

# PB&J: Peanut Butter and Joints for Damped Articulation - Parameter Estimation Protocol

April 21, 2025

The parameters of the joint damping model ( $\mu_k$ ,  $\mu_d$ , and  $b$ ) were fit to experimental angular position data from pendulum drop tests using a bootstrapping method. These pendulum drop tests are described in our main manuscript.  $\mu_k$  and  $\mu_d$  were simultaneously fit to data from experiments without the damper, and then, given those parameters,  $b$  was fit to data from experiments with the damper.

**To fit the frictional parameters:** For a single iteration, the following algorithm was used:

1. Sample (with replacement) 5 dataset from the 15 no-damper experiments.
2. Sample an initial condition for  $\mu_k$  and  $\mu_d$  from a uniform distribution over the range  $[0, 1e - 2]$  and  $[0, 1e - 5]$  respectively.
3. Minimize the objective function  $\phi_1$  for both parameters using *fminsearch*.

The objective function  $\phi$  was the total squared error across all five experiments, modified by penalty terms that penalize negative parameters:

$$\phi_1(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \sum_{i=1}^5 \left( \sum_j ((\theta_j^{\text{data}} - \theta_j^{\text{model}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}))^2) \right) + (10^9) \left[ (\boldsymbol{\mu} < 0) \right] \quad (1)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = [\mu_k, \mu_d]$ .  $\theta_j^{\text{model}}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$  was found by integrating the equation of motion from Appendix B of our manuscript. The initial model state was determined from the corresponding dataset. Because the model had a higher temporal resolution than the data, the model output was re-interpolated at the dataset time points. This procedure was repeated for  $N = 300$  iterations to obtain distributions on  $\mu_k$  and  $\mu_d$ .

**To fit the damping parameter:** At each iteration:

1. Sample (with replacement) 5 dataset from the 15 no-damper experiments.
2. Sample a value of  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  from the obtained parameter distribution.

- 26      3. Sample an initial condition for  $b$  from a uniform distribution over the range  $[0, 1e5]$ .
- 27      4. Minimize the objective function  $\phi_2$  for  $b$  using *fminsearch*.
- 28      The objective function  $\phi_2$  was of the same form as  $\phi_1$  except that it was parameterized by  
29       $b$ . To account for the variability introduced by randomly sampling the frictional coefficients,  
30      this procedure was repeated for  $N = 3000$  iterations. The resampled  $\mu_k$  and  $\mu_d$  were saved  
31      for each value of  $b$  to obtain the full joint distribution of parameters.