



UNIVERSITÄT PADERBORN
Die Universität der Informationsgesellschaft

Department of Computer Science
Computer Networks Research Group

Project Plan



Authors

ARKAJIT

ASHWIN

BHARGAVI

DEEKSHA

HARSHITHA

SANKET

SUHEEL

VIVEK

Supervisors:

SEVIL MEHRAGHDAM HADI RAZZAGHI

PROF. DR. HOLGER KARL

Paderborn, November 29, 2018

Contents

1	Motivation	4
1.1	Motivation	4
1.2	Problem Description	4
2	Goals and Use Cases	5
2.1	Goals	5
2.2	Use Cases	6
2.2.1	Cross MANO Framework interaction	6
2.2.2	Hierarchical orchestration	6
3	Non-Functional Requirements	7
4	Technologies	8
4.1	MANO Frameworks	8
4.1.1	OpenBaton	8
4.1.2	SONATA	8
4.1.3	TeNOR	9
4.1.4	Cloudify	10
4.1.5	Open Source MANO(OSM)	10
4.2	Virtualized Infrastructure Manager (VIM)	11
4.2.1	OpenStack	11
4.2.2	Amazon Web Services (AWS)	11
4.2.3	Kubernetes	11
5	Related Work	13
5.1	Standards and Specifications	13
5.2	Network Service Description and Interoperability	13
5.3	Scalability and Hierarchical Orchestration	14
6	Project Time Plan	15
6.1	Time Plan	15
7	Conclusion	17
	Bibliography	18

Todo list

■ Should the list of abbreviations be included?	4
■ Should the figure 2.1 be generalised as client-server?	5
■ Please suggest other use-cases that could be included.	6
■ Should we include other MANO frameworks as well like tacker, XOS, XMANO and ONOS??	10
■ Should we include some projects like UNIFY(multiple technology domains orchestrator) , SONATA and VITAL??	12

List of Figures



2.1	This figure visualizes the structure of the project.	6
6.1	A gantt diagram visualizing the time plan.	16

List of Tables

4.1	Open Baton NSD parameters	8
4.2	SONATA: Network Service Descriptor Section	9
4.3	SONATA: Network Functions Section	9
4.4	TeNOR: Network Service Descriptor	10
4.5	Cloudify: Node Template	10
4.6	OSM: Network Service Descriptor	11
6.1	List of all tasks in the time plan	15

Motivation

1 Motivation

The rapid growth of mobile data services driven by mobile internet has led to a substantial challenge of high availability, low latency, high bit rate and performances in networks. The recent development of Network Function Virtualization (NFV) and Software Defined Networks (SDN) has emerged as a key enabler for 5g networks. There has been a paradigm shift in networking with the recent developments in Network Virtualization technology. NFV involves decoupling of the hardware components from the software components of a network function. NFVs require a central management and orchestrations (MANO) framework in order to fully deliver end to end services of an application. There are multiple open source as well as industrial implementation of a MANO framework in the market.

End-to-end network service delivery with high availability, scalability and low latency requires chaining of the Network Functions across different Internet Service Providers (ISPs) which in turn have their own MANO frameworks. In order to seamlessly create a network service by utilizing the Virtual Network Functions (VNF) within each of the MANO frameworks, a common ground needs to be setup based on which the VNFs could be optimally linked to create a virtual network service.

1.2 Problem Description

European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) defines the reference architecture for a MANO framework. Each network service is composed of multiple network functions virtually linked and orchestrated by a MANO framework. The network services require a descriptor which contains the details of all the VNFs, virtual links, forwarding graph of VNFs. Each of the VNFs contain its own descriptors and the number of virtual machines it requires. Different MANO frameworks have its own descriptor schemata pertaining to the standard defined by ETSI. This framework specific Network Service Descriptor (NSD) hinders the orchestration and management of NFVs between different MANO frameworks. Our project aims at tackling this problem by implementing a method to translate and split the NFVs from different MANO frameworks in order to create a framework independent network service chain.

Should the list of abbreviations be included?

Goals and Use Cases

2.1 Goals

In this section, we describe the goals of the project.

The structure of the project consists of a component that manages and orchestrates the network (MANO) and NSD that specify the network service to be created and it is a collection of configuration documents which determines how the network service is comprised in terms of VNFs.

The main goal of the project is to build three work packages:

1. **Service Descriptor Translator (SDT):** SDT translates NSDs between schema of different MANO frameworks. The translator is required when there is a need to deploy the services across different MANO frameworks.
2. **Service Descriptor Splitter (SDS):** In order to deploy a network service over different MANO instances, splitter is required to split the NSD into relevant MANO framework specific NSDs.
3. **MANO Scalability Support:** Adaptor is necessary if more than one instance of MANO framework is needed, i.e, adaptor allows interaction between different instances of MANO frameworks. It also exposes the underlying MANO service instantiation interfaces and retrieves monitoring information about the service status.

Should the figure 2.1 be generalised as client-server?




Figure 2.1: This figure visualizes the structure of the project.

2.2 Use Cases

2.2.1 Cross MANO Framework interaction

As a matter of fact, the MANO frameworks used by every network service provider varies from one another. NSD translation enables the deployment of network services that is in accordance with the intended framework.

For instance : If an operator uses Sonata framework and another operator uses OSM framework, the NSD schemas for both the frameworks will be different. Using the solutions of translation and splitting, network services can be deployed and orchestrated across different MANO implementations.

2.2.2 Hierarchical orchestration

By implementing MANO adaptor , dynamic instantiation and inter-operability between different MANO frameworks can be achieved. As a result of this goal, operators will be able to scale up and scale down the resources as and when required. Also, the operator will be able to handle the resources in an efficient manner. When there is a high demand for network service, the operator can explore options to include additional MANO instances to mitigate the traffic load on a single MANO instance. The resources can be provisioned based on the number of requests. This helps the operator in extending their profitability.

Please suggest other use-cases that could be included.

Non-Functional Requirements

The important non-functional requirements for the work packages are defined here. Below is the overview of some requirements and description of their relevance in this project.

1. **Scalability:** One of the main requirements of any cloud-based networking, is its ability to adapt its throughput to varying load. Here, the load of particular network functions, load on the translator, splitter is also considered as an add on to the overall load of the system. One of the main work packages mentioned in this project is the scalability support for the MANO framework. The adapter plays a major role in scaling up the defined system.
2. **Reliability:** The requirement of reliability aims at the ability of the system to provide continuous correct service. It is the continuation of the service in compliance with the service specification. It is one of the weak requirements that has to be met at any case. In this project, this can also be viewed as providing service for the required duration and then terminating.
3. **Availability:** This term can be paraphrased as *readiness for correct service*, i.e., delivery of service in compliance with the service specification. Here, the work packages should be available for translation or splitting of the NSDs.
4. **Flexibility:** This term defines the ability to accommodate the changing requirements. Here in this project, the system needs to accommodate the MANO framework and its instances.
5. **Performance:** This term summarizes the possible aspects of the system. In this project, throughput and latency of all the network functions involved is considered as a measurement of efficiency.

4.1 MANO Frameworks

In this section, we have listed a few MANO frameworks and their NSD schemata (list of a few parameters and their description). Among several frameworks, the plan is to select a few and set them up locally, deploy network services and support them in the project. This list is subjected to future additions or removals.

4.1.1 OpenBaton

Open Baton is an open source implementation of ETSI MANO specification. It's main objective is to develop an extensible and customizable framework capable of orchestrating network services from different domains[Ove]. Following table lists the important parameters of NSD[Docb].

Parameter	Description
Name	The name of the network service
Vendor	The vendor or provider of the network service
Version	The version of the network service (can be any string)
vnfd	The list of VNFs composing the network service (see Virtual Network Function Descriptor for more details)
Vld	The list of Virtual Links that are referenced by the VNF Descriptors in order to define network connectivity
Vnf_dependency	The list of dependencies between VNFs

Table 4.1: Open Baton NSD parameters

4.1.2 SONATA

SONATA is one of the NSO research projects which targets two crucial technological challenges in the foreseeable future of 5G networks as follows[SON].

- Flexible programmability
- Deployment optimization of software networks for complex services /applications.

SONATA complies with the ETSI NFV-MANO reference architecture. Following tables lists the important parameters of NSD [Docd].

Network Service Descriptor Section

Parameter	Description
Vendor	Identifies the network service uniquely across all network service vendors.
Name	Name of the network service without its version.
Version	Names the version of the NSD.
Author	It's an optional parameter. It describes the author of NSD
Description	It's an optional parameter, provides an arbitrary description of the network service.

Table 4.2: SONATA: Network Service Descriptor Section

Network Functions Section

Parameter	Description
network_functions	Contains all the VNFs that are handled by the network service.
Vnf_id	Represents a unique identifier within the scope of the NSD
Vnf_vendor	As part of the primary key, the vendor parameter identifies the VNF Descriptor
Vnf_name	As part of the primary key, the name parameter identifies the VNF Descriptor
Vnf_version	As part of the primary key, the version parameter identifies the VNF Descriptor
Vnf_description	It's an optional parameter, a human-readable description of the VNF

Table 4.3: SONATA: Network Functions Section

4.1.3 TeNOR

Developed by T-NOVA project, it's the NFV Orchestrator platform which is not only responsible for the entire lifecycle service management of NFV but also optimizing the networking and IT resources usage. Network service and VNF descriptors follow the TeNORs data model specifications that are a derived and an extended version of the ETSI NSD and VNF Descriptor data model [dSPR⁺18]. Following table lists some of the parameters of the descriptors [Doce].

Parameter	Description
Id	Unique ID of the network service
Name	Name of the network service
Vendor	Identifies the network service uniquely across all network service vendors
Version	Names the version of the NSD
Manifest_file_md5	Exposes MD5 check-sums of the meta-data that the manifest file contains
vnfds	Array of VNF Descriptors

Table 4.4: TeNOR: Network Service Descriptor

4.1.4 Cloudify

Cloudify is an open source cloud orchestration framework mainly focused on optimization of NFV Orchestration and management. It provides a TOSCA based blueprint which facilitates end-to-end lifecycle of NFV Orchestration. Cloudify follows MANO reference architecture but not entirely compliant to it[dSPR⁺18]. Following are some high-level sections of the blueprint which describes the network services that are installed and configured [Doca].

Parameter	Description
type	The node-type of this node template
properties	The properties of the node template, matching its node type properties schema
instances	Instances configuration(deprecated replaced with capabilities and scalable)
interfaces	Used for mapping plugins to interface operation, or for specifying inputs for already-mapped node type operations
relationships	Used for specifying the relationships that this node template has with other node templates
capabilities	Used for specifying the node template capabilities. (Supported since: cloudify_dsl_1_3) Only the scalable capability is supported

Table 4.5: Cloudify: Node Template

4.1.5 Open Source MANO(OSM)

OSM is an open source management and orchestration stack in compliant with ETSI NFV information models. OSM architecture splits between resource orchestrators and service orchestrators [dSPR⁺18]. Table 4.6 lists the parameters of NSD schema. [Docc]

Should we include other MANO frameworks as well like tacker, XOS, XMANO and ONOS??

Parameter	Description
id	Identifier for the NSD
name	NSD name
Short-name	Short name which appears on the UI
vendor	Vendor of the NSD
logo	File path for the vendor specific logo. For example, icons/mylogo.png. The logo should be a part of the network service.
description	Description of the NSD
version	Version of the NSD

Table 4.6: OSM: Network Service Descriptor

4.2 Virtualized Infrastructure Manager (VIM)

VIM is one of the three functional blocks specified in the Network Functions Virtualization Management and Orchestration (NFV-MANO) architecture. VIM is responsible for controlling and managing the NFV Infrastructure (NFVI), by provisioning and optimizing the allocation of physical resources to the virtual resources in the NFVI. Performance and error monitoring is also a key role of the VIM. Popular VIMs are discussed in the following sections.

4.2.1 OpenStack

OpenStack¹ is a community-driven open source cloud resource management platform. Compute, storage, and networking resources in a data center can be provisioned using Application Program Interfaces (APIs) or web dashboard provided by OpenStack component, for instance, NOVA is a component which can provide access to compute resources, such as virtual machines and containers. Network management is enabled by NEUTRON component which handles the creation and management of a virtual networking infrastructure like switches and routers. SWIFT component provides a storage system. By making use of many such components, OpenStack can deliver complex services by utilizing an underlying pool of resources.

4.2.2 Amazon Web Services (AWS)

AWS² is a cloud computing platform, It offers (1) Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) – provides resources that can be utilized for custom applications (2) Platform as a Service (PaaS) – provides services such as database and email which can be used as individual components for building complex applications (3) Software as a Service (SaaS) – provides user applications with customization and administrative capabilities that are ready to use. AWS is specially preferred by small companies for the flexibility and ease of use of it's cloud infrastructure.

4.2.3 Kubernetes

Kubernetes³ (K8s) is an open-source platform for automation and management of containerized services, it manages computing, networking, and storage infrastructure. Kubernetes was initially developed by Google and now under Cloud Native Computing Foundation. Kubernetes Architecture consists of (1) Master server components – it is the control plane of the cluster

¹<https://www.openstack.org/>

²<https://aws.amazon.com/>

³<https://kubernetes.io/>

4.2 VIRTUALIZED INFRASTRUCTURE MANAGER (VIM)

and act as the gateway for administrators (2) Node Server Components – servers which are performing work by using containers that are called nodes, they communicate with the master component for instructions to run the workload assigned to them. Kubernetes provides comprehensive APIs which are used to communicate between the components and with the external user.

Should we include some projects like UNIFY(multiple technology domains orchestrator) , SONATA and VITAL??

Related Work

In this chapter, the relevant research efforts that can be used to achieve the goals are discussed. Firstly, the standards and specifications for orchestration and management of NFV in the section are discussed 5.1. The fundamental aspect of a service deployment is the NSD, in the section 5.2 the trends and options of NSDs and research papers that try to mitigate the interoperability challenges between different MANO frameworks are discussed. Section 5.3 will be a brief account on the MANO scalability problem.

As this is the initial project proposal, the state-of-the-art could change progressively and the approach will be updated accordingly.

5.1 Standards and Specifications

SDN decouples network control from forwarding with programmable ability. With the decoupling and programmability, SDN brings many benefits such as efficient configuration, improved performance, higher flexibility [XWF⁺15]. ETSI NFV [NFV2] architecture virtualizes network functions and enables dynamic and flexible selection of service functions. In ETSI NFV architecture, Network Function Forwarding Graph (VNF-FG), which consists of multiple network functions, is defined to describe network service. Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Service Function Chaining (SFC) working group also proposes the SFC architecture in RFC 7665 [HP15]. A SFC defines an ordered set of network Service Functions (SFs) for delivery of end-to-end services. Reference [QE16] designs a protocol named Network Service Header (NSH) to decouple the service from topology. An intelligent control plane is proposed to construct service function chains but does not consider the multi-domain situation [B⁺16].

ETSI NFV designs a basic frame for NFV-MANO. It defines VIM, VNF Manager (VNFM) and NFV Orchestrator (NFVO) for management and orchestration of Network Functions Virtualization Infrastructure (NFVI), VNF and network services [ETS14].

5.2 Network Service Description and Interoperability

The description of the network service plays an important role in integration and interoperability of different MANO frameworks. According to ETSI, network service is the “composition of network functions and defined by its functional and behavioral specification.” Following this

approach a network service can be defined as a set of VNFs and/or Physical Network Functions (PNFs), with virtual links (VLs) interconnecting them and one or more virtualized network function forwarding graphs(VNFFGs) describing the topology of the network service.

Garay et al. [GMUJ] emphasize on NSD, required to allow the different components to inter-operate by comparing the NSD templates by OpenStack (HOT¹) and OASIS (TOSCA²). A strawman model is proposed in the paper to address the upcoming interoperating challenges. We aim at building a mechanism to translate the NSDs in order to facilitate the interoperability between different MANO frameworks.

5.3 Scalability and Hierarchical Orchestration

MANO framework face significant scalability challenges in large scale deployments. The amount of infrastructure a single instance of MANO framework can manage is limited. Network service scaling with NFV is discussed in paper [AHOLA⁺18]. It also shows different procedures that the Network Function Virtualization Orchestrator (NFVO) may trigger to scale a network service according to ETSI specifications and how NFVO might automate them. Abu-Lebdeh et al. [ALNGT] explores the effects of placement of MANO on the system performance, scalability and conclude by suggesting hierarchical orchestration architecture to optimize them. They formally define the scalability problem as an integer linear programming and propose a two-step placement algorithm. A horizontal-based multi-domain orchestration framework for Md-SFC(Multi-domain Service Function Chain) in SDN/NFV-enabled satellite and terrestrial networks is proposed in [LZF⁺]. The authors here address the hierarchical challenges with a distributed approach to calculate shortest end-to-end inter-domain path.

The main intention is to answer the MANO scalability challenges, by exploring the optimal number of MANO deployments in a system and optimal hierarchical level. Also, how to manage the state of such a system dynamically.

¹Heat orchestration template (HOT) specification:

http://docs.openstack.org/heat/rocky/template_guide/hot_spec.html

²Topology and Orchestration Specification for Cloud Applications (2013):

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/tosca/TOSCA/v1:0/os/TOSCA-v1.0-os.html>

Project Time Plan

6.1 Time Plan

In this section the time plan for the project is discussed , which provides an overview of different tasks and what the team achieves for the course of one year. The intention of this timeline is to provide a rough idea over the tasks and their duration. The actual plan will be decided and defined as the project proceeds further. For better understanding, the main tasks of the project are divided as shown in the table below.

Sr.No.	Tasks	Start	End
1	Project organization	11.10.2018	10.11.2018
2	Preparation and Presentation of mini seminar	11.10.2018	19.11.2018
3	Developing Project Plan	20.11.2018	10.01.2019
4	Reviewing Technologies	20.11.2018	10.01.2019
5	Designing Architecture	06.12.2018	10.03.2019
6	Implementation and Deployment	07.02.2019	15.08.2019
7	Presentation	01.08.2019	20.09.2019

Table 6.1: List of all tasks in the time plan

The following list further defines the goals of each task:

1. **Project organization:** To establish communication between team members to understand each other, in terms of their skills set and expertise. Also, decide upon tools for project management, task management, version control and a platform for all further communications.
2. **Preparation and Presentation of mini seminar:** To get an overview of various technologies and subjects that is required for the project. Select one of the subjects, research the subject in depth and present the concepts that are relevant to the project, to the team.
3. **Developing project plan:** To combine all the subjects presented by each team member and make a basic sketch of what to achieve, how to achieve and by when to achieve it. For the project group, the document is a valuable reference to get an overview of the problem, related technologies and required sub-tasks.

4. **Reviewing technologies:** To list all the technologies that are relevant to the project, review pros and cons of each technology and to decide upon technologies to be used in the project.
5. **Designing architecture:** To describe in precise on how the defined tasks are achieved for the end product of the project. This phase is one of the most crucial phases in the project group, as it is a core foundation to the project.
6. **Implementation and Deployment:** To divide the project group into various sub-groups and each sub-group working independently to produce a stable product by the end of the implementation phase. This requires maximum efforts and time, as various versions/prototypes will be developed until a satisfying and stable end product is obtained.
7. **Presentation:** After implementing the system, it is presented to the supervisors. This is achieved by simulating some use cases and exemplary traffic on a running instance of the software suite.




Figure 6.1: A gantt diagram visualizing the time plan.

The sequence of the tasks is visualized in the above diagram. Though the timeline mentioned is fixed and can change during the course of various phases of the project, this is to constantly remind the deadlines and to foresee further responsibilities and milestones to be achieved.

Conclusion

As discussed previously, there are many challenges to overcome and milestones to be achieved. At the end, the goal is to build an end product, i.e. OSM adaptor, NSD Splitter and NSD translator but an in-depth knowledge on how to achieve it is needed. The next step is to use this document as a base and start reviewing all the technologies and decide upon which ones to use. Design and documentation of the architecture which is the foundation to implement the project will begin. Also in order to be efficient, The project group is divided into sub-groups, to work independently on various topics. Every week the progress of each sub-group is reviewed to share knowledge and findings to rest of the team. Ultimately, collaborate all the results of the sub-groups to produce a stable end product.

Bibliography

- [AHOLA⁺18] Oscar Adamuz-Hinojosa, Jose Ordonez-Lucena, Pablo Ameigeiras, Juan J Ramos-Munoz, Diego Lopez, and Jesus Folgueira. Automated network service scaling in nfv: Concepts, mechanisms and scaling workflow. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.09089*, 2018.
- [ALNGT] Mohammad Abu-Lebdeh, Diala Naboulsi, Roch Glitho, and Constant Wette Tchouati. NFV orchestrator placement for geo-distributed systems.
- [B⁺16] Mohamed Boucadair et al. Service function chaining (sfc) control plane components & requirements. *draft-ietf-sfc-control-plane-06 (work in progress)*, 2016.
- [Doca] Cloudify Documentation. *Cloudify Schema Documentation*.
- [Docb] Open Baton Documentation. *Open Baton Schema Documentation*.
- [Docc] OSM Documentation. *OSM Schema Documentation*.
- [Docd] SONATA Documentation. *SONATA Schema Documentation*.
- [Doce] TeNor Documentation. *TeNor Schema Documentation*.
- [dSPR⁺18] Nathan F Saraiva de Sousa, Danny A Lachos Perez, Raphael V Rosa, Mateus AS Santos, and Christian Esteve Rothenberg. Network service orchestration: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.06596*, 2018.
- [ETS14] NFVISG ETSI. Gs nfv-man 001 v1. 1.1 network function virtualisation (nfv); management and orchestration, 2014.
- [GMUJ] Jokin Garay, Jon Matias, Juanjo Unzilla, and Eduardo Jacob. Service description in the NFV revolution: Trends, challenges and a way forward. 54(3):68–74.
- [HP15] Joel Halpern and Carlos Pignataro. Service function chaining (sfc) architecture. Technical report, 2015.
- [LZF⁺] Guanglei Li, Huachun Zhou, Bohao Feng, Guanwen Li, and Qi Xu. Horizontal-based orchestration for multi-domain SFC in SDN/NFV-enabled satellite/terrestrial networks. 15(5):77–91.
- [NFV2] GS NFV. Network functions virtualisation (nfv); architectural framework. *NFV ISG*, 2.
- [Ove] Open Baton Overview. *Open Baton Overview*.

CHAPTER 7. CONCLUSION

- [QE16] Paul Quinn and Uri Elzur. Network service header. *Internet Engineering Task Force, Internet-Draft draft-ietf-sfc-nsh-10*, 2016.
- [SON] About SONATA. *SONATA*.
- [XWF⁺15] Wenfeng Xia, Yonggang Wen, Chuan Heng Foh, Dusit Niyato, and Haiyong Xie. A survey on software-defined networking. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 17(1):27–51, 2015.