

Operational Semantics

Operational semantics provides a way of understanding what a program means by mimicking, at a high level, the operation of a computer executing the program. Operational semantics falls under two broad classes: *big-step* operational semantics, which specifies the entire operation of a given expression or statement; and *small-step* operational semantics, which specifies the operation of the program one step at a time. Both are powerful tools for verifying the correctness and other desired properties of programs.

Exercises

1. Use the big-step operational semantics rules for the WHILE language to write a well-formed derivation with $\langle y := 3; \text{if } y > 1 \text{ then } z := y \text{ else } z := 2, E \rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3; z \mapsto 3]$ as its conclusion. Make sure to indicate which rule you used to prove each premise or conclusion.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\langle 3, E \rangle \Downarrow_a 3}{\langle y := 3, E \rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3]} \text{int} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\langle y, E[y \mapsto 3] \rangle \Downarrow_a 3}{\langle y > 1, E[y \mapsto 3] \rangle \Downarrow_b \text{true}} \text{var} \quad \frac{\langle 1, E[y \mapsto 3] \rangle \Downarrow_a 1}{\langle y > 1, E[y \mapsto 3] \rangle \Downarrow_b \text{true}} \text{boolop} \quad \frac{\frac{\langle y, E[y \mapsto 3] \rangle \Downarrow_a 3}{\langle z := y, E[y \mapsto 3] \rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3; z \mapsto 3]} \text{var}}{\langle \text{if } y > 1 \text{ then } z := y \text{ else } z := 2, E[y \mapsto 3] \rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3; z \mapsto 3]} \text{if-true} \\
 \frac{\langle y := 3, E \rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3] \quad \langle \text{if } y > 1 \text{ then } z := y \text{ else } z := 2, E[y \mapsto 3] \rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3; z \mapsto 3]}{\langle y := 3; \text{if } y > 1 \text{ then } z := y \text{ else } z := 2, E \rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3; z \mapsto 3]} \text{seq}
 \end{array}$$

2. For homework 2, you will be partially proving that if a statement terminates, then the big- and small-step semantics for WHILE will obtain equivalent results; i.e.,

$$\forall S \in \text{Stmt}. \forall E, E' \in \text{Var} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}. \langle S, E \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{skip}, E' \rangle \iff \langle S, E \rangle \Downarrow E'$$

You will prove this by induction on the structure of derivations for each direction of \iff .

For your homework proof, you are only required to show

- The base case(s).
- The inductive case for let using the semantics developed in question 1 of the homework.
- Two more representative inductive cases.

You may assume that this property holds for arithmetic and boolean expressions, i.e., you may assume the following hold:

$$\forall a \in \text{AExp}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \langle a, E \rangle \rightarrow_a^* n \iff \langle a, E \rangle \Downarrow_a n \quad (1)$$

$$\forall P \in \text{BExp}. \forall b \in \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}. \langle P, E \rangle \rightarrow_b^* b \iff \langle P, E \rangle \Downarrow_b b \quad (2)$$

You may also assume the small-step if congruence of $\langle S, E \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle S', E' \rangle$:

$$\frac{\langle P, E \rangle \rightarrow_b^* P'}{\langle \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, E \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{if } P' \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, E' \rangle} \quad (3)$$

For this exercise, you will prove the following representative inductive case:

$$\forall S \in \text{Stmt}. \forall E, E' \in \text{Var} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}. \langle \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, E \rangle \Downarrow E' \iff \langle \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, E \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{skip}, E' \rangle$$

Proof: We proceed by induction on the structure of the derivations D, D' , defined as $D :: \langle S, E \rangle \Downarrow E'$ and $D' :: \langle S, E \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{skip}, E'' \rangle$

Base Case (skip): Let $D :: \langle \text{skip}, E \rangle \Downarrow E'$ and $D' :: \langle \text{skip}, E \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{skip}, E'' \rangle$. By the big-step rule for skip we have that $E = E'$, and by the small-step rule for skip, we have that $E = E''$, therefore $E' = E''$ and $D \iff D'$.

Inductive Hypothesis: Our inductive hypothesis is $\langle S, E \rangle \Downarrow E' \iff \langle S, E \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{skip}, E' \rangle$

Inductive Case (if): Let $D :: \langle \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, E \rangle \Downarrow E'$ and $D' :: \langle \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, E \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{skip}, E'' \rangle$. By inversion there are two cases for the previous rule applied to D , *big-if-true* and *big-if-false*.

Case 1 *big-if-true*: We have:

$$D :: \frac{\langle P, E \rangle \Downarrow \text{true} \quad \langle S_1, E \rangle \Downarrow E'}{\langle \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, E \rangle \Downarrow E'} \text{ big-if-true}$$

By (2) we have that $\langle P, E \rangle \Downarrow_b \text{true} \iff \langle P, E \rangle \rightarrow_b^* \text{true}$, and by (3) we have:

$$\frac{\langle P, E \rangle \rightarrow_b^* \text{true}}{\langle \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, E \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{if true then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, E \rangle}$$

By inversion, we know that the previous rule applied to D' must have been *small-if-true*:

$$D' :: \frac{\langle P, E \rangle \rightarrow_b^* \text{true} \quad \langle S_1, E \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{skip}, E'' \rangle}{\langle \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, E \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{skip}, E'' \rangle} \text{ small-if-true}$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we have that $\langle S_1, E \rangle \Downarrow E' \iff \langle S_1, E \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{skip}, E' \rangle$, therefore $E' = E''$ and $D \iff D'$. \square