

**Department of Physics,
Computer Science & Engineering**

CPSC 410 - Operating Systems I

Virtualizing Memory: Memory Virtualization

Keith Perkins


Adapted from “CS 537 Introduction to Operating Systems” Arpaci-Dusseau

Questions answered in this lecture:

- What is in the address space of a process (review)?
- What are the different ways that that OS can virtualize memory?
 - Time sharing, static relocation, dynamic relocation
 - (base, base + bounds, segmentation)
- What hardware support is needed for dynamic relocation?

Motivation for Virtualization

Uniprogramming: One process runs at a time



Disadvantages:

- Only one process runs at a time
- Process can destroy OS

Multiprogramming Goals

Transparency

- Processes are not aware that memory is shared
- Works regardless of number and/or location of processes

Protection

- Cannot corrupt OS or other processes
- Privacy: Cannot read data of other processes

Efficiency

- Do not waste memory resources (minimize fragmentation)

Sharing

- Cooperating processes can share portions of address space

Abstraction: Address Space

Address space: Each process has set of addresses that map to bytes


Problem:

How can OS provide illusion of private address space to each process?

Review: What is in an address space?

Address space has static and dynamic components

- Static: Code and some global variables
- Dynamic: Stack and Heap



Motivation for Dynamic Memory

Why do processes need dynamic allocation of memory?

- ▀ Do not know amount of memory needed at compile time
- ▀ Must be pessimistic when allocate memory statically
 - ▀ If you allocate enough for worst possible case then storage is used inefficiently

Recursive procedures

- ▀ Do not know how many times procedure will be nested

Complex data structures: lists and trees

- ▀

```
struct my_t *p = (struct my_t *)malloc(sizeof(struct my_t));
```

Two types of dynamic allocation

- ▀ Stack
- ▀ Heap

Stack Organization

Definition: Memory is freed in opposite order from allocation

```
alloc(A);  
alloc(B);  
alloc(C);  
free(C);  
alloc(D);  
free(D);  
free(B);  
free(A);
```

Simple and efficient implementation:
Pointer separates allocated and freed space

Allocate: Increment pointer

Free: Decrement pointer

No fragmentation

Stack Organization

Definition: Memory is freed in opposite order from allocation


```
alloc(A);  
alloc(B);  
alloc(C);  
free(C);  
alloc(D);  
free(D);  
free(B);  
free(A);
```

Simple and efficient implementation:
Pointer separates allocated and freed space

Allocate: Increment pointer

Free: Decrement pointer

No fragmentation



Stack

Stack Organization

Definition: Memory is freed in opposite order from allocation


```
alloc(A);  
alloc(B);  
alloc(C);  
free(C);  
alloc(D);  
free(D);  
free(B);  
free(A);
```

Simple and efficient implementation:
Pointer separates allocated and freed space

Allocate: Increment pointer

Free: Decrement pointer

No fragmentation



Stack Organization

Definition: Memory is freed in opposite order from allocation


```
alloc(A);  
alloc(B);  
alloc(C);  
free(C);  
alloc(D);  
free(D);  
free(B);  
free(A);
```

Simple and efficient implementation:
Pointer separates allocated and freed space

Allocate: Increment pointer

Free: Decrement pointer

No fragmentation



Stack Organization

Definition: Memory is freed in opposite order from allocation


```
alloc(A);  
alloc(B);  
alloc(C);  
free(C);  
alloc(D);  
free(D);  
free(B);  
free(A);
```

Simple and efficient implementation:
Pointer separates allocated and freed space

Allocate: Increment pointer

Free: Decrement pointer

No fragmentation



Stack Organization

Definition: Memory is freed in opposite order from allocation


```
alloc(A);  
alloc(B);  
alloc(C);  
free(C);  
alloc(D);  
free(D);  
free(B);  
free(A);
```

Simple and efficient implementation:
Pointer separates allocated and freed space

Allocate: Increment pointer

Free: Decrement pointer

No fragmentation



Stack Organization

Definition: Memory is freed in opposite order from allocation

```
alloc(A);  
alloc(B);  
alloc(C);  
free(C);  
alloc(D);  
free(D);  
free(B);  
free(A);
```

Simple and efficient implementation:
Pointer separates allocated and freed space

Allocate: Increment pointer

Free: Decrement pointer

No fragmentation



Stack Organization

Definition: Memory is freed in opposite order from allocation

```
alloc(A);  
alloc(B);  
alloc(C);  
free(C);  
alloc(D);  
free(D);  
free(B);  
free(A);
```


Simple and efficient implementation:
Pointer separates allocated and freed space

Allocate: Increment pointer

Free: Decrement pointer

No fragmentation


A stack acts as a Last In First Out (LIFO)
buffer that grows as needed



Where Are stacks Used?

OS uses stack for procedure call (stack) frames (local variables and parameters)


```
main () {  
    int A = 0;  
    foo (A);  
    printf("A: %d\n", A);  
}  
void foo (int Z) {  
    int A = 2;  
    Z = 5;  
    printf("A: %d Z: %d\n", A, Z);  
}
```



Where Are stacks Used?

OS uses stack for procedure call (stack) frames (local variables and parameters)


```
main () {  
    int A = 0;  
    foo (A);  
    printf("A: %d\n", A);  
}  
void foo (int Z) {  
    int A = 2;  
    Z = 5;  
    printf("A: %d Z: %d\n", A, Z);  
}
```



Where Are stacks Used?

OS uses stack for procedure call (stack) frames (local variables and parameters)


```
main () {  
    int A = 0;  
    foo (A);  
    printf("A: %d\n", A);  
}  
void foo (int Z) {  
    int A = 2;  
    Z = 5;  
    printf("A: %d Z: %d\n", A, Z);  
}
```



Where Are stacks Used?

OS uses stack for procedure call (stack) frames (local variables and parameters)


```
main () {  
    int A = 0;  
    foo (A);  
    printf("A: %d\n", A);  
}  
void foo (int Z) {  
    int A = 2;  
    Z = 5;  
    printf("A: %d Z: %d\n", A, Z);  
}
```



Where Are stacks Used?

OS uses stack for procedure call (stack) frames (local variables and parameters)

```
main () {  
    int A = 0;  
    foo (A);  
    printf("A: %d\n", A);  
}  
void foo (int Z) {  
    int A = 2;  
    Z = 5;  
    printf("A: %d Z: %d\n", A, Z);  
}
```



Heap Organization

Definition: Allocate from any random location: malloc(), new()

- Heap memory consists of allocated areas and free areas (holes)
- Order of allocation and free is unpredictable

Advantage

- Works for all data structures

Disadvantages

- Allocation can be slow
- End up with small chunks of free space - fragmentation
- Where to allocate 12 bytes? 16 bytes? 24 bytes??

- What is OS's role in managing heap?

- OS gives big chunk of free memory to process; library manages individual allocations



Quiz: Match that Address Location

```
int x;  
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {  
    int y;  
    int *z = malloc(sizeof(int));  
}
```

Possible segments: static data, code, stack,
heap

Address	Location
x	
main	
y	
z	
*z	

Quiz: Match that Address Location

```
int x;  
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {  
    int y;  
    int *z = malloc(sizeof(int));  
}
```

Possible segments: static data, code, stack,
heap

Address	Location
x	Static data (global)
main	Code
y	Stack
z	Stack
*z	Heap

Memory Accesses

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int x;
    x = x + 3;
}
```



0x10:	movl	0x8(%rbp), %edi
0x13:	addl	\$0x3, %edi
0x19:	movl	%edi, 0x8(%rbp)

```
objdump -d demo1.o
```

%rbp is the base pointer:
points to base of current stack frame

Quiz: Memory Accesses?

Initial %rip = 0x10

%rbp = 0x200

→
0x10: movl 0x8(%rbp), %edi
0x13: addl \$0x3, %edi
0x19: movl %edi, 0x8(%rbp)

%rbp is the base pointer:
points to base of current stack frame

%rip is instruction pointer (or program counter)

Fetch instruction at addr 0x10
Exec:load from addr 0x208

Fetch instruction at addr 0x13
Exec:no memory access

Fetch instruction at addr 0x19
Exec: store to addr 0x208

**Memory Accesses to what addresses?
So far they are relative to address in rbp**

How to Virtualize Memory?

Problem: How to run multiple processes simultaneously?

Addresses are “hardcoded” into process binaries

How to avoid collisions?

Possible Solutions for Mechanisms (covered today):

1. Time Sharing
2. Static Relocation
3. Base
4. Base+Bounds
5. Segmentation


1) Time Sharing of Memory

Try similar approach to how OS virtualizes CPU


Observation:


OS gives illusion of many virtual CPUs by saving **CPU registers** to **memory** when a process isn't running

Could give illusion of many virtual memories by saving **memory** to **disk** when process isn't running





Time Share Memory: Example






Memory










Memory










Memory









Memory





Memory



**But disk is much slower than memory.
Or, it takes a long time to load process from disk**

Problems with Time Sharing Memory

Problem: Ridiculously poor performance,
so **its not used**

Better Alternative: space sharing

- At same time, space of memory is divided across processes

Remainder of solutions all use space sharing

2) Static Relocation

- Idea: OS rewrites each program before loading it as a process in memory
- Each rewrite for different process uses different addresses and pointers
- Change jumps, loads of static data


• 0x10: movl 0x8(%rbp), %edi	0x1010: movl 0x8(%rbp), %edi
• 0x13: addl \$0x3, %edi	0x1013: addl \$0x3, %edi
• 0x19: movl %edi, 0x8(%rbp)	0x1019: movl %edi, 0x8(%rbp)

rewrite →

• 0x10: movl 0x8(%rbp), %edi	0x3010: movl 0x8(%rbp), %edi
• 0x13: addl \$0x3, %edi	0x3013: addl \$0x3, %edi
• 0x19: movl %edi, 0x8(%rbp)	0x3019: movl %edi, 0x8(%rbp)

rewrite →

Static: Layout in Memory



Static Relocation: Disadvantages

No protection

- Process can destroy OS or other processes
- No privacy
- See “Aside: Software based relocation” in text

Hard to move address space after it has been placed

- May not be able to allocate new process

3) Dynamic Relocation


Goal: Protect processes from one another

Requires hardware support

- Memory Management Unit (MMU)

MMU dynamically changes process address at every memory reference

- Process generates **logical** or **virtual** addresses (in their address space)
- Memory hardware uses **physical** or **real** addresses



Hardware Support for Dynamic Relocation


Two operating modes

- Privileged (protected, kernel) mode: OS runs
 - When enter OS (trap, system calls, interrupts, exceptions)
 - Allows certain instructions to be executed
 - **Can manipulate contents of MMU**
 - **Allows OS to access all of physical memory**
 - User mode: User processes run
 - **Perform translation of logical address to physical address**
- Minimal MMU contains **base register** for translation
- base: start location for address space

Implementation of Dynamic Relocation: BASE REG

Translation on every memory access of user process

- MMU adds base register to logical address to form physical address




Dynamic Relocation with Base Register


Idea: translate virtual addresses to physical by adding a fixed offset each time.

Store offset in base register


Each process has different value in base register



**VISUAL Example of DYNAMIC RELOCATION:
BASE REGISTER**




P1 is running







P2 is running

←base register




	Virtual	Physical
	P1: load 100, R1	load 1124, R1 $(1024 + 100)$








	Virtual	Physical
0 KB	P1	load 1124, R1
1 KB	P1	load 4196, R1
2 KB		(4096 + 100)
3 KB		
4 KB	P2	
5 KB	P2	
6 KB		






	Virtual	Physical
0 KB		
1 KB	P1	P1: load 100, R1 load 1124, R1
2 KB		P2: load 100, R1 load 4196, R1
3 KB		
4 KB	P2	P2: load 1000, R1
5 KB		
6 KB		





	Virtual	Physical
0 KB		
1 KB	P1	load 1124, R1
2 KB		load 4196, R1
3 KB		load 5196, R1
4 KB		
5 KB	P2	P1: load 1000, R1
6 KB		





Quiz: Who Controls the Base Register?

What entity should do translation of addresses with base register?

- (1) process, (2) OS, or (3) HW

What entity should modify the base register?

- (1) process, (2) OS, or (3) HW

Quiz: Who Controls the Base Register?

What entity should do translation of addresses with base register?

- (1) process, (2) OS, or (3) HW Speed!

What entity should modify the base register?

- (1) process, (2) OS, or (3) HW Changes when new process loaded

	Virtual	Physical
0 KB		
1 KB	P1	load 1124, R1
2 KB		load 4196, R1
3 KB		load 5196, R1
4 KB	P2	load 2024, R1
5 KB		
6 KB		
Can P2 hurt P1? Can P1 hurt P2?		

How well does dynamic relocation do with base register for protection?



	Virtual	Physical
0 KB		
1 KB	P1	load 1124, R1
2 KB		load 4196, R1
3 KB		load 5196, R1
4 KB	P2	load 2024, R1
5 KB		store 4096, R1 (3072 + 1024)
6 KB		

Can P2 hurt P1?
Can P1 hurt P2?

How well does dynamic relocation do with base register for protection?


4) Dynamic with Base+Bounds


- Idea: limit the address space with a bounds register
- Base register: smallest physical addr (or starting location)
- Bounds register: size of this process's virtual address space
 - Sometimes defined as largest physical address (base + size)
- OS kills process if process loads/stores beyond bounds

Implementation of BASE+BOUNDS


Translation on every memory access of user process

- MMU compares logical address to bounds register
 - if logical address is greater, then generate error
- MMU adds base register to logical address to form physical address







P1 is running



P2 is running


base register
bounds register







Can P1 hurt P2?

Virtual	Physical
P1: load 100, R1	load 1124, R1
P2: load 100, R1	load 4196, R1
P2: load 1000, R1	load 5196, R1
P1: load 100, R1	load 2024, R1
P1: store 3072, R1	interrupt OS! 3072 > 1024





Managing Processes with Base and Bounds

Context-switch

- Add base and bounds registers to PCB
- Steps
 - Change to privileged mode
 - Save base and bounds registers of old process
 - Load base and bounds registers of new process
 - Change to user mode and jump to new process

What if don't change base and bounds registers when switch?

Protection requirement


- User process cannot change base and bounds registers
- User process cannot change to privileged mode

Base and Bounds Advantages

Advantages

- Provides protection (both read and write) across address spaces
- Supports dynamic relocation
 - Can place process at different locations initially and also move address spaces

- Simple, inexpensive implementation
 - Few registers, little logic in MMU
- Fast
 - Add and compare in parallel




Base and Bounds DISADVANTAGES

Disadvantages

Each process must be allocated contiguously in physical memory

Must allocate memory that may not be used by process

No partial sharing: Cannot share limited parts of address space




5) Segmentation

Divide address space into logical segments

- Each segment corresponds to logical entity in address space
 - code, stack, heap

Each segment can independently:

- be placed separately in physical memory
- grow and shrink
- be protected (separate read/write/execute protection bits)



Segmented Addressing

Process now specifies segment and offset
within segment

How does process designate a particular
segment?

- Use part of logical address
 - Top bits of logical address select segment
 - Low bits of logical address select offset within segment

Segmentation Implementation

MMU contains Segment Table (per process)

- Each segment has own base and bounds, protection bits
- Example: 14 bit logical address, 4 segments; how many bits for segment? How many bits for offset?

Segment	Base	Bounds	R	W
0	0x2000	0x6ff	1	0
1	0x0000	0x4ff	1	1
2	0x3000	0xffff	1	1
3	0x0000	0x000	0	0

remember:
1 hex digit=4 bits

Have 3 unique segments- need 2 bits to uniquely identify (00,01,10 with 11 unused)
12 remaining bits are offset (can address up to $2^{12} = 4096$ memory locations)

00 0000 0000 0000

Segment Offset

Quiz: Address Translations with Segmentation

MMU contains Segment Table (per process)

- Each segment has own base and bounds, protection bits
- Example: 14 bit logical address, 4 segments; how many bits for segment? How many bits for offset?

Segment	Base	Bounds	R	W
0	0x2000	0x6ff	1	0
1	0x0000	0x4ff	1	1
2	0x3000	0xffff	1	1
3	0x0000	0x000	0	0

remember:
1 hex digit=4 bits

Translate following logical addresses (in hex) to physical addresses

0x0240:

0x1108:

0x265c:

Quiz: Address Translations with Segmentation

MMU contains Segment Table (per process)

- Each segment has own base and bounds, protection bits
- Example: 14 bit logical address, 4 segments; how many bits for segment? How many bits for offset?

Segment	Base	Bounds	R	W
0	0x2000	0x6ff	1	0
1	0x0000	0x4ff	1	1
2	0x3000	0xffff	1	1
3	0x0000	0x000	0	0

remember:
1 hex digit=4 bits

Translate logical addresses (in hex) to physical addresses

0x0240 := 00 0010 0100 0000

0x1108:

0x265c:

Quiz: Address Translations with Segmentation

MMU contains Segment Table (per process)

- Each segment has own base and bounds, protection bits
- Example: 14 bit logical address, 4 segments; how many bits for segment? How many bits for offset?

Segment	Base	Bounds	R	W
0	0x2000	0x6ff	1	0
1	0x0000	0x4ff	1	1
2	0x3000	0xffff	1	1
3	0x0000	0x000	0	0

remember:
1 hex digit=4 bits

Translate logical addresses (in hex) to physical addresses

0x0240:=00 0010 0100 0000=00 in segment 0=Physical Address=0x2000+240=0x2240

0x1108:

0x265c:

Quiz: Address Translations with Segmentation

MMU contains Segment Table (per process)

- Each segment has own base and bounds, protection bits
- Example: 14 bit logical address, 4 segments; how many bits for segment? How many bits for offset?

Segment	Base	Bounds	R	W
0	0x2000	0x6ff	1	0
1	0x0000	0x4ff	1	1
2	0x3000	0xffff	1	1
3	0x0000	0x000	0	0

remember:
1 hex digit=4 bits

Translate logical addresses (in hex) to physical addresses

0x0240:=00 0010 0100 0000=00 in segment 0=Physical Address=0x2000+240=0x2240

0x1108:=01 0001 0000 1000=01 in segment 1=Physical Address=0x0000+108=0x0108

0x265c:=10 0110 0101 1100=01 in segment 2=Physical Address=0x3000+65c=0x365c

0x3002:

Visual Interpretation

	Virtual (hex)	Physical
0x00	Code+data (seg0)	
0x400		
0x800	heap (seg1)	
0x1200		
0x1600	stack (seg2)	
0x2000		
0x2400		

Segment numbers:

0: code+data

1: heap

2: stack



Virtual (hex)	Physical
load 0x2010, R1	$0x1600 + 0x010 = 0x1610$

Segment numbers:

0: code+data

1: heap

2: stack



Virtual (hex)	Physical
load 0x2010, R1	$0x1600 + 0x010 = 0x1610$
load 0x1010, R1	

Segment numbers:
0: code+data
1: heap
2: stack



Virtual (hex)	Physical
load 0x2010, R1	$0x1600 + 0x010 = 0x1610$
load 0x1010, R1	$0x400 + 0x010 = 0x410$

Segment numbers:

0: code+data

1: heap

2: stack



Virtual (hex)	Physical
load 0x2010, R1	$0x1600 + 0x010 = 0x1610$
load 0x1010, R1	$0x400 + 0x010 = 0x410$
load 0x1100, R1	

Segment numbers:

0: code+data

1: heap

2: stack



Virtual	Physical
load 0x2010, R1	$0x1600 + 0x010 = 0x1610$
load 0x1010, R1	$0x400 + 0x010 = 0x410$
load 0x1100, R1	$0x400 + 0x100 = 0x500$

Segment numbers:

0: code+data

1: heap

2: stack

Example:



Segment numbers:

- 0: data
- 1: heap
- 2: stack
- 3: code

For a 14 bit system. Given the program layout in physical memory to the left. Fill in following segment table

Segment	Base	Bounds	R	W
0				
1				
2				
3				

Example:



For a 14 bit system. Given the program layout in physical memory to the left. Fill in following segment table

Segment	Base	Bounds	R	W
0	0x0000	0x0300	1	1
1	0x1200	0x0400	1	1
2				
3				

Segment numbers:

- 0: data
- 1: heap
- 2: stack
- 3:code

Example:



For a 14 bit system. Given the program layout in physical memory to the left. Fill in following segment table

Segment	Base	Bounds	R	W
0	0x0000	0x300	1	1
1	0x1200	0x400	1	1
2	0x2000	0x400	1	1
3	0x0400	0x250	1	0

Bounds is a size, so its 0x400 NOT 0x1600

Segment numbers:

- 0: data
- 1: heap
- 2: stack
- 3:code

Example:



Segment numbers:

- 0: data
- 1: heap
- 2: stack
- 3: code


For a 14 bit system. Given the program layout in physical memory to the left. Fill in following segment table

Segment	Base	Bounds	R	W
0	0x0000	0x300	1	1
1	0x1200	0x400	1	1
2	0x2000	0x400	1	1
3	0x0400	0x250	1	0

Given the following virtual addresses, what are the physical addresses? Or will the MMU throw an exception?

0x1010
0x3300

Example:



For a 14 bit system. Given the program layout in physical memory to the left. Fill in following segment table

Segment	Base	Bounds	R	W
0	0x0000	0x300	1	1
1	0x1200	0x400	1	1
2	0x2000	0x400	1	1
3	0x0400	0x250	1	0


Given the following virtual addresses, what are the physical addresses? Or will the MMU throw an exception?

$$0x1010 = \text{seg 1} = 0x1200 + 010 = 0x1210$$

$$0x3300 = \text{seg 3} = 0x0400 + 300 (0x700) = \text{FAULT!}$$

Advantages of Segmentation

- Enables sparse allocation of address space
 - Stack and heap can grow independently
 - Heap: If no data on free list, dynamic memory allocator requests more from OS (e.g., UNIX: malloc calls sbrk())
 - Stack: OS recognizes reference outside legal segment, extends stack implicitly
- Different protection for different segments
 - Read-only status for code
- Enables sharing of selected segments
- Supports dynamic relocation of each segment



Disadvantages of Segmentation

Each segment must be allocated contiguously

- May not have sufficient physical memory for large segments

Fix in next lecture with paging...

Conclusion

HW+OS work together to virtualize memory

- Give illusion of private address space to each process

Add MMU registers for base+bounds so translation is fast

- OS not involved with every address translation, only on context switch or errors

Dynamic relocation with segments is good building block

- Next lecture: Solve fragmentation and need for contiguous memory with paging

