{ct}}\}.$$

There is a Betti realization for S finite type over \mathbb{C}

$$R_B : \mathrm{DA}^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(S, \Lambda) \longrightarrow D(S^{\mathrm{an}}, \Lambda)$$

by the existence of relative homology and the universal property. If $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$

$$R_B(M_S(X)) \simeq H_*^{\mathrm{sing}}(X/S)$$

and

$$R_B(\mathrm{DA}_{\mathrm{lct}}^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(S, \Lambda) \subset D_{\mathrm{ct}}^b(S^{\mathrm{an}}, \Lambda).$$

Another deep property is the *rigidity theorem*. Define

$$D_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(S, \Lambda) = D(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(S, \Lambda))$$

and write

$$\iota : (\mathrm{Et}_S, \mathrm{\acute{e}t}) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{Sm}_S, \mathrm{\acute{e}t})$$

for the inclusion, then we get

$$\iota_S^* : D_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(S, \Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathrm{DA}^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(S, \Lambda).$$

Theorem 2.2.1 (Ayoub). — *Let S be an excellent, Noetherian, finite dimensional, Λ -finite, with any prime invertible in Λ or \mathcal{O}_S . Then ι_S^* is an equivalence.*

This procedure is very flexible and admits many *variants*.

- We can change the input. Instead of complexes we can work with presheaves of simplicial sets or ∞ -groupoids.
- We can change the topology. Instead of étale use Nisnevich or use presheaves with transfers.
- Change the geometric context. For example, to rigid analytic motivic sheaves.

Definition. — The **stable motivic homotopy category** over S is

$$\mathrm{SH}(S) := \mathrm{PSp}_T(\mathrm{PSh}(\mathrm{Sm}_S, \mathrm{sSet}))[W_{(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathrm{Nis}, \mathbb{P}^1)}^{-1}].$$

Recall that the Nisnevich topology is between the Zariski and étale topologies. $\mathrm{DA}^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(S)$ is the motivic version of $D(S^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{SH}(S)$ is the motivic version of sheaves of S^2 -spectra on S^{an} . There is also $\mathrm{DM}(S, \Lambda)$ which are the Nisnevich-local motivic sheaves. Many important invariants of varieties only satisfy Nisnevich descent, but not étale descent; for example, K -theory or higher chow groups.

2.3. Motives over a field Let $S = \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}$. Define

$$\operatorname{DM}(k, \mathbb{Q}) := \operatorname{DA}^{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Q}).$$

The analogies you should have in mind are

- $D(\operatorname{Ind} \operatorname{MHS}_{\mathbb{Q}})$,
- $D(\operatorname{Ind} \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_l}^{\text{f.d.}} G_k)$.

Even though the construction was very formal there are some surprises. Define

$$\mathbb{Q}\langle i \rangle := \mathbb{Q}(i)[2i]$$

be pure Tate twists and $M\langle i \rangle := M \otimes \mathbb{Q}\langle i \rangle$.

- *Projective bundle formula:* Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle, then

$$M(\mathbb{P}(E)) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\operatorname{rank} E - 1} M(X)\langle i \rangle$$

$$M(\mathbb{P}_l^n) = \Lambda(0) \oplus \Lambda\langle 1 \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda\langle n \rangle.$$

- *Gysin triangle:* Let $(c : Z \not\rightarrow X) \in \operatorname{Sm}_k$, then we have

$$M(X \setminus Z) \longrightarrow M(X) \longrightarrow M(Z)\langle c \rangle \xrightarrow{+}$$

- *Smooth blow-up formula:*

$$M(\operatorname{Bl}_Z(X)) \simeq M(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c-1} M(Z)\langle i \rangle.$$

- *Poincaré duality 1:* Let X be smooth and projective over k , then $M(X)$ is *dualizable* with

$$M(X)^{\vee} \simeq M(X)\langle -\dim(X) \rangle.$$

We have $\operatorname{DM}(k, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \operatorname{Ind} \operatorname{DM}_{\text{ct}}$.