Threads

Race conditions
Atomic Variables
Critical sections

BTW

• Read the week 9 advice on course website

Race Conditions

- Launch 2 threads, the outcome depends on which finishes first
 - Spurious, tough to reproduce (may need exacting set of conditions)
 - Because of this non-determinism they are Tough to debug

```
Each of these instructions

are really 3 assembly instructions

//Thread 1

//Thread 2

i++;

//A global int
int i=0;

//Thread 1

//Thread 2
```

Race Conditions

- Launch 2 threads, the outcome depends on which finishes first
 - Spurious, tough to reproduce (may need exacting set of conditions)
 - Because of this non-determinism they are Tough to debug

Each of these instructions are really 3 assembly instructions
The problem is you can't guarantee that all three will run to completion without being interrupted.

```
//A global int i=0;

//Thread 1 //Thread 2 i++; i--;
```

Race Conditions

- Launch 2 threads, the outcome depends on which finishes first
 - Spurious, tough to reproduce (may need exacting set of conditions)
 - Because of this non-determinism they are Tough to debug

Each of these instructions are really 3 assembly instructions
The problem is you can't guarantee that all three will run to completion without being interrupted.

Want an atomic operation; a sequence of 1 or more operations that appear indivisible. No other process can see an intermediate state, once started cannot be interrupted

```
//A global int i=0;

//Thread 1 //Thread 2 i++; \rightarrow i--;
```

So, is this atomic?

```
global2++;
--global2;
```

No, its 3, interruptible, machine instructions

```
global2++;
--global2;
```

```
global2++;
 53
         --global2;
 54
📳 Problems 🧧 Tasks 🔳 Properties 🔐 Call Graph 🧬 Terminal 🗣 Breakpoints 📟 Disassembly 🛭 📇 Git Staging 😕 Varia
                                OIGA, OIGE
                       callq
                                0x555555555226 <std::thread::thread<void (&)()>(void (&
 0000555555554fc3:
≥52
                         global2++;
                                0x202166(%rip),%eax
                                                             # 0x555555757134 <global2>

⇒ 0000555555554fc8:

 0000555555554fce:
                       add
                                $0x1,%eax
 0000555555554fd1:
                                %eax,0x20215d(%rip)
                                                             # 0x555555757134 <global2>
                       mov
```

Go to this project and demo non deterministic behaviour

See https://github.com/CNUClasses/thread_problem_atomic_solution.gi

Go From this

```
#include <thread>
using namespace std;
const int NUMB_TIMES = 100000;

//global variable
int global2 = 0;
```

Go From this

```
#include <thread>
using namespace std;
const int NUMB_TIMES = 100000;
//global variable
int global2 = 0;
```

To this

```
#include <thread>
#include <atomic>

using namespace std;
const int NUMB_TIMES = 100000;

//atomic variable
std::atomic<int> global2(0);
```

Go From this

To this

```
#include <thread>
#include <thread>
#include <atomic>

using namespace std;
const int NUMB_TIMES = 100000;

//global variable
int global2 = 0;

#include <thread>
#include <atomic>

using namespace std;
const int NUMB_TIMES = 100000;

//atomic variable
std::atomic<int> global2(0);
```

Atomic types are types that encapsulate a value whose access is guaranteed to not cause data races and can be used to synchronize memory accesses among different threads.

Go From this

```
#include <thread>
using namespace std;
const int NUMB_TIMES = 100000;
//global variable
int global2 = 0;
```

To this

```
#include <thread>
#include <atomic>

using namespace std;
const int NUMB_TIMES = 100000;

//atomic variable
std::atomic<int> global2(0);
```

Go to this project and demo atomic solution



- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable?

- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable? Like this

```
//starting balance
int bal =50;
void withdrawmoney(int amt){
    if (bal>amt){
        cout<<"approved!"<<endl;
        bal -=amt:
    else
        cout<<"denied!";
int main() {
    thread t1(withdraw, 40);
                                Go to this project to see this code
    thread t2(withdraw, 25);
                                See https://github.com/CNUClasses/Thread_Race_condition.git
```

- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable? Like this

```
//starting balance
int bal =50;

void withdrawmoney(int amt){
    if (bal>amt){
        cout<<"approved!"<<endl;
        bal -=amt;
    }
    else
        cout<<"denied!";
}

int main() {
    thread t1(withdraw, 40);
    thread t2(withdraw, 25);</pre>
```

- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable? Like this

```
//starting balance
int bal =50;

void withdrawmoney(int amt) {
    if (bal>amt) {
        cout<<"approved!"<<endl;
        bal -=amt;
    }
    else
        cout<<"denied!";
}

int main() {
    thread t1(withdraw, 40);
    thread t2(withdraw, 25);</pre>
What happens if you are interrupted right after the if conditional check
Will not help to make bal an atomic (why?)
```

- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable? Like this

```
//starting balance
int bal =50;

void withdrawmoney(int amt){
   if (bal>amt){
      cout<<"approved!"<<endl;
      bal -=amt;
   }
   else
      cout<<"denied!";
}

int main() {
   thread t1(withdraw, 40);
   thread t2(withdraw, 25);</pre>
```

- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable? Like this

```
//starting balance
int bal =50;

void withdrawmoney(int amt){
   if (bal>amt){
      cout<<"approved!"<<endl;
      bal -=amt;
   }

   else
      cout<<"denied!";

   Critical Section: Code that accesses a shared resource,
      that must complete without interruption. BTW make
      them as small as possible

int main() {
      thread t1(withdraw, 40);
      thread t2(withdraw, 25);
}</pre>
```

- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable? Like this

```
//starting balance
int bal =50;
void withdrawmoney(int amt){
    if (bal>amt){
                                       What is needed is to make these three lines uninterruptable
        cout<<"approved!"<<endl
                                       We call this a "critical section"
        bal -=amt;
    else
        cout<<"denied!";
                                       Critical Section: Code that accesses a shared resource,
                                       that must complete without interruption. BTW make
                                       them as small as possible
                                       Question: Can you have a critical section in a single
int main() {
                                       threaded environment?
    thread t1(withdraw, 40);
    thread t2(withdraw, 25);
```

- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable? Like this

```
//starting balance
int bal =50:
void withdrawmoney(int amt){
    if (bal>amt){
                                       What is needed is to make these three lines uninterruptable
        cout<<"approved!"<<endl
                                       We call this a "critical section"
        bal -=amt;
    else
        cout<<"denied!";
                                      Critical Section: Code that accesses a shared resource,
                                      that must complete without interruption. BTW make
                                      them as small as possible
                                       Question: Can you have a critical section in a single
int main() {
    thread t1(withdraw, 40);
                                      threaded environment? No
    thread t2(withdraw, 25);
```

Critical Section

- Critical Section: Code that accesses a shared resource, where only 1 thread can be at a time.
- Make them as small as possible! Why? Because in the critical section you potentially go from a multithreaded application, to a single threaded application where the other threads are blocked waiting to get in.

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
  i++;
   g=i;
   t1.join();
```

```
int g=0;
                                                   If no threads?
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   //thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
  i++;
   g=i;
   //t1.join();
```

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   //thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
   i++;
   g=i;
   //t1.join();
```

If no threads?

If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   int a=g;
int main(){
   thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
   i++;
   g=i;
   t1.join();:
```

```
If no threads?
If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
```

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   int a=g;
int main(){
   thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
   i++:
   t1.join();:
```

If no threads?

If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g? g is being written at need protection

If 1 write then all reads and writes

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   int a=g;
int main(){
   thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
   j++:
   g=i;
   t1.join();:
```

If no threads?

If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at

1 If 1 write then all reads and writes need protection

See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   thread t1(fun);
   int i=g:
   i++;
   g=i;
   t1.join();:
```

If no threads?

If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at

1 If 1 write then all reads and writes need protection

Will these smaller critical sections work (note fun changes)?

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
   i++;
   g=i;
   t1.join();:
```

If no threads?
If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at

1 If 1 write then all reads and writes

need protection
Will these smaller critical sections work? (note fun changes)

NO

In main thread, if i receives g, then increment i, Then t1 changes g, then main thread writes i back to g? Answer: you overwrite t1s changes

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
   i++;
   g=i;
   t1.join();:
```

```
If no threads?
If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at need protection

See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections
```

If thread starts in position

1

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
   i++:
   t1.join();:
```

```
If no threads?
If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at need protection

See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections

If thread starts in position
```

If thread starts in position

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   int i=g;
   thread t1(fun);
   i++;
   g=i;
   t1.join();:
```

```
If no threads?
If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at need protection

See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections
```

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
int main(){
   int i=g;
   thread t1(fun);
   i++;
   g=i:
   t1.join();:
```

```
If no threads?
If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at need protection
See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections

If thread starts in position 2
```

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   int i=g;
   i++;
   g=i;
  thread t1(fun);
   t1.join();:
```

If no threads?
If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at need protection

See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections

If thread starts in position

3

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   int i=g;
   i++;
   g=i;
  thread t1(fun);
   t1.join();:
```

If no threads?

If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at
left 1 write then all reads and writes
need protection
See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections

If thread starts in position

As written, only t1 will access g when the application Is multithreaded. So there are no critical sections for position 3 as the global is never accessed in a multithreaded environment.

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   int i=g;
   i++:
   g=i;
  thread t1(fun);
   t1.join();:
```

If no threads?

If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at If 1 write then all reads and writes need protection
See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections

If thread starts in position

3

As written, only t1 will access g when the application Is multithreaded. So there are no critical sections for position 3 as the global is never accessed in a multithreaded environment.

But it only takes a slight change to the code to cause problems! These types of changes often occur over the lifetime of the codebase

BTW...When <u>only</u> reading global variables

• If all you do is <u>read</u> a global variable, then there is no critical section and no need to protect access to the global variable.

```
//A global int
int i=0;

//Thread 1
int j=i;
//Thread 2
int k=i;
```

BTW... When <u>only</u> reading global variables

- If all you do is <u>read</u> a global variable, then there is no critical section and no need to protect access to the global variable.
- BUT, if you write a global variable at all. Even if just 1 write and 10000 reads.
- Then all 10001 operations are critical and all 10001 must be protected.

```
//A global int
int i=0;

//Thread 1
int j=i;
//Thread 2
int k=i;
```

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>
void doZero(){}
void doNotZero(){}
int global=2;
void fun(){
    if(global==0)
         doZero();
    else
         doNotZero();
∍int main() {
    std::thread t1(fun);
    global=0;
    t1.join();
    return 0;
```

- Do you execute doZero() or doNotZero()?
- If 1 happens before 2
 - Then doZero()
- - Then doNotZero()

How can you tell what happens?

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>
void doZero(){}
void doNotZero(){}
int global=2;
void fun(){
   → if(global==0)
         doZero();
    else
         doNotZero();
⇒int main() {
    std::thread t1(fun);
   → global=0;
    t1.join();
    return 0;
```

- Do you execute doZero() or doNotZero()?
- If 1 happens before 2
 - Then doZero()
- If 2 happens before 1
 - Then doNotZero()

How can you tell what happens?

You cannot as written.

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>
void doZero(){}
void doNotZero(){}
int global=2;
void fun(){

→ if(global==0)
         doZero();
    else
         doNotZero();
⇒int main() {
    std::thread t1(fun);
   → global=0;
    t1.join();
    return 0;
```

- Do you execute doZero() or doNotZero()?
- If 1 happens before 2
 - Then doZero()
- - Then doNotZero()

How can you tell what happens?

You cannot as written.

You can however use condition variables to impose an order of your choice (later)

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>
void doZero(){}
void doNotZero(){}
int global=2;
void fun(){

    if(global==0)
         doZero();
    else
         doNotZero();
⇒int main() {
    std::thread t1(fun);
   → global=0;
    t1.join();
    return 0;
```

- Do you execute doZero() or doNotZero()?
- If 1 happens before 2
 - Then doZero()
- If 2 happens before 1
 - Then doNotZero()

How can you tell what happens?

You cannot as written.

You can however use condition variables to impose an order of your choice (later)

Or move 1 to position 3

Race Condition again- A bogus solution

```
#include <thread>
#include <chrono>
void doZero(){}
void doNotZero(){}
int global=2;
void fun(){
    if(global==0)
                                                 DO NOT DO THIS!
        doZero();
    else
        doNotZero();
int main() {
    std::thread t1(fun);
    //when you see delays like this in the code with
    //comments like "wait for deposit to occur first"
    //or "wait for system stabalization" be very
    //suspicious of the code quality since this often means the
    //original developer has no idea how to coordinate thread activities
    //hint (use condition variables- coming soon)
    std::this thread::sleep for(std::chrono::milliseconds(500));
                                                                    global=0;
    t1.join();
    return 0;
```

#include <iostream>

PSA- you may see code that "fixes" this with delays (see left). This is a cheesy, non scalable solution. (Why?)

Summary

- Race conditions- where they occur, learn to recognize them
- Atomics and problems they solve (single line only)
- Critical Sections- an area of code where only 1 thread can be at a time. Learn how to recognize them, make them small (since only one thread should be in them at a time)
 - Question- If you launch no threads, can you have critical sections?
 - Question-if you only read global variables, can you have critical sections?