

# INTRODUCTION TO SHIMURA VARIETIES AND THEIR COHOMOLOGY

LECTURER: OLIVIER TAIBI, TYPESETTER: MICHAŁ MRUGAŁA

*Plan:*

- I) Siegel modular varieties
  - II) General Shimura varieties
  - III) (Étale) Cohomology: Kottwitz conjecture
- (The cohomology gives a realization of the global Langlands correspondence for certain number fields.)

The main reference are Sophie Morel's notes from the IHES '22 summer school.

## §1. SIEGEL MODULAR VARIETIES

Analytically: we want to parametrize complex tori. This is fairly simple. Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbf{C}$ -vector space of dimension  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\Lambda \subset V$  a lattice (a discrete subgroup such that  $V/\Lambda$  is compact), then  $X = V/\Lambda$  is a complex Lie group, which is a complex torus.

**Exercise.** — A morphism  $f : X = V/\Lambda \rightarrow X' = V'/\Lambda'$  of complex Lie groups is given by a  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear map  $V \rightarrow V'$  mapping  $\Lambda$  to  $\Lambda'$ .

*Question:* Which complex tori are algebraizable, i.e.  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$  (equivalent to  $X \simeq \underline{X}^{\text{an}}$  for some projective  $\underline{X}$  by Chow). Can we find a parametrization?

**Example 1.0.1.** — Let  $n = 1$  complex tori are always algebraic. There is the Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function

$$\wp : V/\Lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$$

$$v \longmapsto \wp(v) = \frac{1}{v^2} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda - 0} \frac{1}{(\lambda + v)^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

It embeds  $V/\Lambda$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbf{C})$  via  $[\wp : \wp' : 1]$  with image  $y^2 = P_\Lambda(x)$  where  $P_\Lambda \in \mathbf{C}[X]$  has degree 3. The coefficients of  $P_\Lambda$  are Eisenstein series (modular forms).

For  $n > 1$ ,  $X$  is “almost never” algebraic.

Recall that  $X$  is algebraizable if and only if there exists  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$  which is ample (see Mumford's Abelian Varieties). Recall that  $\text{Pic}(X) \simeq H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$ . There is a short exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\exp(2\pi i -)} \mathcal{O}_X^\times \longrightarrow 0$$

so we get a long exact sequence. The map

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$$

is surjective so we get

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) & \hookrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \ker(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \text{Dolbeault} & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ H^1(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) & & \bar{T} & & H^1(\Lambda, \mathcal{O}(X)^\times) & & \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \parallel & & \uparrow \text{pr}_2 & & & & \\ \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) & & T \oplus \bar{T} & & & & \\ & & \uparrow \simeq & & & & \\ & & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(V, \mathbf{C}) & & & & \end{array}$$

We have  $H^i(V, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$  and  $H^i(V, \mathcal{O}_V) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$  so  $\text{Pic}(V) = 0$ .  $\bar{T}$  are the antilinear maps  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $T = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$ . Observe that

$$\text{Pic}^0(X) = \ker \delta \simeq \frac{\bar{T}}{\text{pr}_2(\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}))}$$

is also a complex torus. The last term is the Neron-Severi group

$$\begin{aligned} NS(X) &\simeq \{E : \Lambda \times \Lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{ alt.} : E(iv_1, iv_2) = E(v_1, v_2), \forall v_1, v_2 \in V\} \\ &= \{\Im H : H : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ Hermitian such that } (\Im H)(\Lambda \times \Lambda) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The Appel-Humbert theorem completely describes  $\text{Pic}(X)$  as  $\{L(H, \alpha)\}$  with  $H$  as above and  $\alpha$  an extra datum.

**Theorem 1.0.1 (Lefschetz).** — *The following are equivalent:*

- 1)  $H$  is positive definite.
- 2)  $L(H, \alpha)$  is ample (in fact,  $L(H, \alpha)^{\otimes 3}$  is enough to embed  $X$ ).

Let  $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_L : X &\longrightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X) = \hat{X} \\ x &\longmapsto T_x^* L \otimes L^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

is a morphism of Lie groups (here  $T_x$  is translation by  $x$ ).

**Theorem 1.0.2.** — *The following are equivalent:*

- $L$  is ample.
- $\ker \phi_L$  is finite.
- $\phi_L$  is surjective (i.e. an isogeny).

**Exercise.** — Check that  $\phi_L$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $E(\cdot, \cdot)$  is perfect ( $\Lambda \simeq \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ ).

**Definition.** — Say that such  $\phi_L$  is a **polarization**. If  $\phi_L$  is an isomorphism, then it is called a **principal polarization**.

**Remark.** — Not every algebraic  $X$  admits a principal polarization, but is isogenous to one that does.

We can define the moduli space

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ (X, \phi) : X = V/\Lambda \text{ of dimension } n, \phi : X \longrightarrow \hat{X} \text{ a principal polarization} \right\}.$$

Let  $(V, \Lambda, H)$  be a principally polarized complex torus. Choose a symplectic basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_{2n})$  of  $\Lambda$ , i.e.

$$(E(e_i, e_j))_{i,j} = J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Exercise.** —  $L = L(H, \alpha)$  is ample if and only if  $e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{2n}$  is a basis of  $V$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\tau = \text{Mat}_{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{2n}}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$$

satisfies  $\tau = {}^t \tau$  and  $\Im(\tau)$  is positive definite.

**Definition.** —  $\mathcal{H}_n^+$  is the set of such  $\tau \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . There is an algebraic group

$$\mathbf{Sp}_{2n, \mathbb{Z}} : R \longmapsto \{g \in M_{2n}(R) : {}^t g J_n g = J_n\}.$$

There is an action of  $\mathbf{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $\mathcal{H}_n^+$  such that given

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

we have

$$\tau \gamma = (\tau b + d)^{-1}(\tau a + c)$$

(this corresponds to replacing  $\underline{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_{2n})$  by  $\underline{e}\gamma$ ).

We prefer left actions: let  ${}^t \gamma$  act so that  $\gamma \tau = \tau * {}^t \gamma$ , i.e.

$$(\tau {}^t c + d)^{-1}(\tau {}^t a + {}^t b) = (a\tau + b)(c\tau + d).$$

This extends to an action of  $\mathbf{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$  on  $\mathcal{H}_n^+$ . This action is transitive and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathbf{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbf{R})}(iI_n) &\longrightarrow U(n) \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} &\longmapsto a + ib \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism (this is a maximal compact subgroup).

So  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{C}) \simeq \Gamma_n \backslash \mathcal{H}_n^+$  where  $\Gamma_n \simeq \mathbf{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbf{Z})$ .

**Remark.** — There exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma_n \setminus \{\pm 1\}$  and  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_n^+$  such that  $\gamma\tau = \tau$ .

There is a universal object

$$\mathcal{X}_n(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbb{Z}^{2n} \rtimes \Gamma_n \backslash \mathbf{C}^n \times \mathcal{H}_n^+$$

where

$$\gamma(v, \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} (v, \tau) = ((\tau^t c + {}^t d)^{-1} v, \gamma\tau)$$

and

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(v, \tau) = (v + \lambda_1 + \tau\lambda_2, \tau)$$

for  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

There is a morphism  $\pi : \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{C})$  which admits a section  $e$ . The fiber of  $\tau$  is  $[\tau] \simeq \mathbf{C}^n / \Lambda_\tau$  where  $\Lambda_\tau = \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}^n$ . We get the **Hodge bundle**: take  $\Omega^1(V/\Lambda)$  which are translation invariant 1-forms, which is isomorphic to  $V^*$  via  $e^*$ , then the Hodge bundle is

$$\mathcal{E}_n = e^* \Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{C})/\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{C})} \simeq \Gamma_n \backslash \mathbf{C}^n \times \mathcal{H}_n^+$$

for action (??).

We can apply Schur functors (use the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_k$  on  $\mathcal{E}_n^{\otimes k}$  to act on subbundles, e.g.  $\bigwedge^k \mathcal{E}_n$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ). (Equivalently see  $\mathcal{E}_n$  as a  $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ -bundle on  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{C})$  and apply a holomorphic representation  $\rho : (\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(W))$ .) Sections of such vector bundles on  $\mathcal{A}_k(\mathbf{C})$  are (level  $\Gamma_n$ , weight  $\rho$ ) Siegel modular forms on  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{C})$ .

*Notation:* Write

$$M_\rho(\Gamma_n) = \{f \in \Gamma(A_n(\mathbf{C}), \rho(\mathcal{E}_n)) : f \text{ is holomorphic at } \infty\}$$

(the last condition is automatic if  $n > 1$ ). We write

$$S_\rho(\Gamma_n) = \{f : \text{vanish at } \infty\} \subset M_\rho(\Gamma_n)$$

for the set of **cuspidal forms**.

We want a group theoretic description of the complex structure on  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{C})$  and these vector bundles on  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{C})$ .

We have  $Z(U(n)) \simeq U(1)$  and its centralizer in  $\mathbf{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$  is  $U(n) = K(\mathbf{R})$  where  $K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}_{2n, \mathbf{R}}$  is an algebraic subgroup.

Over  $\mathbf{C}$  we have

$$Z(K_{\mathbf{C}}) \simeq \mathbf{GL}_{1, \mathbf{C}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}_{2n, \mathbf{C}}.$$

This determines two opposite parabolic subgroups  $Q_+ = K_{\mathbf{C}} N_+$ ,  $Q_- = K_{\mathbf{C}} N_-$