(And how you will likely encounter it)

- Classic Deadlock Definition
 - 2 threads- each have a resource that the other wants

```
mutex m1;
     mutex m2;
     void f1(int i){
         while(i>0){
             lock_guard<mutex> lg1(m1);
             lock_guard<mutex> lg2(m2);
     void f2(int i){
         while(i>0){
             lock guard<mutex> lg1(m2); //mutexes aquired out of order
             lock guard<mutex> lg2(m1);
13
14
15
16
     int main() {
17
         //the threaded way with 2 mutexes
18
19
         thread t1(f1, NUMB TIMES);
         thread t2(f2, NUMB_TIMES);
20
         t1.join();
21
         t2.join();
22
```

- Classic Deadlock Definition
 - 2 threads- each have a resource that the other wants

```
mutex m1;
     mutex m2;
     void f1(int i){
         while(i>0){
             lock_guard<mutex> lg1(m1);
lock_guard<mutex> lg2(m2);
                                                                           If t1 is interrupted here
     void f2(int i){
         while(i>0){
             lock guard<mutex> lg1(m2); //mutexes aquired out of order
             lock guard<mutex> lg2(m1);
14
15
16
     int main() {
         //the threaded way with 2 mutexes
18
19
         thread t1(f1, NUMB TIMES);
         thread t2(f2, NUMB_TIMES);
         t1.join();
         t2.join();
22
```

- Classic Deadlock Definition
 - 2 threads- each have a resource that the other wants

```
mutex m1;
     mutex m2;
     void f1(int i){
         while(i>0){
            lock_guard<mutex> lg1(m1);
lock_guard<mutex> lg2(m2);
                                                                          If t1 is interrupted here
     void f2(int i){
         while(i>0){
             lock_guard<mutex> lg1(m2); //mutexes aquired out of order
                                                                        Then t2 runs and is interrupted here
             lock guard<mutex> lg2(m1);
14
15
16
     int main() {
         //the threaded way with 2 mutexes
19
         thread t1(f1, NUMB TIMES);
         thread t2(f2, NUMB_TIMES);
         t1.join();
         t2.join();
```

- Classic Deadlock Definition
 - 2 threads- each have a resource that the other wants

```
mutex m1;
mutex m2;
void f1(int i){
   while(i>0){
       lock_guard<mutex> lg1(m1);
lock_guard<mutex> lg2(m2);
                                                               If t1 is interrupted here
void f2(int i){
   while(i>0){
       lock guard<mutex> lg1(m2); //mutexes aquired out of order
                                                             Then t2 runs and is interrupted here
       lock guard<mutex> lg2(m1);
int main() {
                                                           Then the program will stop making forward progress
   //the threaded way with 2 mutexes
   thread t1(f1, NUMB TIMES);
   thread t2(f2, NUMB TIMES);
   t1.join();
   t2.join();
```

- Classic Deadlock Definition
 - 2 threads- each have a resource that the other wants

```
mutex m1;
mutex m2;
void f1(int i){
   while(i>0){
      lock_guard<mutex> lg1(m1);
lock_guard<mutex> lg2(m2);
                                                             If t1 is interrupted here
void f2(int i){
   while(i>0){
      lock guard<mutex> lg1(m2); //mutexes aquired out of order
                                                           Then t2 runs and is interrupted here
      lock guard<mutex> lg2(m1);
int main() {
                                                         Then the program will stop making forward progress
   //the threaded way with 2 mutexes
   thread t1(f1, NUMB_TIMES);
   thread t2(f2, NUMB TIMES);
                                                            Demo: simple deadlock project
   t1.join();
   t2.join();
```

- Classic Deadlock Definition
 - 2 threads- each have a resource that the other wants

```
mutex m1;
mutex m2;
void f1(int i){
   while(i>0){
      lock_guard<mutex> lg1(m1);
lock_guard<mutex> lg2(m2);
                                                           If t1 is interrupted here
void f2(int i){
   while(i>0){
      lock guard<mutex> lg1(m2); //mutexes aquired out of order
                                                          Then t2 runs and is interrupted here
      lock guard<mutex> lg2(m1);
int main() {
                                                        Then the program will stop making forward progress
   //the threaded way with 2 mutexes
   thread t1(f1, NUMB TIMES);
                                                         Demo: simple deadlock project
   thread t2(f2, NUMB TIMES);
   t1.join();
                                                        To fix: always acquire lock objects in same order
   t2.join();
```

Deadlock- Possible Solution

```
//the prevention lock is used to protect
     //critical sections where locks are being aquired
26
     prevention.lock();
28
     //then aguire all necessary locks
29
     //knowing that no other thread can be
30
     //in a critical section protected by
31
     //the prevention lock
32
     L1.lock();
33
     12.lock();
34
35
36
     prevention.unlock()
37
38
     //grab this lock first
39
     prevention.lock();
40
41
     12.lock(); //ordering does not matter now
     L1.lock(); //given the prevention lock
43
44
     prevention.unlock()
```

- Put all lock acquisition in critical sections
- Good
 - Cannot be interrupted while acquiring locks
- Bad
 - Additional lock (prevention) to manage
 - Have to know ahead of time what locks we need
 - Decreasses concurrency as we are likely acquiring locks early. Critical sections are larger than needed

Deadlock- Possible Solution 2

```
mutex m1;
mutex m2;
void f1(int i){
   while(i>0){
        lock guard<mutex> lg1(m1);
        lock guard<mutex> lg2(m2);
void f2(int i){
    while(i>0){
        lock guard<mutex> lg2(m1);
        lock guard<mutex> lg1(m2);
int main() {
   //the threaded way with 2 mutexes
   thread t1(f1, NUMB TIMES);
   thread t2(f2, NUMB TIMES);
   t1.join();
   t2.join();
```

- Always acquire locks in same order
- Good
 - Cannot deadlock
- Not as good
 - Locking is not so simple. Locks are spread out among classes, functions and libraries with many conditional statements.
 - Its often hard to predict what the ordering will be.
- But probably about as good as it will get

Things that act like deadlock

```
mutex m;
    void fun2(){
        m.lock();
51
    void fun1(){
        m.lock();
53
        fun2();
54
55
    int main() {
56
        // superficial blocking? Its actually undefined
57
        //from the C++ 11 standard
58
        //30.4.1.2.1/4 [Note: A program may deadlock if the
59
        //thread that owns a mutex object calls lock() on that object.]
60
        //it MAY, or it may not block. It may work on 1 compiler and
61
        //not another
62
        m.lock();
63
                                           This causes thread
        m.lock(); ←
64
65
                                           to block and wait
        //the way locking twice without
66
                                           to acquire a lock
        //really happens
67
        fun1();
68
                                           that it already
69
                                           owns
```

 Locking twice on the same thread without an intervening unlock

Things that act like deadlock

```
mutex m;
     void fun2(){
         m.lock();
51
     void fun1(){
         m.lock();
53
        fun2();
54
55
     int main() {
56
         // superficial blocking? Its actually undefined
57
        //from the C++ 11 standard
58
        //30.4.1.2.1/4 [Note: A program may deadlock if the
59
        //thread that owns a mutex object calls lock() on that object.]
60
         //it MAY, or it may not block. It may work on 1 compiler and
61
         //not another
62
         m.lock();
63
         m.lock();
64
65
         //the way locking twice without
66
         //really happens
67
                                            This is how it
         fun1(); ←
68
                                           happens in real
69
                                            code
```

 Locking twice on the same thread without an intervening unlock

Demo: simple_deadlock project

Deadlock - the real world

- Locking is not so simple, especially if you have more than 1 lock.
- Locks are spread out among classes, functions and libraries with many conditional statements
- Its often hard to see what the lock ordering will be
- Once a program deadlocks it stops, it does not consume processor cycles, or any more memory than it had when the deadlock occurred. But it will never exit. It must be killed and restarted.