

Number Theory

Jacob Denson

October 15, 2018

Table Of Contents

1	Generating Functions	2
2	Additive Combinatorics	4
2.1	Graph Theoretic Techniques	6

Chapter 1

Generating Functions

Example. Suppose we are working in a country with only a one, a two, and a three penny coin. Given an integer n , let $r(n)$ denote the number of ways that a person can be paid n pennies using these three coins. Since this is a question about the additivity of numbers, we can likely understand it using generating functions. Formally,

$$r(n) = \#\{(a, b, c) : a + 2b + 3c = n\}$$

We note

$$\left(\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} z^a\right) \left(\sum_{b=0}^{\infty} z^{2b}\right) \left(\sum_{c=0}^{\infty} z^{3c}\right) = \sum_{a,b,c} z^{a+2b+3c} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r(n) z^n$$

Thus, for $|z| < 1$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r(n) z^n = \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-z^2)(1-z^3)}$$

We can now perform a partial fraction decomposition, writing

$$\frac{1}{(1-z)(1-z^2)(1-z^3)} = \frac{1}{(1-z)^3(1+z)(\omega-z)(\omega+z)}$$

where $\omega = e(1/3)$ is a primitive third root of unity. Some intense linear algebra shows this is equal to

$$\frac{z+2}{9(z^2+z+1)} + \frac{17z^2-52z+47}{72(1-z)^3} + \frac{1}{8(1+z)}$$

which can be further decomposed into

$$-\frac{\omega^2 + 3\omega + 2}{9(1 - z/\omega)} + \frac{\omega^2 - \omega + 2}{9(1 - z/\omega^2)} \\ + \frac{1}{6(1 - z)^3} + \frac{1}{4(1 - z)^2} + \frac{17}{72(1 - z)} + \frac{1}{8(1 + z)}$$

where $\omega = e(1/3)$. Taking power series and summing up, we find

$$r(n) = -\frac{\omega^2 + 3\omega + 2}{9\omega^n} + \frac{\omega^2 - \omega + 2}{9\omega^{2n}} + \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{12} + \frac{n+1}{4} + \frac{17}{72} + \frac{(-1)^n}{8} \\ = \frac{6n^2 + 36n + 47 + 9(-1)^n}{72} + \begin{cases} 0 & n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ -2/9 & n \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3} \end{cases} \\ = \frac{(n+3)^2}{12} + \frac{9(-1)^n - 7}{72} + \begin{cases} 0 & n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ -16/72 & n \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

We know $r(n)$ is an integer, and since

$$\frac{9 + 7 + 16}{72} = \frac{32}{72} < \frac{1}{2}$$

So $r(n)$ is the closest integer to $(n+3)^2/12$.

Chapter 2

Additive Combinatorics

Given a subset A of an abelian group, we say A is **sum free** if $A + A$ is disjoint from A .

Theorem 2.1. *If A is an arbitrary finite subset of positive natural numbers, then A contains a sum-free subset of size greater than $|A|/3$.*

Proof. The idea of this proof rests on two observations. If $B \subset [1, N]$, and $p > 2N$, then $B + p\mathbf{Z}$ is sumfree in \mathbf{Z}_p if and only if B is sumfree. Thus we can turn our problem into a problem modulo p . Next, we notice that if f is an automorphism, then a subset B of an abelian group is sumfree if and only if $f(B)$ is sumfree. The presence of many automorphisms of \mathbf{Z}_p (one for each natural number between 1 and $p - 1$) enables us to exploit randomness to construct a sumfree subset in A . If $X \subset \mathbf{Z}_p$ is sumfree, and does *not* contain zero, we consider the sets $X, 2X, \dots, (p - 1)X$, which are all sumfree. For every $a \in X$, and nonzero $b \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, there is a unique $c \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$ such that $ca = b$. Thus every nonzero $b \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ occurs in $|X|/(p - 1)$ of the sets $X, \dots, (p - 1)X$. Thus means if we choose a nonzero $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ uniformly at random, then

$$\mathbf{E}|(A + \mathbf{Z}_p) \cap xX| = \sum_{a \in A + \mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{P}(a \in xX) = \frac{|A||X|}{p - 1}$$

Since xX is sumfree, so too is $(A + \mathbf{Z}_p) \cap xX$, and so lower bounding the expectation gives rise to a large sumfree set. In \mathbf{Z}_p , a good candidate for a sumfree set should be an interval, since an arithmetic progression has a small sumset, and all arithmetic progressions are mapped to an interval by

an automorphism. Thus, taking $X = \{k, \dots, 2k - 1\}$, where $4k - 2 < p + k$, we get a squarefree set. Thus taking p congruent to two modulo 3, and setting $3k = p + 1$, we find a sumfree set of size

$$\frac{k}{p-1}|A| = \frac{p+1}{3(p-1)}|A| > |A|/3$$

which completes the proof. \square

A fundamental problem in additive combinatorics is the *inverse sumset* problem. If $A + B$ or $A - B$ is small, what can one say about A and B ? More specifically, if $A + A$ is small, what can one say about A ? We have $|A| \leq |A + A| \leq [|A|^2 + |A|]/2$, and so we refer to the value $\sigma(A) = |A + A|/|A|$ as the **doubling constant** of the set A . We have $1 \leq |A| \leq (|A| + 1)/2$.

Example. *Geometric progressions have the largest doubling constant possible. If*

$$A = \{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{N-1}\}$$

then the sum of any two elements of A is distinct, so $|A + A| = (N^2 + N)/2$, and so $\sigma(A) = (N + 1)/2$.

A set A with $\sigma(A)$ maximal among sets of size N is known as a **Sidon set**. This means that all pairwise sums of any two $a_0, a_1 \in A$ are distinct, modulo the trivial equalities $a_0 + a_1 = a_1 + a_0$. This is a ‘generic’ behaviour: If A is a subset of N points chosen uniformly at random from $[0, 1]$, then A is Sidon with probability one. It is more interesting to characterize when $\sigma(A)$ is small.

Example. *In the other extreme, the main example of sets with small doubling constant is an arithmetic progression. If $A = b_0 + [0, N - 1]a$, then $A + A = 2b_0 + [0, 2N - 2]a$, which consists of $2N - 1$ points, so $\sigma(A) = 2 - 1/N$.*

Example. *If $A \subset B$, and $|A| = \alpha|B|$, then $|A + A| \leq |B + B|$, so*

$$\sigma(A) \leq \frac{|B + B|}{K|B|} = \sigma(B)/\alpha$$

Thus if $\sigma(B)$ is small, and A contains a large percentage of B , then $\sigma(A)$ is also small. In the other direction, if $|B| = \beta|A|$, then

$$|B + B| \leq |A + A| + |A + (B - A)| + |(B - A) + (B - A)| \leq \sigma(A)|A| + (\beta - 1)|A|^2 + \beta^2|A|^2$$

so

$$\sigma(B) \leq \sigma(A)/\beta + (\beta + 1 - 1/\beta)|B|$$

Thus if $\sigma(A)$ is small, and B doesn't contain many more points than A , then $\sigma(B)$ is also small.

Example. If we consider N and M , and a resultant 'rank 2' arithmetic progression $A = c + [0, N]a + [0, M]b$, then $\sigma(A) \leq 4$. These sets can look very different from the original arithmetic progressions we were considering.

The constant $\sigma(A)$ indicates the amount of additive structure in A . There are other variants of the measure of additive structure in A , like the additive energy $E(A, A)$ and approximate group structures, which are closely related to one another.

2.1 Graph Theoretic Techniques

Theorem 2.2 (Turán). Let G be a graph of n vertices. Then G contains an independant set of size at least

$$\sum_{v \in G} \frac{1}{\deg(v) + 1}$$

In particular, if the vertices have degree bounded by d , then there is an independant set of size $|G|(d + 1)^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $\pi : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a uniformly randomly chosen bijection. Let S be the set of all vertices v in V such that for any neighbour w of v , $\pi(v)$ is larger than $\pi(w)$. Then S is an independant set, and it suffices to show S is large in expectation. We find by the hockey stick identity that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(v \in S) &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{m=1}^n \binom{m-1}{\deg(v)} \deg(v)! (n-1-\deg(v))! \\ &= \frac{\deg(v)! (n-1-\deg(v))!}{n!} \binom{n}{\deg(v)+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\deg(v) + 1} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\mathbb{E}|S| = \sum_{v \in G} \mathbf{P}(v \in S) = \sum_{v \in G} \frac{1}{\deg(v) + 1}$$

and this gives the required set. \square

Given $B \subset A$, we say B is sumfree with respect to A if no element of A is the sum of two distinct elements of B . Given A , we let $\phi(A)$ denote the largest sumfree subset with respect to A . We let $\phi(n)$ be the smallest value of $\phi(A)$ among all sets $A \subset \mathbf{R}$ of size n .

Theorem 2.3 (Choi). *If A is any set of n real numbers, there is a set $B \subset A$ of cardinality $\log n - O(1)$ sumfree with respect to A . Thus $\phi(n) \geq \log n - O(1)$.*

Proof. Assume first that A is a subset of positive reals. Order $A = \{a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_n > 0\}$. Consider the graph G with vertices A , and edges (a_n, a_m) if $a_n + a_m \in A$. By Turán's theorem, since $\deg(a_i) \leq n - i$, we find an independent set S with

$$|S| \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n - i + 1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} = \log n - O(1)$$

In general, any set A of n real numbers either contains $n/2 - O(1)$ positive real numbers or $n/2 - O(1)$ negative real numbers, and the theorem then follows in this case. \square

The $n/(d + 1)$ bound for graphs of bounded degree d cannot be improved for general graphs G . However, it is surprising that one can improve the bound by a $\log d$ factor, provided that the resultant graph has no three cycles.

Theorem 2.4. *If G has no three cycles with maximal degree d , then G contains an independent set of size $\Omega(n \log d / d)$.*

Proof. Choose a set I uniformly from the set of all independent sets in G . For each $v \in V$, define the random variable

$$X_v = d|I \cap \{v\}| + |N(v) \cap I| = \begin{cases} d & v \in I \\ |N(v) \cap I| & v \notin I \end{cases}$$

Any vertex can be in the neighbourhood of at most d other vertices, so

$$\sum_v X_v = d|I| + \sum_{v \notin I} |N(v) \cap I| \leq 2d|I|$$

Taking expectations gives that

$$\mathbf{E}|I| \geq \frac{1}{2d} \sum_v \mathbf{E}(X_v)$$

Thus it suffices to show that $\mathbf{E}(X_v)$ is large for each v . TODO: FINISH LATER. \square

The Balog-Szemerédi theorem says that if $E(A, B) \geq K_0 n^2$ and $|A +_G B| \leq K_1 n$, then one can find $A_0 \subset A$ and $B_0 \subset B$ such that $|A_0|, |B_0|$, and $|A_0 + B_0|$ are $\Theta_{K_0, K_1}(n)$. Gower's recently strengthened the theorem to showing the constants in the bound are polynomial in $1/K_0$ and K_1 . We shall find that this result can be converted into a graph problem.

If $E(A, B) \gtrsim |A|^{3/2}|B|^{3/2}$, then there is $A_0 \subset A$ and $B_0 \subset B$ with $|A_0| \sim |A|$, $|B_0| \sim |B|$, and $|A_0 + B_0| \lesssim |A_0|^{1/2}|B_0|^{1/2}$. In particular, if A and B have n elements, and $E(A, B) \gtrsim n^3$, then there is $A_0 \subset A$ and $B_0 \subset B$ with $|A_0|, |B_0| \sim n$, and $|A_0 + B_0| \lesssim n$. Can we generalize this theorem to more general operations than addition, i.e. linear transformations of the coordinates?

Lemma 2.5. *If G is a bipartite graph with $|E| \geq |A||B|/K$ for some $K \geq 1$, then for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there is $A_0 \subset A$ such that $|A_0| \geq |A|/K\sqrt{2}$, and such that $1 - \varepsilon$ of the pairs of vertices in A_0 are connected by $\varepsilon|B|/2K^2$ paths of length 2 in G .*

Proof. By decreasing K , we may assume that $|E| = |A||B|/K$. Now

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}_b |N(b)|}{|A|} = \frac{\mathbf{E}_a |N(a)|}{|B|} = \frac{|E|}{|A||B|} = \frac{1}{K}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}_b |N(b)|^2}{|A|^2} = \mathbf{E}_{a, a'} \frac{|N(a) \cap N(a')|}{|B|}$$

\square

Let A_1, \dots, A_k be additive sets with cardinality n , and consider a k uniform k -partite hypergraph H on A_1, \dots, A_k . If H has $\Omega(n^k)$ edges and $|\bigoplus^H A_i| = O(n)$, then we can find $A'_i \subset A_i$ with $|A'_i| = \Omega(n)$ and $|A'_1 + \dots + A'_k| = \Omega(n)$. If we let H be