# Finding the Most Helpful Language to Adapt From for Endangered Languages

# Chian-Yu Chen, Jean Lee, Zirui Li, Yu-Hsiang Lin, Yuyan Zhang, Graham Neubig

Language Technologies Institute Carnegie Mellon University

{chianyuc, jeanl1, ziruil, yuhsianl, yuyanz1}@andrew.cmu.edu qneubig@cs.cmu.edu

#### **Abstract**

#### Abstract.

#### 1 Introduction

The common challenge of applying natural language processing (NLP) techniques to documenting the endangered languages is lack of language data. Moreover, among the limited data, there is often only a small portion of it that is annotated. Because the latest NLP technologies such as machine translation or speech recognition usually depends on a large quantity of annotated data, their performance is poor when directly applied to the endangered languages.

It has been shown that by using multi-lingual learning one can leverage one or more similar high-resource languages to improve the performance on the low-resource languages in several NLP tasks. One example is that by combining the training data of one or more high-resource languages with that of the target low-resource language to form a larger training dataset, one can obtain higher BLEU score in machine translation tasks (Neubig and Hu, 2018). It is therefore compelling to conduct a thorough investigation on the effective way of performing language adaptation in several common NLP tasks.

# 2 Finding the Most Helpful Language for Adaptation

The questions we try to answer are:

1. Given a NLP task, a target low-resource language and its dataset, and some high-resource languages and their datasets, how can one find out which auxiliary high-resource language is the most helpful to adapt from, without exhaustively performing the task on all possible choices?

- 2. Does there exist language or dataset features that are common strong indicators across multiple tasks? Or the strong features are highly task-dependent?
- 3. How does the performance of the method scales as the size of the dataset decreases? Could it be applied to resource-constrained endangered languages?

To answer the first question, we look at a few features that may be representative for the language and/or the particular dataset, and try to find the correlation between them and the quality of adaptation. More precisely, the features we consider include:

- 1. Dataset size
- 2. Token-type ratio (TTR) of the dataset
- Word-level/character-level overlap ratio between the target language dataset and auxiliary language dataset
- 4. URIEL distance between task and auxiliary language (dataset independent) (more details...)

#### 5. Earth-mover distance

We formulate our problem as: given the dataset of the low-resource task language, and a set of datasets of the high-resource auxiliary languages, predict which auxiliary language would help improving the performance most. There are at least three possible paradigms to address this prediction problem:

1. Regression: directly predict the task metric score.

- 2. Ranking: predict the order of the auxiliary language according to how much they improve the performance.
- 3. Binary classification: only predict which one language will be the most helpful.

To answer the second question, we consider the following common NLP tasks: machine translation, entity linking, and [SOME TASK]. In choosing the models, we prefer the ones that are easy to interpret, so that it is easier to tell the relative importance among the features. In this work, we consider decision trees as our models.

To answer the third question, we start with languages that include both low-resource and high-resource languages, and decrease the data size to observe the effect. We use TED dataset that include 54 languages.

## 3 Experiments

Experiments.

## 4 Related Works

Related Works.

#### 5 Conclusion

Conclusion.

## References

Graham Neubig and Junjie Hu. 2018. Rapid adaptation of neural machine translation to new languages. In Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), Brussels, Belgium.