Project: Performance of Multi-armed Bandit Algorithms

Due Date: 11:59am, Dec. 31, 2019

• Important Issue

You are required to use Python or R for the programming part. You also need to submit a report including your simulation results, analysis, discussions, tables and figures. You can obtain 10% bonus points if you submit the report and Python code together by using the Jupyter Notebook.

• Basic Setting

We consider a time-slotted bandit system (t = 0, 1, 2, ...) with three arms. We denote the arm set as $\{1, 2, 3\}$. Pulling each arm j $(j \in \{1, 2, 3\})$ will obtain a reward r_j , which satisfies a Bernoulli distribution with mean θ_j (Bern (θ_j)), *i.e.*,

$$r_j = \begin{cases} 1, & w.p. \ \theta_j, \\ 0, & w.p. \ 1 - \theta_j, \end{cases}$$

where θ_j are parameters within (0,1) for $j \in \{1,2,3\}$.

Now we run this bandit system for N ($N \gg 3$) time slots. At each time slot t, we choose one and only one arm from these three arms, which we denote as $I(t) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then we pull the arm I(t) and obtain a reward $r_{I(t)}$. Our objective is to find an optimal policy to choose an arm I(t) at each time slot t such that the expectation of the aggregated reward is maximized, i.e.,

$$\max_{I(t),t=1,\dots,N} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{N} r_{I(t)}\right].$$

If we know the values of $\theta_j, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, this problem is trivial. Since $r_{I(t)} \sim \text{Bern}(\theta_{I(t)})$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{N} r_{I(t)}\right] = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}[r_{I(t)}] = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \theta_{I(t)}.$$

Let $I(t) = I^* = \underset{j \in \{1,2,3\}}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \ \theta_j \text{ for } t = 1,2,\dots,N, \text{ then}$

$$\max_{I(t),t=1,\dots,N} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{N} r_{I(t)}\right] = N \cdot \theta_{I^*}.$$

However, in reality, we do not know the values of $\theta_j, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We need to estimate the values θ_j via empirical samples, and then make the decisions at each time slot.

Next we introduce three classical bandit algorithms: ϵ -greedy, UCB and Thompson sampling.

• Bandit Algorithms

1. ϵ -greedy Algorithm $(0 \le \epsilon \le 1)$

Algorithm 1 ϵ -greedy Algorithm

Initialize
$$\hat{\theta}(j) = 0$$
, count $(j) = 0$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

1: for $t = 1, 2 \dots, N$ do

2:
$$I(t) \leftarrow \begin{cases} \arg\max \hat{\theta}(j) & w.p. \ 1 - \epsilon \\ j \in \{1, 2, 3\} \\ \text{randomly chosen from} \{1, 2, 3\} \end{cases} \quad w.p. \ \epsilon$$

3:
$$\operatorname{count}(I(t)) \leftarrow \operatorname{count}(I(t)) + 1$$

4: $\hat{\theta}(I(t)) \leftarrow \hat{\theta}(I(t)) + \frac{1}{\operatorname{count}(I(t))} \left[r_{I(t)} - \hat{\theta}(I(t)) \right]$
5: **end for**

2. UCB (Upper Confidence Bound) Algorithm

Algorithm 2 UCB Algorithm

1: **for**
$$t = 1, 2, 3$$
 do
2: $I(t) \leftarrow t$
3: $\operatorname{count}(I(t)) \leftarrow 1$
4: $\hat{\theta}(I(t)) \leftarrow r_{I(t)}$
5: **end for**
6: **for** $t = 4, ..., N$ **do**
7:
$$I(t) \leftarrow \underset{j \in \{1, 2, 3\}}{\operatorname{arg max}} \left(\hat{\theta}(j) + c \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2 \log t}{\operatorname{count}(j)}} \right)$$
8: $\operatorname{count}(I(t)) \leftarrow \operatorname{count}(I(t)) + 1$
9: $\hat{\theta}(I(t)) \leftarrow \hat{\theta}(I(t)) + \frac{1}{\operatorname{count}(I(t))} \left[r_{I(t)} - \hat{\theta}(I(t)) \right]$
10: **end for**

Note: c is a positive constant with a default value of 1.

3. Thompson sampling (TS) Algorithm

Recall that $\theta_j, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, are unknown parameters over (0, 1). From the Bayesian perspective, we assume their priors are Beta distributions with given parameters (α_j, β_j) .

Algorithm 3 Thompson sampling Algorithm

Initialize Beta parameter $(\alpha_j, \beta_j), j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

- 1: **for** $t = 1, 2 \dots, N$ **do**
- 2: # Sample model
- 3: **for** $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ **do**
- 4: Sample $\hat{\theta}(j) \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$
- 5: end for
- 6: # Select and pull the arm

$$I(t) \leftarrow \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{j \in \{1,2,3\}} \hat{\theta}(j)$$

7: # Update the distribution

$$\alpha_{I(t)} \leftarrow \alpha_{I(t)} + r_{I(t)}$$
$$\beta_{I(t)} \leftarrow \beta_{I(t)} + 1 - r_{I(t)}$$

8: end for

• Simulation

1. Suppose we obtain the Bernoulli distribution parameters from an oracle, which are shown in the following table below. Choose N=10000 and compute the theoretically maximized expectation of aggregate rewards over N time slots. We call it the oracle value. Note that these parameters θ_j , j=1,2,3 and oracle values are unknown to the three bandit algorithms.

Arm j	1	2	3
θ_j	0.4	0.6	0.8

- 2. Implement three bandit algorithms with following settings:
 - $-\epsilon$ -greedy with $\epsilon = 0.1, 0.5, 0.9$.
 - UCB with c = 1, 5, 10.
 - Thompson Sampling with

$$\{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)=(1,1),(\alpha_2,\beta_2)=(1,1),(\alpha_3,\beta_3)=(1,1)\} \text{ and } \{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)=(2,4),(\alpha_2,\beta_2)=(3,6),(\alpha_3,\beta_3)=(1,2)\}$$

- For each algorithm, repeat the experiment 100 times and use the average of results of 100 experiments.
- 4. Store the reward in each time slot t = 1, 2, 3, ..., 10000. For Thompson Sampling, also store the (posterior) probability of playing each arm.
- 5. Plot the reward and the average reward against time slot (t = 1, 2, 3..., N). For Thompson Sampling, plot the arm playing probabilities as well.
- 6. Compute the gaps between the algorithm outputs and the oracle value. Compare the numerical results of ϵ -greedy, UCB, and Thompson Sampling, Which one is the best? Then discuss the impacts of ϵ , C, and α_i , β_i , respectively.
- 7. Give your understanding of the exploration-exploitation trade-off in bandit algorithms.
- 8. We implicitly assume the reward distribution of three arms are independent. How about the dependent case? Can you design an algorithm to exploit such information to obtain a better result?