

# **The Probe of Inflation and Cosmic Origins**

A Space Mission Study Report  
December, 2018

Principal Investigator:

Steering Committee:

Executive Committee:

Contributors:

Endorsers:

# **1 Executive Summary (2 pg, Hanany)**

## **2 Science (31 pages)**

48 pages are currently distributed as 31/17: 31 pages for science (including foregrounds and systematics), 17 for Instrument, technology, mission, technology, management and cost. References are extra. Should rebalance to 28/20?

### **2.1 Introduction (1.5 pgs, Hanany + (Flauger? Green? +?))**

NASA suggested table of contents says Science Intro or Landscape section should include:

- State of the Art in the Field
- Compelling Outstanding Questions
- Needed Capabilities for Progress

### **2.2 Science Objectives (17.5 pgs)**

The PICO Science Traceability Matrix (2pg, Hanany&Trangsrud) will be inserted around here. It is an 11x17 foldout, so it counts as 2 pages, which leaves 15.5 to all the rest in 2.2. Currently allocating 15 pages.

FOR EACH OF THE BELOW SUBSECTIONS:

- Introduce and elaborate on the applicable PICO “Science Objectives” from the STM table (what do they mean and why are they important)
- Observations/Measurements that enable PICO to accomplish each Science Objective (tell the data analysis story that connects the Observations column of the STM to the Science Objective column)
- Contextualize relative to sub-orbital and other space missions. *Emphasize where capabilities are unique to space.*
- Science yield estimate (be quantitative. how well will PICO do at Baseline/Required performance? at Current Best Estimate performance?)
- Include a summary plot or table which demonstrates PICO’s performance against the Science Objective as written (e.g. how it discriminates between different theories)
- Perceived science impact. (The impact isn’t reducing sigma on a parameter. It is about what we will learn about nature.)

### 2.2.1 Fundamental Physics (6 pgs, Flauger, Green)

To include: Cosmic Inflation, Particle Physics (Neutrinos and Light Relics), primordial EM fields  
Should address these Science Objectives from the STM:

- “Probe the physics of the big bang by detecting the energy scale at which inflation occurred if it is above  $4 \times 10^{15}$  GeV, or place an upper limit if it is below” [ $r$ ]
- “Probe the physics of the big bang by excluding classes of potentials as the driving force of inflation” [ $n_s, n_{run}$ ]
- “Determine the sum of neutrino masses, and distinguish between inverted and normal neutrino mass hierarchies” [ $\Sigma m_\nu$ ]
- “Detect departures from or tightly constrain the thermal history of the universe” [ $N_{eff}$ ]
- Origin of magnetic fields and cosmic birefringence

### 2.2.2 Cosmic Structure Formation and Evolution (4 pgs. Battaglia & Alvarez)

Should address these Science Objectives from the STM that relate to reionization + ??

### 2.2.3 Galactic Structure and Star Formation (5 pgs, Chuss & Fissel)

Should address these Science Objectives from the STM:

- “Determine whether the interstellar medium of our galaxy is unique by comparing the ratio of energy in magnetic field to turbulence to that in nearby galaxies.”
- “Determine if magnetic fields are the dominant cause of low star formation efficiency in our Galaxy.”
- “Determine whether radiative torque is responsible for the alignment of dust grains with magnetic fields”
- “Determine the influence of the magnetic field on Galactic dynamics within the Milky Way.”

## 2.3 Measurement Requirements (2 pgs, Hanany & Trangsud)

Some requirements derive from the science ( $\tau$  = full sky) Some requirements derive from foregrounds (frequency coverage) and some from systematics (particular scan pattern)

## 2.4 Additional Science (2 pgs, de Zotti)

Describe science that we get for free.

## **2.5 Complementarity with other Measurements and Surveys (1 pg, Lawrence? Schmidt?)**

Should describe complementarity with sub-orbital CMB measurements and with other surveys, both in space and on the ground. This is summary text (more detail in subsections about specific objectives)

## **2.6 Foregrounds (4 pgs, Clem? Jacques? Raphael? Brandon?)**

The state of knowledge and known challenges; how does PICO address the challenges; forecast of performance.

## **2.7 Systematic Errors (3 pgs, Crill)**

State of knowledge; What have we assessed in this study; what's left to be done (Crill)

## **3 Instrument (6 pgs, Trangsrud & Hanany)**

Telescope, focal plane, cooling, readout

## **4 Mission (3 pgs, Trangsrud)**

To be included: mission architecture, spacecraft and subsystems, orbit, attitude control and determination (Trangsrud)

## **5 Technology Maturation (4 pgs, O'Brient & Trangsrud)**

Requirements, planned activities, schedules and milestones, estimated cost (O'Brient?)

For each technology include:

- Requirements
- Planned activities
- Schedule and Milestones
- Estimated Cost

## **6 Management, Risk, Heritage, and Cost (4 pgs, Trangsrud)**

cost, risk, heritage (Trangsrud)

## References