# Tracking and Vertexing Short Exercise Introduction

CMS Data Analysis School at CERN 2023

Marco Musich, Brunella D'Anzi, Erica Brondolin, Karla Pena, Adriano Di Florio, Pauli Felix Krueper

June 5th, 2023

Introduction

- Welcome to the wrap-up session of the Tracking and Vertexing Short Exercise (**Twiki** , **Mattermost Channel**) !

Recap: Goals of the exercise

- We wanted to you to familiarize yourself with the basic properties of charged particle tracks
- What information is available and how can it be accessed in different analysis data formats?
- How can tracks be used to find the real physics in our events?
- How to combine tracks to reconstruct vertices and use them to improve our understanding of an event

- In this session we want to go through the results of the exercises and discuss them
- Your chance to ask all your questions and make sure you understood it all!

Exercise 1 - Accessing track variables

- Distributions of **track variables** **before** and **after** **high purity** track selection

- Do these distributions make sense to you?

Exercise 1 - Accessing track variables in miniAOD

- All tracks are stored in the **generalTracks** collection in **AOD**
- In **MiniAOD** they are accessible in a less straightforward way (**packedPFCandidates**, **lostTracks** collection)
- Not all tracks are available!

- The pre-selection of tracks in **MiniAOD** also affects the distribution of the track quality parameters (w.r.t. **AOD**)
- Do these changes make sense when you keep in mind that **very low p_T tracks** are left out?

Exercise 2: Tracks as particles

- Tracks give us a **direct handle** on **actual particles**
- Thus they can easily be used to **reconstruct other particles** in the event
- Here we simply **combined muon tracks** and calculated the **invariant mass**
- What does **this distribution** tell us?

Exercise 3: Vertexing

- **Two** or **more tracks** can be used to reconstruct their **common point of origin**, the vertex
- Requiring two tracks to originate from the same vertex is a powerful tool to **identify particles that decayed in the detector**
- In this case we reconstructed the K^0_S
- In the exercise we presented this plot of the **flight distance distribution** of the K^0_S. Were you able to explain the **weird structures** in the **distribution**?

- In the MiniAOD format, collection of two long-lived mesons, K_S^0 and Λ^0 are already present

- Here we plotted the **mass distribution** of the Λ^0s

Exercise 3: Vertex Distributions

- From the secondary vertices we advanced to **primary vertices**.
- Looking at the distribution of the **distance between two primary vertices in z**, can you remember the reason for the **dip at 0**?
- What do we learn from the **rho-z distribution** of the vertices?

Exercise 3: Primary Vertex Distributions

- The **number of tracks** versus the **number of primary vertices** shows a **roughly linear correlation**
- Is that what you expect?

Exercise 3: Primary vertices and the beam spot

- The exercise emphasized the difference between the **primary vertices** and the **beam spot**. Can you recall what it is?

- We had a look at the **2D distribution** of **primary vertices** in the **x-y**, **z-x**, and **z-y** projections. What can we learn from that about the **interaction region** in CMS?

Exercise 3: Primary vertices and the beam spot

- Another way to visualize the **relation** between the **primary vertices** and the **beam spot** is this plot that compares the x-coordinate of the beam spot position (red) with the average x-position of the primary vertices, as a function of how many vertices are averaged

Exercise 3: Vertices improve physics results

- In the last step of the exercise, we **combined primary** and **secondary vertices**
- Here we are making use of the fact that for K^0 meson its **flight direction** will align with the **direction from the primary vertex to the secondary vertex**
  - We therefore consider the **distribution of the cosine of the angle** bewteen the two direction and apply a cut

- We use the **angle** from the previous slide as a **discriminator** to improve our signal to background ratio of our K_S^0 sample

- Finally, we matched tracks from **lostTrack** collection to **StandAlone** Muons and computed the CMS tracking performance via the data-driven Tag and Probe technique using the Z resonance for **Data** (part of 2018 Run 2) and **MC**
- Did you get the same efficiency plot at your **first trial**?
- Is this symmetrical distribution expected?