



---

Research Article

## An Ant Cuticle Texture Classification Algorithm for Ecological Analysis

Noah Gardner<sup>1</sup>, John Paul Hellenbrand<sup>2</sup>, and Chih-Cheng Hung<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> College of Computing and Software Engineering, Kennesaw State University, 1000 Chastain Road, Kennesaw, GA 30144, USA; email

<sup>2</sup> College of Science and Mathematics, Kennesaw State University, 1000 Chastain Road, Kennesaw, GA 30144, USA; email

\* **Correspondence:** chung1@kennesaw.edu

Academic Editor: First-name Last-name

**Abstract:** There is a large variety of ant species, and most species are diverse in terms of size, shape, behaviors, and especially skin (cuticle) textures. However, the significance of ant cuticle texture is not widely researched. This research employs modern machine learning methods such as texture analysis and classification with CNN and clustering to automatically group similar ant species to allow for the study of influences cuticle texture on ant ecology.

**Keywords:** Texture Analysis, Image Processing, Clustering, Machine Learning, Myrmecology, Ecology

---

### 1. Introduction

Insects compose half of biodiversity and rank among the most dominant organisms in terrestrial ecosystems [1]. A key factor for the ecological success of insects is their exoskeleton, also known as cuticle. The cuticle protects insects from predation, provides structural support, prevents desiccation, and serves as a canvas for advertising visual and chemical signals [2]. Research has heavily focused on the macrostructures and internal chemical components that make the exoskeleton functional and more recent work is being done to understand the functional aspects of external cuticle micro sculpturing [3–5].

We examine ants (*Formicidae*) as they display an extreme diversity of cuticle micro sculpturing across all subfamilies. Sculpturing ranges from parallel longitudinal ridges to deep oval impressions to erratic protuberances. The sculpturing has arisen convergently and independently throughout ant's evolutionary history, which suggests some inherent function. Cuticle sculpturing on ants may help increase strength and rigidity, resist abrasion, increase internal and external surface area, resist mi-

crobial growth, and rear beneficial anti-biotic producing bacteria [6–8]. These specific functions may be associated with certain sculpturing types and the purpose of classification is to group similar textures based on proposed function.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Sculpture Identification Protocol

### 2.2. Dataset

In order to classify the cuticle, we used ant head images sourced from AntWeb [9]. In general, the ant head images are centered in the image, facing the front, and share a similar posture. However, some images may not be centered, show the ant head in a different orientation, or may have a drastically different resolution from the average image. Fortunately, each can have several scales available such that the images' scales can be roughly similar.

**Table 1.** Dataset Class Distribution

	Samples (n)	Samples (%)
Rough Dimpled	173	0.07
Rough Netted	503	0.21
Rough Ridged	317	0.13
Rough Tuberous	41	0.02
Smooth Gritty	16	0.01
Smooth	1393	0.57
Total	2443	1.0

Images were collected and classified by undergraduate students according to the sculpturing identification protocol. Overall, there are a total of 2443 images in the dataset. The class distribution is shown in Table 1. The relatively small number of images in the dataset paired with the uneven class distribution makes it difficult to perform a meaningful classification. However, the goal of this project is to develop a classification algorithm that can be used to help classify over 200,000 ant images. Therefore, it is desirable to use a few representative images from each class to train the algorithm. Additionally, data augmentation and other methods are performed to increase the number of training images to create a more robust classification algorithm.

## 3. Experimental Results

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the constructive feedback provided by the reviewers.

### References

1. A. Sheikh, Nz Rehman, and Ritesh Kumar. Diverse adaptations in insects: A review. *Journal of entomology and zoology studies*, 5:343–350, 2017.
2. P. J Gullan and P. S Cranston. *Insects: an Outline of Entomology*. Wiley, Hoboken, 2009. OCLC: 1048427241.
3. Subbaratnam Muthukrishnan, Seulgi Mun, Mi Y. Noh, Erika R. Geisbrecht, and Yasuyuki Arakane. Insect Cuticular Chitin Contributes to Form and Function. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*, 26(29):3530–3545, September 2020.
4. Steve Gunderson and Rebecca Schiavone. The insect exoskeleton: A natural structural composite. *JOM*, 41(11):60–63, November 1989.
5. Gregory S. Watson, Jolanta A. Watson, and Bronwen W. Cribb. Diversity of Cuticular Micro- and Nanostructures on Insects: Properties, Functions, and Potential Applications. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 62(1):185–205, January 2017.
6. Robert A. Johnson, Alexander Kaiser, Michael Quinlan, and William Sharp. Effect of cuticular abrasion and recovery on water loss rates in queens of the desert harvester ant *Messor pergandei*. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 214(20):3495–3506, October 2011.
7. Adrian Brückner, Michael Heethoff, and Nico Blüthgen. The relationship between epicuticular long-chained hydrocarbons and surface area - volume ratios in insects (Diptera, Hymenoptera, Lepidoptera). *PLOS ONE*, 12(4):e0175001, April 2017.
8. C. R. Currie. Coevolved Crypts and Exocrine Glands Support Mutualistic Bacteria in Fungus-Growing Ants. *Science*, 311(5757):81–83, January 2006.
9. California Academy of Science. Antweb: Version 8.64.2, 2021.