

United States Court of Appeals

Beaniuss,
Appellant

v.

Lacryma,
Appellee

03-47

Dismissal

Before: Gregory, *Chief Judge*, and Caprio,
Howard, and Hudson, *Circuit Judges*.

Garland, *Circuit Judge*, took no part in considering
this case.

BEANIUSS v. LACRYMA

Hudson, *Circuit Judge*.

Mr. Beaniuss submitted an appeal of the United States Senate's resolution to contempt him for failure to attend a hearing.

This Court, upon receiving the complaint, issued a writ of review under 28 U.S. Code § 2241 for habeas corpus. Upon discussion, it was dismissed unanimously.

While 28 U.S. Code § 2241 allows for writs of habeas corpus, it does not require an *en banc* review of this Court. Furthermore, at the current moment, Mr. Beaniuss has not been imprisoned, and therefore this Court does not believe that *habeas corpus* is applicable.

For the aforementioned reasoning, this case is dismissed.

It is so ordered.