Principles of Software Construction: Objects, Design, and Concurrency

Test case design

Christian Kästner   **Vincent Hellendoorn**

Carnegie Mellon University
School of Computer Science

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Last Week

- Unit testing: small, simple, per-method tests
- Specification vs. Structural testing

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Little Quiz

https://forms.gle/Am48bu6avqLh7ytb9

Note on Precondition Testing

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Today

- Structural Testing Strategies
  - Statement, branch, path coverage; limitations
- Writing testable code & good tests
- Specification Testing Strategies
  - Boundary value analysis, combinatorial testing, decision tables
- Bit of both

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Structural Testing: a closer look

Takes into account the internal mechanism of a system (IEEE, 1990).
- Approaches include tracing data and control flow through a program

Case Study

Assume various Wallets

```java
public interface Wallet {

    boolean pay(int cost);

    int getValue();

}
```

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

DebitWallet.pay()

What should we test in this code?

```java
public boolean pay(int cost) {
    if (cost <= this.money) {
        this.money -= cost;
        return true;
    }
    return false;
}
```

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

DebitWallet.pay()

```java
public boolean pay(int cost) {
    if (cost <= this.money) {
        this.money -= cost;
        return true;
    }
    return false;
}

new DebitWallet(100).pay(10);
```

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

DebitWallet.pay()

```java
public boolean pay(int cost) {
    if (cost <= this.money) {
        this.money -= cost;
        return true;
    }
    return false;
}

new DebitWallet(0).pay(10);
```

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

CreditWallet.pay()

How about now?

```java
public boolean pay(int cost, boolean useCredit) {
    if (useCredit) {
        if (this.credit + cost <= this.maxCredit) {
            this.credit += cost;
            return true;
        }
    }
    if (cost <= this.cash) {
        this.cash -= cost;
        return true;
    }
    return false;
}
```

CreditWallet.pay()

```java
public boolean pay(int cost, boolean useCredit) {
    if (useCredit) {
        if (enoughCredit) {
            ...
        }
    }
    if (enoughCash) {
        ...
    }
    return false;
}
```

Exercise: think about as many test scenarios as you can

CreditWallet.pay()

```java
public boolean pay(int cost, boolean useCredit) {
    if (useCredit) {
        if (enoughCredit) {
            ...
        }
    }
    if (enoughCash) {
        ...
    }
    return false;
}
```

Test case	useCredit	Enough Credit	Enough Cash	Result	Coverage
1	T	T	-	Pass	??

CreditWallet.pay()

```java
public boolean pay(int cost, boolean useCredit) {
    if (useCredit) {
        if (enoughCredit) {
            ...
        }
    }
    if (enoughCash) {
        ...
    }
    return false;
}
```

Test case	useCredit	Enough Credit	Enough Cash	Result	Coverage
1	T	T	-	Pass	--
2	F	-	T	Pass	--
3	F	-	F	Fails	Statement

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Coverage

We have tested every statement; are we done?

Depends on desired **coverage**:

- Provide at least one test for distinct types of behavior
- Typically on control flow paths through the program
- Statement, branch, basis paths, MC/DC

Structures in Code

sequence   If .. then   If .. then .. else

Do .. While   While .. Do   Switch

Control-Flow of CreditCard.pay()

Control-Flow of CreditCard.pay()

| Test case	useCredit	Enough Credit	Enough Cash	Result	Coverage
1	T	T	-	Pass	--
2	F	-	T	Pass	--
3	F	-	F	Fails	Statement

Control-Flow of CreditCard.pay()

Test case	useCredit	Enough Credit	Enough Cash	Result	Coverage
1	T	T	-	Pass	--
2	F	-	T	Pass	--
3	F	-	F	Fails	Statement

CreditWallet.pay()

```java
public boolean pay(int cost, boolean useCredit) {
    if (useCredit) {
        if (enoughCredit) {
            ...
        }
    }
    else if (enoughCash) {
        ...
    }
    return false;
}
```

Test case	useCredit	Enough Credit	Enough Cash	Result	Coverage	
1	T	T	-	Pass	--	
2	F	-	T	Pass	--	
3	F	-	F	Fails	Statement	
4	T	F	T	Pass	Branch	

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Path Coverage

We have seen every condition … what else is missing?

Path Coverage

We have seen every condition … but not every path.

- 3 conditions, each with two values = 8 permutations
- Some permutations are impossible
- Still one *path* left

Control-Flow of CreditCard.pay()

Paths:

- {true, true}: pay w/credit
- {false, true}: pay w/cash
- {false, false}: fail

Control-Flow of CreditCard.pay()

Paths:

- {true, true}: pay w/credit
- {false, true}: pay w/cash
- {false, false}: fail
- {true, false, true}: pay w/cash after failing credit
- {true, false, false}: try credit, but fail, **and** no cash

CreditWallet.pay()

```java
public boolean pay(int cost, boolean useCredit) {
    if (useCredit) {
        if (enoughCredit) {
            ...
        }
    }
    else if (enoughCash) {
        ...
    }
    return false;
}
```

Test case	useCredit	Enough Credit	Enough Cash	Result	Coverage		
1	T	T	-	Pass	--		
2	F	-	T	Pass	--		
3	F	-	F	Fails	Statement		
4	T	F	T	Pass	Branch		
5	T	F	F	Fails	(Basis) paths		

institute for SOFTWARE RESEARCH

BitCoinWallet.pay()

```java
public boolean pay(int cost) {
    int currValue;
    while ((currValue = getValue()) < cost) {
        // Just wait.
    }
    this.btc -= cost / currValue;
    return true;
}

public int getValue() {
    return (int)
        (this.btc * Math.pow(2, 20*Math.random()));
}
```

Control-flow of BitCoinWallet.pay()

What are all the paths?

Control-flow of BitCoinWallet.pay()

What are all the paths?

- {true}
- {false, true}
- {false, false, true}
- {false, false, false, true}
- ...

Control-flow of BitCoinWallet.pay()

Perfect "general" path coverage is elusive

But "adequate" coverage criteria exist:

- Basis paths: each path must cover one new *edge*
  - {true} and {false, true} are sufficient
  - As is just {false, true}
- Loop adequacy: iterate each loop zero, one, and 2+ times

BTC value enough?

true

false

pay w/btc

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

More Coverage

Many more criteria exist:

- For branches with multiple conditions
  - Modified Condition/Decision Coverage is quite popular
- For loops
  - Boundary Interior Testing
- Branch coverage is by far the most common

Coverage and Quality

Question 1: Is there a defect?

Coverage and Quality

x = 5

if a ≤ 1

then

x = a - 1

else

y = z / x

Question 2: Can we achieve 100% **statement** coverage and miss the defect?

Coverage and Quality

x = 5

if a ≤ 1

then

x = a - 1

else

y = z / x

Question 3: Can we achieve 100% **branch** coverage and miss the defect?

Outline

- Structural Testing Strategies
- **Writing testable code & good tests**
- Specification Testing Strategies

Writing Testable Code

What is the problem with this?

```java
public boolean hasHeader(String path) throws IOException {
    List<String> lines = Files.readAllLines(Path.of(path));
    return !lines.get(0).isEmpty()
}

// complete control-flow coverage!
hasHeader("cards.csv") // true
```

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Writing Testable Code

What is the problem with this?

```java
public boolean hasHeader(String path) throws IOException {
    List<String> lines = Files.readAllLines(Path.of(path));
    return !lines.get(0).isEmpty()
}

// to achieve a 'false' output:
try {
    Path tempFile = Files.createTempFile(null, null);
    Files.write(tempFile,"\n".getBytes(StandardCharsets.UTF_8));
    hasHeader(tempFile.toFile().getAbsolutePath()); // false
} catch (IOException e) {
    e.printStackTrace();
}
```

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Writing Testable Code

Exercise: rewrite to make this easier

● And: what would you test?

```java
public boolean hasHeader(String path) throws IOException {
    List<String> lines = Files.readAllLines(Path.of(path));
    return !lines.get(0).isEmpty()
}
```

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Writing Testable Code

Aim to write easily testable code

● Which is almost by definition more modular

```java
public List<String> getLines(String path) throws IOException {
    return Files.readAllLines(Path.of(path));
}

public boolean hasHeader(List<String> lines) {
    return !lines.get(0).isEmpty()
}

// Test:
// - hasHeader with empty, non-empty first line
// - getLines (if you must) with null, real path
```

Writing Testable Code

What is the problem with this?

```java
public String[] getHeaderParts(List<String> lines) {
    if (!lines.isEmpty()) {
        String header = lines.get(0);
        if (header.contains(",")) {
            return header.split(",");
        } else {
            return new String[0];
        }
    } else {
        return null;
    }
}
```

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Writing Testable Code

Split functionality into easily testable **units**

```java
public String[] getHeaderParts(List<String> lines) {
    if (!lines.isEmpty()) {
        return getHeaderParts(lines.get(0));
    } else {
        return null;
    }
}

public String[] getHeaderParts(String header) {
    if (header.contains(",")) {
        return header.split(",");
    } else {
        return new String[0];
    }
}
```

Clean Testing

What is the problem with this?

```java
public String[] getHeaderParts(String header) {
    if (header.contains(",")) {
        return header.split(",");
    } else {
        return null;
    }
}


@Test
public void testGetHeaderParts() {
    for (String header : List.of("line", "", "one,two")) {
        String[] parts = getHeaderParts(line);
        if (header.contains(",")) assertNull(parts);
        else assertEqual(header.split(","), parts.length);
    }
}
```

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Clean Testing

Keep tests simple, small

```java
public String[] getHeaderParts(String header) {
    if (header.contains(",")) {
        return header.split(",");
    } else {
        return null;
    }
}

@Test
public void testGetHeaderPartsNoComma() {
    String[] parts = getHeaderParts("line");
    assertNull(parts);
}

@Test
...
```

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Testing Best Practices

Coverage is useful, but no substitute for your insight

- Cannot capture all paths
  - Especially beyond "unit"
  - Write testable code
- You may be testing buggy code
  - (add regression tests)
- Aim for at least branch coverage
  - And think through scenarios that demand more

Outline

- Structural Testing Strategies
- Writing testable code & good tests
- **Specification Testing Strategies**

Back to Specification Testing

What would you test differently in this situation?

- Previously identified five paths through the code. Are there still?
- Should we test anything new?

```java
/** Pays with credit if useCredit is set and enough
  * credit is available; otherwise, pays with cash if
  * enough cash is available; otherwise, returns false.
  */
public boolean pay(int cost, boolean useCredit);
```

Back to Specification Testing

What would you test differently in this situation?

- "if useCredit is set and enough credit is available":
  - Test both true, either/both false
- "pays with cash if enough cash is available; otherwise":
  - Test true, false
- Could to this with three test cases

```
/** Pays with credit if useCredit is set and enough
  * credit is available; otherwise, pays with cash if
  * enough cash is available; otherwise, returns false.
  */
public boolean pay(int cost, boolean useCredit);
```

Specification Testing

We need a *strategy* to identify plausible mistakes

Specification Testing

We need a *strategy* to identify plausible mistakes

- Random: avoids bias, but inefficient
  - Yet potentially *very* valuable, because automatable
  - Not for today

Boundary Value Testing

We need a *strategy* to identify plausible mistakes

- Boundary Value Testing: errors often occur at boundary conditions
  - E.g.:

```java
/** Returns true and subtracts cost if enough
  * money is available, false otherwise.
  */
public boolean pay(int cost) {
    if (cost < this.money) {
        this.money -= cost;
        return true;
    }
    return false;
}
```

Boundary Value Testing

We need a *strategy* to identify plausible mistakes

- Boundary Value Testing: errors often occur at boundary conditions
  - Identify equivalence partitions: regions where behavior should be the same
    - `cost <= money: true, cost > money: false`
    - Boundary value: `cost == money`

```java
/** Returns true and subtracts cost if enough
  * money is available, false otherwise.
  */
public boolean pay(int cost) {
   if (cost < this.money) {
       this.money -= cost;
       return true;
   }
   return false;
}
```

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Boundary Value Testing

We need a *strategy* to identify plausible mistakes

- Boundary Value Testing: errors often occur at boundary conditions
  - Select: a nominal/normal case, a boundary value, and an abnormal case
  - Useful for few *categories* of behavior (e.g., null/not-null) per value
- Test: `cost < credit, cost == credit, cost > credit,`
  `cost < cash, cost == cash, cost > cash`

```
/** Pays with credit if useCredit is set and enough
  * credit is available; otherwise, pays with cash if
  * enough cash is available; otherwise, returns false.
  */
public boolean pay(int cost, boolean useCredit);
```

Combinatorial Testing

We need a *strategy* to identify plausible mistakes

- Combinatorial Testing: focus on tuples of boundary values
  - Captures bugs in **interactions** between risky inputs
  - Rarely need to test pairs of "invalid" values (cost too high for credit & cash)

```java
/** Pays with credit if useCredit is set and enough
  * credit is available; otherwise, pays with cash if
  * enough cash is available; otherwise, returns false.
  */
public boolean pay(int cost, boolean useCredit);
```

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Combinatorial Testing

We need a *strategy* to identify plausible mistakes

- Combinatorial Testing: focus on tuples of boundary values
  - Captures bugs in **interactions** between risky inputs
  - Rarely need to test pairs of "invalid" values (cost too high for credit & cash)
- Include: {cost > credit && cost == cash}
- Maybe: {cost < credit && cost == cash}

```java
/** Pays with credit if useCredit is set and enough
  * credit is available; otherwise, pays with cash if
  * enough cash is available; otherwise, returns false.
  */
public boolean pay(int cost, boolean useCredit);
```

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Decision Tables

We need a *strategy* to identify plausible mistakes

- Decision Tables
  - You've seen one already
  - Enumerate condition options
    - Leave out impossibles
    - Identify "don't-matter" values
  - Useful for redundant input domains

Test case	useCredit	Enough Credit	Enough Cash	Result
1	T	T	-	Pass
2	F	-	T	Pass
3	F	-	F	Fails
4	T	F	T	Pass
5	T	F	F	Fails

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH

Specification Tests

So what is the right granularity?

- It depends
- We are still aiming for coverage
  - Just of specifications, and their innumerable implementations
  - BVA (& its cousins), decision tables tend to provide good coverage

Structural Testing vs. Specification Testing

You will **typically have both** code & (prose) specification

- Test specification, but know that it can be underspecified
- Test implementation, but not to the point that it cannot change
- Use testing strategies that leverage both
  - There is a fair bit of overlap; e.g., BVA yields <u>useful</u> branch coverage

Further Testing Strategies

Many more aspects, some later in this course:

- Stubbing/Mocking, to avoid testing dependencies
- Integration testing: scenarios that span units
- Beyond correctness: performance, security

Summary

Testing comprehensively is hard

- Tailor to your task: specification vs. structural testing
  - Do not assume unstated specifications for part 2; spend your energy wisely in part 3
- Pick a strategy, or a few
  - Be systematic; defend your decisions
- Tomorrow's recitation covers:
  - Unit test best practices
  - Test organization
  - Running tests, coverage; Travis setup

Bonus: Coding like the tour the france

```
public boolean foo() {
    try {
        synchronized () {
            if () {
            } else {
            }
            for () {
                if () {
                    if () {
                        if () {
                            if ()
                            {
                                if () {
                                    for () {
                                    }
                                }
                            }
                        }
                    } else {
                        if () {
                            for () {
                                if () {
                                } else {
                                }
                                if () {
                                } else {
                                    if () {
                                    }
                                }
                                if () {
                                    if () {
                                        if () {
                                            for () {
                                            }
                                        }
                                    }
                                } else {
                                }
                            }
                        } else {
                        }
                    }
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```

https://thedailywtf.com/articles/coding-like-the-tour-de-france

institute for
SOFTWARE
RESEARCH