Test

Table of Contents

Guideline	1
How write a test ?	
Checklist	3
RuleWhiteList	
RuleEngine	
CMTAT	
Test list	
Test A	
Test A1	
Test A2	
Test A3	
Test A4	
Test A5	
Test A6	
Test B	
Test B1	
Test B2	
Test B3	
Test B4	
Test B5	
Test C	

Guideline

It is important that the tests can easily be improved and understood by others. For each test file, the list of tests must be present $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left$

How write a test?

The test must be follow the pattern AAA for the documentation and the structure First, read this excellent document by $\underline{\text{Microsoft}}$. Here a little resume :

Term	Definition
Arrange	Arrange your objects, create and set them up as necessary.
Arrange - Assert	If you create assertion to check your arrange
Act	The function which is tested (and only this function !!!!)
Assert	All check to verify the result obtained by the call of the function(s) in the Act part.

New test file

- Create a new tab with a new Id [A,B, C....]
- Create a new tab for in the section checklist

New test

For each new test : add an entry after the previous ones in the corresponding table

Example: you create a new test called "testCanTransferIsTrue" in the file RuleWhitelist.t.sol. You add then an entry in the corresponding table. After that, add the test in the checklist too.

Below is an example of an entry in the table

id	Test function	Target function	Expected result	Actual result	conclusion	Improvemen t
[pre	evious test]					
25	testCanTransfe rIsTrue	The function which is tested	What is the result supposed to be returned by the function ? ??	[As expected] or [Not as exepected + the result]	[Ok, Not Ok]	Possible improvemen t for the test

Checklist

The checklist allows you to quickly check that all the functions are tested as well as to find the corresponding test $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

RuleWhiteList

File : RuleWhiteList.sol

Functions	Test id
addAddressesToTheWhitelist	A2/2, A2/4, A2/6, A5/2
removeAddressesFromTheWhitelist	A3/2, A3/4, A5/4
addAddressToTheWhitelist	A2/1, A2/3 (0x0 address), A2/5 (duplicate) A5/1
removeAddressFromTheWhitelist	A3/1, A3/3, A5/3
numberWhitelistedAddress	A1/8
addressIsWhitelisted	A1/1, A1/2, A1/3
isTransferValid	A1/6, A1/7
detectTransferRestriction	A1/9, A1/10, A1/11
canReturnTransferRestrictionCode	A1/4
messageForTransferRestriction	A1/5
kill	A4/1, A4/2

File: OpenZeppelin library

Functions	Test id
grantRole	A6/1, A6/3
revokeRole	A6/2, A6/4

RuleEngine

File : RuleEngine.sol

Functions	Test id
setRules	B1/1, B1/13, B1/14, B4/1
clearRules	B1/2, B4/2
addRule	B1/3, B1/10, B4/3
removeRule	B1/4, B1/5, B1/6, B1/11, B1/12, B4/4
ruleLength	B1/7
rule	B1/8
rules	B1/9
detectTransferRestriction	B2/1, B2/2, B2/3
validateTransfer	B2/8
messageForTransferRestriction	B2/4, B2/5, B2/6
kill	B3/1, B3/2

CMTAT

File : CMTAT.sol

Here, we test only the integration with our own RuleEngine and Whitelist

Functions	Test id
mint	C9
transfer	C1, C2, C3, C4
detectTransferRestriction	C5, C6, c7, C8
messageForTransferRestriction	C5, C6, C7, C8

Test list

Test A

Target File : RuleWhitelist.sol

Test A1

File: Rulewhite.t.sol

id	Test function	Target function	Expected result	Actual result	conclusion	Improvement
1	testReturnFalseIfAddress NotWhitelisted	addressIsWhitelisted	For all addresses the functions return false	As expected	0k	
2	testAddressIsIndicatedAs Whitelisted	addressIsWhitelisted	For the address added in the whitelist the function return true	As expected	0k	
3	testAddressesIsIndicated AsWhitelisted	addressIsWhitelisted	For all addresses in the whitelist the function return true	As expected	Ok	
4	testCanReturnTransferRes trictionCode	canReturnTransferRes trictionCode	Return true if the code exists, false otherwise	As expected	0k	
5	testReturnTheRightMessag eForAGivenCode	messageForTransferRe striction	For the different codes, the corresponding message is returned.	As expected	0k	
6	testIsTransferValid	isTransferValid	Returns true for the different tested transfer	As expected	0k	
7	testTransferDectedAsInva lid	isTransferValid	Returns false for the different tested transfer	As expected	Ok	
8	testNumberWhitelistedAdd	numberWhitelistedAdd	The number of address in the	As expected	0k	

	ress	ress	whitelist is update after adding/deleting addresses.			
9	testDetectTransferRestri ctionFrom	detectTransferRestri ction	Detect that the from address is not whitelisted and returns the corresponding code.	As expected	Ok	
10	testDetectTransferRestri ctionTo	detectTransferRestri ction	Detect that the to address is not whitelisted and returns the corresponding code.	As expected	Ok	
11	testDetectTransferRestri ctionOk	detectTransferRestri ction	Detect that the transfer respects the rules and returns the corresponding code	As expected	As expected	

Test A2

File: RuleWhitelistAddTest.t.sol

id	Test function	Target function	Expected result	Actual result	conclusion	Improvement
1	testAddAddressToTheWhite list	addAddressToTheWhite list	The call of the function addressIsWhitelisted returns true for the added addresses + numberWhitelistsAddress return the number of addresses update	As expected	Ok	Warning : the test depends of two others functions addressIsWhitelisted. and numberWhitelistsAddres s
2	testAddAddressToTheWhite list	addAddressesToTheWhi telist	The call of the function addressIsWhitelisted returns true for the added addressses + numberWhitelistsAddress return the number of addresses update	As expected	Ok	Warning: the test depends of two others functions addressIsWhitelisted. and numberWhitelistsAddres s
3	testCanAddAddressZeroToT heWhitelist	addAddressToTheWhite list	The address 0x0 is added to the whitelist	As expected	Ok	
4	testCanAddAddressesZeroT oTheWhitelist	addAddressesToTheWhi telist	All addresses are in the whitelist	As expected	Ok	
5	testAddAddressTwiceToThe Whitelist	addAddressToTheWhite list	The transaction is reverted, the target address is still in the whitelist	As expected	0k	
6	testAddAddressesTwiceToT heWhitelist	addAddressesToTheWhi telist	The new address is added, no change for the duplicate addresses	As expected	0k	

Test A3

File: RuleWhitelistRemove.t.sol

id	Test function	Target function	Expected result	Actual result	conclusion	Improvement
1	testRemoveAddressesFromT heWhitelist	removeAddressFromT heWhitelist	The call of the function addressIsWhitelisted returns false for the removed addresses	As expected	Ok	
2	testRemoveAddressesFromT heWhitelist	removeAddressesFro mTheWhitelist	The call of the function addressIsWhitelisted returns false for the removed addresses	As expected	Ok	
3	testRemoveAddressNotPres entFromTheWhitelist	removeAddressFromT heWhitelist	The transaction is reverted, no change in the whitelist	As expected	Ok	
4	testRemoveAddressesNotPr esentFromTheWhitelist	removeAddressesFro mTheWhitelist	The addresses present in the whitelist are correctly removed, no change for the address which was not present.	As expected	0k	

Test A4

File: RuleWhitelistKill.t.sol

id	Test function	Target function	Expected result	Actual result	conclusion	Improvement
1	testCanKill	kill	The size of the contract is 0 after the call of the kill function	As expected	0k	Call a function and check if it is reverted
2	testCanKillSendEtherToTh eRightAddress	kill	It is the right address, which receives the ethers contained in the contract	As expected	0k	

Test A5

File RuleWhitelistAccessControl.t.sol

id	Test function	Target function	Expected result	Actual result	conclusion	Improvement
1	testCannotAttackerAddAdd ressToTheWhitelist	addAddressToTheW hitelist	The call is reverted because the sender has no role on the contract	As expected	Ok	
2	testCannotAttackerAddAdd ressesToTheWhitelist	addAddressesToTh eWhitelist	The call is reverted because the sender has no role on the contract	As expected	Ok	
3	testCannotAttackerRemove AddressFromTheWhitelist	removeAddressFro mTheWhitelist	The call is reverted because the sender has no role on the contract	As expected	Ok	
4	testCannotAttackerRemove AddressesFromTheWhitelis t		The call is reverted because the sender has no role on the contract	As expected	0k	

Test A6File: RuleWhitelistAccessControlOZ.t.sol

id	Test function	Target function	Expected result	Actual result	conclusion	Improvement
1	testCanGrantRoleAsAdmin	grantRole	The target address has the attributed role	As expected	0k	
2	testRevokeRoleAsAdmin	revokeRole	The target address has no longer the revoked role.	As expected	Ok	
3	testCannotGrantFromNonAd min	grantRole	The call is reverted because the sender has not the right role	As expected	Ok	
4	testCannotRevokeFromNonA dmin	revokeRole	The call is reverted because the sender has not the right role	As expected	0k	

Test B

Test B1

File: RuleEngine.t.sol

id	Test function	Target function	Expected result	Actual result	conclusion	Improvement
1	testCanSetRules	setRules	The rules are present in the list of rules.	As expected	0k	
2	testCanClearRules	clearRules	No more rules are in the list of rules, this one is empty	As expected	Ok	
3	testCanAddRule	addRule	The target rule is present in the list of rules	As expected	Ok	
4	testCanRemoveNonExistantRule	removeRule	Noting changes	As expected	0k	
5	testCanRemoveLatestRule	removeRule	The target rule is no longer in the list, the others rules are still present	As expected	0k	
6	testCanRemoveRule	removeRule	The target rule is no longer in the list, the others rules are still present	As expected	0k	
7	testRuleLength	ruleLength	Check the length of the rule before and after have added rules.	As expected	Ok	
8	testGetRule	rule	The function returns the right rule specified by its index.	As expected	Ok	
9	testGetRules	rules	The function returns all the rules	As expected	Ok	
10	testCannotAddRuleZeroAddress	addRule	The address 0 can not be add to the list, the transaction is reverted	As expected	ОК	

11	testCanRemoveWithEmptyRules	removeRule	The transaction is not reverted even if there are no rules in the array of rules.	As expected	ОК
12	testCanRemoveFirstRule	removeRule	The target rule, which is the first rule in the array, is correctly removed.	As expected	ОК
13	testCannotSetEmptyRulesT1	setRules	With a empty array in parameter, the transaction is reverted	As expected	ОК
14	testCannotSetEmptyRulesT2	setRules	The array contains the zero address as a rule. The transaction is reverted.	As expected	ОК

Test B2

File: RuleEngineRestriction.t.sol

id	Test function	Target function	Expected result	Actual result	conclusion	Improvement
1	testCanDetectTransferRestriction OK	detectTransfer Restriction	The function return 0 because the transfer is valid	As expected	Ok	
2	testCanDetectTransferRestriction WithFrom	detectTransfer Restriction	Detect that the from address is not whitelisted and returns the corresponding code	As expected	Ok	
3	testCanDetectTransferRestriction WithTo	detectTransfer Restriction	Detect that the to address is not whitelisted and returns the corresponding code	As expected	Ok	
4	testMessageForTransferRestrictio nWithValidRC		The message corresponding to the code is returned	As expected	0k	
5	testMessageForTransferRestrictio nNoRule		The function detects that the code is unknown and returns the corresponding message.	As expected	0k	
6	testMessageForTransferRestrictio nWithUnknownRestrictionCode		The function detects that the code is unknown and returns the corresponding message.	As expected	0k	
7	testValidateTransferOK	validateTransf er	The transfer is valid, the function return true	As expected	Ok	
8	testValidateTransferRestricted	validateTransf er	The transfer is invalid, the function return false	As expected	Ok	

Test B3

File: RuleWhitelistKill.t.sol

id	Test function	Target function	Expected result	Actual result	conclusion	Improvement
1	testCanKill	kill	The size of the contract is 0 after the call of the kill function	As expected	0k	Call a function and check if it is reverted
2	testCanKillSendEtherToTh eRightAddress	kill	It is the right address, which receives the ethers contained in the contract	As expected	0k	

Test B4

 $File\ Rule Engine Access Control.t. sol$

id	Test function	Target function	Expected result	Actual result	conclusion	Improvement
1	testCannnotAttackerSetRu les	setRules	The call is reverted because the sender has no role on the contract	As expected	Ok	
2	testCannnotAttackerClear Rules	clearRules	The call is reverted because the sender has no role on the contract	As expected	Ok	
3	testCannotAttackerAddRul e	addRule	The call is reverted because the sender has no role on the contract	As expected	Ok	
4	testCannotAttackerRemove Rule	removeRule	The call is reverted because the sender has no role on the contract	As expected	0k	

Test B5

File: RuleWhitelistAccessControlOZ.t.sol

id	Test function	Target function	Expected result	Actual result	conclusion	Improvement
1	testCanGrantRoleAsAdmin	grantRole	The target address has the attributed role	As expected	Ok	
2	testRevokeRoleAsAdmin	revokeRole	The target address has no longer the revoked role.	As expected	Ok	
3	testCannotGrantFromNonAd min	grantRole	The call is reverted because the sender has not the right role	As expected	Ok	
4	testCannotRevokeFromNonA dmin	revokeRole	The call is reverted because the sender has not the right role	As expected	Ok	

Test C

File : **CMTAT.t.sol**

Remark : we can consider this test as an integration test.

ID	Test function	Target function	Expected result	Actual result	conclusion	Improveme nt
1	testCannotTransferWithoutAddressWhi telisted	transfer	Revert because addresses are not whitelisted	As expected	Ok	
2	testCannotTransferWithoutFromAddres sWhitelisted	transfer	Revert because address From is not whitelisted	As expected	Ok	
3	testCannotTransferWithoutToAddressW hitelisted	transfer	Revert because address To is not whitelisted	As expected	0k	
4	testCanMakeATransferr	transfer	Successful transfer of tokens because FROM and TO are whitelisted		Ok	
5	testDetectAndMessageWithFromNotWhit elisted	F1) DetectTransferRestric tion F2) messageForTransferRes triction	F1 returns the corresponding code when the address FROM is not whitelisted F2 returns the message corresponding to the code returned by F1.	As expected	0k	
6	testDetectAndMessageWithToNotWhitel isted	F1) DetectTransferRestric tion F2) messageForTransferRes triction	F1 returns the corresponding code when the address TO is not whitelisted F2 returns the message corresponding to the code returned by F1.	As expected	0k	

7	testDetectAndMessageWithFromAndToNo tWhited	F1) DetectTransferRestric tion F2) messageForTransferRes triction	testCannotTranferIfTo IsNotWhitelisted but this time the address	As expected	Ok
8	testDetectAndMessageWithAValidTrans fer	F1) DetectTransferRestric tion F2) messageForTransferRes triction	transfer is valid. F1 returns the	As expected	Ok
9	testCanMint	mint	The tokens were minted and the owner is the target address.	As expected	Ok